

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: cloudwalk_mt_001

Developer: Cloudwalk – Moontime Smart Technology

Submission Date: 2022_07_27

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 949 msec

Template time (median): 954 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 979 msec

Investigation:

Mugshot webcam ranking 73 (out of 337) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0110 vs. lowest 0.0055 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 2 (out of 306) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0534 vs. lowest 0.0521 from sensetime_007

Immigration visa–border ranking 1 (out of 264) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0006

Immigration visa–kiosk ranking 1 (out of 209) — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0395

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 10 (out of 375) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0019, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from sensetime_008


Mugshot webcam ranking 5 (out of 335) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0121, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0090 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 1 (out of 305) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0698, FPIR=0.001000


Immigration visa–border ranking 1 (out of 263) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0013, FPIR=0.001000

Immigration visa–kiosk ranking 1 (out of 209) — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0532, FPIR=0.001000


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_008)




C: Evolution of accuracy for CLOUDWALK_MT algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review

Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold


Dataset is border-border with time-lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10-15 years later than enrollment image




J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_007)



L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.


Algorithm: cloudwalk_mt_001, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing N = 1600000
Text encodes FNIR, Color encodes log(FNIR)



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.



Algorithm: cloudwalk_mt_001, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing
Threshold: 240.795000 set to achieve FPIR(30–45, Male) = 0.001

Color encodes log(FPIR)



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801



R: Decline of genuine scores with ageing, with some eventually dropping below typical thresholds shown by the horizontal lines

