

Calculations were done in R - see attached R script

- ⑤ Let (f_1, f_2, f_3) be the frequencies of the 3 categories (mm, FF, mF or Fm)
- (i) Then the Basic model is $(f_1, f_2, f_3) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n=28, p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

There are $K=3-1$ functionally indep. unknown parameters.We derived the MLE's in class: $\hat{p}_i = \frac{f_i}{n}$

- (ii) The hypotnesis is: $H_0: p_1 = \frac{3}{14}, p_2 = \frac{3}{14}, p_3 = \frac{8}{14}$.
- There are $q=0$ unknown parameters under H_0 .

(iii) LRS $D = 2 \left\{ \ell(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \hat{p}_3) - \ell\left(\frac{3}{14}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{8}{14}\right) \right\}$.

where $\ell(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i \ln p_i$

We showed in class that $D = 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i \ln\left(\frac{f_i}{e_i}\right)$, where e_i is the expected frequency under H_0 .

$$\text{Here } D_{\text{obs}} = 2 \left\{ 9 \ln \frac{9}{28 \times \frac{3}{14}} + 4 \ln \frac{4}{28 \times \frac{3}{14}} + 15 \ln \frac{15}{28 \times \frac{8}{14}} \right\}$$

$$= 2.12.$$

$$p\text{-value} \approx P(\chi^2_{(K-q=2)} \geq 2.12) = .35.$$

There is no evidence against the null hypothesis. (pvalue = .35)

⑥ The data are consistent with the hypothesis that $p_1 = \frac{3}{14}, p_2 = \frac{3}{14}$ and $p_3 = \frac{8}{14}$. ($p = .35$)

(2) (a) (5)

(2)

(i) Let $Y_i = \#$ germinate from colour $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.
(red, white, blue, yellow).

The Basic model is $Y_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n_i = 100, p_i)$

$p_i = P(\text{germination for colour } i)$

There are $k = 4$ functionally indep. unknown parameters; $k = 4$.

We derived the MLE's in class: $\hat{p}_i = y_i/n$.

(ii) The hypothesis is: $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = .80$.

There are $q = 0$ unknown parameters under H_0 .

(iii) LRS, $D = 2 \left\{ l(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \hat{p}_3, \hat{p}_4) - l(.80, .80, .80, .80) \right\}$.
 $l(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \sum_{i=1}^4 [y_i \ln p_i + (n_i - y_i) \ln(1 - p_i)]$.

$$\boxed{d_{\text{obs}} = 13.81} \quad p_{\text{value}} = P\{\chi^2(4) \geq 13.81\} = \boxed{.008}$$

There is very strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

(P) [The data are not consistent with the hypothesis that the germination rate is 80% for all colours. ($p = .008$)]

(b) (5) (i) The Basic model is the same as part (a).

(ii) The hypothesis is that: $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p$.

There is $q = 1$ parameter to estimate.

$$l_H(p) = l(p, p, p, p) = \sum_{i=1}^4 [y_i \ln p + (n_i - y_i) \ln(1 - p)]$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 y_i \right) \ln p + \left[\sum_{i=1}^4 (n_i - y_i) \right] \ln(1 - p)$$

$$\frac{d l_H}{d p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 y_i}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 (n_i - y_i)}{1 - p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^4 n_i} = \frac{297}{400} = .7425$$

(3)

(iii) LRS: $D = 2 \left\{ \ell(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \hat{p}_3, \hat{p}_4) - \ell(.7425, .7425, .7425, .7425) \right\}$.

dobs = $\boxed{6.06}$ pvalue = $P\left\{ \chi^2_{(K-q=3)} \geq 6.06 \right\} = \boxed{.11}$

There is no evidence against the null hypothesis.

(P) [The data are consistent with the hypothesis of a common germination rate for all colours, estimated as 74.25%.
($p = .11$)]

(3) (5) (i). Let f_{ij} = frequency of category (i, j) .
The Basic model is $(f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{21}, f_{22}) \sim \text{Multinomial}(n=604, p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22})$.

There are $K = 4 - 1 = 3$ functionally indep. unknown parameters.
We derived the MLE's in class: $\hat{p}_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{n}$

(ii) $H_0: p_{ij} = \alpha_i \beta_j$ where $\alpha_i = P\{i^{\text{th}} \text{ smoking status}\}$
 $\beta_j = P\{j^{\text{th}} \text{ disease status}\}$.

hypothesis of independence. $q = 2$ parameters to estimate
We derived the MLE's in class: $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{r_i}{n}$ $\tilde{\beta}_j = \frac{c_j}{n}$.

where $r_i = f_{i1} + f_{i2}$ the row totals.

$c_j = f_{1j} + f_{2j}$ the column totals

and $\tilde{p}_{ij} = \tilde{\alpha}_i \tilde{\beta}_j = \frac{r_i c_j}{n^2}$

$\tilde{e}_{ij} = n \tilde{p}_{ij} = \frac{r_i c_j}{n}$

(4)

(iii) LRS : $D = 2 \{ \ell(\hat{p}_{11}, \hat{p}_{12}, \hat{p}_{21}, \hat{p}_{22}) - \ell(\tilde{p}_{11}, \tilde{p}_{12}, \tilde{p}_{21}, \tilde{p}_{22}) \}$

$$D = 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(f_{ij} \ln \frac{f_{ij}}{e_{ij}} \right)$$

$$dobs = \boxed{6.34}$$

$$pvalue = P(\chi^2_{(1)} \geq 6.34) = \boxed{.012}$$

There is evidence against the hypothesis of independence.

(P) The data provides evidence against the hypothesis that disease classification is independent of smoking status.

$p = .012$. The estimated probabilities of cancer are:

$$72/469 = .15 \quad \text{for smokers. and}$$

$$10/135 = .07 \quad \text{for nonsmokers.}$$

Aside: The estimated frequencies under H_0 are in the table (e_{ij}).

	Cancer	Other	Total
Smoker	72 (63.67)	397 (405.33)	$r_1 = 469$
Non-smoker	10 (18.33)	125 (116.67)	$r_2 = 135$
Total	$c_1 = 82$	$c_2 = 522$	604.

Note: The computations for the LRS here are very sensitive to rounding. For accurate results, keep all digits and compute using R.

Assignment 4 - R code

Mary Lesperance

March 12, 2018

Solutions for Lecture Assignment #4, March 2018

1. Multinomial simple hypothesis

```
freq <- c(9, 4, 15)
sum(freq)

## [1] 28

ell <- function(p,freq){
  # Multinomial log-likelihood
  # freq = frequencies; p = probabilities
  sum(freq*log(p))
}

LRS <- function(p0, phat,freq){
  #Likelihood ratio statistic
  # freq=frequencies; p0 = H0 probabilities
  # phat = MLE
  2*(ell(phat,freq) - ell(p0,freq))
}

dobs <- LRS(c(3,3,8)/14,freq/sum(freq),freq) #LRS observed
dobs

## [1] 2.118495
1-pchisq(dobs,2) #pvalue

## [1] 0.3467165
```

2. Four binomials

(a) $H_0: p_i = .80, i=1,2,3,4$

```
y<-c(76, 66, 81, 74)
n<-rep(100,4)

ell<-function(y,n,p){
  # Binomial log-likelihood
  # y = number of successes; n=size; p=probabilities
  sum(y*log(p) + (n-y)*log(1-p))
}
```

```
LRS<-function(phat,p0,y,n){
  #Likelihood ratio statistic, phat=MLE, p0=H0 values
  # y = number of successes; n=size
  2*(ell(y,n,phat)-ell(y,n,p0))
}
```

```
phat<-y/n #MLE under BASIC model
```

```
p0 <- rep(.8, 4) # H0 under (a)
D<-LRS(phat,p0,y,n) #observed LRS
D
```

```
## [1] 13.81242
```

```
1-pchisq(D,4) #p-value
```

```
## [1] 0.00791843
```

(b) $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p$

```
p0<-sum(y)/sum(n) #MLE under (b) H0
p0
```

```
## [1] 0.7425
```

```
D<-LRS(phat,p0,y,n) #observed LRS
D
```

```
## [1] 6.061518
```

```
1-pchisq(D,4-1) #p-value
```

```
## [1] 0.1086553
```

3. Test of Independence

```
freq <- matrix(c(72, 397, 10, 125), nrow=2, byrow=TRUE)
freq
```

```
##      [,1] [,2]
```

```
## [1,]  72 397
```

```
## [2,]  10 125
```

```
sum(freq)
```

```
## [1] 604
```

```
ell <- function(p,freq){
  # Multinomial log-likelihood
  # freq = frequencies; p = probabilities
  sum(freq*log(p))
}
```

```
LRS <- function(p, phat,freq){
```

```

#Likelihood ratio statistic, phat=MLE, p=H0 values
# freq=frequencies
2*(ell(phat,freq) - ell(p,freq))
}

rsum <- rowSums(freq)
csum <- colSums(freq)
rsum

## [1] 469 135
csum

## [1] 82 522
eij <- outer(rsum, csum)/sum(freq) # expected freq - see help for outer
eij # eij= r_i * c_j/n

##          [,1]      [,2]
## [1,] 63.67219 405.3278
## [2,] 18.32781 116.6722
# estimated probs under H0 are eij/sum(freq)

dobs <- LRS(c(eij)/sum(freq),c(freq)/sum(freq),c(freq)) #LRS observed
dobs

## [1] 6.33659
1-pchisq(dobs,1) #pvalue, df=(#rows - 1)(#cols - 1)

## [1] 0.0118272

```

Optional Pearson's chi-square test

```

GOF <- sum((freq-eij)^2/eij)
GOF

## [1] 5.638738
1-pchisq(GOF,1)

## [1] 0.01756784

```