

A: Datasheet

Algorithm: turingtechvip_001

Developer: [**Developer name**]

Submission Date: 2022_09_29

Template size: 2048 bytes

Template time (2.5 percentile): 816 msec

Template time (median): 818 msec

Template time (97.5 percentile): 850 msec

Investigation:

Mugshot webcam ranking 200 (out of 337) --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0262 vs. lowest 0.0055 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 37 (out of 306) --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0809 vs. lowest 0.0521 from sensetime_007

Immigration visa-border ranking 197 (out of 264) --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0452 vs. lowest 0.0006 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 174 (out of 209) --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2087 vs. lowest 0.0395 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Identification:

Frontal mugshot ranking 301 (out of 375) --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.3403, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from sensetime_008


Mugshot webcam ranking 313 (out of 335) --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.8500, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0090 from sensetime_008

Mugshot profile ranking 150 (out of 305) --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9927, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0698 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Immigration visa-border ranking 245 (out of 263) --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9780, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0013 from cloudwalk_mt_001

Immigration visa-kiosk ranking 191 (out of 209) --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.9988, FPIR=0.001000 vs. lowest 0.0532 from cloudwalk_mt_001


B: Mugshot natural images, identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (sensetime_008)




C: Evolution of accuracy for TURINGTECHVIP algorithms on three datasets 2018 – present




D: 1:N error tradeoff by dataset and enrollment type. N = 1600000 individuals




E: Dependence of error rates on T by number enrolled identities, N, for Mugshot natural images



F: FPIR vs. Selectivity for mugshot images, N = 1600000 subjects enrolled with one recent mate




G: FPIR dependence on T by probe type for N = 1600000 subjects




H: Reduced length candidate lists for human review

Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




I: FNIR and FPIR dependence on threshold


Dataset is border–border with time–lapse [10,15] YRS with N = 1600000. Probes are 10–15 years later than enrollment image




J: DET for Mugshot natural images and various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




K: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (sensetime_007)



L: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




M: Template duration; search duration vs. N. The blue and pink ribbon covers 95 percent of observed measurements. The template generation time is independent of N. The log and power-law models are fit to the first two (N,T) observations



O: FNIR(T, N = 1.6 million) by sex, age and time-lapse. The top row gives investigational rank-1 miss rates. The bottom panels give high threshold for more lights-out identification with low FPIR.

Algorithm: turingtechvip_001, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing N = 1600000
Text encodes FNIR, Color encodes log(FNIR)



P: FPIR(N = 1.6 million) by sex and age. It is typical for false positive identification rates to be higher in women except in their teens.

Algorithm: turingtechvip_001, Dataset: Border-Crossing Ageing
Threshold: 0.959621 set to achieve FPIR(30–45, Male) = 0.001

Color encodes log(FPIR)



Q: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

Dataset: 2018 Mugshot N = 3068801

