Homework4

Devin Nelson 10/31/2018

Background The Washington Post collected data on more than 52,000 criminal homicides over the past decade in 50 of the largest American cities. The data included the location of the killing, whether an arrest was made and, in most cases, basic demographic information about each victim. This analysis will focus on the proportion of homicides that went unsolved.

Analysis

First, you need to read in the homicide dataset, which is in a CSV format.

```
homicide <- read.csv("/Users/devinnelson/Desktop/Homework4/Data/homicide-data.csv")
```

First you will have to combine the city and the state into a single variable using the unite function.

```
homicide <- homicide %>%
  unite(cityname, city, state, sep = ", ")
```

Next, you will create a new dataset titled 'unsolved' that consists of three variables: city name, total number of homicides, and total number of unsolved homicides with one row for each city. To do so, you need to create a new variable titled "totalunsolved" that is a true/false variable for the disposition finding. You can now use the sum function to count the number of "Trues".

```
unsolved <- homicide %>%
  select(cityname, disposition) %>%
  mutate(disposition = factor(disposition)) %>%
  group_by(cityname) %>%
  mutate(totalhomicides = n()) %>%
  mutate(totalunsolved = disposition == 'Closed without arrest'| disposition == 'Open/No arrest') %>%
  mutate(totalunsolved = sum(totalunsolved)) %>%
  group_by(cityname, totalunsolved) %>%
  count() %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  rename(totalhomicides = n)
```

In order to understand how to find the proportion of unsolved on all observations, it is helpful to practice on one. Therefore, 'Baltimore, MD' was pulled out to find the proportion using the prop.test and tidy functions.

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 8
##
     estimate statistic p.value parameter conf.low conf.high method
                            <dbl>
                                      <int>
                                                <dbl>
                                                          <dbl> <chr>
##
        <dbl>
                  <dbl>
## 1
        0.646
                   239. 6.46e-54
                                          1
                                                0.628
                                                          0.663 1-sam~
```

... with 1 more variable: alternative <chr>

Now that we have a better understanding of what the output of one observation looks like, it can be mapped to all of the observations using the map and map2 functions, as shown below. Additionally, it can be placed into a ggplot to demonstrate all observations' proportions alongside the error bars.

```
unsolvedprop <- unsolved %>%
  mutate(result = map2(totalunsolved, totalhomicides, ~ prop.test(.x, n = .y))) %>%
  mutate(result = map(result, tidy)) %>%
  unnest(.drop = TRUE) %>%
  select(cityname, estimate, conf.low, conf.high) %>%
  filter(cityname != 'Tulsa, AL') %>%
  geom_point(mapping = aes(x = estimate, y = reorder(cityname, estimate)),
             color = 'white') +
  geom_errorbarh(mapping = aes(y = cityname, x = estimate, xmin = conf.low, xmax = conf.high),
                 color = 'white'.
                 height = 0,
                 alpha = .5) +
  scale_x_continuous(labels = percent,
                     breaks = c(.2, .3, .4, .5, .6, .7),
                     limits = c(0.2, 0.8),
                     minor_breaks = c(.2, .3, .4, .5, .6, .7)) +
  labs(x = 'Percent of homicides that are unsolved', y = '') +
  ggtitle("Unsolved homicides by city",
          subtitle = "Bars show 95% confidence interval") +
 theme dark()
## Warning in prop.test(.x, n = .y): Chi-squared approximation may be
```

incorrect

Warning: Ignoring unknown aesthetics: x

Final Plot

The final graph will look as follows:

Unsolved homicides by city Bars show 95% confidence interval

