# Assignment 4

> Due: April 4 2016 Last update: December 4, 2017

### Part I

#### Solution 5.2:

(i) We have

$$f(\sigma) = pe^{\sigma} + q^{-\sigma}$$

$$= pe^{\sigma} + (1 - p)e^{-\sigma}$$

$$= pe^{\sigma} + e^{-\sigma} - pe^{-\sigma}$$

$$= p(e^{\sigma} - e^{-\sigma}) + e^{-\sigma}$$

However, note that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $(x-1)^2 > 0$ , hence

$$(x-1)^2 = x^2 - 2x + 1 \ge 0$$

$$\implies x^2 + 1 \ge 2$$

$$\implies \frac{x^2 + 1}{x} \ge 2$$

$$\implies x + x^{-1} > 2$$

Thus  $(e^{\sigma} - e^{-\sigma}) \ge 2$  and since  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  we find

$$p\left(e^{\sigma} - e^{-\sigma}\right) \ge 1$$

This, together with the final term  $e^{-\sigma} > 0$  gives us that

$$f(\sigma) = p\left(e^{\sigma} - e^{-\sigma}\right) + e^{-\sigma} > 1$$

as desired.

(ii) By definition we have that  $M_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$  depends on only the first n coin tosses  $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ . Note that  $\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^n$  is deterministic we find that  $S_n = e^{\sigma M_n} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^n$  is adapted since

a measurable function of an adapted process is itself adapted.

Now, to confirm the martingale property:

$$\mathbb{E}_{n} [S_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ e^{\sigma M_{n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n+1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ e^{\sigma (M_{n} + X_{n+1})} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n+1} \right] \quad \text{(by definition of } M_{n+1})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ e^{\sigma M_{n}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right) e^{\sigma X_{n+1}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} e^{\sigma X_{n+1}} \right] \quad \text{(by definition of } S_{n})$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} \mathbb{E}_{n} \left[ e^{\sigma X_{n+1}} \right] \quad \text{(adaptedness of } \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} \text{ to the first } n \text{ coin tosses)}$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} \left( p e^{\sigma \cdot (1)} + q e^{\sigma \cdot (-1)} \right) \quad \text{(by the independence lemma)}$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} f(\sigma)$$

$$= S_{n}$$

Therefore, since  $S_n$  is both adapted and satisfies the martingale property we have that  $S_n$  is indeed a martingale, as desired.

(iii) Applying the Optional Sampling Theorem we find that the stopped process  $S_{n \wedge \tau_1}$  must be a martingale. So

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}[S_{n \wedge \tau_{1}}] = \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_{1}}} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n \wedge \tau_{1}}\right]$$

$$= S_{0 \wedge \tau_{1}} \quad \text{(by the martingale property)}$$

$$= S_{0} \quad \text{(since } \tau_{1} > 0\text{)}$$

$$= e^{\sigma M_{0}} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{0}$$

$$= 1$$

Note

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{\tau_1} & \text{if } \tau_1 < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau_1 = \infty \end{cases}$$

By the definition of  $M_{n \wedge \tau_1}$  we note that

$$M_{n \wedge \tau_1} \leq 1$$

Hence

$$0 \le e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \le e^{\sigma}$$

Now, considering first  $\tau_1 < \infty$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1}$$
$$= e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1}$$

and for the case of  $\tau_1 = \infty$  we use our result that  $e^{\sigma M_n}$  is bound above and below, so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \cdot 0$$
$$= 0$$

Therefore, we may combine both cases as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1}$$

Now we wish to take the limit of the expectation of the stopped process  $S_{n \wedge \tau_1}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . However, we have already shown that  $\mathbb{E}_0[S_{n \wedge \tau_1}] = S_{0 \wedge \tau_1} = S_0 = 1$ . So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_0[S_{n \wedge \tau_1}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1$$
$$= 1$$

Thus

$$1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ S_{n \wedge \tau_1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \lim_{n \to \infty} S_{n \wedge \tau_1} \right] \quad \text{(Dominated Convergence)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$\implies e^{-\sigma} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

and taking the limit as  $\sigma \downarrow 0$ 

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} e^{-\sigma} = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$\implies 1 = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_{1}} \right] \quad \text{(Dominated Convergence)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P} \left( \{\tau_{1} < \infty\} \right)$$

as desired.

(iv) Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . We will first solve for the  $\sigma$  satisfying

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{f(\sigma)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{pe^{\sigma} + qe^{-\sigma}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left( pe^{\sigma} + qe^{-\sigma} \right) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha pe^{\sigma} + \alpha qe^{-\sigma} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha p + \alpha q \left( e^{-\sigma} \right)^2 = e^{-\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha q \left( e^{-\sigma} \right)^2 - e^{-\sigma} + \alpha p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-\sigma} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 q}}{2\alpha pq}$$

We require  $\sigma > 0$  so then  $0 < e^{-\sigma} < 1$ . For this purpose we take the negative root

$$e^{-\sigma} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

and from (iii) we find

$$e^{-\sigma} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right] = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

Since we initially performed the substitution  $\alpha = \frac{1}{f(\sigma)}$  we may write

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_1}\right] = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

Noting that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^{\tau_1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 = \infty\}}\alpha^{\tau_1}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}}\alpha^{\tau_1}\right]$$

and since  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  we find  $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1=\infty\}}\alpha^{\tau_1}=0$ . Therefore, we may conclude with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^{\tau_1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_1}\right] = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

as desired.

(v) By the Dominated Convergence Theorem write

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\alpha^{\tau_{1}-1}\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^{\tau_{1}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}{2\alpha q} \quad \text{(from (iv))}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}{2\alpha^{2}q\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}$$

Since we had defined  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  we must take the limit as  $\alpha \uparrow 1$ 

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \tau_1 \alpha^{\tau_1 - 1} \right] &= \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 p q}}{2\alpha^2 q \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 p q}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p q}}{2q \sqrt{1 - 4p q}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p (1 - p)}}{2(1 - p) \sqrt{1 - 4p (1 - p)}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p (1 - p)}}{2(1 - p) \sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}}{2(1 - p) \sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{(1 - 2p)^2}}{2(1 - p) \sqrt{(1 - 2p)^2}} \\ &= \frac{1 - |1 - 2p|}{2(1 - p)|1 - 2p|} \\ &= \frac{1 - (2p - 1)}{2(1 - p)(2p - 1)} \quad \text{since } 1 - 2p < 0 \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < p < 1 \\ &= \frac{2 - 2p}{2(1 - p)(2p - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2p - 1} = \frac{1}{p + p - 1} = \frac{1}{p - q} \quad \text{(I think the final expression is the nicest)} \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{p-q} = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \tau_1 \alpha^{\tau_1 - 1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \tau_1 \alpha^{\tau_1 - 1} \right] \quad \text{(Dominated Convergence)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \tau_1 \right]$$

as desired.

#### Solution 5.3:

(i) With the substitution  $x = e^{\sigma_0}$  we solve

$$1 = px + \frac{q}{x}$$

$$= \frac{px^2 + q}{x}$$

$$\implies x = px^2 + q$$

$$\implies px^2 - x + q = 0$$

$$\implies x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4pq}}{2p}$$

$$= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4p(1 - p)}}{2p}$$

$$= \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{(1 - 2p)^2}}{2p}$$

$$= \frac{1 \pm (1 - 2p)}{2p}$$

Clearly we must have  $x=e^{\sigma}>0$  for all  $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ , so we are required to take the positive term. This yields

$$x = \frac{1 + 1 - 2p}{2p}$$

$$= \frac{1 - p}{p}$$

$$= \frac{q}{p}$$

$$\implies e^{\sigma_0} = \frac{q}{p}$$

$$\implies \sigma_0 = \log \frac{q}{p}$$

Since q > p we have that  $\frac{q}{p} > 1 \implies \sigma_0 = \log \frac{q}{p} > 1 > 0$ , which satisfies our positivity criteria. That is, we have found  $\sigma_0 > 0$  such that  $f(\sigma_0) = 1$  since

$$f(\sigma_0) = pe^{\sigma_0} + qe^{-\sigma_0}$$

$$= pe^{\log \frac{q}{p}} + qe^{-\log \frac{q}{p}}$$

$$= p \cdot \frac{q}{p} + q \cdot \frac{p}{q}$$

$$= q + p = 1$$

We wish now to confirm that  $f(\sigma) > 1$  for all  $\sigma > \sigma_0 = \log \frac{q}{p}$ . To this end, again let

 $x = e^{\sigma}$  and calculate

$$1 \le px + \frac{q}{x}$$

$$1 \le \frac{px^2 + q}{x}$$

$$x \le px^2 + q$$

$$0 \le px^2 - x + q$$

$$0 \le (x - 1)(px - q)$$

which is satisfied when  $x \ge \frac{q}{p} \implies e^{\sigma} \ge \frac{q}{p} \implies \sigma \ge \log \frac{q}{p} = \sigma_0$ , as desired.

(ii) We go through the same process as in (5.2). Defining the process  $S_n = e^{\sigma M_n} \left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^n$  we see by the same argument that  $S_n$  is an adapted process since it is a measurable function of  $M_n$ , which is itself a function of the first n coin tosses. To confirm the martingale property we have

Now, to confirm the martingale property:

$$\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[S_{n+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{n}\left[e^{\sigma M_{n+1}}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n+1}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n}\left[e^{\sigma (M_{n}+X_{n+1})}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n+1}\right] \quad \text{(by definition of } M_{n+1})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n}\left[e^{\sigma M_{n}}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)e^{\sigma X_{n+1}}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{n}\left[\frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)}e^{\sigma X_{n+1}}\right] \quad \text{(by definition of } S_{n})$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)}\mathbb{E}_{n}\left[e^{\sigma X_{n+1}}\right] \quad \text{(adaptedness of } \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)} \text{ to the first } n \text{ coin tosses)}$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)}\left(pe^{\sigma \cdot (1)} + qe^{\sigma \cdot (-1)}\right) \quad \text{(by the independence lemma)}$$

$$= \frac{S_{n}}{f(\sigma)}f(\sigma)$$

$$= S_{n}$$

So  $S_n$  remains a martingale for the case of  $0 . Now, again applying the Optional Sampling Theorem we have that the stopped process <math>S_{n\tau_1}$  must be a martingale. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[S_{n\wedge\tau_{1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{0}\left[e^{\sigma M_{n\wedge\tau_{1}}}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{n\wedge\tau_{1}}\right]$$

$$= S_{0\wedge\tau_{1}} \quad \text{(by the martingale property)}$$

$$= S_{0} \quad \text{(since } \tau_{1} > 0\text{)}$$

$$= e^{\sigma M_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{f(\sigma)}\right)^{0}$$

$$= 1$$

Suppose now that  $\sigma > \sigma_0 = \log \frac{q}{p}$  from part (i). Then we have that  $f(\sigma) > 1$ . Therefore,  $0 < \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} < 1$ . From this fact we find

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} & \text{if } \tau_1 < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau_1 = \infty \end{cases}$$

By the definition of  $M_{n \wedge \tau_1}$  we note that

$$M_{n \wedge \tau_1} \leq 1$$

Hence

$$0 \le e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \le e^{\sigma}$$

Now, considering first  $\tau_1 < \infty$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1}$$
$$= e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1}$$

and for the case of  $\tau_1 = \infty$  we use our result that  $e^{\sigma M_n}$  is bound above and below, so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \cdot 0$$
$$= 0$$

Therefore, we may combine both cases as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{\sigma M_{n \wedge \tau_1}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{n \wedge \tau_1} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1}$$

Now we wish to take the limit of the expectation of the stopped process  $S_{n \wedge \tau_1}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . However, we have already shown that  $\mathbb{E}_0[S_{n \wedge \tau_1}] = S_{0 \wedge \tau_1} = S_0 = 1$ . So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_0[S_{n \wedge \tau_1}] = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1$$
$$= 1$$

Thus

$$1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ S_{n \wedge \tau_1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \lim_{n \to \infty} S_{n \wedge \tau_1} \right] \quad \text{(Dominated Convergence)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} e^{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$\implies e^{-\sigma} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

Instead of taking taking the limit as  $\sigma \downarrow 0$  as we did in (5.2) we now consider the limit as  $\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0$  since we have restricted  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Taking this limit

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0} e^{-\sigma} = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$\implies e^{-\sigma_0} = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \lim_{\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right] \quad \text{(Dominated Convergence)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \lim_{\sigma \downarrow \sigma_0} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P} \left( \{\tau_1 < \infty\} \right)$$

We may simplify this as

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\tau_1 < \infty\}) = e^{-\sigma_0}$$

$$= e^{-\log \frac{q}{p}}$$

$$= \frac{p}{q}$$

which is a permissible probability for this event since our definition of p and q satisfying 0 and <math>q = 1 - p implies that  $0 < \frac{p}{q} < 1$ .

(iii) Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Repeating the arguments from (5.2.iv) (since the preliminary steps are not affected by the requirement  $0 ) we find that the <math>\sigma$  satisfying

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{f(\sigma)}$$

yielding

$$e^{-\sigma} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

From (5.3.ii) we have that

$$e^{-\sigma} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \left( \frac{1}{f(\sigma)} \right)^{\tau_1} \right]$$

Therefore, with our substitution of  $\alpha = \frac{1}{f(\sigma)}$ , we may write

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_1} \right] = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha q}$$

Now, write

$$\mathbb{E}_0\left[\alpha^{\tau_1}\right] = \mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 = \infty\}}\alpha^{\tau_1}\right] + \mathbb{E}_0\left[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \infty\}}\alpha^{\tau_1}\right]$$

but if  $\tau_1 = \infty$  then, recalling  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , we have  $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 = \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_1} = 0$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \alpha^{\tau_{1}} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} = \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_{1}} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{0} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{1} < \infty\}} \alpha^{\tau_{1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}{2\alpha a}$$

as desired.

(iv) Applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem we write

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{1}\alpha^{\tau_{1}-1}\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^{\tau_{1}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}{2\alpha q} \quad \text{(from (5.3.iii))}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}{2\alpha^{2}q\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^{2}pq}}$$

Since we had defined  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  we must take the limit as  $\alpha \uparrow 1$ 

$$\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \tau_1 \alpha^{\tau_1 - 1} \right] = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}{2\alpha^2 q \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha^2 pq}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pq}}{2q\sqrt{1 - 4pq}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p(1 - p)}}{2(1 - p)\sqrt{1 - 4p(1 - p)}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p(1 - p)}}{2(1 - p)\sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}}{2(1 - p)\sqrt{1 - 4p + 4p^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \sqrt{(1 - 2p)^2}}{2(1 - p)\sqrt{(1 - 2p)^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - |1 - 2p|}{2(1 - p)|1 - 2p|}$$

Now, since 0 we have that <math>1 - 2p > 0, thus

$$\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \tau_1 \alpha^{\tau_1 - 1} \right] = \frac{1 - (1 - 2p)}{2(1 - p)(1 - 2p)}$$

$$= \frac{p}{(1 - p)(1 - 2p)}$$

$$= \frac{p}{q(1 - p - p)} = \frac{p}{q(q - p)}$$

as desired.

**Solution 5.6**:  $S_0 = 4, K = 4, u = 2, d = \frac{1}{2}, r = \frac{1}{4}$ . Show that an American put expiring at time N = 1 has price  $V_0 = 0.8$ , expiring at time N = 3 has price  $V_0 = 0.928$ , and expiring at time N = 5 has price  $V_0 = 0.96896$ .

#### Solution 5.7: (i) Recall that we had found

$$v(s) = \begin{cases} 4 - s & \text{if } s \le 2\\ \frac{4}{s} & \text{if } s \ge 4 \end{cases}$$

so with  $s = 2^j$  we have

$$v(2^{j}) = \begin{cases} 4 - 2^{j} & \text{if } j \le 1\\ \frac{4}{2^{j}} & \text{if } j \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for  $j \leq 0$ , we find

$$c(2^{j}) = v(2^{j}) - \frac{4}{5} \left[ \frac{1}{2} v(2 \cdot 2^{j}) + \frac{1}{2} v(\frac{1}{2} 2^{j}) \right]$$

$$= (4 - 2^{j}) - \frac{2}{5} \left[ (4 - 2^{j+1}) + (4 - 2^{j-1}) \right]$$

$$= 4 - 2^{j} - \frac{2}{5} \left[ 8 - 2^{j-1} (4+1) \right]$$

$$= 4 - 2^{j} - \frac{2}{5} \left[ 8 - 5 \cdot 2^{j-1} \right]$$

$$= 4 - 2^{j} - \frac{16}{5} + 2^{j}$$

$$= \frac{4}{5}$$

For j = 1 we find

$$c(2^{j}) = v(2^{j}) - \frac{4}{5} \left[ \frac{1}{2} v(2 \cdot 2^{j}) + \frac{1}{2} v(\frac{1}{2} 2^{j}) \right]$$

$$= v(2) - \frac{2}{5} \left[ v(4) + v(1) \right]$$

$$= (4 - 2) - \frac{2}{5} \left[ \frac{4}{4} + (4 - 1) \right]$$

$$= 2 - \frac{2}{5} \left[ 1 + 3 \right]$$

$$= 2 - \frac{8}{5}$$

$$= \frac{2}{5}$$

and for  $j \geq 2$ 

$$c(2^{j}) = v(2^{j}) - \frac{4}{5} \left[ \frac{1}{2} v(2 \cdot 2^{j}) + \frac{1}{2} v(\frac{1}{2} 2^{j}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j}} - \frac{2}{5} \left[ \frac{4}{2^{j+1}} + \frac{4}{2^{j-1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j}} - \frac{2}{5} \frac{4}{2^{j-1}} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + 1 \right]$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j}} - \frac{8}{5 \cdot 2^{j-1}} \frac{5}{4}$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j}} - \frac{2}{2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j}} - \frac{4}{2^{j}}$$

$$= 0$$

(ii) For  $j \leq 0$  we find

$$\delta(2^{j}) = \frac{v(2^{j+1}) - v(2^{j-1})}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{(4 - 2^{j+1}) - (4 - 2^{j-1})}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{-(2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1})}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= -1$$

for j = 1

$$\delta(2^{j}) = \frac{v(2^{j+1}) - v(2^{j-1})}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{v(4) - v(1)}{4 - 1}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{4}{4} - (4 - 1)}{3}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{3}$$

and for  $j \geq 2$ 

$$\delta(2^{j}) = \frac{v(2^{j+1}) - v(2^{j-1})}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{4}{2^{j+1}} - \frac{4}{2^{j-1}}}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= \frac{4}{2^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{4} - 1}{2^{j+1} - 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= -\frac{4}{2^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{3 \cdot 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{j-1} \cdot 2^{j-1}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{2j-2}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2^{2j}2^{-2}}$$

$$= -\frac{4}{2^{2j}}$$

(iii)

## Solution 5.8:

- (i) (ii) (iii) (iv)