

# Predictive Analysis Assignment 3

Priyanshu Dey 703

2026-02-12

```
#install.packages("stargazer")
```

## Problem 2: Role of Qualitative Predictors in Multiple Linear Regression

This problem demonstrates the role of qualitative (nominal) predictors in addition to quantitative predictors in multiple linear regression using the “Credits” (Credit) data from R.

### Data Preparation

We attach the Credit data from the ISLR library.

```
data("Credit")
df = Credit
head(df)
```

ID	Income	Limit	Rating	Cards	Age	Education	Gender	Student	Married
Ethnicity									
1	1	14.891	3606	283	2	34		11	Male
Caucasian								No	Yes
2	2	106.025	6645	483	3	82		15	Female
Asian								Yes	Yes
3	3	104.593	7075	514	4	71		11	Male
Asian								No	No
4	4	148.924	9504	681	3	36		11	Female
Asian								No	No
5	5	55.882	4897	357	2	68		16	Male
Caucasian								No	Yes
6	6	80.180	8047	569	4	77		10	Male
Caucasian								No	No
Balance									
1		333							
2		903							
3		580							
4		964							
5		331							
6		1151							

### Part (a), (b), (c):

Regression Models

Regress Balance on different sets of predictors:

- (a) Gender only.
- (b) Gender and Ethnicity.
- (c) Gender, Ethnicity, and Income.

```
# Model (a): Regress Balance on Gender
model_a = lm(Balance ~ Gender, data = df)

# Model (b): Regress Balance on Gender and Ethnicity
model_b = lm(Balance ~ Gender + Ethnicity, data = df)

# Model (c): Regress Balance on Gender, Ethnicity, and Income
model_c = lm(Balance ~ Gender + Ethnicity + Income, data = df)
```

## Part (d): Stargazer Output and Coefficient Analysis

**Question:** Output all the regressions in (a)-(c) in a single table using stargazer. Comment on the significant coefficients in each of the models.

```
stargazer(model_a, model_b, model_c,
           type = "text",
           title = "Regression Results for Credit Balance",
           column.labels = c("Model A", "Model B", "Model C"),
           keep.stat = c("n", "rsq", "adj.rsq", "ser", "f"))
```

### Regression Results for Credit Balance

Dependent variable:			
	Model A (1)	Balance Model B (2)	Model C (3)
GenderFemale	19.733 (46.051)	20.038 (46.178)	24.340 (40.963)
EthnicityAsian		-19.371 (65.107)	1.637 (57.787)
EthnicityCaucasian		-12.653 (56.740)	6.447 (50.363)

Income			6.054*** (0.582)
Constant	509.803*** (33.128)	520.880*** (51.901)	230.029*** (53.857)
-----			
-----			
Observations	400	400	400
R2	0.0005	0.001	0.216
Adjusted R2	-0.002	-0.007	0.208
Residual Std. Error	460.230 (df = 398)	461.337 (df = 396)	409.218 (df = 395)
F Statistic	0.184 (df = 1; 398)	0.092 (df = 3; 396)	27.161*** (df = 4; 395)
=====			
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05;		
***p<0.01			

### Comments on Significant Coefficients:

1. **Model (a):** The intercept is statistically significant . However, the coefficient for GenderFemale is **not significant**. This suggests that when considering Gender alone, there is no statistical evidence of a difference in average credit balance between males and females.
2. **Model (b):** Similar to Model (a), the coefficients for GenderFemale, EthnicityAsian, and EthnicityCaucasian are **not significant**. Ethnicity and Gender alone do not appear to be strong predictors of Balance.
3. **Model (c):** When Income is added, it is highly significant () with a positive coefficient. Interestingly, the intercept becomes significant and negative. Gender and Ethnicity remaining insignificant implies that even after controlling for Income, these demographic factors do not significantly influence the credit balance.

### Part (e): Gender Effect

**Question:** Explain how gender affects “balance” in each of the models (a)-(c).

- **Model (a):** The coefficient for GenderFemale represents the difference in the average balance between Females and Males. Since the coefficient is approximately 19.73 (and insignificant), it suggests females have a slightly higher sample mean balance, but this is not statistically distinct from zero.
- **Model (b):** The coefficient for GenderFemale represents the difference in balance between Females and Males, **holding Ethnicity constant**. It remains insignificant.
- **Model (c):** The coefficient for GenderFemale represents the difference in balance between Females and Males, **holding Ethnicity and Income constant**. It remains statistically insignificant.

## Part (f): Comparison (Model b)

**Question:** Compare the average credit card balance of a male African with a male Caucasian on the basis of model (b).

In Model (b), the dummy variables are `EthnicityAsian` and `EthnicityCaucasian`. The baseline category (intercept) represents `EthnicityAfrican` (and `GenderMale`).

```
model_b
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Balance ~ Gender + Ethnicity, data = df)
```

Coefficients:

	(Intercept)	GenderFemale	EthnicityAsian	-
EthnicityCaucasian	520.88	20.04	-19.37	
12.65				

- **Male African:** Prediction = 520.88(the intercept)
- **Male Caucasian:** Prediction = 520.88 (intercept) + (-12.65) (`EthnicityCaucasian`) = 508.23

From the output, the coefficient for `EthnicityCaucasian` is approximately -12.65. Thus, a Male Caucasian has an average balance that is **12.65 lower** than a Male African. However, this difference is not statistically significant.

## Part (g): Comparison (Model c)

**Question:** Compare the average credit card balance of a male African with a male Caucasian when each earns 100,000 dollars. For comparison, use the model in (c).

```
model_c
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Balance ~ Gender + Ethnicity + Income, data = df)
```

Coefficients:

	(Intercept)	GenderFemale	EthnicityAsian
EthnicityCaucasian	230.029	24.340	1.637
6.447			
Income	6.054		

In Model (c):

- **Male African (\$100k):** Prediction = 230.029 (intercept) + 0 (EthnicityAsian) + 0 (EthnicityCaucasian) + 6.054 (Income) \* 100 = 835.429
- **Male Caucasian (\$100k):** Prediction = 230.029 (intercept) + 0 (EthnicityAsian) + (6.447) (EthnicityCaucasian) + 6.054 (Income) \* 100 = 835.429 + 6.447 = 841.876

The Income terms cancel out. The difference is solely the coefficient for EthnicityCaucasian in Model (c). From output (d), . Therefore, holding income fixed at \$100,000, a Male Caucasian has an average balance 6.447 higher than a Male African. This difference is also not statistically significant.

*Note: In the Credit dataset, Income is in thousands. Therefore, \$100,000 is entered as 100.*

## Part (h): Comment on (f) and (g)

**Question:** Compare and comment on the answers in (f) and (g).

Both models suggest a very small, statistically insignificant difference between African and Caucasian males. The inclusion of Income in Model (c) changes the estimated difference in favor of Caucasians slightly. This indicates that Income is weakly correlated with Ethnicity in this dataset; otherwise, including Income would have drastically changed the ethnicity coefficients. In both cases, ethnicity is not a useful predictor.

## Part (i): Prediction

**Question:** Based on the model in (c), predict the credit card balance of a female Asian whose income is 2000,000 dollars.

*Assumption: The prompt specifies “2000,000 dollars”. Since the dataset represents Income in thousands (e.g., an income of 40 represents \$40,000), an income of \$2,000,000 corresponds to an input value of 2000.*

```
# new data for prediction
new_data = data.frame(
  Gender = "Female",
  Ethnicity = "Asian",
  Income = 2000
)

# Predicting from Model C
prediction_val = predict(model_c, newdata = new_data, interval =
"prediction")
prediction_val

      fit      lwr      upr
1 12364.46 9985.223 14743.69
```

*Note: Since this income (2000) is far outside the range of the training data (extrapolation), the prediction interval will be very wide and the point estimate may be unreliable.*

## Part (j): Goodness of Fit

**Question:** Check the goodness of fit of the different models in (a)-(c) in terms of AIC, BIC and adjusted . Which model would you prefer?

```
# Calculating metrics
metrics = data.frame(
  Model = c("Model A", "Model B", "Model C"),
  Adj_R2 = c(summary(model_a)$adj.r.squared,
             summary(model_b)$adj.r.squared,
             summary(model_c)$adj.r.squared),
  AIC = c(AIC(model_a), AIC(model_b), AIC(model_c)),
  BIC = c(BIC(model_a), BIC(model_b), BIC(model_c))
)

metrics
```

	Model	Adj_R2	AIC	BIC
1	Model A	-0.002050271	6044.527	6056.501
2	Model B	-0.006876514	6048.434	6068.391
3	Model C	0.207773976	5953.518	5977.466

**Conclusion:** Model C is the preferred model. It has the highest Adjusted (indicating it explains the most variance after penalizing for complexity) and the lowest AIC and BIC scores (indicating the best fit vs. complexity trade-off). Models A and B have extremely low values, implying Gender and Ethnicity alone explain almost none of the variability in Balance.