

**Problem 1**

(a) We parametrize the two curves. In particular,  $\Gamma_2$  is simple, but we have to define  $\Gamma_1$  as the sum of two smooth curves. Let the parameterizing constants be  $t_2$  and  $t_1$  respectively. Then,

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{cases} e^{it_1} & 0 \leq t_1 < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ i \sin(t_1) & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq t_1 \leq \pi \end{cases} \quad \Gamma_2 = 1 - t_2$$

where  $t_1 \in [0, \pi]$  and  $t_2 \in [0, 1]$ .

(b) The computation of the line integral of  $f(z) = z^2$  is done using the definition, and by splitting  $\Gamma_1$  into its parts. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (e^{it_1})^2 (ie^{it_1}) dt_1 + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} (i \sin(t_1))^2 (i \cos(t_1)) dt_1 \\ &= i \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{3it_1} dt_1 - i \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \sin^2(t_1) \cos(t_1) dt_1 \\ &= i \frac{1}{3i} e^{3it_1} \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} - i \frac{1}{3} \sin^3(t_1) \Big|_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (\cos(3t_1) + i \sin(3t_1)) \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} - \frac{i}{3} (-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (0 - i - 1 - i(0)) + \frac{i}{3} = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{i}{3} + \frac{i}{3} = -\frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we do the same computation for  $\Gamma_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz &= \int_0^1 (1 - t_2)^2 (-1) dt_2 = - \int_0^1 (1 - 2t_2 + t_2^2) dt_2 \\ &= - \int_0^1 dt_2 + 2 \int_0^1 t_2 dt_2 - \int_0^1 t_2^2 dt_2 \\ &= -1 + 2 \frac{1}{2} (1) - \frac{1}{3} (1) = -\frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

(c) Similar to (b), we apply the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_1} g(z) dz &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (e^{it_1})(e^{-it_1})(ie^{it_1}) dt_1 + i \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \sin^2(t_1) \cos(t_1) dt_1 \\ &= i \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{it_1} dt_1 + i \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \sin^2(t_1) \cos(t_1) dt_1 \\ &= i \frac{1}{i} e^{it_1} \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + i \frac{1}{3} \sin^3(t_1) \Big|_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\cos(t_1) + i \sin(t_1)) \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + \frac{i}{3}(-1) \\
 &= (0 + i - 1 - 0) - \frac{i}{3} = -1 + \frac{2i}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

and again for  $\Gamma_2$  we see

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} g(z) dz = \int_0^1 |1 - t_2|^2 (-1) dt_2 = - \int_0^1 (1 - t_2)^2 dt_2$$

But this is the same integral as before, so

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} g(z) dz = -\frac{1}{3}$$

**(d)** We expect the results we got in both **(b)** and **(c)** due to the Cauchy-Goursat theorem. In particular, since  $f(z)$  is analytic, we know by theorem that the line integral along any closed contour on  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is open and simply connected, will be zero. However, we notice that in **(b)**, if we reversed the direction of one of our curves, say  $\Gamma_2$ , then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$  will be a curve that is closed. Hence, by theorem

$$0 = \oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz - \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz \implies \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz$$

which is reflected in our results. On the other hand, we already have shown in a previous assignment that  $h(z) = |z|$  is not analytic, and hence neither is  $g(z) = |z|^2$ , and thus we wouldn't expect that the integral along different paths be the same.

## Problem 2

**(a)** We can choose any contour  $\Gamma$  as long as  $\Gamma(a) = i$  and  $\Gamma(b) = \frac{i}{2}$ , where  $\Gamma = \alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , since we know that  $f(z) = e^{\pi z}$  is an analytic function. We recall that since  $f(z)$  is analytic, our integral value should be path independent. In particular, we could consider any closed path  $\Gamma$ . Then, by Cauchy's Integral theorem we have that

$$\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

Further, since the integral theorem doesn't require that we have a simple curve  $\Gamma$ , we can partition our curve  $\Gamma$  into two parts. Say  $\Gamma = \alpha(t) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then we can say  $\Gamma_1 = \alpha_1(t) : [a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Gamma_2 = \alpha_2(t) : [c, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < c < b$ ,  $\alpha(a) = \alpha_1(a) = \alpha_2(b)$  and  $\alpha_1(c) = \alpha_2(c)$ . Then applying what we know from Cauchy's Integral theorem and that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ , we get

$$\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz + \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz = 0 \implies \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = - \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz$$

where the negative is simply a swap in the orientation of the curve  $\Gamma_2$ . Hence, we let  $\Gamma = i(1 - \frac{1}{2}t)$  where we let  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(i(1 - \frac{1}{2}t))(-i\frac{1}{2}) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^1 e^{\pi(i-\frac{i}{2}t)}(-i\frac{1}{2})dt = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 e^{i\pi} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}t} dt \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \cos\left(\frac{t\pi}{2}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{t\pi}{2}\right) \right) dt = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) dt \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) \Big|_0^1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) \Big|_0^1 \right) = \frac{i}{\pi}(1) - \frac{1}{\pi}(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{i}{\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) We recall that composition of analytic functions will be analytic. Further, we see that none of the composed functions have discontinuities, and are hence analytic over all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is simply connected and open. We can apply Cauchy's Integral theorem, and hence can conclude that any line integral over a closed contour of  $f(z) = \exp(\sin(\cos^2(z)))$  will be

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \exp(\sin(\cos^2(z))) dz = 0$$

$\forall \Gamma = \alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$ .

(c) Again, since our closed curve  $\Gamma$  is in the first quadrant we have that necessarily our closed contour *does not* include the point  $z = -3$ , which is the point at which the function  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z+3}$  will not be analytic. This function can be thought of as being the composition between  $\frac{1}{z}$  and  $z+3$  which are both analytic over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, since the first quadrant, defined explicitly by  $Q_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Im\{z\} > 0, \Re\{z\} > 0\}$  is open and simply connected, and that  $f(z)$  is further analytic over this open and simply connected set, we can apply Cauchy's integral theorem,

$$\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

where  $\Gamma \subset Q_1$ .

(d) We have that  $f(x+iy) = 2xy^3 + iy$ , and to we can parameterize our curve with

$$\Gamma : \alpha(t) = \cos(t) - i \sin(t) \quad t \in [0, 2\pi)$$

where we can let  $\alpha_1(t) = \cos(t)$  and  $\alpha_2(t) = -\sin(t)$ . Then, in particular

$$f(\alpha(t)) = 2(\alpha_1(t))(\alpha_2(t))^3 + i\alpha_2(t) \quad \& \quad \alpha'(t) = -\sin(t) - i\cos(t)$$

then putting these together

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz &= \int_0^{2\pi} (-2\cos(t)\sin^3(t) - i\sin(t))(-\sin(t) - i\cos(t)) dt \\
&= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(t)\sin^4(t) dt + i \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(t) dt + 2i \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2(t)\sin^2(t) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(t)\cos(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that there are immediately 3 integrals that we can assume 0 since they are odd and over the period, thus we are left with,

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = i \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(t) dt = i \left( \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^2 \right) = i\pi^2$$

**(BONUS)**

Assume that  $f(z)$  has an anti-derivative, and call it  $F(z)$  such that  $F'(z) = f(z)$   $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , which is from definition. Consider the differentiability of  $f(z)$ , we write out the Cauchy-Riemann equations for  $f(x + iy) = x - 2xyi$  and see

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= 1 & v_x &= -2y \\ u_y &= 0 & v_y &= -2x \end{aligned}$$

However, by theorem, we have that if  $f(z)$  were analytic at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the C-R equations exist at  $z$  and are satisfied at this  $z$ . However, we see that this is not true  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ , and hence by contra-positive, we can claim that  $f(z)$  is not analytic over  $\mathbb{C}$ , which would imply that  $F(z)$  is not analytic over  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is a direct contradiction. Thus,  $f(z)$  does not have an anti-derivative over  $\mathbb{C}$ .