# Measure Theory, Limit Theorems, and Random Variables

### Lee De Zhang

#### December 2, 2020

#### Contents

L	Introduction	1
2	Measure Theory	1
3	Random Variables	Ę
4	Expected Value	6

#### 1 Introduction

This chapter outlines the tools necessary for a more rigorous study of probability.

# 2 Measure Theory

An algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra if

- 1. It is closed under complement, i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 2. Closed under countable union, i.e.,  $A_i \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for countable number of  $A_i$ .

Remark 1. Countable refers to finite or countably infinite. Some textbooks also state closed under countable intersection, but it is easy to derive that from the above conditions.

We will now state the definition of a probability space

**Definition 2.1** (Probability Space). A probability space is the triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , such that  $\Omega$  is the support or set of all possible outcomes (sample space),  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of events, and  $P: \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$  which assigns probabilities to items in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, which is a non-empty collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  and satisfies the properties above.

Without P, the double  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a measurable space, i.e., something which we can assign a measure to. We will define a measure next.

**Definition 2.2** (Measure). A measure is a non-negative countably additive set function, such that given a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  and a measure  $\mu$ , then  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

- 1.  $\mu(A) \ge \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \quad \forall Ain \mathcal{F}.$
- 2. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  is a countable sequence of disjoint sets, then  $\mu(\cup A_i) = \sum \mu(A_i)$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ , then  $\mu$  is a probability measure.

From the above definition, we can deduce that a measure has the following properties.

**Theorem 2.3** (Properties of a measure). Suppose  $\mu$  is some measure on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies,

- 1. Monotonicity.  $A \subset B \Rightarrow P(B) P(A) P(B A) \ge 0$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 2. Subadditivity. For  $m=1,\dots$ , if  $A_m \in \mathcal{F}, A \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$ , then  $P(A) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(A_m)$ .
- 3. Continuity from below. If  $A_i \uparrow A$ , i.e,  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots$  and  $\cup A_i = A$ , then  $P(A_i) \uparrow P(A)$ .
- 4. Continuity from above. If  $A_i \downarrow A$ , then  $P(A_i) \downarrow P(A)$ .

*Proof.* Monotonicity. Since  $B-A=B\cap A^c$  and A and B-A are disjoint, then  $\mu(A)=\mu(B)+\mu(B-A)$  and the result follows from the non-negativity of  $\mu$ .

**Subadditivity**. Let  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_k = A_k - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$ , then  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i)$ , the result follows from monotonicity.

Continuity from below. Let  $B_k = A_k - A_{k-1}$ . Then  $\mu(A_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(B_i)$ . Then the result follows.

Continuity from above. Observe that  $A_i^c \uparrow A$ , then  $P(A_i^c) \uparrow P(A^c)$  and the result follows.

To make things a bit more concrete, let us apply this to an example on the discrete probability space.

**Example 2.1** (Discrete Probability Spaces). Let  $\Omega$  be a countable set,  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ , for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $P(A) = \sum (x \in A)p(x) \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$ . If  $\Omega$  is finite, then  $p(\omega) = 1/|\Omega|$ . For example, when rolling a fair dice,  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ .

**Example 2.2** (Measure on continuous real line). Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real line,  $\mathcal{R}$  be the Boreal sets (smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open sets),  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure (for a < b,  $\lambda((a,b]) = b - a$ , and  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ . To get a probability space, let  $\Omega = (0,1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{A \cap (0,1) : A \in \mathcal{R}\}$ , and  $P = \lambda$ . Then P is a Lebesgue measure restricted to the Borel subsets in interval (0,1).

**Theorem 2.4** ( $\sigma$ -algebra closed under arbitrary intersection). If  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  for an arbitrary (possibly uncountable) I, then  $\cap_i \mathcal{F}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Since  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , then  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \cap \mathcal{F}_i$ . Furthermore,  $A \in \cap \mathcal{F}_i \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{F}_i$  hence  $\cap \mathcal{F}_i$  closed under intersection and complement.

**Definition 2.5** (Smallest  $\sigma$ -field). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Then there is a smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{A}$ , written as  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Remark 3.** The existence of  $\sigma(A)$  is guaranteed using Theorem 2.4. Namely, let  $F_i$  be the different  $\sigma$ -algebra that contain A. Then an intersection yields the smallest one.

**Theorem 2.6** (Measure on Product Spaces). If  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are probability spaces, let  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n = \{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) : \omega_i \in \Omega_i\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\{A_1 \times \dots \times A_n : A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$ . Then P is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ , given by,

$$P(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i(A_i).$$

We can define a random variable on probability spaces. A real valued function X defined on  $\Omega$  is a random variable if for every borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

If X is a random variable, then X induces a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  called its distribution, by setting  $\mu(A) = P(X \in A) = P(X^{-1}(A)) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\})$  for a given Borel set  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently, this means taking  $X^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}$  and measuring that set. In this case, we say that X is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable  $(X \in \mathcal{F})$ . Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is discrete, then  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ .

It is straightforward to verify that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$ . Let  $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$  be arbitrary disjoint sets. Then  $X \in \cup A_i$  iff X falls in exactly one of the  $A_i$ . Therefore,

$$\mu(\cup A_i) = P(X \in \cup A_i) = P(\cup \{X \in A_i\}) = \sum P(X \in A_i) = \sum \mu(A_i).$$

An alternative way of thinking of random variables is that, suppose X is a random variable, then  $X \in A = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\}$  is whether the realization of X falls in the events contained in A.

The distribution of X can be described using its distribution function  $F(x) = P(X \le x)$ , which has the following properties.

**Theorem 2.7** (Properties of Distribution Function). All distribution functions F have the following properties,

- 1. F is non-decreasing.
- 2.  $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1, \lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0.$
- 3. F is right continuous,  $\lim_{y\downarrow x} F(y) = F(x)$ .
- 4.  $F(x-) = \lim_{y \uparrow x} F(y) = P(X > x)$ .
- 5. P(X = x) = F(x) F(X-).

*Proof.* 1. Suppose x < y then  $\{X < x\} \subset \{X < y\}$  therefore  $F(x) \le F(y)$ .

- 2.  $\lim x \to \infty \{X < x\} = \Omega$  and  $\lim x \to -\infty \{X < x\} = \emptyset$ .
- 3.  $\lim_{y \downarrow x} \{X < y\} = \{X < x\}.$
- 4.  $\lim_{y \uparrow x} \{X < y\} = \{X < x\}.$
- 5. Since  $\{X = x\} = \{X \ge x\} \cap \{X < x\}^c$ , then taking limits on both sides using 3, 4 gives the result.

**Corollary 2.8.** If F satisfies 1, 2, 3 of Theorem 2.7, then it is a distribution function of some random variable.

*Proof.* We prove this by constructing the random variable.

Let  $\Omega = (0,1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \text{borel sets}$ , P = Lebesgue measure. For some  $\omega \in [0,1]$ , let  $X(\omega) = \sup\{y : F(y) < w\}$ . Then,  $\{\omega : X(\omega) < x\} = \{\omega : \omega < F(x)\}$ , then  $P(\omega : \omega \leq F(x)) = F(x)$  such that P is the Lebesgue measure. The result then follows.

The above result is used to generate random variables from a uniform random variable.

Corollary 2.9. Furthermore, if F satisfies 1, 2, 3 of Theorem 2.7, then there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{R})$  such that  $\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$  for b > a.

**Definition 2.10** (Equal in Distribution). Given two random variables X and Y on a common probability space. If both induce the same distribution  $\mu$  on the probability space, i.e. for any x, P(X < x) = P(Y < x), then we say both are equal in distribution, written as  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ .

Finally, the density function f of a random variable X is given by,

$$P(X = x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{x - \epsilon}^{x + \epsilon} f(y) \, dy.$$

and its relationship with F is,

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x) \, dx.$$

**Example 2.3** (Uniform Distribution on Cantor Set). The cantor set C is defined by removing (1/3, 2/3) from [0, 1], and recursively removing the middle third of each interval that remains. Suppose we assign the distribution function such that

- F(0) = 0,
- F(x) = 1/2 for  $x \in [1/3, 2/3]$ ,
- F(x) = 1/4 for  $x \in [1/9, 2/9]$ .
- and so on...

The F that results is known as Lebesgue's singular function, because there is no f such that  $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x) dx$ . It is also immediate that  $\mu(C^c) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.11** (Discrete Probability Measure). A probability measure P is said to be discrete if there is a countable set S such that  $P(S^c) = 0$ .

We list an interesting example of a discrete probability measure

**Example 2.4** (Dense discontinuities). Let  $q_1, q_2, \cdots$  be an enumeration of all the rationals, and

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} 1_{[q_i,\infty)}.$$

Then, let,

$$S = \{q_i : i = 1, 2, \cdots\},\$$

such that  $S^c = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ . For any  $x \in S^c$ , P(X = x) = 0, hence X is discrete.

#### 3 Random Variables

We proceed to prove that random variables are indeed measurable.

**Definition 3.1** (Measurable Map). A function  $X : \Omega \to S$  is a measurable map from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  to  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  if,

$$X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

for all  $B \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } S = \sigma(\mathcal{A}), \text{ then } X \text{ is measurable.}$ 

*Proof.* We introduce the notation  $\{X \in B\} = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\}$ . Therefore,  $\{X \in \cup B_i\} = \cup \{X \in B_i\}$  and  $\{X \in B^c\} = \{X \in B\}^c$ , so closure under complement and arbitrary union means  $\mathcal{B} = \{B : \{X \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , then by definition,  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

**Corollary 3.3** ( $\sigma$ -field generated by X). If S is a  $\sigma$ -field, then  $\{\{X \in B\} : B \in S\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. It is the smallest  $\sigma$  field on  $\Omega$  which makes X a measurable map, known as the  $\sigma$ -field generated by X,  $\sigma(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $X:(\Omega,\mathcal{F})\to (S,\mathcal{S})$  and  $f:(S,\mathcal{S})\to (T,\mathcal{T})$  are measurable maps, then f(X) is a measurable map from  $(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$  to  $(T,\mathcal{T})$ 

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \mathcal{T}$  and hence  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore

$$\{\omega: f(X(\omega)) \in B\} = \{\omega: X(\omega) \in f^{-1}(B)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{R})$  is measurable, then  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a random variable.

*Proof.* Let  $A_i$  be Borel sets, then

$$\{(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n\} = \cap \{X_i \in A_i\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

and since  $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$  generate  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the result follows.

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $X_1, \dots$  are random variables, then so are inf  $X_n$ , sup  $X_n$ ,  $\limsup X_n$ ,  $\liminf X_n$ .

Proof. inf and sup can be proved similarly. We observe that

$$\{\sup X_n < x\} = \cap \{X_i < x\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

and

$$\lim\inf X_n = \sup_n \inf_{m>n} X_m,$$

and since  $\inf_{m>n} X_m \in \mathcal{F}$  so  $\sup_n \inf_{m>n} X_m \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 3.7** (Almost sure convergence).  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} iff$ 

$$P(\{\omega : \liminf X_n = \limsup X_n\}),$$

that is,  $\lim X_n$  exists.

## 4 Expected Value

Given a random variable  $X \geq 0$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , then we define expectation as  $EX = \int X dP$ .

**Definition 4.1**  $(x^+ \text{ and } x^-)$ . Define the positive part  $x^+ = \max(x,0)$  and  $x^- = \max(0,-x)$ .

**Remark 4.** EX exists iff  $EX = EX^+ - EX^-$  exists, i.e., both terms on right exist.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose  $X, Y \ge 0$  or  $E|X|, E|Y| < \infty$ . Then,

- E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)
- E(aX + b) = aE(X) + b
- $X \ge Y$  then  $E(X) \ge E(Y)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose  $E[X], E[Y] < \infty$ , then EX = EY iff X = Y a.s.

*Proof.* Since  $X \ge Y$  then  $X-Y \ge 0$ , So, E|X-Y| = E(X-Y) = EX-EY = 0 hence for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|X-Y| > \epsilon) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.4** (Fatou's Lemma). If  $X_n > 0$  then  $\liminf EX_n \ge E \liminf X_n$ .

**Theorem 4.5** (Monotone Convergence Theorem). If  $0 \le X_n \uparrow X$  then  $EX_n \uparrow EX$ .