

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: sqisoft_002

Developer: SQLsoft

Submission Date: 2022_10_26

Template size: 2056 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 641 msec

Template time (median): 662 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 687 msec

Investigation:

Mugshot webcam ranking 203 (out of 343) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0258 vs. lowest 0.0055 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 45 (out of 312) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0904 vs. lowest 0.0521 from sensetime_007

Immigration visa-border ranking 113 (out of 270) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0050 vs. lowest 0.0006 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 56 (out of 215) -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0720 vs. lowest 0.0395 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 142 (out of 381) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0289, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from sensetime_008


Mugshot webcam ranking 335 (out of 341) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 1.0000, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0090 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 86 (out of 311) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9213, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0698 from cloudwalk_mt_001


Immigration visa-border ranking 228 (out of 269) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6212, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 185 (out of 215) -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9319, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0532 from cloudwalk_mt_001


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_008)




C: Evolution of accuracy for SQISOFT algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review

Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold


Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_007)




L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type



M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations




O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.

Algorithm: sqisoft_002, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing
Threshold: 100.000000 set to achive FPIR(30–45, Male) = 0.001

Color encodes log(FPIR)



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801

