

Homework 3  
SUBJECT: Dennis George Part 1 Proof  
DATE: / /

- $\Phi_1 \quad p3 \dots p5 \rightarrow \text{wantp} = 1$
- $\Phi_2 \quad \text{wantp} = 1 \rightarrow p3 \dots p5$
- $\Phi_3 \quad p3 \dots p5 \leftrightarrow \text{wantp} = 1 \quad \text{and}$   
 $q3 \dots q5 \leftrightarrow \text{wantq} = -1$
- $\Phi_4 \quad \neg(p4 \wedge q4)$

Proof of  $\Phi_1$

$\Phi_1 \quad p3 \dots p5 \rightarrow \text{wantp} = 1$

Base Case

$p3 \dots p5$  is false, so  $\Phi_1$  is true

Inductive Step

1.  $q$  doesn't matter because it doesn't change  $p1$

2.  $p1 \rightarrow p2$  doesn't change  $\Phi_1$

3.  $p2 \rightarrow p3$   $p3 \dots p5$  is true and  $\text{wantp} = 1$  is true  $T \rightarrow T$

4.  $p3 \rightarrow p4$  doesn't change  $\text{wantp}$ . Still true

5.  $p4 \rightarrow p5$  doesn't change  $\text{wantp}$ . Still true

6.  $p5 \rightarrow p1$   $p3 \dots p5$  becomes false  $\Phi_1$  is true

Therefore  $\Phi_1$  is true

SUBJECT:

DATE: / /

Proof of  $\Phi_2$  ( $\text{want}_p = 1 \rightarrow p_3 \dots p_5$ )

Base Case

$\text{want}_p = 1$  is false so  $\Phi_2$  is true

Inductive Step

Whenever we change  $\text{want}_p$  to equal 1 in the program, he must be within  $p_3 \dots p_5$ .  
Whenever  $\text{want}_p \neq 1$ ,  $\Phi_2$  is true

Therefore  $\Phi_2$  is true

With  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  both being true,  $\Phi_3$  is true by deduction  
 $Q$  is symmetrical to  $p$  and can be assumed to work w/ above proofs

Therefore  $\Phi_3$  is true

$p_3 \dots p_5 \leftrightarrow \text{want}_p = 1$

$q_3 \dots q_5 \leftrightarrow \text{want}_q = 1$

SUBJECT:

DATE: / /

## Proof of $\Phi_4$ (ME)

Contradiction:

Assume  $p_4 \wedge q_4$

(Case 1)  $p_3 \rightarrow p_4, q_4$

$\Phi_3$  gives us that  $want_q = -1$

$p_3 \rightarrow p_4$  implies that  $want_p \neq want_q$

in order for  $q$  to be at  $q_4$ ,

$want_p = 1 \wedge want_q = -1$ . This breaks  $\Phi_3$

(Case 2)  $p_4, q_3 \rightarrow q_4$

Same logic as case 1 but mirrored

End of proof by contradiction

$\Phi_4$  must be true and ME is upheld