

# Session Types

What They Are and Why They Matter

## What Are Session Types?

Session types are like types for conversations.

They describe the *structure* of communication between two or more parties.

- ▶ Specify message **order**
- ▶ Specify message **type**
- ▶ Prevent communication mismatches at compile time

## Example Scenario

### **Client-Server Authentication Protocol**

Steps:

1. Client sends "LOGIN"
2. Server sends "Username?"
3. Client sends username
4. Server sends "Password?"
5. Client sends password
6. Server responds with "Success" or "Failure"
7. Session ends

# Server Session Type

```
?LOGIN;  
!Username?;  
?String;  
!Password?;  
?String;  
!(Success | Failure);  
end
```

- ▶ ? means receive
- ▶ ! means send

## Client Session Type (Dual)

Each side's behavior is dual (send  $\leftrightarrow$  receive)

```
!LOGIN;  
?Username?;  
!String;  
?Password?;  
!String;  
?(Success | Failure);  
end
```

## What Happens Without Session Types?

A buggy client might:

```
send("LOGIN")  
send("WrongOrderData")  # mistake: didn't wait for prompt  
send("Username")  
send("Password")  
response = receive()
```

## What Goes Wrong?

- ▶ Messages sent in the wrong order
- ▶ Server misinterprets data
- ▶ No error until runtime
- ▶ Authentication fails or the server crashes

## What Session Types Prevent

- ▶ Sending before receiving
- ▶ Receiving unexpected messages
- ▶ Mismatched types
- ▶ Deadlocks from bad coordination

# Summary

| <b>With Session Types</b> | <b>Without Session Types</b> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Checked at compile time   | Fails at runtime             |
| Order is enforced         | Order must be remembered     |
| Dual types align roles    | Manual protocol matching     |
| Communication is safe     | Easy to desynchronize        |



# Takeaway

Session types give you:

- ▶ A blueprint for communication
- ▶ Compile-time guarantees
- ▶ Fewer bugs in concurrent systems

Build conversations that work by design.