(a) Following the method done in Assignment 3 Question 5, we see that we can multiply the equation through by  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  to get

$$xM'' + M' + \frac{\lambda}{x}M = 0$$

But we notice that this can be reduced to.

$$-\frac{d}{dx}\left(xM'\right) = \frac{\lambda}{x}M$$

Which if we compare with the general Sturm-Liouville problem, we see that in this case we have  $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  as required.

(b) We assume that  $x = e^z$ . Substituting this into our PDE

$$-\frac{d}{dx}(xM') = \frac{\lambda}{x}M \quad \to \quad -\frac{d}{dz}(M') = \lambda M$$

We can see this from the following

$$dx = e^z dz$$
 &  $\frac{dM}{dx} = \frac{dM}{dz} \frac{dz}{dx}$ 

and so

$$-\frac{d}{dz}\frac{dz}{dx}\left(e^z\frac{dM}{dz}\frac{dz}{dx}\right) = \frac{\lambda}{e^z}M \quad \to \quad -e^{-z}\frac{d}{dz}(e^ze^{-z}M') = e^{-z}\lambda M$$

And thus we have that

$$-M'' = \lambda M$$

We recognize this ODE and see that the solution must be

$$M(z) = A\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}z) + B\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}z)$$

(c) We have our boundary conditions, but when x = 1 we see that z = 0 and when x = L we have  $z = \ln(L)$ . Hence,

$$M(0) = A\cos(0) + B\sin(0) = 0 \implies A = 0$$

Further, we have that

$$M(\ln(L)) = B\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}\ln(L)) = 0 \implies \sqrt{\lambda}\ln(L) = n\pi \implies \lambda_n = \frac{n^2\pi^2}{(\ln(L))^2}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  with associated eigenfunction  $M_n = \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z)$ .

(d) To see that this does indeed obey the orthogonality principle, assume that  $m \neq n$  where  $m.n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,

$$(M_n, M_m) = \int_0^{\ln(L)} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi z}{\ln(L)}\right) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi z}{\ln(L)}\right) dz = 0$$

since we have two odd functions and we integrate over their period.

First we need that the operator  $\frac{1}{r}L$  is self-adjoint. So we show this property first. Assume two functions in our function space f(r) and g(r). Then,

$$\left(f,\frac{1}{r}L[g]\right) = \int_V f\frac{1}{r}L[g]rdr = \int_V f\left(-\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right) + \frac{n^2}{r}g\right)dr = -\int_V f\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right)dr + \int_V \frac{n^2}{r}fgdr = \int_V f\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right)dr + \int_V \frac{n^2}{r}fgdr = \int_V f\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right)dr = \int_V f\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{d$$

On the first integral we can use integration by parts,

$$-\int_{V} f \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dg}{dr} \right) dr = -f \left( r \frac{dg}{dr} \right) \Big|_{\partial V} + \int_{V} r \frac{df}{dr} \frac{dg}{dr} dr$$

integrate by parts again,

$$-\int_{V}f\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right)dr = -f\left(r\frac{dg}{dr}\right)\bigg|_{\partial V} + r\frac{df}{dr}g\bigg|_{\partial V} - \int_{V}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{df}{dr}\right)gdr$$

where our terms on the boundary will vanish leaving us with

$$-\int_{V} f \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{dg}{dr} \right) dr = -\int_{V} g \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{df}{dr} \right) dr$$

and so our inner product becomes

$$\left(f,\frac{1}{r}L[g]\right) = -\int_{V}g\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{df}{dr}\right)dr + \int_{V}\frac{n^{2}}{r}fgdr = \int_{V}g\left(-\frac{d}{dr}\left(r\frac{df}{dr}\right) + \frac{n^{2}}{r}f\right)dr$$

which by definition of our operator is

$$\left(f, \frac{1}{r}L[g]\right) = \int_{V} g \frac{1}{r}L[f]rdr = \left(\frac{1}{r}L[f], g\right)$$

which gives us our self-adjoint property. Now, say that  $M_n$  and  $M_m$  are solutions to the eigenvalue problem such that

$$\frac{1}{r}LM_n = \lambda_n M_n \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{r}LM_m = \lambda_m M_m$$

where  $\lambda_m \neq \lambda_n$ . Further, we know that  $\frac{1}{r}L$  is self-adjoint, hence

$$\left(M_n, \frac{1}{r}LM_m\right) = \left(\frac{1}{r}LM_n, M_m\right)$$
$$\left(M_n, \lambda_m M_m\right) = (\lambda_n M_n, M_m)$$
$$\left(M_n, \lambda_m M_m\right) - (\lambda_n M_n, M_m) = 0$$
$$\lambda_m (M_n, M_m) - \lambda_n (M_n, M_m) = 0$$
$$(\lambda_m - \lambda_n)(M_n, M_m) = 0$$

But by assumption  $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$  so we see that  $(M_n, M_m) = 0$  and hence the two eigenfunctions will be orthogonal.

(a) To see that  $\mathcal{L}$  is indeed self-ajoint we apply the definition of inner product. First let f and g be functions of  $\vec{x}$ , then we see

$$\left(f, \frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[g]\right) = \int_{V} f \frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[g]\rho dV = \int_{V} f\left(-\vec{\nabla}\cdot(p\vec{\nabla}g) + qg\right) dV = \int_{V} f\left(-\vec{\nabla}\cdot(p\vec{\nabla}g)\right) dV + \int_{V} fqg dV$$

We know use the vector identity  $\nabla \cdot (\psi \mathbf{A}) = \psi(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \psi)$ , which gives us

$$\left(f,\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[g]\right) = -\int_{V} \left(\vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g) - p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}f\right)dV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g\cdot\vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} fqgdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} fqgdV + \int_{V} fqgdV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla}\cdot(fp\vec{\nabla}g)dV + \int_{V} fqgdV + \int_$$

Now we apply the Divergence theorem to the first term,

$$\begin{split} \left(f, \frac{1}{\rho} \mathcal{L}[g]\right) &= -\oint_{\partial V} f p \vec{\nabla} g \cdot \vec{n} dS + \int_{V} p \vec{\nabla} g \cdot \vec{\nabla} f dV + \int_{V} f q g dV \\ &= -\oint_{\partial V} f p \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} dS + \int_{V} p \vec{\nabla} g \cdot \vec{\nabla} f dV + \int_{V} f q g dV \end{split}$$

We now apply the boundary condition to replace  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial n}$  with  $-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}g$ , but the by commutativity and associativity, we shift the  $-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  to the f and again apply the boundary condition to get  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial n}$ ,

$$\left(f, \frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[g]\right) = -\oint_{\partial V} gp\vec{\nabla}f \cdot \vec{n}dS + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g \cdot \vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV$$

apply Divergence theorem again

$$= -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (gp\vec{\nabla}f)dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}g \cdot \vec{\nabla}fdV + \int_{V} fqgdV$$

further, we know  $\nabla g \cdot \nabla f = \nabla f \cdot \nabla g$ , and hence can use the earlier identity in reverse,

$$= -\int_{V} \left( \vec{\nabla} \cdot (g p \vec{\nabla} f) - p \vec{\nabla} f \cdot \vec{\nabla} g \right) dV + \int_{V} g q f dV = -\int_{V} g \left( -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (p \vec{\nabla} f) \right) dV + \int_{V} g q f dV$$

and we apply linearity to get

$$= \int_{V} g\left(-\vec{\nabla}\cdot(p\vec{\nabla}f) + qg\right)dV = \int_{V} g\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[f]\rho dV = \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}[f],g\right)$$

as required.

(b) Now that we have that the operator is self-adjoint, we use the same technique as we did in **Problem 2**. Assume that  $M_n$  and  $M_m$  are eigenfunctions such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}M_n = \lambda_n M_n \quad \& \quad \frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}M_m = \lambda_m M_m$$

where  $\lambda_m \neq \lambda_n$ . Further, we know that  $\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint, hence

$$\left(M_n, \frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}M_m\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\mathcal{L}M_n, M_m\right)$$
$$\left(M_n, \lambda_m M_m\right) = \left(\lambda_n M_n, M_m\right)$$
$$\left(M_n, \lambda_m M_m\right) - \left(\lambda_n M_n, M_m\right) = 0$$
$$\lambda_m (M_n, M_m) - \lambda_n (M_n, M_m) = 0$$
$$\left(\lambda_m - \lambda_n\right) (M_n, M_m) = 0$$

But by assumption  $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$  so we see that  $(M_n, M_m) = 0$  and hence the two eigenfunctions will be orthogonal

(c) We follow a similar procedure to part (a). Start by multiplying the equation in question through by u and integrating over V to get

$$\int_{V} \lambda u^{2} \rho dV = \int_{V} u \mathcal{L} u dV = \int_{V} u \left( -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (p\vec{\nabla}u) + qu \right) dV = \int_{V} u \left( -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (p\vec{\nabla}u) \right) dV + \int_{V} u q u dV$$

We apply the identity from before,  $\nabla \cdot (\psi \mathbf{A}) = \psi(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{A} \cdot (\nabla \psi)$ , which gives us

$$= -\int_{V} \left( \vec{\nabla} \cdot (up\vec{\nabla}u) - p\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{\nabla}u \right) dV + \int_{V} qu^{2} dV = -\int_{V} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (up\vec{\nabla}u) dV + \int_{V} p\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{\nabla}u dV + \int_{V} qu^{2} dV$$

Apply divergence theorem

$$= -\oint_{\partial V} up\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{n}dV + \int_{V} p\left(\vec{\nabla}u\right)^{2} dV + \int_{V} qu^{2} dV$$

and applying boundary conditions we see

$$\lambda \int_{V} u^{2} \rho dV = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \oint_{\partial V} p u^{2} dV + \int_{V} p \left( \vec{\nabla} u \right)^{2} dV + \int_{V} q u^{2} dV$$

where explicitly for  $\lambda$  we get

$$\lambda = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \oint_{\partial V} pu^2 dV + \int_V p \left( \vec{\nabla} u \right)^2 dV + \int_V qu^2 dV}{\int_V u^2 \rho dV}$$

where clearly  $\lambda > 0$  since the integrals over the squares of u and its gradient will naturally be > 0 and all of the coefficients are positive by assumption.

(a) Let u(x,t) = M(x)N(t), then plugging this into the PDE yields

$$MN' = DM''N - V_0M'N$$

where we can divide through by MN to get

$$\frac{N'}{N} = \frac{DM'' - V_0 M'}{M}$$

which we can separate using a constant  $-\lambda$ ,

$$N' + \lambda N = 0 \qquad \& \qquad DM'' - V_0 M' + \lambda M = 0$$

Since D and  $V_0$  are constants, we can not simply write the initial sum as a derivative of the product of two functions. Thus this is not a Sturm-Liouville problem.

(b) First we solve the spatial problem. Assume that the solution is of the form  $e^{rx}$ , then

$$e^{rx} \left( Dr^2 - V_0 r + \lambda \right) = 0 \implies r = \frac{V_0 \pm \sqrt{V_0^2 - 4D\lambda}}{2D}$$

Now consider the BCs. We see for non-trivial temporal part,

$$M(0)N(t) = 0$$
  $M(L)N(t) = 0$   $\Longrightarrow$   $M(0) = 0$   $M(L) = 0$ 

But such BCs would require sinusoidal solutions, since the only other option is exponential which will yield the trivial solution with these conditions. Hence, assume that

$$V_0^2 < 4D\lambda$$

hence our solution will be

$$M(x) = A\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D}x\right) + B\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D}x\right)$$

But the first BC,  $M(0) = 0 \implies A = 0$ . The second BC gives us

$$M(L) = B \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D} L \right) = 0 \quad \implies \quad \frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D} L = n\pi$$

$$\implies \lambda_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 D}{L^2} + \frac{V_0^2}{4D} \quad \& \quad M_n(x) = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right)$$

Where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now that we have  $\lambda_n$  we solve the temporal ODE,

$$N' + \lambda N = 0$$
  $\Longrightarrow$   $N_n(t) = Ae^{-\lambda_n t}$ 

(c) Notice that the only thing that we have changed are the boundary conditions,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0,t) = M'(0)N(t) = 0 \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(L,t) = M'(L)N(t) = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad M'(0) = 0 \quad M'(L) = 0$$

due to non-trivial temporal solutions. So we see the first BC will give us

$$M'(0) = -A \frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D} \sin(0) + B \frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D} \cos(0) = 0 \implies B = 0$$

The second BC will give us

$$M'(L) = -A\frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D}L\right)$$

which for non-trivial  $\lambda_n$  we get,

$$\frac{\sqrt{4D\lambda - V_0^2}}{2D}L = n\pi \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lambda_n = \frac{n^2\pi^2D}{L^2} + \frac{V_0^2}{4D}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and the corresponding eigenfunction is

$$M_n = \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right)$$

The temporal ODE will give a solution of

$$N' + \lambda_n N = 0 \implies N_n(t) = Ae^{-\lambda_n t}$$

as expected.

Assume that the solution to this PDE is separable. Then, we can say u(x,t) = M(x)N(t), and plugging this into our PDE we get

$$MN'' - \gamma^2 M''N + c^2 MN = 0$$

we divide through by MN

$$\frac{N''}{N} - \gamma^2 \frac{M''}{M} + c^2 = 0$$

and now separate with  $\lambda$  to get

$$\frac{N''}{N} + c^2 = -\lambda \qquad \& \qquad \gamma^2 \frac{M''}{M} = -\lambda$$

$$N'' + (c^2 + \lambda)N = 0$$
 &  $M'' + \frac{\lambda}{\gamma^2}M = 0$ 

We first solve the spatial ODE, where we recognize that the solution will be

$$M(x) = A\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\gamma}x\right) + B\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\gamma}x\right)$$

Notice that for non-trivial temporal solutions, we have  $u(0,t) = M(0)N(t) = 0 \implies M(0) = 0$  and similarly we get M(L) = 0. The first BC gives us that A = 0, and the second gives

$$M(L) = B \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\gamma}L\right) = 0$$
  $\Longrightarrow$   $\lambda_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \gamma^2}{L^2}$ 

hence we have our eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  with corresponding eigenfunction  $M_n(x) = B \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$ , which we can normalize

$$|M_n(x)| = \sqrt{\int_0^L B^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) dx} = B\sqrt{\int_0^L \frac{1 - \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi x}{L}\right)}{2} dx} = B\sqrt{\frac{L}{2}} = 1$$

hence we see that our normalized eigenfunction will be  $M_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$ . Now we solve the temporal ODE. Again, we recognize the solution as

$$N_n(t) = A_n \cos\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right) + B_n \sin\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right)$$

So the general solution will be

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) N_n(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \left(A_n \cos\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right) + B_n \sin\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right)\right)$$

Applying the first IC we get

$$u(x,0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x)(A_n) \implies f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x)(A_n)$$

To solve for the fourier coeffecient  $A_n$  we take the innerproduct of both sides with the  $n^{\text{th}}$  term  $M_n(x)$  to get

$$(M_n(x), f(x)) = A_n$$

which can be solved with a given explicit f(x). Next we solve for  $B_n$  by using the other IC,

$$u_t(x,0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) \left( (\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda_n}) B \right) - n \right) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) \left( (\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda_n}) B_n \right)$$

again we take the inner product with the  $n^{\text{th}}$  term  $M_n(x)$  to get

$$\frac{(M_n(x), g(x))}{\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda_n}} = B_n$$

which can again be solved for explicitly if we have g(x). We now have everything to solve the PDE,

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \left( (M_n(x), f(x)) \cos\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right) + \frac{(M_n(x), g(x))}{\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda_n}} \sin\left(\sqrt{c^2 + \lambda t}\right) \right)$$

We follow the same procedure as before. Assume a solution of the form u(x,y) = M(x)N(y), which gives us

$$M''N + MN'' = 0$$

which is easily separated into

$$M'' + \lambda M = 0 \qquad \& \qquad N'' - \lambda N = 0$$

We solve the x ODE first. We notice that the solution must be

$$M(x) = A\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}x) + B\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x)$$

The first BC, which for non-trivial N(y) is M(0) = 0, tells us that A = 0. The second BC, through a similar argument, will tell us

$$M(L_x) = B\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}L_x) = 0$$
  $\Longrightarrow$   $\lambda_n = \frac{n^2\pi^2}{L_x^2}$ 

Now that we have our eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  we can normalize the corresponding eigenfunction to get

$$M_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{L_x}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L_x}\right)$$

We now solve the y component. Notice that the general solution will be

$$N_n(y) = A_n e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}y} + B_n e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}y}$$

So our combined solution is

$$u(x,y) = f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) N_n(y)$$

we can now apply the ICs to solve for the Fourier coefficients  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ . The first IC gives

$$u_y(x,0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) \left( \sqrt{\lambda_n} (A_n - B_n) \right)$$

Similar to the previous question, we can solve for  $B_n$  by taking the inner product with  $M_n$ ,

$$\frac{(M_n, f(x))}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} = A_n - B_n$$

$$\frac{(M_n, f(x))}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} + B_n = A_n$$

The second IC tells us

$$u_y(x, L_y) = g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(x) \left( \sqrt{\lambda_n} A_n e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y} - \sqrt{\lambda_n} B_n e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y} \right)$$

Where we again can take the inner product to get

$$(M_n(x), g(x)) = (M_n(x), f(x)) \left( \sqrt{\lambda_n} A_n e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y} - \sqrt{\lambda_n} B_n e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y} \right)$$

$$(M_n(x), g(x)) = \left(\sqrt{\lambda_n} \left(\frac{(M_n, f(x))}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} + B_n\right) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y} - \sqrt{\lambda_n} B_n e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L_y}\right)$$

we now solve for  $B_n$ ,

$$B_n = \frac{(M_n, g(x)) - (M_n, f(x))e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}L_y}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n} \left(e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}L_y} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}L_y}\right)}$$

and hence we have the final solution is just applying these coefficients.