# MATH 680: Assignment 3

Annik Gougeon, David Fleischer

Last Update: 02 May, 2018

## Section 1: Subgradients and Proximal Operators

### Question 1.1

#### 1.1.(i)

Recall that a subgradient of f at point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as a vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the inequality

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x), \quad \forall y.$$

The *subdifferential* of f at x is the set of all subgradients at x

$$\partial f(x) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^n : g \text{ is a subgradient of } f \text{ at } x\}.$$

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in \partial f(x)$  be two subgradients of f at x so that

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g_1^T(y - x)$$
  
 $f(y) \ge f(x) + g_2^T(y - x).$ 

Let  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  and consider the linear combination of the above two inequalities, yielding

$$\lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \ge \lambda \left[ f(x) + g_1^T(y - x) \right] + (1 - \lambda) \left[ f(x) + g_2^T(y - x) \right]$$

$$\iff f(y) \ge f(x) + \left[ \lambda g_1^T + (1 - \lambda)g_2^T \right] (y - x)$$

$$= f(x) + \left[ \lambda g_1 + (1 - \lambda)g_2 \right]^T (y - x).$$

That is, vector  $\lambda g_1 + (1 - \lambda)g_2$  is a valid subgradient of f at x since it satisfies the subgradient inequality. Therefore,

$$g_1, g_2 \in \partial f(x) \implies \lambda g_1 + (1 - \lambda)g_2 \in \partial f(x), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

which informs us that  $\partial f(x)$  is indeed a convex set for all  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ . To show that  $\partial f(x)$  is a closed set we first note that for fixed  $y \in \text{dom}(f)$  the set

$$H_y = \{g \mid f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x)\} = \{g \mid f(y) - f(x) \ge g^T(y - x)\}\$$

defines a halfspace  $\{z \mid b \geq a^Tz\}$ . It's easy to see that the complement  $H^c_y = \{g \mid f(y) - f(x) < g^T(y-x)\}$  is an open set since, for  $a_x < b_x$ ,  $a_x, b_x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\forall x \in H_y^c, \ \exists (a_x, b_x) \subset H_y^c.$$

Therefore, each  $H_y$  must be a closed set. Next, note that we may express  $\partial f(x)$  as the intersection of all halfspaces  $H_y$  over all  $y \in \text{dom}(f)$ , i.e.,

$$\partial f(x) = \left\{ g \mid f(y) \ge f(x) - g^T(y - x), \ \forall y \in \text{dom}(f) \right\}$$
$$= \bigcap_{y \in \text{dom}(f)} \left\{ g \mid f(y) \ge f(x) - g^T(y - x) \right\}.$$

Recall that a (potentially uncountable) intersection of closed sets is closed. Therefore,  $\partial f(x)$  is indeed a closed set, as desired.

### 1.1.(ii)

Note that f is differentiable for all  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore, the subgradient of f at x is simply the gradient given by

$$\nabla f = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}.$$

However, if x = 0, we apply the definition of the subgradient

$$\partial f(0) = \left\{ z \mid f(y) \ge f(0) + z^T (y - 0), \ \forall y \in \text{dom}(f) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ z \mid ||y||_2 \ge z^T y, \ \forall y \in \text{dom}(f) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ z \mid 1 \ge ||z||_2 \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\|x\|_2} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ \{z \mid \|z\|_2 \le 1\} & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

as desired.

#### 1.1.(iii)

Let p, q > 0 be conjugates so that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then, we can express the p-norm through the q-norm via the relationship

$$||x||_p = \max_{||z||_q \le 1} z^T x.$$

To prove Holder's inequality we define vectors z and w such that

$$z = \frac{x}{\|x\|_p} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{y}{\|y\|_q}.$$

Hence, by Young's inequality,

$$\sum_{k} |z_k w_k| \le \sum_{k} \left( \frac{|z_k|^p}{p} + \frac{|w_k|^q}{q} \right).$$

However, by construction we find that both z and w have unit length

$$||z||_p^p = 1$$
 and  $||w||_q^q = 1$ .

Thus,

$$\sum_{k} |z_k w_k| = \sum_{k} \left( \frac{|z_k|^p}{p} + \frac{|w_k|^q}{q} \right) = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

so

$$sum_k |z_k w_k| \leq 1.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{k} \left| \frac{x_k}{\|x\|_p} \cdot \frac{y_k}{\|y\|_q} \right| \le 1$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{\|x\|_p \|y\|_q} \sum_{k} |x_k y_k| \le 1$$

$$\iff x^T y \le \|x^T y\|_1 \le \|x\|_p \|y\|_q,$$

as desired.

#### 1.1.(iv)

We wish to show that  $g \in \partial f(x) \iff g = \underset{\|z\|_q \le 1}{\arg\max} z^T x$ . Let  $g \in \partial f(x)$ , then

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^{T}(y - x) \iff ||y||_{p} \ge ||x||_{p} + g^{T}(y - x)$$

Taking y = 0

$$0 \ge ||x||_p - g^T x \iff g^T x \ge ||x||_p.$$

Taking y = 2x

$$||2x||_p = 2||x||_p \ge ||x||_p + g^T x \iff g^T x \le ||x||_p.$$

Applying both inequalities we find

$$g^T x = ||x||_p \iff g^T x = \max_{\|z\|_q \le 1} z^T x \iff g = \underset{\|z\|_q < 1}{\arg\max} z^T x.$$

Next, suppose  $g = \underset{\|z\|_q \le 1}{\operatorname{arg\ max}} \ z^T x.$  Then,  $\|g\|_q \le 1$  and

$$g^T x = \|x\|_p.$$

However, recall that  $\partial f(x)$  is defined as the set of vectors z satisfying  $||z||_q \leq 1$  and  $z^T x = ||x||_p$ . Therefore,

$$g \in \partial f(x) = \left\{ z \mid \|z\|_q \le 1 \text{ and } z^T x = \|x\|_p \right\},$$

as desired.

### Question 1.2

NOTE TO SELF: Check http://www.siam.org/books/mo25/mo25\_ch6.pdf, check Theorem 6.6 for 1.2.(iii)

### 1.2.(i)

If  $h(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^TAz + b^Tz + c$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$  then our proximal operator is the minimizer

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x) = \arg\min_{z} \ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_{2}^{2} + t \left( \frac{1}{2} z^{T} A z + b^{T} z + c \right) \right\}.$$

Since the proximal objective is continuous with respect to z, we may simply take the gradient of our objective to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (z - x)^T (z - x) + t \left( z^T A z + b^T z + c \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} z^T z - z^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T x + t \left( z^T A z + b^T z + c \right) \right]$$
$$= z - x + t z^T A + t b$$

Setting this quantity to zero

$$0 = z - x + tAz + tb \implies z = (\mathbb{I} + tA)^{-1} (x - tb).$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x) = (\mathbb{I} + tA)^{-1} (x - tb),$$

as desired.

#### 1.2.(ii)

Taking  $h(z) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log z_i$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , we seek to solve the proximal operator

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x) = \arg\min_{z} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_{2}^{2} - t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log z_{i} \right\}.$$

Noting that the objective is once again continuous (on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ ), we take the gradient with respect to each  $z_i$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_2^2 - t \sum_{i=1}^n \log z_i \right] = z_i - x_i - \frac{t}{z_i}.$$

Setting this equal to zero yields

$$0 = z_i - x_i - \frac{t}{z_i} \iff z_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_i - \sqrt{x_i^2 - 4t} \right).$$

Thus, for i = 1, ..., n, we find the  $i^{th}$  component of the proximal operator to be

$$\left[\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x)\right]_i = \frac{1}{2}\left(x_i - \sqrt{x_i^2 - 4t}\right),\,$$

as desired.

#### 1.2.(iii)

Consider the proximal operator

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x) = \operatorname*{arg\;min}_{z} \; \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_{2}^{2} + t \|z\|_{2} \right\}.$$

Recall that we had found the subgradient of  $||z||_2$  to be

$$\partial h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{\|z\|_2} & \text{if } z \neq 0\\ \{g \mid 1 \ge \|g\|_2\} & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Omitting the point z = 0 we take the derivative of our loss function and set it to zero,

$$0 = (z - x) + t \frac{z}{\|z\|_2}.$$

To solve this equality we consider the polar transform  $x\mapsto (r_x,\theta_x)$  such that

$$r_x = ||x||_2$$

and

$$\theta_x = \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).$$

#### 1.2.(iv)

Finally, consider  $h(z) = t||z||_0$  in the proximal operator

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(x) = \arg\min_{z} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|z - x\|_{2}^{2} + t \|z\|_{0} \right\},\,$$

where  $||z||_0$  denotes the sum of indicators

$$h(z) = ||z||_0 = \sum_i \mathbb{I}_{\{z_i \neq 0\}}.$$

Note that,

$$t \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\{z_i \neq 0\}} = \begin{cases} t, & z_i \neq 0 \\ 0, & z_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

We can express this indicator as the sum  $t \cdot \mathbb{I}(z_i) = t \cdot \mathbb{J}(z_i) + t$  for  $\mathbb{J}$  given by

$$t \cdot \mathbb{J}(z_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & z_i \neq 0 \\ -t, & z_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

## Section 2: Properties of Proximal Mappings and Subgradients

Question 2.1

Question 2.2

Question 2.3

## Section 3: Properties of Lasso

### Question 3.1

First, note that the Lagrangian of the Lasso problem is

$$\widehat{\beta} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \left\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \beta \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \left\| \beta \right\|_{1} \right\}$$

for centered response vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and centered design matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ . The solution  $\widehat{\beta}_j$ , j = 1, ..., p, to the above minimization problem must satisfy the subgradient condition

$$0 = -\frac{1}{n} \left\langle X_j, \mathbf{y} - X_j \widehat{\beta}_j \right\rangle + \lambda s_j,$$

where  $X_j$  denotes the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column/predictor of **X** and  $s_j$  is

$$s_j = \operatorname{sign}\left(\widehat{\beta}_j\right).$$

Therefore, for  $\widehat{\beta}_j=0,\,j=1,...,p,$  we find that  $\lambda$  must satisfy

$$0 = -\frac{1}{n} \langle X_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda s_j.$$

and so, for  $\hat{\beta}_j \equiv 0$ , we find

$$\lambda = \left| \frac{1}{n} \left\langle X_j, \mathbf{y} \right\rangle \right|.$$

Hence, for all  $\hat{\beta}_j \equiv 0$  we must set

$$\lambda_{\max} = \max_{j} \left| \frac{1}{n} \left\langle X_{j}, \mathbf{y} \right\rangle \right|,$$

as desired.

### Question 3.2

3.2.(a)

Suppose solutions  $\widehat{\beta},\,\widehat{\gamma}$  have common optimum  $c^*$  such that

$$\mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta} \neq \mathbf{X}\widehat{\gamma}.$$

Recall that the squared-loss function  $f(a) = ||y - a||_2^2$  is strictly convex, and that the  $\ell_1$  norm is convex, implying that the lasso minimization problem must also be strictly convex. Therefore, the solution set  $\mathcal{B}$  to the lasso problem must also be convex. Thus, by convexity of  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\alpha \widehat{\beta} + (1 - \alpha)\widehat{\gamma} \in \mathcal{B}$$

for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \left[\alpha \widehat{\beta} + (1 - \alpha)\widehat{\gamma}\right] \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\alpha \widehat{\beta} + (1 - \alpha)\widehat{\gamma}\|_{1} < \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\widehat{\beta}\|_{1}\right) + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\gamma}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\widehat{\gamma}\|_{1}\right) \\
= \alpha c^{*} + (1 - \alpha)c^{*} \\
= c^{*}.$$

This implies that the solution of  $\alpha \hat{\beta} + (1 - \alpha) \hat{\gamma}$  attains a new optima  $c^{\text{new}} < c^*$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must conclude

$$\mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta} = \mathbf{X}\widehat{\gamma},$$

as desired.

#### 3.2.(b)

The statement  $\|\widehat{\beta}\|_1 = \|\widehat{\gamma}\|_1$ , for  $\lambda > 0$ , is directly implied by the above proof. Specifically, since  $\mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta} = \mathbf{X}\widehat{\gamma}$ , we must have that both solutions must have the same squared residuals

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\widehat{\gamma}\|_2^2,$$

and since both Lagrangian loss functions attain the same optimum  $c^*$  we find that the penalty terms must also be equal

$$\lambda \|\widehat{\beta}\|_1 = \lambda \|\widehat{\gamma}\|_1,$$

as desired.

## Section 4: Convergence Rates for Proximal Gradient Descent

Question 4.(a)

Question 4.(b)

Question 4.(c)

Question 4.(d)

Question 4.(e)

Question 4.(f)

## Section 5: Proximal Gradient Descent for Group Lasso

### Question 5.(a)

Consider design matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$  split in J groups such that we may express as

$$X = [\mathbf{1} \ X_{(1)} \ X_{(2)} \ \cdots \ X_{(J)}],$$

where  $\mathbf{1} = [1, ..., 1] \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X_{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_j}$  for  $\sum_j^J p_j = p$ . The *group lasso* problem seeks to estimate grouped coefficients  $\beta = \left[\beta_{(0)}, \beta_{(1)}, ..., \beta_{(J)}\right]$  through the minimization problem

$$\widehat{\beta} = \operatorname*{arg\ min}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \left\{ g(\beta) + h(\beta) \right\},\,$$

such that q is a convex and differentiable loss function, and the group-lasso-specific h is defined as

$$h(\beta) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_j \|\beta_{(j)}\|_2,$$

for tuning parameter  $\lambda > 0$  and weights  $w_i > 0$ .

### 5.(a).1

Recall that for convex, differentiable g and convex h, we define the proximal operator of the minimization problem

$$\min_{\beta} f(\beta) = \min_{x} \left\{ g(\beta) + h(\beta) \right\}$$

to be the mapping

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(\beta) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \beta - z \right\|_{2}^{2} + t \cdot h(z) \right\}.$$

Therefore, to find the proximal operator for the group lasso problem we seek to solve

$$\operatorname{prox}_{h,t}(\beta) = \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta - z\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda t \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_{j} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2} \right\}.$$

Proceeding in the typical manner, we find the subgradient of the corresponding objective function to our proximal operator (with respect to group component (j))

$$\partial_{(j)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta - z\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda t \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_{j} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2} \right\} = \beta_{(j)} - z_{(j)} + \lambda t \cdot \partial_{(j)} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_{j} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2} \right\}$$
$$= \beta_{(j)} - z_{(j)} + \lambda t w_{j} \cdot \partial_{(j)} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2}.$$

From question 1.1.(ii) we find the final subgradient to be

$$\partial_{(j)} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{z_{(j)}}{\|z_{(j)}\|_{2}} & \text{if } z_{(j)} \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \{v : \|v\|_{2} \leq 1\} & \text{if } z_{(j)} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if  $z_{(j)} \neq \mathbf{0}$  we find the subgradient to be

$$\partial_{(j)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta - z\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda t \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_{j} \|z_{(j)}\|_{2} \right\} = \beta_{(j)} - z_{(j)} + \lambda t w_{j} \frac{z_{(j)}}{\|z_{(j)}\|_{2}}.$$

We obtain the proximal operator by setting this quantity to zero, yielding optimum

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \beta_{(j)} - z_{(j)} + \lambda t w_j \frac{z_{(j)}}{\left\| z_{(j)} \right\|_2} \\ \iff z_{(j)} &= \left[ \widetilde{S}_{\lambda t} \left( \beta \right) \right]_{(j)}, \end{split}$$

where  $\widetilde{S}$  is the group soft thresholding operator

$$\left[\widetilde{S}_{\lambda t}\left(\beta\right)\right]_{(j)} = \begin{cases} \beta_{(j)} - \lambda t w_{j} \frac{\beta_{(j)}}{\left\|\beta_{(j)}\right\|_{2}} & \text{if } \left\|\beta_{(j)}\right\|_{2} > \lambda t \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that in the case where J=p we find  $\beta_{(j)}=\beta_j\in\mathbb{R},$  so

$$\frac{\beta_{(j)}}{\left\|\beta_{(j)}\right\|_{2}} = \frac{\beta_{j}}{\left\|\beta_{j}\right\|_{2}} = \frac{\beta_{j}}{\left|\beta_{j}\right|} = \operatorname{sign}\left(\beta_{j}\right) =: s_{j}$$

Therefore,

$$\beta_j - \lambda t w_j \frac{\beta_j}{\|\beta_j\|_2} = \beta_j - \lambda t w_j s_j.$$

So, if we set  $w_j \equiv 1$  for all j, we obtain

$$\left[\widetilde{S}_{\lambda t}\left(\beta\right)\right]_{j} = \begin{cases} \beta_{j} - \lambda t s_{j} & \text{if } \beta_{j} > \lambda t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which is precisely the proximal operator for the (ungrouped) lasso problem.

## Question 5.(i)

For  $g(\beta) = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2$  we find the gradient

$$\begin{split} \nabla g(\beta) &= \nabla \left(y - X\beta\right)^T \left(y - X\beta\right) \\ &= \nabla \left[y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y + \beta^T X^T X\beta\right] \\ &= -X^T y + X^T X\beta, \end{split}$$

as desired.

- 5.(i).(b)
- 5.(i).(a)
- 5.(i).(c)
- 5.(i).(d)

## Question 5.3

5.3.(i).(a)

$$\nabla g(\beta) = \nabla \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} -y_i X_i \beta + \log \left( 1 + \exp \left\{ X_i \beta \right\} \right) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} -y_i X_i + \frac{X_i \exp \left\{ X_i \beta \right\}}{1 + \exp \left\{ X_i \beta \right\}}$$

- 5.3.(i).(b)
- 5.3.(i).(c)

# Section 6: Practice with KKT Conditions and Duality