- (a) Since  $\alpha \in K$  is algebraic over F, and  $F \subset E$  as a subfield, then  $\alpha$  is algebraic over E. By definition,  $\exists f(x) \in F[x]$  minimial polynomial of  $\alpha$  over F. There are two cases to consider for this polynomial:
- (i) Suppose f(x) is irreducable in E[x] where the coeffecients of f(x) are sent under the canonical inclusion map. Then, since  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and it is monic by construction, f(x) is the minimal polynomial over E of  $\alpha$ . With this determined

$$[E(\alpha):E] = \deg_E(f(x)) = \deg_F(f(x)) = [F(\alpha):F].$$

(ii) Suppose f(x) is reducable in E[x] where the coeffecients are mapped as before. Then,  $\exists h(x), g(x) \in E[x]$  such that  $\deg_E(h(x)) \ge 1$ , g(x) is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over E and f(x) = h(x)g(x). Therefore,

$$[E(\alpha):E] = \deg_E(g(x)) \leq \deg_E(h(x)g(x)) = \deg_F(f(x)) = [F(\alpha):F].$$

(b) We first note that  $E(\alpha)/E$ ,  $E(\alpha)/F$ ,  $F(\alpha)/F$  and  $E(\alpha)/F(\alpha)$  are all finite extensions. So, we are motivated to apply the Tower Theorem:

$$[E(\alpha):F] = [E(\alpha):F(\alpha)][F(\alpha):F] \ge [E(\alpha):F(\alpha)][F(\alpha):E]$$

where the inequality comes from (a). Then, with another application of the Tower theorem

$$[E(\alpha):F(\alpha)] \leq \frac{[E(\alpha):F]}{[E(\alpha):E]} = \frac{[E(\alpha):E][E:F]}{[E(\alpha):E]} = [E:F]$$

as required.

Since  $F(\alpha, \beta)/F(\alpha)$ ,  $F(\alpha)/F(\beta)$ ,  $F(\alpha)/F$ ,  $F(\beta)/F$ , and  $F(\alpha, \beta)/F$  are all finite extensions, we can apply the Tower Theorem. Let  $\deg_F(\alpha) = m$ , and  $\deg_F(\beta) = n$ , then

$$[F(\alpha, \beta) : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)][F(\alpha) : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)]m$$

$$[F(\alpha, \beta) : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\beta)][F(\beta) : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\beta)]n.$$

So we clearly have that  $n \mid [F(\alpha, \beta) : F]$  and  $m \mid [F(\alpha, \beta) : F]$ , and since these are coprime,  $nm \mid [F(\alpha, \beta) : F]$ .

Now we use the previous question to see that

$$[F(\alpha,\beta):F] = [F(\alpha,\beta):F(\alpha)][F(\alpha):F] \le [F(\beta):F][F(\alpha):F] = mn$$

and since  $mn \mid [F(\alpha, \beta) : F]$ , we have that

$$[F(\alpha,\beta):F] = mn = [F(\beta):F][F(\alpha):F].$$

(a) From the previous assignment, we recall that  $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(i+\sqrt{2})=4$  and  $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\cos(\frac{\pi}{9}))=3$ , which are coprime. So, from the previous lemma

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha,\beta):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(\beta):\mathbb{Q}] = (3)(4) = 12.$$

(b) By the Tower theorem,

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q}):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q}):\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}):\mathbb{Q}].$$

We recognize that each square root of a prime will have a minimal polynomial of order 2, namely  $x^2 - p$  and  $x^2 - q$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So, all we need to show is that this minimal polynomial is the same in the adjoined field over the opposite extension. In particular, in this case we consider  $x^2 - q$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ . Clearly this is still irreducible, as otherwise we would have a power of  $\sqrt{p}$  that is q, but they are distinct primes, and so that can not be the case. Thus,

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q}):\mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p},\sqrt{q}):\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}):\mathbb{Q}] = 2\cdot 2 = 4\,.$$

(c) We notice that this polynomial is reducible, in particular,

$$x^3 + x + 1 = (x+1)(x^2 - x + 2) \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x].$$

Here, we see that  $x^2 - x + 2$  is irreducible and so is x + 1, so we just need to consider  $\alpha$  in these two cases.

Suppose  $\alpha = 2$ , then the minimal polynomial is x + 1, so  $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\alpha) = 1$ , and thus

$$[\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha):\mathbb{Z}_3]=1.$$

Suppose  $\alpha$  is a root of the irreducible and monic  $x^2 - x + 2$ , then we have that

$$\left[\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha):\mathbb{Z}_3\right]=2.$$

(d) Since  $\mathbb{R}(t) = \mathbb{R}(t, t^2)$ , we can think of  $\mathbb{R}(t^2) = F$  as a new field, and then we see that the question becomes to find [F(t): F], which is to say we want a minimal polynomial in F of t. So, what polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}(t^2)[x]$  has a root of t. Well, we see that the natural choice would be  $x^2 - t^2 \in \mathbb{R}(t^2)[x]$ . So, the minimal polynomial, call it p(x), must divide this polynomial, and hence  $\deg_{\mathbb{R}(t^2)}(t) \in \{1, 2\}$ . But, if the degree were 1, then that would imply that  $t \in \mathbb{R}(t^2)$ , which is false, so we must have that the degree of t is 2. Then,  $[\mathbb{R}(t):\mathbb{R}(t^2)] = 2$ , as required.

We build the natural homomorphism and show that it is an isomorphism. That is, for any rational polynomial  $\frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} \in F(\alpha), g(\alpha) \neq 0$ , which is by definition of adjoining, we define the map  $\varphi : F(\alpha) \to F(x)$  by

$$\varphi\left(\frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}\right) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \in F(x).$$

This is the natural homomorphism, and since all we do is relabel, this better be a homomorphism. Notice here, however, that since  $\alpha$  is transendental over F,  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ . That is, the kernel is trivial, and so we have injection. Moreover, notice that the map has a natural inverse that is also injective, thus we have surjection, and

$$F(\alpha) \cong F(x)$$

as needed. Since  $\beta$  is transcendental like  $\alpha$ , the above holds for it aswell and we get  $F(\beta) \cong F(x)$ , and by chaining isomorphisms,  $F(\beta) \cong F(\alpha)$ .

We use the Tower theorem and what we did in **Question 1** to prove this statement. First, since  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is irreducible, then by Kronecker's theorem,  $\exists \alpha$  in some field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Thus, we see that f(x) is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , up to some unit multiple to make it monic. This gives us the following extensions we can use

$$E(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$$
  $E(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$   $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$   $E(\alpha)/E$ .

Since these are all finite (due to  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  being finite), we apply the Tower Theorem along with inequalities from **Question 1** to get

$$\begin{split} [E(\alpha):Q] &= [E(\alpha):E][E:\mathbb{Q}] \leq [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}] \cdot 2 = 4n \\ [E(\alpha):Q] &= [E(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}] \leq [E:\mathbb{Q}] \cdot 2n = 4n \\ \Longrightarrow & 2n \, | \, [E(\alpha):Q] \quad [E(\alpha):Q] \leq 4n \\ \Longrightarrow & [E(\alpha):Q] \in \{2n,4n\} \, . \end{split}$$

So, we need only consider these two cases of  $[E(\alpha):Q]$ .

Suppose  $[E(\alpha):Q]=4n$ , then by the Tower Theorem,  $[E(\alpha):E]=2n$ , and this says that the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over E is of order 2n, but we already know that  $f(x) \in E[x]$  is the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and thus can not have reduced in degree in the extension, and hence  $\deg_E f(x)=2n$  and it is irreducible.

Suppose  $[E(\alpha):Q]=2n$ , then by the Tower Theorem,  $[E(\alpha):E]=n$ , that is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over E has degree n. Since  $f(x)\in\mathbb{Q}[x]$  was the minimal polynomial (up to unit multiple), we expect its extension to contain the minimal polynomial over E, but the degree must be n. Suppose the minimal polynomial is  $p(x)\in E[x]$ , then we must have that f(x)=p(x)h(x), with  $h(x)\in E[x]$  some other degree n polynomial. Clearly p(x) is irreducible, so we need to consider the reducibility of h(x). However, since  $\alpha$  was chosen arbitrarily, any root of h(x) will follow a similar path and hence will require it also being of degree n. Thus, h(x) better be irreducible.