A: Datasheet

Algorithm: dermalog_011

Developer: Dermalog

Submission Date: 2022_12_12

Template size: 128 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 239 msec

Template time (median): 249 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 263 msec

Investigation:

Frontal mugshot ranking 86 (out of 416) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0016 vs. lowest 0.0008 from intema_001

Mugshot webcam ranking 81 (out of 376) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0103 vs. lowest 0.0054 from sensetime_009

Mugshot profile ranking 71 (out of 345) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0965 vs. lowest 0.0517 from sensetime_009

Immigration visa−border ranking 107 (out of 305) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0032 vs. lowest 0.0006 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 103 (out of 249) −− FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0829 vs. lowest 0.0387 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 143 (out of 416) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0215, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0011 from idemia_010

Mugshot webcam ranking 161 (out of 374) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0864, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0072 from sensetime_009

Mugshot profile ranking 231 (out of 344) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9982, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0634 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Immigration visa−border ranking 199 (out of 303) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.1292, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0010 from cloudwalk_mt_002

Immigration visa−kiosk ranking 191 (out of 248) −− FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.7583, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0517 from cloudwalk_mt_002


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (idemia_010)
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
Dataset:
2018 Mugshot
FNIR@FPIR = 0.001
dermalog_011
idemia_010
enrolment_style
recent

C: Evolution of accuracy for DERMALOG algorithms on three datasets 2018 − present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
dermalog_011
idemia_010
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
enrolment_style
random−ONE−MATE
recent−ONE−MATE


E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images
N
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000
recent
FNIR
FPIR
Identification Error rate, FPIR(T) or FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
70
75
80
85
90
95
Threshold


F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate
Selectivity, SEL(T)
7e+01
5e+01
3e+01
2e+01
1e+01
7e+00
5e+00
3e+00
2e+00
1e+00
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
1e−05
3e−05
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
False Positive Identification Rate, FPIR(T)
Enrolled images:
recent
N = 1600000
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam


G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects
False Positive Identification Rate, FPIR(T)
7e−01
5e−01
3e−01
2e−01
1e−01
7e−02
5e−02
3e−02
2e−02
1e−02
7e−03
5e−03
3e−03
2e−03
1e−03
7e−04
5e−04
3e−04
2e−04
1e−04
7e−05
5e−05
3e−05
2e−05
1e−05
70
75
80
85
90
95
Threshold
Enrolled image:
random
N = 1600000
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
profile
Mugshot
webcam
enrolment_style
random
recent


H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review
Dataset is border−border with time−lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10−15 years later than enrollment image
Mated
without threshold, all lists have same length, here 50
with low threshold, list length shortens
towards 1 as there is 1 mate
at high threshold, lists
gradually get shorter as mates
fall below threshold (misses)
the pink region shows
[5,95]−percentile of list lengths
Non−Mated
without threshold, all lists have same length, here 50
with low threshold, list length shortens
at high threshold, lists only rarely
have any candidates
here only 1 in 100
Mean candidate list length
Threshold value


I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold
Dataset is border−border with time−lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10−15 years later than enrollment image
FNIR(T)
1.00
0.40
0.20
0.10
0.04
0.02
FPIR(T)
1.000
0.400
0.200
0.100
0.040
0.020
0.010
0.004
0.002
0.001
False {Negative | Positive} Identification Rate
70
75
80
85
90
Threshold value


J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.
False negative identification rate, FNIR(T)
0.700
0.500
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1e−04
3e−04
1e−03
3e−03
1e−02
3e−02
1e−01
3e−01
1e+00
False positive identification rate, FPIR(T)
2018 Mugshot
Enrolled image:
recent
00640000
01600000
03000000
06000000
12000000


K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_009)
Immigration
visa−border
Immigration
visa−kiosk
Mugshot
natural
Mugshot
webcam
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
1e+06
3e+06
1e+07
Enrolled population size, N
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR@Rank = 1
dermalog_011
sensetime_009


L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type
dermalog_011
sensetime_009
False negative identification rate, FNIR(N)
0.100
0.070
0.050
0.030
0.020
0.010
0.007
0.005
0.003
0.002
0.001
1
3
10
30
Rank, R
enrolment_style
random
recent
FNIR(R)
N = 1600000
Immigration visa−border
Immigration visa−kiosk
Mugshot natural
Mugshot webcam

M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements.
The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power−law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time−lapse. The top row gives investigational rank−1 miss rates.
The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights−out identification with low FPIR.
Algorithm: dermalog_011, Dataset: Border−Crossing Ageing N = 1600000
Text encodes FNIR, Color encodes log(FNIR)
−3
−2
−1
0
Female
Male
(60:99]
(45:60]
(30:45]
(21:30]
(18:21]
(15:18]
(12:15]
0.026 0.029 0.033 0.033 0.038 0.036
0.026 0.025 0.029 0.032 0.034 0.029
0.027 0.027 0.031 0.035 0.037 0.039
0.037 0.037 0.041 0.045 0.047 0.042
0.058 0.051 0.054 0.065 0.060 0.054
0.074 0.066 0.068 0.073 0.075 0.054
0.090 0.083 0.094 0.099 0.100 0.077
0.017 0.017 0.018 0.019 0.019 0.023
0.014 0.015 0.016 0.018 0.019 0.018
0.016 0.014 0.017 0.018 0.019 0.016
0.020 0.020 0.022 0.025 0.026 0.028
0.032 0.035 0.034 0.040 0.043 0.037
0.069 0.066 0.068 0.083 0.083 0.088
0.104 0.107 0.120 0.123 0.131 0.130
FNIR(R=1, T=0)
0.980 0.984 0.989 0.993 0.993 1.000
0.986 0.988 0.992 0.994 0.996 0.997
0.992 0.994 0.994 0.997 0.998 0.998
0.994 0.995 0.996 0.998 0.998 0.998
0.998 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.998
0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
0.971 0.976 0.982 0.987 0.988 0.990
0.974 0.978 0.985 0.991 0.992 0.994
0.981 0.984 0.988 0.993 0.995 0.996
0.991 0.992 0.995 0.997 0.998 0.998
0.999 0.999 0.999 1.000 1.000 0.999
1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
FNIR(R=50, T=93.025504), FPIR(30−45, Male) = 0.001
Age group at time of enrollment
10 11 12 13 14 15
10 11 12 13 14 15
Time between probe and enrollment (years)

P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing



R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines