

Assignment-2

CS-5084

17] given running times

a) $n^2 \rightarrow f_1(n) = n^2 \in g_1(n) = n^2$

b) $n^3 \rightarrow f_2(n) = n^3 \in g_2(n) = n^3$

c) $100n^2 \rightarrow f_3(n) = 100n^2 \in g_3(n) = (n^2) \quad 100 \Rightarrow \text{const.}$

d) $n \log n \rightarrow f_4(n) = n \log n \in g_4(n) = n \log(n)$

e) $2^n \rightarrow f_5(n) = 2^n \in g_5(n) = 2^n$

1) let's double the input size

$\therefore n$ will be $2n$

$\therefore f_1(n) = (2n)^2 = 4n^2 \in g_1(n) = n^2 \therefore 4 \text{ cost.}$

$f_2(n) = (2n)^3 = 8n^3 \in g_2(n) = n^3 \therefore 8 \text{ cost.}$

$f_3(n) = 100(2n)^2 = 400n^2 \in g_3(n) = n^2$

$\therefore 4 \times 100$

$f_4(n) = 2n \log 2n \in g_4(n) = n \log n$

cost.

$f_5(n) = 2^{(2n)} = 4 \cdot 2^n \in g_5(n) = 2^n \therefore 4 \text{ cost.}$

the $g(n)$ would be same for all but algorithms gets slower as follows:

f_1 gets slower by $\boxed{4}$ times

f_2 gets slower by $\boxed{8}$ times

f_3 gets slower by $\boxed{4}$ times.

f_4 gets slower by $\boxed{2}$ times approx.

& f_5 gets slower by $\boxed{4}$ times.

2) lets increase size of input by 1

$$F_1(n+1) = (n+1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1$$

$$F_2(n+1) = (n+1)^3 = n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1$$

$$F_3(n+1) = 100(n+1)^2 = 100n^2 + 200n + 100$$

$$F_4(n+1) = (n+1) \log(n+1) =$$

$$F_5(n+1) = 2^{(n+1)} = 2 \cdot 2^n$$

if we just take difference from original term.

$$F_1(n+1) - F_1(n) = n^2 + 2n + 1 - n^2 \Rightarrow 2n + 1$$

$$F_2(n+1) - F_2(n) = n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1 - n^3 \Rightarrow 3n^2 + 3n + 1$$

$$F_3(n+1) - F_3(n) = 100n^2 + 200n + 100 - 100n^2 \Rightarrow 200n + 100$$

$$F_4(n+1) - F_4(n) = \log(n+1)$$

$$F_5(n+1) - F_5(n) = 2 \cdot 2^n - 2^n = 1 \cdot 2^n$$

F_1 gets 2 times slower

F_2 gets ~~increased~~ ^{slower} ~~more~~ by 3 times

F_3 gets slower by 2 times slower.

F_4 gets logarithmically slower.

F_5 doesn't affect.

(we ignored the constants)

3] ascending order of growth rate.

$$F_1(n) = n + 10$$

$$F_2(n) = \sqrt{2}n \text{ the } F_3(n) = n + 10$$

$$F_6(n) = n^2 \log n$$

$$F_1(n) = n^{2.5}$$

$$F_4(n) = 10^n$$

$$F_5(n) = 100^n$$

F_2 & F_3 has linear growth,

F_6 has logarithmic growth.

F_1 has polynomial growth

then F_4 & F_5 has logarithmic growth.

6] for the given steps of algo.

```

for
  for
    //
    //
  endfor
endfor

```

a] here for loop is running 2 times

for each step^{of} outer loop (n) inner loop runs runs (n-1) times

; running time is $O(n^2)$

~~for~~

(b) to show that same ~~for~~ is $O(n^2)$ & $\Omega(f(n))$

As per

as per the definition of Big Omega
(for lower bounds)

$f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ if there exist const $c > 0, n_0 > 0$
such that $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n) \geq 0 \quad \forall n \geq n_0$.

here in this case ~~the lower~~ to consider lower
bound the ~~inner~~ loop must run at least
outer

'One time, for which inner loop will run
~~again~~ $n-1$ time. apparently order remains
same for algo. i.e n^2

$$\therefore f \text{ is } \Omega(n^2)$$

[~~8~~] ~~to optimise the algo. we can perform~~
~~operations.~~

8] a] for budget of $k=2$ jars,
first jar will drop from 1st rung.
second jar will drop from middle of rung.
if the jar doesn't break, then we drop
the second jar from middle of rung to
1st rung.

thus, the $f(n)$ will be slightly slower than
linear f^n which would be logarithmic

b] with budget of $k \geq 2$ jars.

strategy would be to follow binary search algorithm. but here position of h_i is $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$

At each step we drop the jar from middle of rung then repeat the process till the jar does not break.

Thus, this function also grows logarithmically & will be slower than previous steps or functions. such that f_k is slower than $f_{k-2} \dots f_1$