

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: megvii_003

Developer: Megvii/Face++

Submission Date: 2023_05_11

Template size: 2049 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 1261 msec

Template time (median): 1263 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 1333 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 49 (out of 416) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0012 vs. lowest 0.0008 from interna_001

Mugshot webcam ranking 41 (out of 376) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0079 vs. lowest 0.0054 from sensetime_009

Mugshot profile ranking 19 (out of 345) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0610 vs. lowest 0.0517 from sensetime_009

Immigration visa-border ranking 16 (out of 305) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0010 vs. lowest 0.0006 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 24 (out of 249) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0511 vs. lowest 0.0387 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 26 (out of 416) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0025, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0011 from idemia_010


Mugshot webcam ranking 7 (out of 374) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0112, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0072 from sensetime_009

Mugshot profile ranking 9 (out of 344) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1547, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0634 from cloudwalk_mt_002


Immigration visa-border ranking 13 (out of 303) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0032, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0010 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 11 (out of 248) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0710, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0517 from cloudwalk_mt_002


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (idemia_010)




C: Evolution of accuracy for MEGVII algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review

Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold


Dataset is border-border with time-lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10-15 years later than enrollment image




J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_009)




L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.


Algorithm: megvii_003, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing
Threshold: 8.890340 set to achive FPIR(30-45, Male) = 0.001

Color encodes log(FPIR)



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801



R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines

