

# Assignment 11

Daria Davydova

daria.davydova@epfl.ch

<https://epfl.zoom.us/j/85941558993>

1. **Bayesian updating (35 points)** Consider a return  $R = \mu + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ . Further, we assume we are not sure what the value for  $\mu$  is. Instead we think that  $\mu = \mu_0 + \nu_0$  where  $\nu_0 \sim N(0, v_0^2)$ . In addition, we receive a signal that  $\mu + \nu_1 = \mu_1$  where  $\nu_1 \sim N(0, v_1^2)$ .

- (a) Using the Gaussian projection theorem, show that our posterior estimate of  $\mu$  given the additional signal  $\mu_1$  is normally distributed,  $N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v})$  with posterior mean  $\hat{\mu} = E[\mu | \mu + \nu_1 = \mu_1] = \mu_0 + \beta(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$  and with posterior variance  $\hat{v}^2 = V[\mu | \mu + \nu_1 = \mu_1] = v_0^2 - \beta^2(v_0^2 + v_1^2)$ , where  $\beta$  is for you to determine.
- (b) Prove that the posterior mean and variance can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu} &= \frac{\frac{1}{v_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{v_1^2}\mu_1}{\frac{1}{v_0^2} + \frac{1}{v_1^2}} \\ \hat{v}^2 &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{v_0^2} + \frac{1}{v_1^2}}\end{aligned}$$

Interpret this formula. Note, in particular, that the prior and signal act symmetrically on the posterior distribution.

- (c) Conclude that if you have  $N$  signals of the form  $\mu + \nu_i = \mu_i$  where  $\nu_i \sim N(0, v_i^2)$ ,  $\forall i = 0, \dots, n$ , and with all  $\nu_i$  independent from each other, then the posterior

distribution of  $\mu$  is normal  $N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v}^2)$  with

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{v_i^2} \mu_i}{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{v_i^2}}$$

$$\hat{v}^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{v_i^2}}$$

What happens in the limit when you get a very large number of signals, i.e.,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Interpret. (*hint: use an inductive argument and your previous results.*)

## 2. Black Litterman (65 points)

We will replicate the results of He-Litterman (1992) to better understand how to apply the Black-Litterman formula. We are considering the optimal asset allocation to seven country equity index returns with correlation matrix given on table 1 page 21 of the lecture notes (lecture 8) and with volatility and relative market capitalization weights given in table 2 of page 21 of the lecture notes (lecture 8).

- (a) Assume an investor has a risk-aversion coefficient  $\gamma = 3.5$  and no uncertainty about his estimate of the mean vector  $\mu_0$ . Compute the expected return vector  $\mu_0$  that would have him hold a portfolio equal to the market portfolio with weights  $w_{eq}$  given in table 2.
- (b) Assume another investor with risk-aversion  $\gamma = 2$  views returns as  $R = \mu + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ . He starts with a prior that  $\mu \sim N(\mu_0, \tau\Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the empirical covariance matrix of returns. Suppose that  $\tau = 0.03$ . Derive his optimal portfolio  $w_0$  and compare how it deviates from the equilibrium market weights  $w_{eq}$ .
- (c) Assume that same investor obtains two additional views on the relative performance of different country returns from two different analysts. The first analyst thinks that Germany will outperform a market value weighted basket of France and UK equities by 4.5%. The investor's confidence in this view is  $\Omega_{11} = 0.025 \times \tau$ . The second analyst thinks that the canadian equity market will outperform the US market by 2% on average. The investor's confidence in that view is  $\Omega_{22} = 0.015 \times \tau$ . He considers both signal to be independent as he obtained them from different analysts. Using the Black-Litterman formula, derive the posterior distribution of the mean return  $\mu \sim N(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\Omega})$  as a function of the prior and the views. Verify

numerically that the two sets of equations for  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\Omega}$  on page 11 of the lecture notes indeed give the same answers.

- (d) Given his signals the investor sees returns as  $R = \mu + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma)$  and  $\mu \sim N(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\Omega})$ . Derive his optimal unconstrained mean-variance portfolio  $w^*$ . Compare it to his prior portfolio  $w_0$  and to the market weights  $w_{eq}$ .
- (e) Show that the optimal portfolio  $w^*$  can be decomposed into the prior portfolio and an ‘overlay’ of view portfolios. That is we can rewrite  $w^* = w_0 + \lambda_1 P_1^\top + \lambda_2 P_2^\top$  where  $P_i$  denotes the  $i^{th}$  row of the view portfolio matrix  $P$ . Find the view-weights  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .
- (f) In addition the investor has an absolute view that the Japanese stock market will outperform the equilibrium view. In particular he thinks that the Japanese market equity return will be 5.5%. His uncertainty about the view is  $\Omega_{33}/\tau = 0.04$ . Derive the new optimal portfolio and the weights on the three views  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ . Discuss how the portfolio and the weights change as his uncertainty becomes smaller, e.g.,  $\Omega_{33}/\tau = 0.01$ .