Mathematical & Computational Finance I Lecture Notes

Backwards Induction & Probability Theory on Coin Toss Space

January 19 2016 Last update: December 4, 2017

1 Exercise 1.8: Asian Call Option

to do...

2 Backwards Induction

Definition 1. The general recursive procedure for finding the price of a European derivative security at time zero, denoted V_0 , is called <u>backwards induction</u>.

For an N-period binomial model with 0 < d < 1 + r < u let V_N be a random variable (the payoff) depending on the first N coin tosses $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_N$. Define recursively, backwards in time, the sequence of random variables $V_{N-1}, V_{N-2}, ..., V_0$ by

$$V_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) = \frac{1}{1+r} [\tilde{p}V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) + \tilde{q}V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)]$$

where

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{1+r-d}{u-d}$$
 $\tilde{q} = 1-\tilde{p}$

Theorem 1. Replication. Define

$$\Delta_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) = \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}{S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}$$

if we set $X_0 = V_0$ and define recursively, forwards in time, the values $X_1, ..., X_N$ by

$$X_N(\omega_1\cdots\omega_N)=V_N(\omega_1\cdots\omega_N)\quad\forall\;\omega_1\cdots\omega_N\in\Omega$$

Proof. We will proceed by induction on n. At time 0 we have that $P(0): X_0 = V_0$ is trivially true by construction. Therefore P(0) holds.

Assume now that our inductive hypothesis P(n) holds

$$P(n): X_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) = V_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) \ \forall \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \in \Omega$$

Now, let $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ be an arbitrary and fixed sequence of coin tosses so that our inductive hypothesis P(n) holds. For the next coin toss ω_{n+1} we wish to show that P(n+1) holds, that is

$$P(n+1): X_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \omega_{n+1} \stackrel{?}{=} V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \omega_{n+1})$$

but note that from the wealth equation we have¹

$$X_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \omega_{n+1}) = \Delta_n S_{n+1} + (1+r)[X_n - \Delta_n S_n]$$

Now, consider the case of the $n+1^{th}$ coin toss to be H, then

$$\begin{split} X_{n+1}(H) &= \Delta_n S_{n+1}(H) + (1+r)[X_n - \Delta_n S_n] \\ X_{n+1}(H) &= \Delta_n u S_n + (1+r)[X_n - \Delta_n S_n] \\ &= \Delta_n u S_n + (1+r)X_n - (1+r)\Delta_n S_n \\ &= (1+r)X_n + \Delta_n S_n[u - (1+r)] \\ &= (1+r)V_n + \Delta_n S_n[u - (1+r)] \quad \text{(by the inductive hypothesis)} \end{split}$$

but by definition

$$\Delta_n = \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}{S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}$$

$$= \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}{uS_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n) - dS_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)}$$

$$= \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n T)}{(u-d)S_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)}$$

So,

$$X_{n+1}(H) = (1+r)V_n + \Delta_n S_n[u - (1+r)]$$

$$= (1+r)V_n + \frac{V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)}{(u-d)S_n} S_n[u - (1+r)]$$

$$= (1+r)V_n + [V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)] \left(\frac{u - (1+r)}{u-d}\right)$$

but

$$\tilde{q} = \frac{u - (1+r)}{u - d}$$

¹For brevity we have dropped the functional $(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)$.

hence

$$X_{n+1}(H) = (1+r)V_n + [V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)] \left(\frac{u - (1+r)}{u - d}\right)$$
$$= (1+r)V_n + [V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)]\tilde{q}$$

but

$$(1+r)V_n = \tilde{p}V_{n+1}(H) + \tilde{q}V_{n+1}(T)$$

SO

$$\begin{split} X_{n+1}(H) &= (1+r)V_n + [V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)]\tilde{q} \\ &= \tilde{p}V_{n+1}(H) + \tilde{q}V_{n+1}(T) + [V_{n+1}(H) - V_{n+1}(T)]\tilde{q} \\ &= \tilde{p}V_{n+1}(H) + V_{n+1}(H)\tilde{q} \\ &= (\tilde{p} + \tilde{q})V_{n+1}(H) \\ &= V_{n+1}(H) \end{split}$$

which completes the case of the $n+1^{\rm th}$ coin toss as heads. A nearly identical procedure will confirm that

$$X_{n+1}(T) = V_{n+1}(T)$$

Thus

$$P(n+1): X_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \omega_{n+1}) = V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \omega_{n+1})$$

holds, as desired. Hence, by induction P(n) holds for all $0 \le n \le N$, for all sequences $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$.

The above replication theorem gives us that, by no-arbitrage, that the price of the European derivative security at time n must be $V_n(\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n)$.

3 Probability Theory on Coin Toss Space

Definition 2. A finite probability space consists of

- 1. A finite set Ω called the <u>sample space</u> and
- 2. A function $\mathbb{P}: \Omega \to [0,1]$ called a <u>probability measure</u> such that

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}(\omega) = 1$$

Definition 3. An event is a subset Ω . We define the probability of an event $A \subset \Omega$ to be

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} \mathbb{P}(\omega)$$

The above definitions lead to all the usual properties of probability that we should be familiar from introductory courses (STAT 249, 250, 349, ...), e.g.

- 1. $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
- 2. If $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$$

3. etc...

Definition 4. Let (Ω, \mathbb{P}) be a finite probability space. A <u>random variable</u> X is a function

$$X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$$

 $\omega \to X(\omega)$

Sometimes it is useful to include $\pm \infty$ as values that our random variables may take, so, using the extended real numbers

$$X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

Definition 5. The <u>distribution</u> of a random variable is a specification of the probabilities that a random variable takes certain values

$$F(y) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le y\}) \quad \forall \ y \in \mathbb{R}$$

or

$$f(y) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = y\}) \quad \forall \ y \in \text{Range}(X)$$

Definition 6. Let X be a random variable defined on the finite probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) . The expectation of X is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) \mathbb{P}(\omega)$$

and the <u>variance</u> of X is

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[(X - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X])^2]$$

The usual properties of expectation and variance hold:

1. Linearity: For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and random variables X, Y

$$\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y]$$

2.

$$Var[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}^2[X]$$

Definition 7. A function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is <u>convex</u> if, for all $t \in (0,1)$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, for all linear combinations of X and Y

$$\phi(tx + (1-t)y) \le t\phi(x) + (1-t)\phi(y)$$

Theorem 2. Jensen's Inequality. Let X be a random variable on a finite probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) and let ϕ be convex. Then

$$\phi(\mathbb{E}[X]) \le \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)]$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists some supporting line l through $(x, \phi(x))$ such that the graph of ϕ is above l.

Therefore, $\phi(y) \ge \phi(x) + \lambda(y - x)$ where $\lambda = \text{slope}(l)$.

Let $x = \mathbb{E}[x]$, then for all y

$$\phi(y) \ge \phi(\mathbb{E}[X]) + \lambda(y - \mathbb{E}[X])$$

Note that λ depends on $x = \mathbb{E}[X]$ but not y. Let y = X to find λ , so

$$\phi(X) \ge \phi(\mathbb{E}[X]) + \lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])$$

Take the expectation of both sides to yield

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] &\geq \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbb{E}[X]) + \lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}[\phi(\mathbb{E}[X])] + \lambda(\mathbb{E}[X] - E[E[X]]) \\ \Longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] \geq \phi(E[X]) \end{split}$$

as desired.