Problem 1: [36 points, 6 each]

Given the following three relations R1(a, b), R2(b, c), and R3(c, d) and associated statistics shown below in the meta data table. Estimate the number of tuples in the result relation for the different queries listed below, namely, T(Q).

```
T(R1) = 400; V(R1, a) = 50; V(R1, b) = 50

T(R2) = 500; V(R2, b) = 40; V(R2, c) = 100

T(R3) = 1000; V(R3, c) = 50; V(R3, d) = 100
```

If there are any additional assumptions you need to make to answer any of the questions below, please explicitly state them.

- 1. $Q = \sigma_{(a=10)}(R1)$.
- Q = σ_(a>=10)(R1) (Assume that the range of R1.a is [1, 50].
- 3. Q = $\sigma_{(a>=10 \text{ AND }b=20)}(R1)$. Again assume the range of R1.a is [1, 50].
- Q = R1 ⋈ R2, where ⋈ represents natural join.
- Q = (R1 ⋈ R2) ⋈ R3.
- 6. $Q = ((\sigma_{(a>=10)}(R1)) \bowtie R2) \bowtie R3$
- (1) T(R1)/V(R1,a) = 400/50 = 8
- (2) The fraction of range over complete domain is (50 10)/50 = 40/50 The result size is T(R1) * 4/5 = 320
- (3) We already know the result size of (a>=10) is 320. And V(R1,b)=50. Thus the result size is 320/50-6 or 7
- (4) $T(R1)T(R2) / max{V(R1,b), V(R2,b)} = 400*500/50 = 4000$
- (5) We already know the intermediate result of R1joins R2, and then we have $4000 * T(R3) / max{V(R2, c), V(R3, c)} = 4000*1000/100 = 40000$
- (6) We still use the intermediate results from the above calculation. So we have 320 * T(R2) / V(R1,b) = 320*500/50 = 3200 3200 * T(R3) / V(R2,c) = 3200 * 1000/ 100 = 32000

Problem 2

You are given the following information about the Executives relationship in a database:

Executives has attributes *ename*, *title*, *dname*, and *address*; all are string fields of the same

length. The *ename* attribute is a candidate key. The relation contains 10,000 pages. There are 10 buffer pages in memory available for querying.

Problem 2.1: [30 points, 10 each]

Consider the following query:

SELECT E.title, E.ename FROM Executives E WHERE E.title='CFO' Assume that only 10% of Executives tuples meet the selection condition.

For each index described below, describe the best query plan and show you calculations for the cost (in I/Os) of it. The best query plan for any given sub-problem might not use the index, but it cannot use any other indexes of other sub-problems. Assume that the B+ tree has three levels, with the first level (the root) already in memory, not counting towards the 10 pages that are available for querying.

- a) Clustered B+ tree index on E.title
- b) Unclustered B+ tree index E.title
- c) Clustered B+ tree index on (E.ename, E.title)

Solution: [3 points for describing your query plan, 7 points for the cost]

a) The best plan, a B+ tree search, would involve using the B+ tree to find the first title index such that title="CFO", cost= 2. Then, due to the clustering of the index, the relation pages can be scanned from that index's reference,

```
cost = 10000 * 10% + 2500 * 10% (Scanning the index)
= 1000 + 250 + 2 = 1252 (total cost).
```

- b) An unclustered index would preclude the low cost of the previous plan and necessitate the choice of a simple filescan, cost= 10000, as the best.
- c) Although the order of the B+ index key makes the tree much less useful, the leaves can still be scanned in an index-only scan, and the increased number of tuples per page lowers the I/ 0 cost. Cost= 10000 * 0.5 = 5000.

Problem 2.2: [34 points, 17 each]

Consider the following query:

SELECT E.ename FROM Executives E WHERE E.title='CFO' AND E.dname='Toy'; Assume that only 10% of Executives tuples meet the condition E.title = CFO, only 10% meet E.dname =Toy, and that only 5% meet both conditions.

For each index described below, describe the best query plan and show you calculations for the cost (in I/Os) of it. The best query plan for any given sub-problem *might* not use the index, but it cannot use any other indexes of other sub-problems. Assume that the B+ tree has three levels, with the first level (the root) already in memory, not counting towards the 10 pages that are available for querying.

- a) Clustered B+ tree index on (E.title, E.ename)
- b) Clustered B+ tree index on (E.ename, E.title, E.dname)

Solution: [7 points for describing your query plan, 10 points for the cost]

- (a) Although this index does contain the output field, the dname still must be retrieved from the relational data pages, for a cost of 2 (lookup) + 10000 * 10% + 5000 * 10% = 1502.
- (b) Finally, in this case, the prefix cannot be matched with the equality information in the WHERE clause, and thus a scan would be the superior method of retrieval. However, as the clustered B+ tree's index contains all the indexes needed for the query and has a smaller tuple, scanning the leaves of the B+ tree is the best plan, costing 10000 * 0.75 = 7500 I/Os