

HOMEWORK 8

SOLUTIONS (SKETCHES)

4.1.1 Give a proof or a counterexample for each statement below.

(a) Every graph with connectivity 4 is 2-connected.

Answer. True. $2 \leq \kappa(G) = 4$.

□

(b) Every 3-connected graph has connectivity 3.

Answer. False. K_5 is 3-connected b/c it is also 4-connected.

□

(c) Every k -connected graph is k -edge-connected.

Answer. True, $\kappa'(G) \geq \kappa(G)$.

□

(d) Every k -edge-connected graph is k -connected.

Answer. False. Consider the bow-tie.

□

4.1.7 Obtain a formula for the number of spanning trees of a connected graph in terms of the numbers of spanning trees of its blocks.

Answer. Take the product.

□

4.1.10 Find the smallest 3-regular simple graph having connectivity 1.

Answer. Start with a vertex v that is to be cut. $G - v$ has at least two components, and each component is almost 3-regular (has one or two vertices with degree 2). Consider a component with one vertex of degree 2. Then it has an even number of vertices w degree 3 (degree sum formula). Zero or 2 is not possible; but 4 is. For the comp w/ 2 degree-2 vertices, it is possible to do this w/ 2 deg-3 vertices but not w/ none. The smallest example has 9 vertices.

□

4.1.14 Let G be a connected graph in which for every edge e , there are cycles C_1 and C_2 containing e whose only common edge is e . Prove that G is 3-edge-connected. Use this to show that the Petersen graph is 3-edge-connected.

Answer. By contradiction.

Suppose removing one edge uv disconnects the graph. That edge belonged to cycles C_1, C_2 whose only common edge was uv . u, v are still connected in the bigger cycle $C_1 \cup C_2 - uv$, thus the whole graph is still connected.

Suppose removing a second edge disconnects the graph. Call that edge $f = ab$. Everything is still in a cycle after we removed uv . If we remove an edge from this cycle, the graph will still be connected. If we remove an edge not in the cycle, then those two vertices a, b are still connected as in the previous case.

□

4.1.20 Let G be a simple n -vertex graph with $n/2 - 1 \leq \delta(G) \leq n - 2$. Prove that G is k -connected for all k with $k \leq 2\delta(G) + 2 - n$. Prove that this is best possible for all $\delta \geq n/2 - 1$ by constructing a simple n -vertex graph with minimum degree δ that is not k -connected for $k = 2\delta + 3 - n$. (Comment: Proposition 1.3.15 is the special case of this when $\delta(G) = (n - 1)/2$.)

Proof. Let x, y be any two non-adjacent vertices. Fix k such that $k \leq 2\delta + 2 - n$. Then $\delta \geq (n + k - 2)/2$, and $|N(x)|, |N(y)| \geq \delta \geq (n + k - 2)/2$. Also, $|N(x) \cup N(y)| \leq n - 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} |N(x) \cap N(y)| &= |N(x)| + |N(y)| - |N(x) \cup N(y)| \\ &\geq (n + k - 2)/2 + (n + k - 2)/2 - (n - 2) \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

Since x, y were arbitrary, this means that for any pair of vertices, any set of fewer than k vertices cannot disconnect them.

□

4.1.25 $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$ for diameter 2. Let G be a simple graph with diameter 2, and let $[S, \bar{S}]$ be a minimum edge cut with $|S| \leq |\bar{S}|$.

(a) Prove that every vertex of S has a neighbor in \bar{S} .

Proof. Because of the diameter, only one of S or \bar{S} can contain a vertex which is not adjacent to all vertices in the other set. Suppose S has one such vertex v . Let k be the size of \bar{S} . Since \bar{S} has the property that every element of \bar{S} is adjacent to some element of S , the edge cut between them must have size at least k . Since the edge cut is minimum, $\delta(G) \geq \kappa'(G) \geq k$. But then the degree of v is at least $k = |\bar{S}| \geq |S|$, which is not possible. \square

(b) Use part (a) and Corollary 4.1.13 to prove that $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$. (Plesnik [1975])

Proof. We know that $|[S, \bar{S}]| \geq |S|$ because every vertex in S has at least one edge connecting it to \bar{S} .

Suppose $|[S, \bar{S}]| < \delta$. Then $|S| > \delta$ (Cor 4.1.13). Then we have $|S| > |[S, \bar{S}]|$, a contradiction of what we proved in part (a). Therefore $|[S, \bar{S}]| \geq \delta$, which means they are equivalent. $\kappa' \leq \delta$. \square

4.2.2 Prove that if G is 2-edge-connected and G' is obtained from G by subdividing an edge of G , then G' is 2-edge-connected. Use this to prove that every graph having a closed-ear decomposition is 2-edge-connected. (Comment: This is an alternative proof of sufficiency for Theorem 4.2.10.)

Answer. (Sketch)

If G is 2-edge connected, then every edge (and therefore vertex) is in a cycle. Subdividing an edge keeps this true.

A cycle is 2 edge connected. If we add an edge connecting any two points on the cycle or if we add an edge which is a loop, then the graph is still 2 edge connected. Then we can subdivide the added edge, which is the same as an ear. \square

4.2.8 Prove that a simple graph G is 2-connected if and only if for every ordered triple, (x, y, z) , of distinct vertices, G has an x, z -path through y .

Proof. (Sketch)

Suppose G is 2-connected. Let (x, y, z) be any ordered triple of vertices. Then let $U = \{x, z\}$. Then by the fan lemma, \exists a y, U fan of 2 paths. These two paths only share y , thus they are disjoint x, y and y, z paths. Concatenate them to create an x, z path through y .

Now suppose G is disconnected or 1-connected. If G is disconnected, the proof is trivial. If G is 1-connected, then removing v disconnects the graph for some v . Consider 2 components C_1, C_2 of $G - v$. Let $x \in V(C_1)$ and $z \in V(C_2)$. Now, consider the ordered triple (v, x, z) . We take a v, x path which exists because G is connected, but there is no x, z path which does not go through v . Thus the graph does not have the property above.

□

4.2.22 Suppose that $\kappa(G) = k$ and $\text{diam } G = d$. Prove that $n(G) \geq k(d - 1) + 2$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \lceil (1 + d)/2 \rceil$. For each $k \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, construct a graph with connectivity k and diameter d for which equality holds in both bounds.

Proof. For $\alpha(G)$. Consider $u, v \in V(G)$ with $d(u, v) = d$. Then the shortest u, v path has d edges and $d + 1$ vertices. Every other vertex must not be neighbors, or else a shorter path exists. Depending on if $d + 1$ is odd or even, there is at least an independent set of $\lceil (1 + d)/2 \rceil$ vertices.

For $n(G)$. Let $d(x, y) = d$ for some $x, y \in V(G)$. We know x, y exists because of the diameter. Then $\exists k$ internally disjoint x, y paths of length $\geq d$. Each of these paths has $d - 1$ internal vertices. Thus there are $k(d - 1)$ internal vertices, and 2 endpoints, x, y , which means $n(G) \geq k(d - 1) + 2$.

Given k and d , we will construct a graph such that equalities hold. Create "components" C_0, \dots, C_d such that C_0, C_d are single vertices v_0, v_d respectively, and for $0 < i < d$, $C_i = K_k$. Then, if $i = j \pm 1$, connect all the vertices in C_i, C_j .

Clearly this graph G has $n(G) = k(d - 1) + 1 + 1$. $d(v_0, v_d) = d$. It is k -connected. If we delete $< k$ vertices, then any internal "component" still has at least one vertex left (which is connected to the top and bottom). If we take one vertex from each C_i with $i \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ then we have a vertex cover of size $\lceil (d + 1)/2 \rceil$, which bounds α from above.

□