

Stat261 - Spring 2018

Assignment #5 - due Thursday, April 5, 2018 in class

The files: Stat261-Assign5-R-2018.pdf and StudentPerformanceData.pdf are required to complete this assignment. The Stat261-Assign5-R-2018.Rmd contains the code that generated Stat261-Assign5-R-2018.pdf. Section numbers in the assignment questions refer to the sections in Stat261-Assign5-R-2018.pdf.

We will use the variables **G3**, **sex**, and **Walc** in this data set. Look up the definitions of these variables in the file StudentPerformanceData.pdf.

1. Using the information (summary and graphs) in Section 1.1, comment on the variables **G3**, **sex**, and **Walc**.
2. Section 1.2 contains an analysis of the first 10 grade observations.
 - (a) Comment on the distribution of these 10 observations given Figures 3 and 4.
 - (b) What is a 95% confidence interval for the mean of the 10 observations?
 - (c) Using the 10 observations, perform a test of the hypothesis that the mean grade is 10. Include a concluding sentence which could be incorporated into a report about this data to your boss. Hint: the required computed quantities are given in this section.
3. We are interested in comparing the grades for boys and girls in Section 1.3.
 - (a) Comment on Figure 5.
 - (b) Assuming that the variances for the boys and girls are equal, perform a test of the hypothesis that the mean grade for boys is the same as the mean grade for girls. Include a concluding sentence which could be incorporated into a report about this data to your boss.
 - (c) Without assuming that the variances for the boys and girls are equal, perform a test of the hypothesis that the mean grade for boys is the same as the mean grade for girls. Include a concluding sentence which could be incorporated into a report about this data to your boss.
 - (d) Were your conclusions for the two tests above the same? Why do you suppose that is?
4. In Section 1.4, we are interested in whether there is a relationship between student grades and **Walc**, weekend alcohol consumption.
 - (a) Comment on Figure 6 and 7.
 - (b) The results from fitting a straight line model to the grades as a function of weekend alcohol consumption are given on page 10. What is the estimated straight line model for this data?
 - (c) Perform a test of the hypothesis that the slope parameter is zero. Include a concluding sentence which could be incorporated into a report about this data to your boss.

- (d) Figure 8 is a qqplot of the residuals from the straight line model fit. Comment on this plot.
- (e) Figure 10 contains the side-by-side boxplots of the grades by **Walc**, with the fitted line drawn on top. Comment on this graph.

BONUS QUESTIONS:

1. (BONUS) Suppose that Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are independent $N(\alpha, \sigma^2)$. Show that if σ is unknown, the likelihood ratio statistic for testing $H_0 : \alpha = \alpha_0$ is given by:

$$D = n \ln \left[1 + \frac{1}{n-1} T^2 \right], \text{ where}$$

$$T = \frac{\hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}.$$

2. (BONUS) Testing equality of variances. Consider k independent normal samples of sizes n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k . Measurements from sample i have unknown variance σ_i^2 . Let $s_1^2, s_2^2, \dots, s_k^2$ be the sample variances computed from the sample data which are estimates of $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2$. Since the measurements are normally distributed, we know that.

$$(n_i - 1)s_i^2/\sigma_i^2 \sim \chi_{(n_i-1)}^2 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Using the above distribution, the log likelihood for σ_i is therefore:

$$\ell(\sigma_i) = -(n_i - 1) \ln \sigma_i - (n_i - 1)s_i^2/(2\sigma_i^2).$$

- (a) Find the joint log likelihood function of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ and show that it is maximized for $\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = s_i^2, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.
- (b) Show that if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_k = \sigma$, then the MLE of σ^2 is given by,

$$s_{pooled}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1)s_i^2 \right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) \right).$$

- (c) Show that the likelihood ratio statistic for testing $H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_k = \sigma$ is given by

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) \ln(s_{pooled}^2/s_i^2)$$

$$\frac{\text{---}}{27} + 3 \text{ presentation} = \frac{\text{---}}{30} \quad (1)$$

- (1) G3 - ranges from 0 to 20; except for about 40 observations at zero, the distribution is roughly normal. (Fig 1).

- the mean of G3 is 10.42 and median 11.

(3)

Sex - there are 208 females and 187 males.

Walc - ranges from 1 to 5 with mean of 2.291 and median of 2.000. - The dist'n (Fig 2) resembles exponential decay with most observations near 1.

- (2) (a) The histogram in Fig 3 is difficult to interpret because there are only 10 observations; they range from 6 to 20.

(b) The normal Q-Q plot (Fig 4) suggests that the sample is consistent with the normal distribution except for an extra observation at 6.

(b) 95% CI is (8.03, 14.57)

(c) $H_0: \mu = 10$.

2. The sample data are consistent with the hypothesis of a mean grade of 10 ($p = .392$, 95% C.I. 8.03 - 14.57).

- (3) (a) Figure 5: The boxplots indicate that the median grade for males is slightly higher than that for females.

2. Both genders have outliers at zero. The variation in grades is similar for males and females.

- (b) variances assumed equal: $H_0: \mu_G - \mu_B = 0$
 where μ_G = mean grade for girls, μ_B = mean grade for boys.

The mean grade for boys is significantly higher than that for girls with an average difference of -0.948 points (p -value = $.04$, 95% CI $[-1.852, -0.044]$).

- (c) variances not assumed equal: $H_0: \mu_G - \mu_B = 0$.

2. The mean grade for boys is significantly higher than that for girls with an average difference of -0.948 points (p -value = $.04$, 95% C.I. $[-1.851, -0.045]$).

- (d) Yes, the conclusions were very similar. The variation in grades for boys and girls was very similar and hence the degrees of freedom for the two tests were very similar.

- (4) (a) Figure 6 shows boxplots of G3 grade by Walc, weekend alcohol consumption. There is large variation in grade for Walc = 1, 2, but note from Figure 2, a large proportion of students have Walc = 1, 2. Median G3 decreases with increasing Walc.

Figure 7 scatterplot of G3 vs Walc also suggests that G3 grade decreases with Walc.

- (b) Estimated model: $G3 = 10.8385 - .1848 \text{ Walc}$.

(c) $H_0: \beta = 0$ slope.

Walc, weekend alcohol consumption is not ^a significant linear
 2. predictor of G3 grade. ($p = .303$). The estimated slope
 of the regression model is -1.1848 (95% C.I. $[-.54, .17]$).

(d) Figure 8 shows the normal QQ plot of residuals from
 the linear model. If the residuals form a sample
 from a normal distribution with constant variance, then
 this plot should look like a straight line. Here there are
 2 too many large negative residuals than would be expected in
 a normal sample. The distribution of the residuals does not
 appear to be normal.

(e) Figure 10 shows a ^{weak.} decreasing trend of G3 with Walc.
 There is a great deal of variation in G3 which is not
 2. explained by Walc. There are outlying grades G3 at zero
 for Walc = 3, 4, 5.