

# A partially marginalized likelihood for exoplanet inference

David W. Hogg (NYU)

*with help from Paul Baines (Davis) and everyone else at SAMSI Kepler*

**disclaimer:** This document is a draft, and not yet ready for public consumption. In particular, any use of the content in this document might represent a serious lapse in judgement.

**abstract:** We present a likelihood function for the time-series photometry that makes up a lightcurve from the *Kepler* mission (or similar experiment). The function includes parameters that describe stochastic stellar variability and parameters that describe periodic exoplanet (or other companion) transits. The stellar variability model is a Gaussian Process in a wavelet basis that is capable of modeling non-trivial time correlations with a diagonal covariance matrix. These choices make it possible to *marginalize out* all stellar-variability parameters, leaving the user with a flexible likelihood function parameterized only by exoplanet parameters. We show **something non-trivial**.

## 1 generalities

There are  $N$  observations  $Y_n$  of a single star taken at times  $t_n$ . The model is

$$Y_n = [1 - Q(t_n | \omega)] F(t_n | \alpha) + e_n \quad , \quad (1)$$

where  $Q(\cdot | \omega)$  is a function that describes the attenuation of the starlight caused by the transiting exoplanet,  $\omega$  is a blob of parameters describing an exoplanet's size and orbit,  $F(\cdot | \alpha)$  is a function that describes the apparent brightness of the star as a function of time,  $\alpha$  is a blob of parameters describing the stellar mean flux and variability, and  $e_n$  is the noise contribution to the  $n$ th datum (coming from photon and read noise, among other things). This description—plus a model for the noise—will lead to a justifiable likelihood function. If we model the noise contributions as being Gaussian and

independent with known variances  $\sigma_n^2$ , the likelihood function becomes

$$p(\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^N | \omega, \alpha, \varphi) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(Y_n | \omega, \alpha, \varphi) \quad (2)$$

$$p(Y_n | \omega, \alpha, \varphi) = \mathcal{N}(Y_n | \mu_n, \sigma_n^2) \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_n \equiv [1 - Q(t_n | \omega)] F(t_n | \alpha) \quad , \quad (4)$$

where  $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^N$  is the set of all data,  $\varphi$  is an enormous blob of hyperparameters that includes all our decision-making and assumptions (and more, soon), the product in the likelihood encodes our “independent noise” assumption, and  $\mathcal{N}(x | m, V)$  is the Gaussian for  $x$  with mean  $m$  and variance  $V$ ,

By assumption, we care only about the exoplanet parameters  $\omega$  and not in the least about the star parameters  $\alpha$ . We marginalize by

$$p(\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^N | \omega, \varphi) = \int p(\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^N | \omega, \alpha, \varphi) p(\alpha | \varphi) d\alpha \quad , \quad (5)$$

where we have had to introduce a prior PDF  $p(\alpha | \varphi)$  for the star parameters. This prior will in general depend on the hyperparameters  $\varphi$ , which is a very good thing (because learning can happen there). The object defined in (5) is the *partially marginalized likelihood* we seek.

The considerations (1) that marginalization is paramount, and (2) that the variability of the star is stochastic, lead us naturally to think about Gaussian Processes. In a Gaussian process in this context, there are hyperparameters controlling a non-trivial covariance matrix in the space of the data, and the star is modeled (in a prior sense) as a Gaussian draw from this covariance matrix. The brilliant idea generated at SAMSI is that we can model a non-trivial covariance matrix, which will be dense in the original data space, with a trivial, *diagonal* covariance matrix in a different basis. For all sorts of good reasons, we will think about wavelet bases, but what follows is pretty general.

Because we are about to get all linear-algebra-y, let’s make some notation changes: Instead of the “set” of data  $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^N$  we will move to thinking about the  $N$  data points as components of a column vector  $y$ . Instead of the “function”  $Q(\cdot | \omega)$ , we will think of the column vector  $q$ . Instead of individual scalar noise variances, we will think of variance tensors.

We are going to transform to another basis; that is, we are going to “rotate” between the time basis in which vector  $y$  lives to a basis-function-amplitude vector  $a$  wavelet-amplitude by a transformation like

$$y \leftarrow W \cdot a \quad , \quad (6)$$

where  $W$  is a matrix of “weights” or basis functions, and  $a$  is a vector of basis-function amplitudes. If this transformation is unitary, the inverse is

$$a \leftarrow W^\top \cdot y \quad . \quad (7)$$

In the real world, to make the transformation unitary, the user either must have evenly spaced, homoskedastic data (all  $\sigma_n^2$  identical), or else build a unique, inhomogeneous wavelet basis customized to every non-uniform, heteroskedastic data set or time series.

In this linear algebra context, we can write the unmarginalized likelihood (2) as

$$p(y \mid \omega, \alpha, \varphi) = \mathcal{N}(y \mid m, V) \quad (8)$$

$$m \equiv \bar{f}[O - q] \quad (9)$$

$$V \equiv \Psi + W \cdot \Phi \cdot W^\top \quad , \quad (10)$$

where  $m$  and  $V$  are the mean vector and variance tensor of the Gaussian Process,  $\bar{f}$  is the mean stellar flux,  $O$  is the  $N$ -dimensional vector of ones (unities),  $q$  is the column vector made up of the  $Q(t_n \mid \omega)$  values,  $\Psi$  is the diagonal noise variance tensor with  $\sigma_n^2$  values down the diagonal,  $W$  is the linear operator that transforms points from the (natural) time basis to the wavelet basis, and  $\Phi$  is the diagonal tensor of variances appropriate to the wavelet components. That is, down the diagonal of  $\Phi$  are the variances from which, in a prior sense, the amplitudes of the wavelets are expected to be drawn, in the absence of (or prior to) data. Another way to put it is that the variance tensor  $V$  is dense, but it is made from the two diagonal tensors  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$ , which are diagonal in different bases.

In what follows, we are going to treat the diagonal data noise variance tensor  $\Psi$  as known, the wavelet basis  $W$  as fixed, but parameterize and permit to be fit the Gaussian Process variance tensor  $\Phi$ .

## 2 *Keplerspecifics*

hoo haw