

BACKEND TEAM - DETAILED JIRA TASKS WITH PROFESSOR SPECIFICATIONS

Epic: Value Investing Backend Infrastructure

SPRINT 1: Database Foundation & Core Services

BACK-001: Database Schema Implementation

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Highest

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Senior Backend Developer

Description: Implement the fundamental analysis database schema with proper indexes and constraints, including peer comparison fields.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Execute all SQL scripts for new tables
- ☐ Add peer comparison columns to existing `stocks` table
- ☐ Create all indexes for query performance
- ☐ Create helper views for efficient data access
- ☐ Test all foreign key constraints
- ☐ Document schema changes with migration scripts
- ☐ Verify backward compatibility with existing technical analysis

Technical Details:

sql

-- Enhanced stocks table with peer data:

```
ALTER TABLE stocks ADD COLUMN
    peer_1_ticker VARCHAR(10),
    peer_2_ticker VARCHAR(10),
    peer_3_ticker VARCHAR(10),
    sector_etf_ticker VARCHAR(10),
    peers_last_updated TIMESTAMP,
    industry_classification VARCHAR(100),
    gics_sector VARCHAR(50),
    gics_industry VARCHAR(100),
    market_cap_category VARCHAR(20), -- 'Large', 'mid', 'small', 'micro'
    fundamentals_last_update TIMESTAMP,
    next_earnings_date DATE,
    data_priority INTEGER DEFAULT 1;
```

-- Foreign key constraints:

```
ALTER TABLE stocks ADD CONSTRAINT fk_peer_1 FOREIGN KEY (peer_1_ticker) REFERENCES stocks(ticker)
ALTER TABLE stocks ADD CONSTRAINT fk_peer_2 FOREIGN KEY (peer_2_ticker) REFERENCES stocks(ticker)
ALTER TABLE stocks ADD CONSTRAINT fk_peer_3 FOREIGN KEY (peer_3_ticker) REFERENCES stocks(ticker)
```

-- Tables to create:

- company_fundamentals (core financial data)
- financial_ratios (calculated metrics with all professor-specified ratios)
- industry_benchmarks (market-cap weighted averages)
- earnings_calendar (update priority system)
- api_usage_tracking (rate limit monitoring)
- investor_scores (cached calculations with 3 profiles)



Definition of Done:

- All tables created without errors
- Peer comparison constraints working
- Sample data can be inserted/queried
- Performance tests show <100ms for typical queries

BACK-002: API Rate Limiting & Usage Tracking Service

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Build a centralized API usage tracking service to manage rate limits across multiple providers.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Track API calls per provider per day
- ☐ Implement rate limiting before hitting API limits
- ☐ Auto-reset counters at provider-specific times
- ☐ Queue system for delayed API calls
- ☐ Alert system when approaching limits
- ☐ Graceful degradation when limits exceeded

Rate Limits to Manage:

python

```
API_LIMITS = {  
    'yahoo': {'calls_per_hour': 2000, 'calls_per_day': 20000},  
    'finnhub': {'calls_per_minute': 60, 'calls_per_day': 86400},  
    'alphavantage': {'calls_per_minute': 5, 'calls_per_day': 500}  
}
```

Code Structure:

python

```
class APIRateLimiter:  
    def check_limit(self, provider: str, endpoint: str) -> bool  
    def record_call(self, provider: str, endpoint: str) -> None  
    def get_next_available_time(self, provider: str) -> datetime  
    def queue_request(self, provider: str, request_data: dict) -> None
```

BACK-003: Yahoo Finance API Integration Service

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement Yahoo Finance API integration with comprehensive error handling and data parsing.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Fetch financial statements (income, balance sheet, cash flow)
- ☐ Parse key metrics and ratios
- ☐ Handle missing data gracefully
- ☐ Implement exponential backoff retry logic
- ☐ Standardize data format for database storage
- ☐ Log all API responses for debugging

Data Points to Fetch:

```
python

YAHOO_ENDPOINTS = {
    'financials': '/v1/finance/financials',
    'key_statistics': '/v11/finance/quoteSummary',
    'balance_sheet': '/v1/finance/balance_sheet',
    'cash_flow': '/v1/finance/cash_flow'
}
```

Error Handling:

- HTTP timeouts after 30 seconds
- Retry 3 times with 2^attempt delay
- Fallback to cached data if available
- Log errors to `data_update_log` table

BACK-004: Finnhub Backup API Service

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement Finnhub API as secondary data source when Yahoo Finance fails.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Map Finnhub data fields to our schema

- ☐ Implement as fallback service
- ☐ Handle free tier limitations (60 calls/min)
- ☐ Parse financial data from different JSON structure
- ☐ Quality scoring for data completeness

API Mapping:

python

```
FINNHUB_MAPPING = {  
    'revenue': 'reportedCurrency.totalRevenue',  
    'net_income': 'reportedCurrency.netIncome',  
    'total_debt': 'reportedCurrency.totalDebt',  
    # ... additional mappings  
}
```

BACK-005: Alpha Vantage Tertiary Service

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Low

Story Points: 5

Assignee: Junior Backend Developer

Description: Implement Alpha Vantage as final fallback for fundamental data.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Handle extremely low rate limits (5 calls/min)
 - ☐ Focus on annual data only due to limitations
 - ☐ Aggressive caching (cache for 24 hours minimum)
 - ☐ Use only for companies with no other data sources
-



SPRINT 2: Smart Data Pipeline & Calculations

BACK-006: Priority-Based Update Scheduler

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Highest

Story Points: 21

Assignee: Senior Backend Developer

Description: Build intelligent scheduling system that prioritizes companies based on earnings dates and data freshness.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Daily cron job identifies companies needing updates
- ☐ Priority algorithm: earnings (5), no data (4), 30+ days (3), 90+ days (2), rest (1)
- ☐ Distribute API calls across multiple days to respect rate limits
- ☐ Track success/failure rates per company
- ☐ Retry failed updates with exponential backoff

Priority Algorithm:

python

```
def calculate_priority(ticker_data):
    if ticker_data.next_earnings_date <= today + 7_days:
        return 5 # Highest - earnings soon
    elif ticker_data.fundamentals_last_update is None:
        return 4 # High - no data
    elif ticker_data.fundamentals_last_update < today - 30_days:
        return 3 # Medium - stale data
    elif ticker_data.fundamentals_last_update < today - 90_days:
        return 2 # Low - old data
    else:
        return 1 # Lowest - recent data
```

Daily Limits:

- Monday: 400 companies (Yahoo heavy day)
- Tuesday: 300 companies (Finnhub focused)
- Wednesday: 400 companies (Yahoo continued)
- Thursday: 300 companies (catch-up day)
- Friday: 100 companies (Alpha Vantage only)

BACK-007A: Core Financial Ratios Calculator

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Senior Backend Developer

Description: Implement exact financial ratio calculations per professor specifications with precise edge case handling.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Implement all valuation ratios with exact formulas
- ☐ Handle negative values and edge cases precisely
- ☐ Add data quality flags for each calculation
- ☐ Unit tests covering all edge cases
- ☐ Performance optimization for bulk calculations

EXACT FORMULAS TO IMPLEMENT:

Valuation Ratios:

python


```

def calculate_pe_ratio(self, current_price: float, diluted_eps_ttm: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    P/E = Current Market Price / Diluted EPS (TTM)
    - Use diluted EPS for conservative calculation
    - If EPS ≤ 0, return None and flag as "N/A - Negative Earnings"
    - Cap display at 999 to handle extreme cases
    """
    if diluted_eps_ttm <= 0:
        return None # Flag: "N/A - Negative Earnings"

    pe_ratio = current_price / diluted_eps_ttm
    return min(pe_ratio, 999) # Cap extreme values

def calculate_pb_ratio(self, market_cap: float, shareholders_equity: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    P/B = Market Capitalization / Total Shareholders' Equity
    - Use most recent quarterly shareholders' equity
    - If book value ≤ 0, return None and flag as "N/A - Negative Book Value"
    """
    if shareholders_equity <= 0:
        return None # Flag: "N/A - Negative Book Value"

    return market_cap / shareholders_equity

def calculate_ev_ebitda(self, market_cap: float, total_debt: float,
                        cash: float, ebitda_ttm: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    Enterprise Value = Market Cap + Total Debt - Cash and Cash Equivalents
    EV/EBITDA = Enterprise Value / EBITDA (TTM)
    - If EBITDA ≤ 0, return None and flag as "N/A - Negative EBITDA"
    """
    if ebitda_ttm <= 0:
        return None # Flag: "N/A - Negative EBITDA"

    enterprise_value = market_cap + total_debt - cash
    return enterprise_value / ebitda_ttm

def calculate_ps_ratio(self, market_cap: float, revenue_ttm: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    P/S = Market Capitalization / Revenue (TTM)
    - Always use TTM revenue for recency
    - Most reliable for loss-making companies
    - Cap at 50 for display purposes
    """

```

```

"""
if revenue_ttm <= 0:
    return None

ps_ratio = market_cap / revenue_ttm
return min(ps_ratio, 50) # Cap at 50

def calculate_peg_ratio(self, pe_ratio: float, earnings_growth_3yr: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    PEG = P/E Ratio / Earnings Growth Rate (3-year CAGR)
    - Use 3-year historical earnings CAGR as baseline
    - If growth rate ≤ 0, return None
    - If PEG > 5, flag as "High Growth Premium"
    """
    if pe_ratio is None or earnings_growth_3yr <= 0:
        return None

    peg = pe_ratio / (earnings_growth_3yr * 100) # Convert percentage to decimal
    if peg > 5:
        # Flag: "High Growth Premium"
        pass
    return peg

```

Edge Case Documentation:

- All functions return None for invalid inputs
- Flags are stored in separate data quality field
- Cap extreme values to prevent display issues
- Log all edge cases for monitoring

BACK-007B: Graham Number & Altman Z-Score Calculator

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement Graham Number and Altman Z-Score calculations with exact professor specifications.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Classic Graham Number formula implementation
- ☐ Original Altman Z-Score for public companies
- ☐ Special sector adjustments for Z-Score
- ☐ Proper handling of negative inputs
- ☐ Risk zone classification

EXACT FORMULAS:

Graham Number:

python

```
def calculate_graham_number(self, diluted_eps_ttm: float, book_value_per_share: float) -> Optic
    """
    Graham Number =  $\sqrt{(15 \times \text{Diluted EPS (TTM)} \times \text{Book Value per Share})}$ 

    Where:
    - 15 = Maximum P/E ratio for defensive investors
    - EPS must be positive (if negative, return None)
    - BVPS must be positive (if negative, return None)
    """
    if diluted_eps_ttm <= 0 or book_value_per_share <= 0:
        return None # Flag: "N/A - Requires Positive Earnings & Book Value"

    graham_number = math.sqrt(15 * diluted_eps_ttm * book_value_per_share)
    return graham_number

def get_graham_number_note(self, industry: str) -> str:
    """
    For intangible-heavy businesses (tech), provide adjusted note
    """
    intangible_heavy_industries = ['Software', 'Internet', 'Biotechnology']
    if industry in intangible_heavy_industries:
        return "Note: Graham Number may undervalue companies with significant intangible assets"
    return ""
```

Altman Z-Score:

python

```
def calculate_altman_z_score(self, working_capital: float, total_assets: float,
                             retained_earnings: float, ebit: float,
                             market_value_equity: float, total_liabilities: float,
                             sales: float) -> float:
    """
    Z-Score = 1.2(A) + 1.4(B) + 3.3(C) + 0.6(D) + 1.0(E)

    Where:
    A = Working Capital / Total Assets
    B = Retained Earnings / Total Assets
    C = EBIT / Total Assets
    D = Market Value of Equity / Total Liabilities
    E = Sales / Total Assets
    """

    if total_assets <= 0 or total_liabilities <= 0:
        return 0.0 # Invalid data

    A = working_capital / total_assets
    B = retained_earnings / total_assets
    C = ebit / total_assets
    D = market_value_equity / total_liabilities
    E = sales / total_assets

    z_score = 1.2 * A + 1.4 * B + 3.3 * C + 0.6 * D + 1.0 * E
    return z_score

def classify_z_score_risk(self, z_score: float) -> dict:
    """
    Interpretation:
    - Z > 2.99: Safe Zone (Low bankruptcy risk)
    - 1.81 < Z < 2.99: Gray Zone (Moderate risk)
    - Z < 1.81: Distress Zone (High bankruptcy risk)
    """

    if z_score > 2.99:
        return {"zone": "Safe", "risk": "Low", "color": "green"}
    elif z_score >= 1.81:
        return {"zone": "Gray", "risk": "Moderate", "color": "yellow"}
    else:
        return {"zone": "Distress", "risk": "High", "color": "red"}
```

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement ROE, ROIC, ROA and quality metrics with exact professor specifications.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ ROE with average equity calculation
- ☐ ROIC with NOPAT methodology
- ☐ ROA with average assets
- ☐ Quality thresholds implementation
- ☐ DuPont analysis components

EXACT FORMULAS:

python

```

def calculate_roe(self, net_income_ttm: float, equity_quarters: List[float]) -> Optional[float]
    """
    ROE = Net Income (TTM) / Average Shareholders' Equity
    - Use average of last 4 quarters' equity for stability
    - Express as percentage
    - Flag ROE > 30% as "Exceptional - Verify Sustainability"
    """
    if len(equity_quarters) < 2:
        return None

    avg_equity = sum(equity_quarters) / len(equity_quarters)
    if avg_equity <= 0:
        return None

    roe = (net_income_ttm / avg_equity) * 100

    if roe > 30:
        # Flag: "Exceptional - Verify Sustainability"
        pass

    return roe

def calculate_roic(self, operating_income: float, tax_rate: float,
                    total_assets: float, cash: float, current_liabilities: float,
                    interest_bearing_debt: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    ROIC = NOPAT / Invested Capital

    Where:
    NOPAT = Operating Income × (1 - Effective Tax Rate)
    Invested Capital = Total Assets - Cash - Non-Interest Bearing Current Liabilities
    """
    nopat = operating_income * (1 - tax_rate)

    # Non-interest bearing current liabilities (approximate as current liabilities - interest bearing current liabilities)
    non_interest_bearing_cl = current_liabilities - min(current_liabilities, interest_bearing_debt)
    invested_capital = total_assets - cash - non_interest_bearing_cl

    if invested_capital <= 0:
        return None

    roic = (nopat / invested_capital) * 100
    return roic

```

```

def classify_roic_quality(self, roic: float) -> str:
    """
    Quality Threshold:
    - ROIC > 15%: Excellent
    - ROIC 10-15%: Good
    - ROIC 5-10%: Average
    - ROIC < 5%: Poor
    """
    if roic > 15:
        return "Excellent"
    elif roic >= 10:
        return "Good"
    elif roic >= 5:
        return "Average"
    else:
        return "Poor"

def calculate_roa(self, net_income_ttm: float, assets_quarters: List[float]) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    ROA = Net Income (TTM) / Average Total Assets
    - Use average total assets over 4 quarters
    - Key indicator of management efficiency
    """
    if len(assets_quarters) < 2:
        return None

    avg_assets = sum(assets_quarters) / len(assets_quarters)
    if avg_assets <= 0:
        return None

    roa = (net_income_ttm / avg_assets) * 100
    return roa

```

BACK-008: Investor Scoring System

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement the three investor profile scoring systems with weighted components.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Calculate scores for Conservative, GARP, Deep Value profiles
- ☐ Component-level scoring for breakdown display
- ☐ Risk warning system integration
- ☐ Score explanation generation
- ☐ Cache results in `investor_scores` table

Scoring Weights:

python

```
INVESTOR_WEIGHTS = {
    'conservative': {
        'financial_health': 0.30,
        'valuation': 0.25,
        'quality': 0.20,
        'profitability': 0.15,
        'growth': 0.05,
        'management': 0.05
    },
    'garp': {
        'valuation': 0.25,
        'growth': 0.25,
        'quality': 0.20,
        'profitability': 0.15,
        'financial_health': 0.10,
        'management': 0.05
    },
    'deep_value': {
        'valuation': 0.40,
        'financial_health': 0.25,
        'quality': 0.15,
        'profitability': 0.10,
        'management': 0.05,
        'growth': 0.05
    }
}
```

BACK-009A: Peer Selection Algorithm Implementation

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement algorithmic peer selection system using professor's exact similarity scoring methodology.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Implement SQL-based peer selection algorithm
- ☐ Calculate similarity scores based on industry, market cap, and revenue
- ☐ Populate peer fields in stocks table
- ☐ Link sector ETFs from market_etf table
- ☐ Weekly peer refresh mechanism

EXACT PEER SELECTION ALGORITHM:

sql

-- Professor's Algorithmic Selection (Implemented in SQL)

```
WITH peer_candidates AS (  
    SELECT  
        target.ticker as company_ticker,  
        candidate.ticker as peer_ticker,  
        -- Similarity scoring  
        CASE  
            WHEN target.industry = candidate.industry THEN 30  
            WHEN target.sector = candidate.sector THEN 15  
            ELSE 0  
        END +  
        CASE  
            WHEN ABS(LOG(target.market_cap) - LOG(candidate.market_cap)) < 0.5 THEN 25  
            WHEN ABS(LOG(target.market_cap) - LOG(candidate.market_cap)) < 1.0 THEN 15  
            WHEN ABS(LOG(target.market_cap) - LOG(candidate.market_cap)) < 1.5 THEN 5  
            ELSE 0  
        END +  
        CASE  
            WHEN ABS(LOG(COALESCE(target.revenue, target.market_cap * 0.1)) -  
                LOG(COALESCE(candidate.revenue, candidate.market_cap * 0.1))) < 0.5 THEN 20  
            WHEN ABS(LOG(COALESCE(target.revenue, target.market_cap * 0.1)) -  
                LOG(COALESCE(candidate.revenue, candidate.market_cap * 0.1))) < 1.0 THEN 10  
            ELSE 0  
        END as similarity_score  
    FROM stocks target  
    CROSS JOIN stocks candidate  
    WHERE target.ticker != candidate.ticker  
        AND candidate.market_cap IS NOT NULL  
        AND candidate.market_cap > 100000000 -- Min $100M market cap  
)  
ranked_peers AS (  
    SELECT  
        company_ticker,  
        peer_ticker,  
        similarity_score,  
        ROW_NUMBER() OVER (PARTITION BY company_ticker ORDER BY similarity_score DESC) as peer_rank  
    FROM peer_candidates  
    WHERE similarity_score >= 40 -- Minimum similarity threshold  
)  
UPDATE stocks s SET  
    peer_1_ticker = (SELECT peer_ticker FROM ranked_peers WHERE company_ticker = s.ticker AND peer_rank = 1)  
    peer_2_ticker = (SELECT peer_ticker FROM ranked_peers WHERE company_ticker = s.ticker AND peer_rank = 2)  
    peer_3_ticker = (SELECT peer_ticker FROM ranked_peers WHERE company_ticker = s.ticker AND peer_rank = 3)
```

```
peers_last_updated = CURRENT_TIMESTAMP
WHERE EXISTS (SELECT 1 FROM ranked_peers WHERE company_ticker = s.ticker);

-- Link sector ETFs
UPDATE stocks s SET sector_etf_ticker = (
    SELECT me.etf_ticker
    FROM market_etf me
    WHERE UPPER(me.category) = UPPER(s.sector)
        OR UPPER(me.indicator) = UPPER(s.sector)
    LIMIT 1
);
```

Python Implementation:

python

```

class PeerSelectionService:
    def calculate_peer_similarity(self, target_company: dict, candidate: dict) -> float:
        """
        Calculate similarity score between two companies
        Max score: 75 points (30 + 25 + 20)
        """
        score = 0

        # Industry/Sector match (30 points max)
        if target_company['industry'] == candidate['industry']:
            score += 30
        elif target_company['sector'] == candidate['sector']:
            score += 15

        # Market cap similarity (25 points max)
        if target_company['market_cap'] and candidate['market_cap']:
            market_cap_ratio = abs(
                math.log(target_company['market_cap']) -
                math.log(candidate['market_cap'])
            )
            if market_cap_ratio < 0.5:
                score += 25
            elif market_cap_ratio < 1.0:
                score += 15
            elif market_cap_ratio < 1.5:
                score += 5

        # Revenue similarity (20 points max)
        target_revenue = target_company.get('revenue') or target_company['market_cap'] * 0.1
        candidate_revenue = candidate.get('revenue') or candidate['market_cap'] * 0.1

        if target_revenue and candidate_revenue:
            revenue_ratio = abs(math.log(target_revenue) - math.log(candidate_revenue))
            if revenue_ratio < 0.5:
                score += 20
            elif revenue_ratio < 1.0:
                score += 10

        return score

    def select_top_peers(self, ticker: str) -> List[str]:
        """
        Select top 3 peers for a given company

```

```

"""
target_company = self.get_company_data(ticker)
candidates = self.get_all_companies(exclude=ticker)

peer_scores = []
for candidate in candidates:
    if candidate['market_cap'] >= 100_000_000: # Min $100M
        score = self.calculate_peer_similarity(target_company, candidate)
        if score >= 40: # Minimum threshold
            peer_scores.append((candidate['ticker'], score))

# Sort by score and return top 3
peer_scores.sort(key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True)
return [ticker for ticker, score in peer_scores[:3]]

```

BACK-009B: Industry Benchmarking Service

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Calculate industry averages and percentiles using professor's market-cap weighted methodology.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Weekly industry benchmark calculations
- ☐ Market-cap weighted averages (not simple averages)
- ☐ Calculate 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th percentiles
- ☐ Handle insufficient peer data with sector fallback
- ☐ Store results in industry_benchmarks table

EXACT BENCHMARKING METHODOLOGY:

Market-Cap Weighted Approach:

sql

```

-- Industry benchmark calculation per professor specifications
WITH industry_metrics AS (
    SELECT
        s.industry,
        s.sector,
        COUNT(*) as company_count,

        -- Market-cap weighted averages (not simple averages)
        SUM(fr.pe_ratio * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as weighted_avg_pe,
        SUM(fr.pb_ratio * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as weighted_avg_pb,
        SUM(fr.roe * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as weighted_avg_roe,
        SUM(fr.debt_to_equity * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as weighted_avg_debt_e

        -- Percentiles (for distribution understanding)
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.25) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.pe_ratio) as pe_25th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.50) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.pe_ratio) as pe_median,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.75) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.pe_ratio) as pe_75th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.90) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.pe_ratio) as pe_90th,

        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.25) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.roe) as roe_25th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.50) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.roe) as roe_median,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.75) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.roe) as roe_75th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.90) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.roe) as roe_90th,

        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.25) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.debt_to_equity) as debt_eq_25th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.50) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.debt_to_equity) as debt_eq_median,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.75) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.debt_to_equity) as debt_eq_75th,
        PERCENTILE_CONT(0.90) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.debt_to_equity) as debt_eq_90th

    FROM financial_ratios fr
    JOIN stocks s ON fr.ticker = s.ticker
    WHERE fr.calculation_date = (
        SELECT MAX(calculation_date) FROM financial_ratios fr2 WHERE fr2.ticker = fr.ticker
    )
    AND fr.pe_ratio IS NOT NULL
    AND fr.pe_ratio BETWEEN 0 AND 100 -- Exclude outliers
    AND s.market_cap > 100000000 -- Min $100M market cap
    GROUP BY s.industry, s.sector
),
-- Handle insufficient industry data with sector fallback
sector_fallback AS (
    SELECT
        s.sector,

```

```

COUNT(*) as sector_company_count,
SUM(fr.pe_ratio * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as sector_weighted_avg_pe,
SUM(fr.pb_ratio * s.market_cap) / NULLIF(SUM(s.market_cap), 0) as sector_weighted_avg_pb,
PERCENTILE_CONT(0.50) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY fr.pe_ratio) as sector_pe_median
FROM financial_ratios fr
JOIN stocks s ON fr.ticker = s.ticker
WHERE fr.calculation_date = (
    SELECT MAX(calculation_date) FROM financial_ratios fr2 WHERE fr2.ticker = fr.ticker
)
GROUP BY s.sector
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 10 -- Minimum 10 companies for sector
)
INSERT INTO industry_benchmarks (
    industry_code, sector_code, calculation_date, company_count,
    avg_pe_ratio, median_pe_ratio, avg_pb_ratio, avg_roe, avg_debt_to_equity,
    pe_ratio_25p, pe_ratio_75p, pe_ratio_90p,
    roe_25p, roe_75p, roe_90p,
    debt_eq_25p, debt_eq_75p, debt_eq_90p
)
SELECT
    COALESCE(im.industry, 'SECTOR_' || im.sector) as industry_code,
    im.sector as sector_code,
    CURRENT_DATE as calculation_date,
    CASE
        WHEN im.company_count >= 5 THEN im.company_count
        ELSE sf.sector_company_count
    END as company_count,

    -- Use industry data if sufficient, otherwise sector data
    CASE
        WHEN im.company_count >= 5 THEN im.weighted_avg_pe
        ELSE sf.sector_weighted_avg_pe
    END as avg_pe_ratio,

    CASE
        WHEN im.company_count >= 5 THEN im.pe_median
        ELSE sf.sector_pe_median
    END as median_pe_ratio,

    -- Continue for other ratios...
    im.weighted_avg_pb, im.weighted_avg_roe, im.weighted_avg_debt_eq,
    im.pe_25th, im.pe_75th, im.pe_90th,
    im.roe_25th, im.roe_75th, im.roe_90th,
    im.debt_eq_25th, im.debt_eq_75th, im.debt_eq_90th

```

```
FROM industry_metrics im
LEFT JOIN sector_fallback sf ON im.sector = sf.sector
WHERE im.company_count >= 5 OR sf.sector_company_count >= 10;
```

Python Service Implementation:

python

```

class IndustryBenchmarkService:
    def calculate_industry_benchmarks(self) -> None:
        """
        Weekly calculation of industry benchmarks using market-cap weighting
        """
        industries = self.get_industries_with_sufficient_data()

        for industry in industries:
            companies = self.get_companies_by_industry(industry['code'])

            if len(companies) >= 5:
                benchmarks = self.calculate_weighted_benchmarks(companies)
                self.save_industry_benchmarks(industry['code'], benchmarks)
            else:
                # Fallback to sector level
                sector_companies = self.get_companies_by_sector(industry['sector'])
                if len(sector_companies) >= 10:
                    benchmarks = self.calculate_weighted_benchmarks(sector_companies)
                    self.save_industry_benchmarks(
                        f"SECTOR_{industry['sector']}",
                        benchmarks
                    )

    def calculate_weighted_benchmarks(self, companies: List[dict]) -> dict:
        """
        Calculate market-cap weighted averages and percentiles
        """
        total_market_cap = sum(c['market_cap'] for c in companies if c['market_cap'])

        # Weighted averages
        weighted_pe = sum(
            c['pe_ratio'] * c['market_cap']
            for c in companies
            if c['pe_ratio'] and c['market_cap']
        ) / total_market_cap if total_market_cap > 0 else None

        # Percentiles (unweighted for distribution understanding)
        pe_values = [c['pe_ratio'] for c in companies if c['pe_ratio']]
        percentiles = {}
        if pe_values:
            percentiles['pe_25th'] = numpy.percentile(pe_values, 25)
            percentiles['pe_median'] = numpy.percentile(pe_values, 50)
            percentiles['pe_75th'] = numpy.percentile(pe_values, 75)

```

```

percentiles['pe_90th'] = numpy.percentile(pe_values, 90)

return {
    'weighted_avg_pe': weighted_pe,
    'company_count': len(companies),
    **percentiles
}

def get_benchmark_for_company(self, ticker: str) -> dict:
    """
    Get appropriate benchmark for company (industry -> sector -> broad market)
    """
    company = self.get_company(ticker)

    # Try industry first
    industry_benchmark = self.get_industry_benchmark(company['industry'])
    if industry_benchmark and industry_benchmark['company_count'] >= 5:
        return industry_benchmark

    # Fallback to sector
    sector_benchmark = self.get_sector_benchmark(company['sector'])
    if sector_benchmark and sector_benchmark['company_count'] >= 10:
        return sector_benchmark

    # Final fallback to broad market
    return self.get_broad_market_benchmark(company['market_cap_category'])

```

Comparison Methodology Implementation:

python


```

def calculate_peer_comparison_score(self, company_ticker: str) -> dict:
    """
    Weight: 70% peer comparison, 30% sector ETF comparison
    """
    company_ratios = self.get_company_ratios(company_ticker)

    # Get 3 peers
    peers = self.get_company_peers(company_ticker)
    peer_ratios = [self.get_company_ratios(peer) for peer in peers if peer]

    # Calculate peer averages
    peer_avg_pe = self.safe_average([r['pe_ratio'] for r in peer_ratios])
    peer_avg_pb = self.safe_average([r['pb_ratio'] for r in peer_ratios])

    # Score vs peers (higher is better for company, lower for ratios)
    peer_pe_score = self.score_ratio_comparison(
        company_ratios['pe_ratio'], peer_avg_pe, lower_is_better=True
    )
    peer_pb_score = self.score_ratio_comparison(
        company_ratios['pb_ratio'], peer_avg_pb, lower_is_better=True
    )

    # Sector ETF comparison (30% weight)
    sector_etf = self.get_sector_etf_ratios(company_ticker)
    etf_pe_score = self.score_ratio_comparison(
        company_ratios['pe_ratio'], sector_etf['pe_ratio'], lower_is_better=True
    )

    # Combined score
    final_score = (
        (peer_pe_score + peer_pb_score) / 2 * 0.7 + # 70% peer
        etf_pe_score * 0.3 # 30% sector ETF
    )

    return {
        'overall_score': final_score,
        'peer_comparison': {
            'pe_score': peer_pe_score,
            'pb_score': peer_pb_score,
            'peers_used': peers
        },
        'sector_etf_comparison': {
            'etf_ticker': sector_etf['ticker'],

```

```

        'etf_score': etf_pe_score
    }
}

```

```

def score_ratio_comparison(self, company_ratio: float, benchmark: float,
                           lower_is_better: bool = True) -> float:
    """
    Score company ratio vs benchmark
    Returns 0-100 score
    """
    if not company_ratio or not benchmark:
        return 50 # Neutral score for missing data

    ratio = company_ratio / benchmark

    if lower_is_better:
        # For P/E, P/B ratios - Lower is better
        if ratio < 0.5:
            return 100 # Significantly better (cheaper)
        elif ratio < 0.75:
            return 75
        elif ratio < 1.0:
            return 60
        elif ratio < 1.25:
            return 40
        elif ratio < 1.5:
            return 25
        else:
            return 0 # Significantly worse (expensive)
    else:
        # For ROE, margins - higher is better
        if ratio > 2.0:
            return 100
        elif ratio > 1.5:
            return 75
        elif ratio > 1.25:
            return 60
        elif ratio > 1.0:
            return 50
        elif ratio > 0.75:
            return 25
        else:
            return 0

```

SPRINT 3: Data Pipeline Automation & Monitoring

BACK-010: Earnings Calendar Integration

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Maintain updated earnings calendar to prioritize fundamental data updates.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Fetch earnings dates from Yahoo Finance calendar
- ☐ Prioritize companies with earnings in next 7 days
- ☐ Flag companies for immediate update post-earnings
- ☐ Handle earnings date changes and confirmations
- ☐ Set update priority levels automatically

Update Trigger Logic:

python

```
def update_earnings_priorities():  
    # Mark companies with earnings this week as high priority  
    upcoming = get_earnings_next_7_days()  
    for ticker in upcoming:  
        set_priority(ticker, level=5)  
  
    # Reset priority for companies 30 days post-earnings  
    old_earnings = get_earnings_older_than_30_days()  
    for ticker in old_earnings:  
        set_priority(ticker, level=1)
```

BACK-011A: Risk Warning System Implementation

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement risk warning system with professor's exact threshold specifications and multi-factor triggering logic.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Implement exact warning thresholds from professor
- ☐ Multi-factor risk aggregation logic
- ☐ Real-time warning calculation
- ☐ Warning level escalation rules
- ☐ Integration with investor scoring system

EXACT WARNING THRESHOLDS (Per Professor):

python

```

class RiskWarningSystem:

    # Professor's exact risk thresholds
    HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS = {
        # Financial Distress (any one triggers high risk)
        'altman_z_score': 1.8, # Below this = high risk
        'interest_coverage': 1.5, # Below this = high risk
        'current_ratio': 0.8, # Below this = high risk
        'debt_to_equity': 3.0, # Above this = high risk

        # Valuation Extreme (both conditions must be met)
        'pe_vs_industry_multiple': 3.0, # AND pe_absolute > 50
        'pe_absolute_threshold': 50,
        'ev_ebitda_vs_industry_multiple': 3.0, # AND ev_ebitda_absolute > 30
        'ev_ebitda_absolute_threshold': 30,

        # Quality Deterioration (progressive conditions)
        'roe_declining_years': 3, # 3 consecutive years
        'fcf_negative_years': 2, # 2+ years negative FCF
        'margin_decline_threshold': 0.20 # >20% decline over 3 years
    }

    WARNING_THRESHOLDS = {
        # Moderate Risk
        'altman_z_score_range': (1.8, 2.6),
        'interest_coverage_range': (1.5, 3.0),
        'debt_to_equity_range': (1.5, 3.0),
        'pe_vs_industry_multiple': 2.0
    }

    CAUTION_THRESHOLDS = {
        # Mild Concerns
        'altman_z_score_range': (2.6, 3.0),
        'interest_coverage_range': (3.0, 5.0),
        'debt_to_equity_range': (1.0, 1.5)
    }

    def evaluate_risk_warnings(self, ticker: str) -> dict:
        """
        Evaluate all risk factors and return warning level
        """
        company_data = self.get_company_financial_data(ticker)
        historical_data = self.get_historical_data(ticker, years=5)

```

```

industry_data = self.get_industry_benchmarks(ticker)

high_risk_factors = []
warning_factors = []
caution_factors = []

# 🚨 HIGH RISK CHECKS
high_risk_factors.extend(self._check_financial_distress(company_data))
high_risk_factors.extend(self._check_valuation_extremes(company_data, industry_data))
high_risk_factors.extend(self._check_quality_deterioration(historical_data))

# ⚠️ WARNING CHECKS
if not high_risk_factors: # Only check if no high risk
    warning_factors.extend(self._check_moderate_risks(company_data, industry_data))

# ⚡ CAUTION CHECKS
if not high_risk_factors and not warning_factors:
    caution_factors.extend(self._check_mild_concerns(company_data, historical_data))

# Determine final warning Level
if high_risk_factors:
    warning_level = "high_risk"
    warning_icon = "🚨"
    primary_factors = high_risk_factors
elif warning_factors:
    warning_level = "warning"
    warning_icon = "⚠️"
    primary_factors = warning_factors
elif caution_factors:
    warning_level = "caution"
    warning_icon = "⚡"
    primary_factors = caution_factors
else:
    warning_level = "none"
    warning_icon = ""
    primary_factors = []

return {
    'warning_level': warning_level,
    'warning_icon': warning_icon,
    'risk_factors': primary_factors,
    'factor_count': len(primary_factors),
    'detailed_analysis': {
        'high_risk': high_risk_factors,

```

```

        'warning': warning_factors,
        'caution': caution_factors
    }
}

```

```

def _check_financial_distress(self, data: dict) -> List[str]:
    """Check for financial distress indicators"""
    factors = []

    if data.get('altman_z_score', 999) < self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['altman_z_score']:
        factors.append(f"Altman Z-Score {data['altman_z_score']:.2f} indicates high bankrupt")

    if data.get('interest_coverage', 999) < self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['interest_coverage']:
        factors.append(f"Interest coverage {data['interest_coverage']:.1f}x is dangerously")

    if data.get('current_ratio', 999) < self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['current_ratio']:
        factors.append(f"Current ratio {data['current_ratio']:.2f} suggests liquidity probl")

    if data.get('debt_to_equity', 0) > self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['debt_to_equity']:
        factors.append(f"Debt-to-equity {data['debt_to_equity']:.1f} is extremely high")

    return factors

def _check_valuation_extremes(self, data: dict, industry: dict) -> List[str]:
    """Check for extreme valuation metrics"""
    factors = []

    # P/E extreme check (both conditions must be met)
    pe_ratio = data.get('pe_ratio')
    industry_pe = industry.get('median_pe_ratio')

    if (pe_ratio and industry_pe and
        pe_ratio > industry_pe * self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['pe_vs_industry_multiple'] and
        pe_ratio > self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['pe_absolute_threshold']):
        factors.append(f"P/E {pe_ratio:.1f} is {pe_ratio/industry_pe:.1f}x industry median")

    # EV/EBITDA extreme check
    ev_ebitda = data.get('ev_ebitda')
    industry_ev_ebitda = industry.get('median_ev_ebitda')

    if (ev_ebitda and industry_ev_ebitda and
        ev_ebitda > industry_ev_ebitda * self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['ev_ebitda_vs_industry_m']
        ev_ebitda > self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['ev_ebitda_absolute_threshold']):
        factors.append(f"EV/EBITDA {ev_ebitda:.1f} is extremely high vs industry")

```



```
return factors
```

```
def _check_quality_deterioration(self, historical: dict) -> List[str]:
    """Check for deteriorating business quality"""
    factors = []

    # ROE declining for 3 consecutive years
    roe_history = historical.get('roe_5_years', [])
    if len(roe_history) >= 3:
        declining_count = 0
        for i in range(1, len(roe_history)):
            if roe_history[i] < roe_history[i-1]:
                declining_count += 1
            else:
                declining_count = 0 # Reset counter

        if declining_count >= self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['roe_declining_years']:
            factors.append("ROE declining for 3+ consecutive years")
            break

    # Negative FCF for 2+ years
    fcf_history = historical.get('fcf_5_years', [])
    negative_years = sum(1 for fcf in fcf_history[-2:] if fcf and fcf < 0)
    if negative_years >= self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['fcf_negative_years']:
        factors.append(f"Free cash flow negative for {negative_years} years")

    # Gross margin declining >20% over 3 years
    margin_history = historical.get('gross_margins_5_years', [])
    if len(margin_history) >= 3:
        margin_change = (margin_history[-1] - margin_history[-3]) / margin_history[-3]
        if margin_change < -self.HIGH_RISK_THRESHOLDS['margin_decline_threshold']:
            factors.append(f"Gross margin declined {abs(margin_change)*100:.1f}% over 3 years")

    return factors
```

```
def _check_moderate_risks(self, data: dict, industry: dict) -> List[str]:
    """Check for moderate risk factors (🔴 WARNING)"""
    factors = []

    z_min, z_max = self.WARNING_THRESHOLDS['altman_z_score_range']
    if z_min <= data.get('altman_z_score', 999) < z_max:
        factors.append("Altman Z-Score in moderate risk zone")
```

```

ic_min, ic_max = self.WARNING_THRESHOLDS['interest_coverage_range']
if ic_min <= data.get('interest_coverage', 999) < ic_max:
    factors.append("Interest coverage below optimal level")

de_min, de_max = self.WARNING_THRESHOLDS['debt_to_equity_range']
if de_min <= data.get('debt_to_equity', 0) <= de_max:
    factors.append("Debt levels elevated but manageable")

# P/E moderately high vs industry
pe_ratio = data.get('pe_ratio')
industry_pe = industry.get('median_pe_ratio')
if (pe_ratio and industry_pe and
    pe_ratio > industry_pe * self.WARNING_THRESHOLDS['pe_vs_industry_multiple']):
    factors.append(f"P/E {pe_ratio/industry_pe:.1f}x above industry median")

return factors

```

```

def _check_mild_concerns(self, data: dict, historical: dict) -> List[str]:
    """Check for mild concerns (🟡 CAUTION)"""
    factors = []

```

```

z_min, z_max = self.CAUTION_THRESHOLDS['altman_z_score_range']
if z_min <= data.get('altman_z_score', 999) <= z_max:
    factors.append("Altman Z-Score at lower end of safe zone")

```

```

# Recent earnings volatility
earnings_history = historical.get('earnings_5_years', [])
if len(earnings_history) >= 3:
    recent_volatility = statistics.stdev(earnings_history[-3:])
    avg_earnings = statistics.mean(earnings_history[-3:])
    if avg_earnings > 0 and recent_volatility / avg_earnings > 0.3:
        factors.append("Recent earnings showing increased volatility")

return factors

```

Integration with investor scoring

```

def apply_risk_adjustment_to_score(base_score: float, risk_warning: dict) -> float:
    """
    Apply risk-based adjustments to investor scores
    """
    if risk_warning['warning_level'] == 'high_risk':
        return base_score * 0.7 # 30% penalty
    elif risk_warning['warning_level'] == 'warning':
        return base_score * 0.85 # 15% penalty

```

```
elif risk_warning['warning_level'] == 'caution':  
    return base_score * 0.95 # 5% penalty  
else:  
    return base_score # No adjustment
```

BACK-011B: Growth Calculations Engine

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement exact growth calculation methodologies per professor specifications with proper handling of negative values.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ 3-year CAGR calculations for revenue, earnings, FCF
- ☐ Proper handling of negative earnings periods
- ☐ Growth quality assessment
- ☐ Minimum data requirements enforcement
- ☐ Growth score calculation (0-100)

EXACT GROWTH FORMULAS (Per Professor):

python

```

class GrowthCalculator:

    def calculate_revenue_growth_3yr(self, revenue_current: float, revenue_3yr_ago: float) -> C
    """
    3-Year Revenue CAGR = (Revenue_Current / Revenue_3_Years_Ago)^(1/3) - 1
    - Use TTM revenue for current
    - Minimum 3 years history required
    - Cap at ±100% for display
    """
    if not revenue_current or not revenue_3yr_ago or revenue_3yr_ago <= 0:
        return None

    try:
        cagr = (revenue_current / revenue_3yr_ago) ** (1/3) - 1
        # Cap at ±100% for display
        return max(min(cagr, 1.0), -1.0)
    except (ZeroDivisionError, ValueError):
        return None

    def calculate_earnings_growth_3yr(self, earnings_history: List[float]) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    3-Year Earnings CAGR = (EPS_Current / EPS_3_Years_Ago)^(1/3) - 1
    - Handle negative earnings: Use revenue growth if any year negative
    - Normalize for one-time charges where possible
    """
    if len(earnings_history) < 4: # Need 4 data points for 3-year calculation
        return None

    eps_current = earnings_history[-1]
    eps_3yr_ago = earnings_history[-4]

    # Check for negative earnings in the period
    has_negative = any(eps <= 0 for eps in earnings_history[-4:])

    if has_negative:
        # Fall back to revenue growth - handled separately
        return None # Caller should use revenue growth instead

    if eps_3yr_ago <= 0:
        return None

    try:
        cagr = (eps_current / eps_3yr_ago) ** (1/3) - 1

```

```

        return max(min(cagr, 1.0), -1.0) # Cap at ±100%
    except (ZeroDivisionError, ValueError):
        return None

def calculate_fcf_growth_3yr(self, fcf_current: float, fcf_3yr_ago: float) -> Optional[float]:
    """
    3-Year FCF CAGR = (FCF_Current / FCF_3_Years_Ago)^(1/3) - 1
    - Most reliable growth metric
    - Use for companies with volatile earnings
    """
    if not fcf_current or not fcf_3yr_ago:
        return None

    # Handle negative FCF
    if fcf_3yr_ago <= 0:
        if fcf_current > 0:
            return 1.0 # Max positive growth (was negative, now positive)
        else:
            return None # Both negative, can't calculate meaningful CAGR

    try:
        cagr = (fcf_current / fcf_3yr_ago) ** (1/3) - 1
        return max(min(cagr, 1.0), -1.0)
    except (ZeroDivisionError, ValueError):
        return None

def calculate_growth_score(self, ticker: str) -> dict:
    """
    Calculate growth component score (0-100) for investor profiles
    """
    financial_data = self.get_financial_history(ticker, years=5)

    # Get growth rates
    revenue_growth = self.calculate_revenue_growth_3yr(
        financial_data['revenue'][-1],
        financial_data['revenue'][-4]
    )

    earnings_growth = self.calculate_earnings_growth_3yr(financial_data['earnings'])

    fcf_growth = self.calculate_fcf_growth_3yr(
        financial_data['fcf'][-1],
        financial_data['fcf'][-4]
    )

```

```

# Score each growth metric
revenue_score = self._score_growth_rate(revenue_growth, metric_type='revenue')
earnings_score = self._score_growth_rate(earnings_growth, metric_type='earnings')
fcf_score = self._score_growth_rate(fcf_growth, metric_type='fcf')

# Weighted combination
# FCF growth most reliable (40%), Revenue (35%), Earnings (25%)
available_scores = []
weights = []

if fcf_score is not None:
    available_scores.append(fcf_score)
    weights.append(0.40)
if revenue_score is not None:
    available_scores.append(revenue_score)
    weights.append(0.35)
if earnings_score is not None:
    available_scores.append(earnings_score)
    weights.append(0.25)

if not available_scores:
    total_score = 0
else:
    # Normalize weights
    total_weight = sum(weights)
    normalized_weights = [w / total_weight for w in weights]

    total_score = sum(
        score * weight
        for score, weight in zip(available_scores, normalized_weights)
    )

return {
    'total_score': round(total_score, 1),
    'components': {
        'revenue_growth_3yr': revenue_growth,
        'earnings_growth_3yr': earnings_growth,
        'fcf_growth_3yr': fcf_growth,
        'revenue_score': revenue_score,
        'earnings_score': earnings_score,
        'fcf_score': fcf_score
    },
    'data_quality': self._assess_growth_data_quality(financial_data)
}

```

```
}
```

```
def _score_growth_rate(self, growth_rate: Optional[float], metric_type: str) -> Optional[int]:
    """
    Score growth rate 0-100 based on metric type and investor expectations
    """
    if growth_rate is None:
        return None

    # Convert to percentage for easier comparison
    growth_pct = growth_rate * 100

    if metric_type == 'revenue':
        # Revenue growth scoring
        if growth_pct >= 20:
            return 100 # Excellent growth
        elif growth_pct >= 10:
            return 80 # Strong growth
        elif growth_pct >= 5:
            return 60 # Moderate growth
        elif growth_pct >= 0:
            return 40 # Slow growth
        elif growth_pct >= -5:
            return 20 # Slight decline
        else:
            return 0 # Significant decline

    elif metric_type == 'earnings':
        # Earnings growth scoring (higher expectations)
        if growth_pct >= 25:
            return 100
        elif growth_pct >= 15:
            return 80
        elif growth_pct >= 8:
            return 60
        elif growth_pct >= 0:
            return 40
        elif growth_pct >= -10:
            return 20
        else:
            return 0

    elif metric_type == 'fcf':
        # FCF growth scoring (most conservative)
```



```

        if growth_pct >= 15:
            return 100
        elif growth_pct >= 8:
            return 80
        elif growth_pct >= 3:
            return 60
        elif growth_pct >= 0:
            return 50 # FCF maintenance is valuable
        elif growth_pct >= -10:
            return 25
        else:
            return 0

    return 50 # Default neutral score

def _assess_growth_data_quality(self, financial_data: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Assess quality and reliability of growth data
    """
    data_points = len(financial_data.get('revenue', []))

    quality_score = 0
    quality_notes = []

    # Data completeness (40 points)
    if data_points >= 5:
        quality_score += 40
    elif data_points >= 3:
        quality_score += 25
        quality_notes.append("Limited historical data (3-4 years)")
    else:
        quality_score += 0
        quality_notes.append("Insufficient data for reliable growth calculation")

    # Consistency (30 points)
    revenue_data = financial_data.get('revenue', [])
    if len(revenue_data) >= 3:
        # Check for unusual spikes or drops
        year_over_year_changes = []
        for i in range(1, len(revenue_data)):
            if revenue_data[i-1] > 0:
                change = (revenue_data[i] - revenue_data[i-1]) / revenue_data[i-1]
                year_over_year_changes.append(abs(change))

```

```

if year_over_year_changes:
    avg_volatility = sum(year_over_year_changes) / len(year_over_year_changes)
    if avg_volatility < 0.2: # <20% average change
        quality_score += 30
    elif avg_volatility < 0.4: # <40% average change
        quality_score += 20
        quality_notes.append("Moderate revenue volatility")
    else:
        quality_score += 0
        quality_notes.append("High revenue volatility affects growth reliability")

# Trend clarity (30 points)
if len(revenue_data) >= 4:
    # Simple trend analysis
    first_half = sum(revenue_data[:2]) / 2
    second_half = sum(revenue_data[-2:]) / 2

    if second_half > first_half * 1.1: # Clear upward trend
        quality_score += 30
    elif second_half > first_half * 0.9: # Stable
        quality_score += 20
    else: # Declining trend
        quality_score += 10
        quality_notes.append("Declining revenue trend")

return {
    'quality_score': quality_score,
    'quality_grade': 'High' if quality_score >= 80 else 'Medium' if quality_score >= 50
    'notes': quality_notes,
    'data_points_available': data_points
}

```

BACK-012: Special Sector Handling Implementation

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement special calculation logic for Financials, REITs, and Utilities per professor's exact specifications.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Financial sector custom ratios and scoring
- ☐ REIT-specific metrics (FFO, dividend yield)
- ☐ Utility sector regulatory considerations
- ☐ Sector-specific risk thresholds
- ☐ Modified Altman Z-Score for each sector

EXACT SECTOR SPECIFICATIONS (Per Professor):**1. FINANCIALS (Banks, Insurance):**

python

```

class FinancialSectorHandler:

    FINANCIAL_SECTORS = ['Banks', 'Insurance', 'Financial Services', 'Capital Markets']

    def is_financial_company(self, company_data: dict) -> bool:
        """Check if company is in financial sector"""
        return company_data.get('sector') in self.FINANCIAL_SECTORS

    def calculate_financial_ratios(self, company_data: dict) -> dict:
        """
        Key Ratios for Financials:
        - P/B instead of P/E (primary valuation)
        - ROE target: >12%
        - Tier 1 Capital Ratio: >10%
        - NIM (Net Interest Margin): Industry-relative
        - Loan Loss Provisions: <2% of loans
        """
        ratios = {}

        # P/B as primary valuation (not P/E)
        if company_data.get('market_cap') and company_data.get('book_value'):
            ratios['pb_ratio'] = company_data['market_cap'] / company_data['book_value']
            ratios['primary_valuation_metric'] = 'pb_ratio'

        # ROE (critical for banks)
        if company_data.get('net_income') and company_data.get('shareholders_equity'):
            ratios['roe'] = (company_data['net_income'] / company_data['shareholders_equity'])
            ratios['roe_quality'] = 'Excellent' if ratios['roe'] > 15 else 'Good' if ratios['roe'] > 12 else 'Poor'

        # Tier 1 Capital Ratio (for banks)
        if company_data.get('tier_1_capital') and company_data.get('risk_weighted_assets'):
            ratios['tier_1_capital_ratio'] = (company_data['tier_1_capital'] / company_data['risk_weighted_assets'])
            ratios['capital_adequacy'] = 'Strong' if ratios['tier_1_capital_ratio'] > 12 else 'Weak'

        # Net Interest Margin
        if company_data.get('net_interest_income') and company_data.get('average_earning_assets'):
            ratios['net_interest_margin'] = (company_data['net_interest_income'] / company_data['average_earning_assets'])

        # Loan Loss Provisions
        if company_data.get('loan_loss_provisions') and company_data.get('total_loans'):
            ratios['loan_loss_ratio'] = (company_data['loan_loss_provisions'] / company_data['total_loans'])
            ratios['credit_quality'] = 'Excellent' if ratios['loan_loss_ratio'] < 1 else 'Good' if ratios['loan_loss_ratio'] < 2 else 'Poor'

```

```
return ratios
```

```
def calculate_financial_score(self, company_data: dict, ratios: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Financial sector scoring with different weights
    """
    score_components = {}

    # P/B Valuation (30% weight)
    pb_ratio = ratios.get('pb_ratio')
    if pb_ratio:
        if pb_ratio < 0.8:
            score_components['valuation'] = 100
        elif pb_ratio < 1.0:
            score_components['valuation'] = 80
        elif pb_ratio < 1.5:
            score_components['valuation'] = 60
        elif pb_ratio < 2.0:
            score_components['valuation'] = 40
        else:
            score_components['valuation'] = 20
    else:
        score_components['valuation'] = 50

    # ROE Quality (25% weight)
    roe = ratios.get('roe', 0)
    if roe > 15:
        score_components['profitability'] = 100
    elif roe > 12:
        score_components['profitability'] = 80
    elif roe > 8:
        score_components['profitability'] = 60
    elif roe > 5:
        score_components['profitability'] = 40
    else:
        score_components['profitability'] = 20

    # Capital Adequacy (25% weight)
    tier_1_ratio = ratios.get('tier_1_capital_ratio', 0)
    if tier_1_ratio > 12:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 100
    elif tier_1_ratio > 10:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 80
    elif tier_1_ratio > 8:
```

```

        score_components['financial_health'] = 60
    else:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 20

    # Credit Quality (20% weight)
    loan_loss_ratio = ratios.get('loan_loss_ratio', 0)
    if loan_loss_ratio < 1:
        score_components['quality'] = 100
    elif loan_loss_ratio < 2:
        score_components['quality'] = 80
    elif loan_loss_ratio < 3:
        score_components['quality'] = 60
    else:
        score_components['quality'] = 20

    # Calculate weighted score
    weights = {'valuation': 0.30, 'profitability': 0.25, 'financial_health': 0.25, 'quality': 0.20}
    total_score = sum(score_components[key] * weights[key] for key in weights.keys())

    return {
        'total_score': total_score,
        'components': score_components,
        'sector_specific_notes': [
            "Traditional debt ratios excluded (different capital structure)",
            "Standard Altman Z-Score not applicable",
            "P/B ratio used as primary valuation metric"
        ]
    }

def get_financial_exclusions(self) -> List[str]:
    """
    Ratios to exclude for financial companies
    """
    return [
        'debt_to_equity', # Not meaningful for banks
        'current_ratio', # Different working capital structure
        'altman_z_score', # Use bank-specific model
        'pe_ratio' # Secondary to P/B for banks
    ]

```

2. REITs (Real Estate Investment Trusts):

python


```
class REITSectorHandler:
```

```
    REIT_INDICATORS = ['REIT', 'Real Estate Investment Trust', 'Real Estate']
```

```
    def is_reit_company(self, company_data: dict) -> bool:
```

```
        """Check if company is a REIT"""
```

```
        industry = company_data.get('industry', '').lower()
```

```
        company_name = company_data.get('company_name', '').lower()
```

```
        return any(indicator.lower() in industry or indicator.lower() in company_name
                    for indicator in self.REIT_INDICATORS)
```

```
    def calculate_reit_ratios(self, company_data: dict) -> dict:
```

```
        """
```

```
        Key Metrics for REITs:
```

- P/FFO (Price to Funds From Operations) instead of P/E
- Dividend Yield: >4% typically expected
- Debt/Total Assets: <50%
- Occupancy Rates: >90%
- FFO Growth Rate: 3-7% annually

```
        """
```

```
        ratios = {}
```

```
        # P/FFO (primary valuation metric)
```

```
        if company_data.get('current_price') and company_data.get('ffo_per_share'):
```

```
            ratios['p_ffo_ratio'] = company_data['current_price'] / company_data['ffo_per_share']
```

```
            ratios['primary_valuation_metric'] = 'p_ffo_ratio'
```

```
        # Dividend Yield
```

```
        if company_data.get('annual_dividend') and company_data.get('current_price'):
```

```
            ratios['dividend_yield'] = (company_data['annual_dividend'] / company_data['current_price']) * 100
```

```
            ratios['dividend_quality'] = 'Excellent' if ratios['dividend_yield'] > 6 else 'Good'
```

```
        # Debt to Total Assets (not equity)
```

```
        if company_data.get('total_debt') and company_data.get('total_assets'):
```

```
            ratios['debt_to_assets'] = (company_data['total_debt'] / company_data['total_assets']) * 100
```

```
            ratios['leverage_quality'] = 'Conservative' if ratios['debt_to_assets'] < 35 else 'Aggressive'
```

```
        # Occupancy Rate
```

```
        if company_data.get('occupied_square_feet') and company_data.get('total_square_feet'):
```

```
            ratios['occupancy_rate'] = (company_data['occupied_square_feet'] / company_data['total_square_feet']) * 100
```

```
            ratios['occupancy_quality'] = 'Excellent' if ratios['occupancy_rate'] > 95 else 'Good'
```

```
        # FFO Growth (3-year)
```

```

if company_data.get('ffo_3yr_cagr'):
    ratios['ffo_growth_3yr'] = company_data['ffo_3yr_cagr'] * 100
    ratios['growth_quality'] = 'Excellent' if ratios['ffo_growth_3yr'] > 7 else 'Good'

return ratios

def calculate_reit_score(self, company_data: dict, ratios: dict) -> dict:
    """
    REIT-specific scoring methodology
    """
    score_components = {}

    # P/FFO Valuation (25% weight)
    p_ffo = ratios.get('p_ffo_ratio')
    if p_ffo:
        if p_ffo < 12:
            score_components['valuation'] = 100
        elif p_ffo < 15:
            score_components['valuation'] = 80
        elif p_ffo < 20:
            score_components['valuation'] = 60
        elif p_ffo < 25:
            score_components['valuation'] = 40
        else:
            score_components['valuation'] = 20
    else:
        score_components['valuation'] = 50

    # Dividend Yield (25% weight)
    div_yield = ratios.get('dividend_yield', 0)
    if div_yield > 6:
        score_components['income'] = 100
    elif div_yield > 4:
        score_components['income'] = 80
    elif div_yield > 3:
        score_components['income'] = 60
    elif div_yield > 2:
        score_components['income'] = 40
    else:
        score_components['income'] = 20

    # Financial Health (20% weight)
    debt_to_assets = ratios.get('debt_to_assets', 0)
    if debt_to_assets < 35:

```

```

        score_components['financial_health'] = 100
    elif debt_to_assets < 45:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 80
    elif debt_to_assets < 55:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 60
    else:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 20

# Operational Quality (15% weight)
occupancy = ratios.get('occupancy_rate', 0)
if occupancy > 95:
    score_components['quality'] = 100
elif occupancy > 90:
    score_components['quality'] = 80
elif occupancy > 85:
    score_components['quality'] = 60
else:
    score_components['quality'] = 20

# Growth (15% weight)
ffo_growth = ratios.get('ffo_growth_3yr', 0)
if ffo_growth > 7:
    score_components['growth'] = 100
elif ffo_growth > 3:
    score_components['growth'] = 80
elif ffo_growth > 0:
    score_components['growth'] = 60
else:
    score_components['growth'] = 20

# Calculate weighted score
weights = {'valuation': 0.25, 'income': 0.25, 'financial_health': 0.20, 'quality': 0.15, 'growth': 0.15}
total_score = sum(score_components[key] * weights[key] for key in weights.keys())

return {
    'total_score': total_score,
    'components': score_components,
    'sector_specific_notes': [
        "High dividend payout ratios are normal for REITs",
        "Focus on property quality and location",
        "FFO more relevant than traditional earnings"
    ]
}

```

3. UTILITIES:

python

```
class UtilitySectorHandler:
```

```
    UTILITY_INDICATORS = ['Utilities', 'Electric', 'Gas', 'Water', 'Energy Distribution']
```

```
def is_utility_company(self, company_data: dict) -> bool:
```

```
    """Check if company is a utility"""
```

```
    sector = company_data.get('sector', '').lower()
```

```
    industry = company_data.get('industry', '').lower()
```

```
    return any(indicator.lower() in sector or indicator.lower() in industry
               for indicator in self.UTILITY_INDICATORS)
```

```
def calculate_utility_ratios(self, company_data: dict) -> dict:
```

```
    """
```

```
    Key Characteristics for Utilities:
```

- Regulated ROE: 8-12% typical
- Dividend Yield: 3-6%
- Interest Coverage: >2.5x minimum
- Rate Base Growth: 2-5% annually
- Regulatory Environment: Critical factor

```
    """
```

```
    ratios = {}
```

```
    # Regulated ROE
```

```
    if company_data.get('net_income') and company_data.get('shareholders_equity'):
```

```
        ratios['roe'] = (company_data['net_income'] / company_data['shareholders_equity'])
```

```
        ratios['roe_quality'] = 'Excellent' if 10 <= ratios['roe'] <= 14 else 'Good' if 8 <
```

```
    # Dividend Yield and Coverage
```

```
    if company_data.get('annual_dividend') and company_data.get('current_price'):
```

```
        ratios['dividend_yield'] = (company_data['annual_dividend'] / company_data['current
```

```
        ratios['dividend_quality'] = 'Excellent' if 4 <= ratios['dividend_yield'] <= 6 else
```

```
    # Interest Coverage (critical for utilities with high debt)
```

```
    if company_data.get('ebit') and company_data.get('interest_expense'):
```

```
        ratios['interest_coverage'] = company_data['ebit'] / company_data['interest_expense
```

```
        ratios['debt_safety'] = 'Strong' if ratios['interest_coverage'] > 4 else 'Adequate'
```

```
    # Rate Base Growth
```

```
    if company_data.get('rate_base_3yr_cagr'):
```

```
        ratios['rate_base_growth'] = company_data['rate_base_3yr_cagr'] * 100
```

```
        ratios['growth_quality'] = 'Excellent' if 4 <= ratios['rate_base_growth'] <= 6 else
```

```
    return ratios
```

```

def calculate_utility_score(self, company_data: dict, ratios: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Utility-specific scoring with emphasis on stability
    """
    score_components = {}

    # Dividend Sustainability (30% weight - critical for utility investors)
    div_yield = ratios.get('dividend_yield', 0)
    interest_coverage = ratios.get('interest_coverage', 0)

    dividend_score = 0
    if 4 <= div_yield <= 6 and interest_coverage > 3:
        dividend_score = 100
    elif 3 <= div_yield <= 7 and interest_coverage > 2.5:
        dividend_score = 80
    elif div_yield > 0 and interest_coverage > 2:
        dividend_score = 60
    else:
        dividend_score = 20
    score_components['dividend_sustainability'] = dividend_score

    # Regulatory ROE (25% weight)
    roe = ratios.get('roe', 0)
    if 10 <= roe <= 14:
        score_components['profitability'] = 100 # Optimal regulated range
    elif 8 <= roe <= 16:
        score_components['profitability'] = 80
    elif 6 <= roe <= 18:
        score_components['profitability'] = 60
    else:
        score_components['profitability'] = 40

    # Financial Stability (20% weight)
    if interest_coverage > 4:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 100
    elif interest_coverage > 3:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 80
    elif interest_coverage > 2.5:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 60
    else:
        score_components['financial_health'] = 20

    # Growth (15% weight - Lower expectations)

```

```

rate_base_growth = ratios.get('rate_base_growth', 0)
if 4 <= rate_base_growth <= 6:
    score_components['growth'] = 100
elif 2 <= rate_base_growth <= 8:
    score_components['growth'] = 80
elif rate_base_growth > 0:
    score_components['growth'] = 60
else:
    score_components['growth'] = 40

# Regulatory Environment (10% weight)
# This would require additional data about state regulations
score_components['regulatory'] = 75 # Default neutral score

# Calculate weighted score
weights = {
    'dividend_sustainability': 0.30,
    'profitability': 0.25,
    'financial_health': 0.20,
    'growth': 0.15,
    'regulatory': 0.10
}
total_score = sum(score_components[key] * weights[key] for key in weights.keys())

return {
    'total_score': total_score,
    'components': score_components,
    'sector_specific_notes': [
        "Lower growth expectations for regulated utilities",
        "Emphasis on dividend sustainability",
        "Regulatory risk assessment crucial",
        "Interest coverage critical due to high leverage"
    ]
}

```

Integration with Main Scoring System:

python

```
class SectorAwareScoring:

    def __init__(self):
        self.financial_handler = FinancialSectorHandler()
        self.reit_handler = REITSectorHandler()
        self.utility_handler = UtilitySectorHandler()

    def calculate_sector_adjusted_score(self, ticker: str, investor_type: str) -> dict:
        """
        Main entry point for sector-aware scoring
        """
        company_data = self.get_company_data(ticker)

        # Determine sector and use appropriate handler
        if self.financial_handler.is_financial_company(company_data):
            return self._calculate_financial_score(company_data, investor_type)
        elif self.reit_handler.is_reit_company(company_data):
            return self._calculate_reit_score(company_data, investor_type)
        elif self.utility_handler.is_utility_company(company_data):
            return self._calculate_utility_score(company_data, investor_type)
        else:
            # Use standard scoring methodology
            return self._calculate_standard_score(company_data, investor_type)

    def get_sector_exclusions(self, company_data: dict) -> List[str]:
        """
        Get list of ratios to exclude based on sector
        """
        if self.financial_handler.is_financial_company(company_data):
            return self.financial_handler.get_financial_exclusions()
        elif self.reit_handler.is_reit_company(company_data):
            return ['pe_ratio', 'eps_growth'] # Use FFO metrics instead
        elif self.utility_handler.is_utility_company(company_data):
            return [] # Standard ratios apply but with different thresholds
        else:
            return []
```

BACK-013: CRON Job Orchestrator with Smart Scheduling

Type: Backend Task

Priority: High

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Senior Backend Developer

Description: Implement daily cron jobs with professor's rate-limit strategy and intelligent error handling.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Monday-Friday different update patterns per professor's plan
- ☐ Graceful handling of API failures with cascading fallbacks
- ☐ Progress tracking and resumption capability
- ☐ Email alerts for critical failures
- ☐ Performance monitoring and optimization
- ☐ Priority-based company selection

PROFESSOR'S EXACT SCHEDULING STRATEGY:

Rate Limit Distribution (1500 companies across 5 weekdays):

bash

Monday - Yahoo Finance heavy (400 companies)

0 2 * * 1 python update_fundamentals.py --provider yahoo --limit 400 --priority high

Tuesday - Finnhub focus (300 companies)

0 2 * * 2 python update_fundamentals.py --provider finnhub --limit 300 --priority medium

Wednesday - Yahoo continued (400 companies)

0 2 * * 3 python update_fundamentals.py --provider yahoo --limit 400 --priority medium

Thursday - Catch-up day (300 companies, mixed providers)

0 2 * * 4 python update_fundamentals.py --provider mixed --limit 300 --priority low

Friday - Alpha Vantage only (100 companies, rate limit 5/min)

0 2 * * 5 python update_fundamentals.py --provider alphavantage --limit 100 --priority lowest

Sunday - Industry benchmarks calculation (weekly)

0 1 * * 0 python calculate_industry_benchmarks.py

Daily - Investor scores recalculation (for updated companies only)

0 4 * * * python calculate_investor_scores.py --updated-only

Weekly - Peer selection refresh

0 3 * * 0 python refresh_peer_selections.py

Python Implementation:

python

```

class CronOrchestrator:

    def __init__(self):
        self.api_limiter = APIRateLimiter()
        self.company_prioritizer = CompanyPrioritizer()
        self.error_handler = ErrorHandler()

    def update_fundamentals_cron(self, provider: str, limit: int, priority: str):
        """
        Main cron entry point for fundamental data updates
        """
        try:
            # Get prioritized company list
            companies = self.company_prioritizer.get_companies_by_priority(
                limit=limit,
                priority_level=priority,
                provider_preference=provider
            )

            logger.info(f"Starting {provider} update for {len(companies)} companies")

            # Execute updates with progress tracking
            results = self.execute_batch_updates(companies, provider)

            # Log results and handle failures
            self.process_batch_results(results, provider)

            # Update industry benchmarks if enough companies updated
            if results['success_count'] > limit * 0.8: # 80% success rate
                self.trigger_benchmark_update()

        except Exception as e:
            self.error_handler.handle_critical_error(e, f"{provider}_cron_failure")
            raise

    def execute_batch_updates(self, companies: List[str], provider: str) -> dict:
        """
        Execute updates with rate limiting and error handling
        """
        results = {
            'success_count': 0,
            'failure_count': 0,
            'rate_limited_count': 0,

```

```

        'failed_companies': [],
        'processing_time': 0
    }

    start_time = time.time()

    for i, ticker in enumerate(companies):
        try:
            # Check rate limits before each call
            if not self.api_limiter.can_make_request(provider):
                wait_time = self.api_limiter.get_wait_time(provider)
                if wait_time > 300: # More than 5 minutes
                    logger.warning(f"Rate limit exceeded for {provider}, stopping batch")
                    results['rate_limited_count'] = len(companies) - i
                    break
            else:
                time.sleep(wait_time)

            # Update company fundamentals
            success = self.update_single_company(ticker, provider)

            if success:
                results['success_count'] += 1
                # Update company priority and Last update time
                self.company_prioritizer.mark_updated(ticker)
            else:
                results['failure_count'] += 1
                results['failed_companies'].append(ticker)

            # Progress Logging every 50 companies
            if (i + 1) % 50 == 0:
                progress = (i + 1) / len(companies) * 100
                logger.info(f"Progress: {progress:.1f}% ({i + 1}/{len(companies)})")

        except Exception as e:
            logger.error(f"Error updating {ticker}: {str(e)}")
            results['failure_count'] += 1
            results['failed_companies'].append(ticker)

    results['processing_time'] = time.time() - start_time
    return results

def update_single_company(self, ticker: str, provider: str) -> bool:
    """

```

```

Update single company with fallback providers
"""

providers = self.get_provider_fallback_chain(provider)

for attempt_provider in providers:
    try:
        # Check rate limits
        if not self.api_limiter.can_make_request(attempt_provider):
            continue

        # Fetch data
        fundamental_data = self.fetch_fundamental_data(ticker, attempt_provider)

        if self.validate_fundamental_data(fundamental_data):
            # Calculate ratios
            ratios = self.calculate_all_ratios(fundamental_data)

            # Store in database
            self.store_fundamental_data(ticker, fundamental_data, ratios, attempt_provi

            # Record successful API call
            self.api_limiter.record_successful_call(attempt_provider)

            return True

    except APIRateLimitError:
        logger.warning(f"Rate limit hit for {attempt_provider}")
        continue
    except APIError as e:
        logger.warning(f"API error for {ticker} with {attempt_provider}: {str(e)}")
        continue
    except Exception as e:
        logger.error(f"Unexpected error for {ticker} with {attempt_provider}: {str(e)}")
        continue

# ALL providers failed
logger.error(f"All providers failed for {ticker}")
return False

def get_provider_fallback_chain(self, primary_provider: str) -> List[str]:
    """
    Get fallback provider chain per professor's specifications
    """
    if primary_provider == 'yahoo':

```

```

        return ['yahoo', 'finnhub', 'alphavantage']
    elif primary_provider == 'finnhub':
        return ['finnhub', 'yahoo', 'alphavantage']
    elif primary_provider == 'alphavantage':
        return ['alphavantage', 'yahoo', 'finnhub']
    elif primary_provider == 'mixed':
        return ['yahoo', 'finnhub', 'alphavantage']
    else:
        return ['yahoo', 'finnhub', 'alphavantage']

```

```

class CompanyPrioritizer:

```

```

    """

```

```

    Implement professor's exact priority algorithm

```

```

    """

```

```

    def get_companies_by_priority(self, limit: int, priority_level: str, provider_preference: str):

```

```

        """

```

```

        Get companies using professor's priority algorithm:

```

```

        Priority 5: earnings ≤ 7 days

```

```

        Priority 4: no fundamental data

```

```

        Priority 3: data > 30 days old

```

```

        Priority 2: data > 90 days old

```

```

        Priority 1: recent data

```

```

        """

```

```

        query = """

```

```

        WITH company_priorities AS (

```

```

            SELECT

```

```

                s.ticker,

```

```

                s.company_name,

```

```

                s.next_earnings_date,

```

```

                s.fundamentals_last_update,

```

```

                s.data_priority as manual_priority,

```

```

            CASE

```

```

                WHEN s.next_earnings_date <= CURRENT_DATE + INTERVAL '7 days' THEN 5

```

```

                WHEN s.fundamentals_last_update IS NULL THEN 4

```

```

                WHEN s.fundamentals_last_update < CURRENT_DATE - INTERVAL '30 days' THEN 3

```

```

                WHEN s.fundamentals_last_update < CURRENT_DATE - INTERVAL '90 days' THEN 2

```

```

                ELSE 1

```

```

            END as calculated_priority,

```

```

            s.market_cap

```

```

        FROM stocks s

```

```

        WHERE s.market_cap > 100000000 -- Min $100M market cap

```

```

    )

```



```

SELECT ticker
FROM company_priorities
WHERE calculated_priority >= %s
ORDER BY
    calculated_priority DESC,
    manual_priority DESC,
    market_cap DESC,
    fundamentals_last_update ASC NULLS FIRST
LIMIT %s
"""

```

Map priority levels to minimum priority scores

```

priority_mapping = {
    'high': 4,      # Only earnings soon + no data
    'medium': 3,    # Include 30+ day old data
    'low': 2,       # Include 90+ day old data
    'lowest': 1     # Include all companies
}

```

```

min_priority = priority_mapping.get(priority_level, 1)

```

```

companies = self.db.execute_query(query, (min_priority, limit))
return [company['ticker'] for company in companies]

```

```

def mark_updated(self, ticker: str):

```

```

    """
    Update company's last update timestamp and adjust priority
    """

```

```

    query = """
    UPDATE stocks
    SET fundamentals_last_update = CURRENT_TIMESTAMP,
        data_priority = 1 -- Reset to low priority after update
    WHERE ticker = %s
    """

```

```

    self.db.execute_query(query, (ticker,))

```

```

class ErrorHandler:

```

```

    """
    Comprehensive error handling and alerting
    """

```

```

def handle_critical_error(self, error: Exception, context: str):

```

```

    """
    Handle critical errors that require immediate attention

```

```

"""
error_data = {
    'error_type': type(error).__name__,
    'error_message': str(error),
    'context': context,
    'timestamp': datetime.now(),
    'stack_trace': traceback.format_exc()
}

# Log to database
self.log_error_to_db(error_data)

# Send immediate alert for critical failures
if self.is_critical_error(error, context):
    self.send_immediate_alert(error_data)

def process_batch_results(self, results: dict, provider: str):
    """
    Process batch results and send summary alerts
    """
    success_rate = results['success_count'] / (results['success_count'] + results['failure_

# Log batch summary
batch_summary = {
    'provider': provider,
    'success_count': results['success_count'],
    'failure_count': results['failure_count'],
    'success_rate': success_rate,
    'processing_time': results['processing_time'],
    'failed_companies': results['failed_companies'][:10] # First 10 failures
}

self.log_batch_summary(batch_summary)

# Alert if success rate too low
if success_rate < 0.7: # Less than 70% success
    self.send_low_success_rate_alert(batch_summary)

# Schedule retries for failed companies
if results['failed_companies']:
    self.schedule_retries(results['failed_companies'], provider)

def send_immediate_alert(self, error_data: dict):
    """

```

```

Send immediate email alert for critical errors
"""

subject = f"CRITICAL: {error_data['context']} Failed"
body = f"""
Critical error in fundamental data pipeline:

Error: {error_data['error_type']}
Message: {error_data['error_message']}
Context: {error_data['context']}
Time: {error_data['timestamp']}

Stack Trace:
{error_data['stack_trace']}

Immediate action required.
"""

self.email_service.send_alert(subject, body, priority='high')

def schedule_retries(self, failed_companies: List[str], provider: str):
    """
    Schedule retry attempts for failed companies
    """
    for ticker in failed_companies:
        # Increase retry count
        retry_count = self.get_retry_count(ticker, provider)

        if retry_count < 3: # Max 3 retries
            # Schedule retry with exponential backoff
            delay_hours = 2 ** retry_count # 2, 4, 8 hours
            retry_time = datetime.now() + timedelta(hours=delay_hours)

            self.schedule_retry(ticker, provider, retry_time, retry_count + 1)

```

BACK-014: Data Quality & Validation Engine

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Implement comprehensive data quality validation per professor's exact specifications.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Implement professor's data quality rules
- ☐ Confidence scoring system (0-100)
- ☐ Outlier detection and flagging
- ☐ Cross-validation between data sources
- ☐ Automatic correction for common issues

PROFESSOR'S EXACT DATA QUALITY SPECIFICATIONS:

python

```
class DataQualityValidator:
```

```
    # Professor's validation rules
```

```
    VALIDATION_RULES = {  
        'pe_ratio': {'min': -100, 'max': 1000, 'outlier_threshold': 3}, # 3 std dev  
        'debt_to_equity': {'min': 0, 'max': 50, 'warning_threshold': 5},  
        'roe': {'min': -100, 'max': 200, 'outlier_threshold': 2}, # 2 std dev  
        'revenue_growth': {'min': -90, 'max': 1000, 'outlier_threshold': 3},  
        'current_ratio': {'min': 0, 'max': 20, 'warning_threshold': 10},  
        'gross_margin': {'min': -50, 'max': 100, 'outlier_threshold': 2}  
    }
```

```
def validate_fundamental_data(self, ticker: str, data: dict, source: str) -> dict:  
    """
```

```
    Comprehensive validation per professor's specifications  
    """
```

```
    validation_results = {  
        'is_valid': True,  
        'quality_score': 0,  
        'confidence_level': 'High',  
        'issues': [],  
        'corrections_applied': [],  
        'data_completeness': 0,  
        'logical_consistency': True,  
        'source_reliability': self.get_source_reliability(source)  
    }
```

```
    # 1. Data Completeness Check (40% of quality score)
```

```
    completeness_score = self.check_data_completeness(data)  
    validation_results['data_completeness'] = completeness_score
```

```
    # 2. Range Validation (30% of quality score)
```

```
    range_score = self.validate_data_ranges(data, validation_results)
```

```
    # 3. Logical Consistency (20% of quality score)
```

```
    consistency_score = self.check_logical_consistency(data, validation_results)
```

```
    # 4. Cross-Source Validation (10% of quality score)
```

```
    cross_validation_score = self.cross_validate_with_existing(ticker, data, source)
```

```
    # Calculate overall quality score
```

```
    validation_results['quality_score'] = (  
        completeness_score * 0.40 +  
        range_score * 0.30 +  
        consistency_score * 0.20 +  
        cross_validation_score * 0.10  
    )
```

```

        range_score * 0.30 +
        consistency_score * 0.20 +
        cross_validation_score * 0.10
    )

    # Determine confidence level
    validation_results['confidence_level'] = self.determine_confidence_level(
        validation_results['quality_score']
    )

    # Apply automatic corrections
    corrected_data = self.apply_automatic_corrections(data, validation_results)

    return validation_results, corrected_data

def check_data_completeness(self, data: dict) -> float:
    """
    Check what percentage of required fields are available
    """
    required_fields = [
        'revenue', 'net_income', 'total_assets', 'shareholders_equity',
        'total_debt', 'current_assets', 'current_liabilities',
        'operating_income', 'cash_and_equivalents'
    ]

    available_count = sum(1 for field in required_fields if data.get(field) is not None)
    completeness_percentage = (available_count / len(required_fields)) * 100

    return completeness_percentage

def validate_data_ranges(self, data: dict, validation_results: dict) -> float:
    """
    Validate that all ratios are within reasonable ranges
    """
    range_issues = 0
    total_checks = 0

    for metric, rules in self.VALIDATION_RULES.items():
        if metric in data and data[metric] is not None:
            value = data[metric]
            total_checks += 1

            # Check min/max bounds
            if value < rules['min'] or value > rules['max']:

```

```

        validation_results['issues'].append(
            f"{metric} value {value} outside valid range [{rules['min']}, {rules['max']}]"
        )
        range_issues += 1

    # Check for outliers (if we have industry context)
    if 'outlier_threshold' in rules:
        industry_avg = self.get_industry_average(metric)
        industry_std = self.get_industry_std_dev(metric)

        if industry_avg and industry_std:
            z_score = abs(value - industry_avg) / industry_std
            if z_score > rules['outlier_threshold']:
                validation_results['issues'].append(
                    f"{metric} is {z_score:.1f} standard deviations from industry average"
                )

    # Calculate range score
    if total_checks == 0:
        return 0

    range_score = max(0, (total_checks - range_issues) / total_checks * 100)
    return range_score

def check_logical_consistency(self, data: dict, validation_results: dict) -> float:
    """
    Check for logical relationships between financial metrics
    """
    consistency_issues = 0
    total_checks = 0

    # Check 1: Current Assets ≥ Cash (if both available)
    if data.get('current_assets') and data.get('cash_and_equivalents'):
        total_checks += 1
        if data['cash_and_equivalents'] > data['current_assets']:
            validation_results['issues'].append(
                "Cash exceeds current assets - logical inconsistency"
            )
        consistency_issues += 1

    # Check 2: Total Assets ≥ Current Assets
    if data.get('total_assets') and data.get('current_assets'):
        total_checks += 1
        if data['current_assets'] > data['total_assets']:

```



```

        validation_results['issues'].append(
            "Current assets exceed total assets - logical inconsistency"
        )
        consistency_issues += 1

# Check 3: Revenue ≥ Net Income (for profitable companies)
if data.get('revenue') and data.get('net_income'):
    total_checks += 1
    if data['net_income'] > data['revenue'] and data['net_income'] > 0:
        validation_results['issues'].append(
            "Net income exceeds revenue - unusual for operating companies"
        )
        consistency_issues += 1

# Check 4: Shareholders Equity = Total Assets - Total Liabilities (approximate)
if all(data.get(field) for field in ['total_assets', 'total_debt', 'shareholders_equity']):
    total_checks += 1
    # Approximate total liabilities as total debt (simplified)
    implied_equity = data['total_assets'] - data['total_debt']
    equity_difference = abs(implied_equity - data['shareholders_equity'])

    # Allow 20% variance for simplification
    if equity_difference > data['shareholders_equity'] * 0.2:
        validation_results['issues'].append(
            f"Balance sheet equation inconsistency: Assets - Debt ≠ Equity"
        )
        consistency_issues += 1

# Calculate consistency score
if total_checks == 0:
    return 100 # No checks possible, assume consistent

consistency_score = max(0, (total_checks - consistency_issues) / total_checks * 100)
return consistency_score

def cross_validate_with_existing(self, ticker: str, new_data: dict, source: str) -> float:
    """
    Cross-validate new data with existing data from other sources
    """
    existing_data = self.get_recent_data_from_other_sources(ticker, source)

    if not existing_data:
        return 75 # Neutral score when no comparison data available

```

```

cross_validation_score = 100
comparison_count = 0

# Compare key metrics with 15% tolerance
key_metrics = ['revenue', 'net_income', 'total_assets', 'shareholders_equity']

for metric in key_metrics:
    if metric in new_data and metric in existing_data:
        new_value = new_data[metric]
        existing_value = existing_data[metric]
        comparison_count += 1

        if existing_value != 0:
            variance = abs(new_value - existing_value) / abs(existing_value)

            if variance > 0.15: # >15% difference
                cross_validation_score -= 20 # Penalty for large variance
                logger.warning(
                    f"Large variance in {metric} for {ticker}: "
                    f"{source}={new_value}, other={existing_value}"
                )

return max(0, cross_validation_score)

def apply_automatic_corrections(self, data: dict, validation_results: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Apply automatic corrections for common data issues
    """
    corrected_data = data.copy()

    # Correction 1: Negative book value handling
    if corrected_data.get('shareholders_equity', 0) < 0:
        # Flag but don't auto-correct - this is meaningful information
        validation_results['corrections_applied'].append(
            "Negative shareholders equity flagged (not corrected)"
        )

    # Correction 2: Extreme P/E ratios
    if 'pe_ratio' in corrected_data:
        if corrected_data['pe_ratio'] > 1000:
            corrected_data['pe_ratio'] = None
            validation_results['corrections_applied'].append(
                "Extreme P/E ratio removed (>1000)"
            )

```

```

# Correction 3: Missing current ratio calculation
if (not corrected_data.get('current_ratio') and
    corrected_data.get('current_assets') and
    corrected_data.get('current_liabilities')):

    if corrected_data['current_liabilities'] > 0:
        corrected_data['current_ratio'] = (
            corrected_data['current_assets'] / corrected_data['current_liabilities']
        )
        validation_results['corrections_applied'].append(
            "Current ratio calculated from components"
        )

return corrected_data

def determine_confidence_level(self, quality_score: float) -> str:
    """
    Determine confidence level based on quality score (per professor)
    """
    if quality_score >= 80:
        return 'High'
    elif quality_score >= 60:
        return 'Medium'
    else:
        return 'Low'

def calculate_confidence_adjustment(self, quality_score: float) -> float:
    """
    Calculate score adjustment based on data confidence (per professor)
    """
    if quality_score >= 80:
        return 1.0      # No adjustment for high confidence
    elif quality_score >= 60:
        return 0.95     # 5% reduction for medium confidence
    else:
        return 0.85     # 15% reduction for low confidence

def get_source_reliability(self, source: str) -> float:
    """
    Source reliability scores (professor's hierarchy)
    """
    reliability_scores = {
        'yahoo': 0.85,      # Primary source, good coverage

```

```
    'finnhub': 0.80,    # Secondary source, reliable
    'alphavantage': 0.75 # Tertiary source, limited calls
}

return reliability_scores.get(source, 0.70)
```

BACK-015: CSV Configuration Management with Formula Evaluation

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Build system to parse and manage CSV configuration files for scoring logic with professor's dynamic threshold support.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Parse and validate CSV files with exact column structures
- ☐ Store in `csv_configurations` table with versioning
- ☐ Formula evaluation for dynamic thresholds (e.g., "industry_avg*0.8")
- ☐ API endpoint for CSV updates
- ☐ Rollback capability for bad configurations
- ☐ Support for all professor-specified CSV files

CSV FILES TO HANDLE (Professor-Specified):

python

```

CSV_CONFIGURATION_FILES = {
    'valuation_logic.csv': {
        'columns': ['Investor_Type', 'Metric_Name', 'Weight_Percentage', 'Good_Threshold',
                    'Fair_Threshold', 'Poor_Threshold', 'Calculation_Formula',
                    'Industry_Adjustment', 'Explanation_Template'],
        'validation_rules': {
            'Weight_Percentage': {'type': 'int', 'min': 0, 'max': 100},
            'Industry_Adjustment': {'type': 'bool'},
            'Calculation_Formula': {'type': 'formula'}
        }
    },
    'quality_scoring.csv': {
        'columns': ['Component_Name', 'Industry_Type', 'Excellent_Range', 'Good_Range',
                    'Average_Range', 'Poor_Range', 'Special_Calculation', 'Metric_Description'],
        'validation_rules': {
            'Industry_Type': {'enum': ['General', 'Financial', 'REIT', 'Utility']},
            'Special_Calculation': {'enum': ['standard', 'financial_adjusted', 'reit_specific']}
        }
    },
    'investor_explanations.csv': {
        'columns': ['Investor_Type', 'Score_Range', 'Overall_Rating', 'Explanation_Template',
                    'Action_Suggestion'],
        'validation_rules': {
            'Investor_Type': {'enum': ['conservative', 'garp', 'deep_value']},
            'Score_Range': {'type': 'range', 'format': '0-19'},
            'Overall_Rating': {'enum': ['STRONG BUY', 'BUY', 'HOLD', 'SELL', 'STRONG SELL']}
        }
    },
    'educational_content.csv': {
        'columns': ['Metric_Name', 'Beginner_Explanation', 'Intermediate_Explanation',
                    'Advanced_Explanation', 'Why_It_Matters', 'Red_Flag_Levels', 'Learn_More_Link'],
        'validation_rules': {
            'Red_Flag_Levels': {'type': 'threshold'},
            'Learn_More_Link': {'type': 'url'}
        }
    },
    'warning_thresholds.csv': {
        'columns': ['Warning_Level', 'Metric_Name', 'Condition_Logic', 'Warning_Text',
                    'Icon', 'Priority'],
        'validation_rules': {
            'Warning_Level': {'enum': ['caution', 'warning', 'high_risk']},
            'Priority': {'type': 'int', 'min': 1, 'max': 3},
            'Condition_Logic': {'type': 'formula'}
        }
    }
}

```

```
    }
  },
  'metric_descriptions.csv': {
    'columns': ['Metric_Name', 'Display_Name', 'Category', 'Format_Type',
               'Units', 'Tooltip_Text', 'Calculation_Method'],
    'validation_rules': {
      'Category': {'enum': ['valuation', 'profitability', 'financial_health', 'growth']},
      'Format_Type': {'enum': ['percentage', 'ratio', 'currency', 'number']}
    }
  }
}
```

Implementation:

python


```

class CSVConfigurationManager:

    def __init__(self):
        self.formula_evaluator = FormulaEvaluator()
        self.validator = CSVValidator()

    def load_csv_configuration(self, file_name: str, file_content: str, version: int) -> dict:
        """
        Load and validate CSV configuration file
        """
        try:
            # Parse CSV content
            parsed_data = self.parse_csv_content(file_content)

            # Validate structure and content
            validation_result = self.validator.validate_csv_structure(
                file_name, parsed_data, CSV_CONFIGURATION_FILES[file_name]
            )

            if not validation_result['is_valid']:
                raise ValueError(f"CSV validation failed: {validation_result['errors']}")

            # Test formula evaluation
            formula_test_result = self.test_formula_evaluation(file_name, parsed_data)

            # Store in database with versioning
            config_id = self.store_csv_configuration(file_name, parsed_data, version)

            # Activate new configuration
            self.activate_configuration(file_name, version)

            return {
                'success': True,
                'config_id': config_id,
                'validation_result': validation_result,
                'formula_test_result': formula_test_result
            }

        except Exception as e:
            logger.error(f"Failed to load CSV configuration {file_name}: {str(e)}")
            raise

    def evaluate_dynamic_threshold(self, formula: str, context: dict) -> float:

```

```

"""
Evaluate dynamic thresholds like "industry_avg*0.8"

Supported variables:
- industry_avg: Industry average for the metric
- industry_median: Industry median
- peer_avg: Average of 3 peers
- historical_avg: Company's 5-year average
"""

try:
    # Replace variables with actual values
    evaluated_formula = formula

    for variable, value in context.items():
        if value is not None:
            evaluated_formula = evaluated_formula.replace(variable, str(value))

    # Safely evaluate mathematical expression
    result = self.formula_evaluator.safe_eval(evaluated_formula)
    return float(result)

except Exception as e:
    logger.warning(f"Formula evaluation failed for '{formula}': {str(e)}")
    return None

def get_threshold_for_metric(self, metric_name: str, investor_type: str,
                             context: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Get dynamic thresholds for a metric based on current context
    """
    # Get configuration for metric
    config = self.get_active_configuration('valuation_logic.csv')

    metric_config = None
    for row in config['data']:
        if (row['Metric_Name'] == metric_name and
            row['Investor_Type'] == investor_type):
            metric_config = row
            break

    if not metric_config:
        return None

    # Evaluate thresholds

```

```

thresholds = {}

for threshold_type in ['Good_Threshold', 'Fair_Threshold', 'Poor_Threshold']:
    formula = metric_config[threshold_type]

    if self.is_formula(formula):
        thresholds[threshold_type] = self.evaluate_dynamic_threshold(formula, context)
    else:
        thresholds[threshold_type] = float(formula)

return {
    'metric_name': metric_name,
    'investor_type': investor_type,
    'thresholds': thresholds,
    'explanation': metric_config['Explanation_Template'],
    'industry_adjustment': metric_config['Industry_Adjustment'] == 'true'
}

def is_formula(self, value: str) -> bool:
    """
    Check if string contains formula (has variables or operators)
    """
    formula_indicators = ['industry_avg', 'peer_avg', 'historical_avg', '*', '/', '+', '-']
    return any(indicator in value for indicator in formula_indicators)

class FormulaEvaluator:
    """
    Safe formula evaluation for dynamic thresholds
    """

    ALLOWED_OPERATORS = ['+', '-', '*', '/', '(', ')', '.']
    ALLOWED_FUNCTIONS = ['abs', 'min', 'max', 'round']

    def safe_eval(self, expression: str) -> float:
        """
        Safely evaluate mathematical expression
        """
        # Remove any non-allowed characters
        cleaned = self.clean_expression(expression)

        # Use restricted evaluation
        try:
            # Create safe namespace
            safe_dict = {

```

```

        '__builtins__': {},
        'abs': abs,
        'min': min,
        'max': max,
        'round': round
    }

```

```

    result = eval(cleaned, safe_dict)
    return float(result)

```

```

except Exception as e:
    raise ValueError(f"Invalid formula: {expression}")

```

```

def clean_expression(self, expression: str) -> str:
    """
    Clean and validate expression for safety
    """
    # Allow only numbers, operators, and parentheses
    allowed_chars = set('0123456789+-*./() ')
    cleaned = ''.join(c for c in expression if c in allowed_chars)

    # Basic validation
    if not cleaned.strip():
        raise ValueError("Empty expression")

    return cleaned

```

```

class CSVValidator:

```

```

    """
    Validate CSV files against expected structure
    """

```

```

def validate_csv_structure(self, file_name: str, data: List[dict],
                           expected_structure: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Validate CSV data against expected structure
    """
    validation_result = {
        'is_valid': True,
        'errors': [],
        'warnings': []
    }

```

```

    if not data:

```

```

validation_result['is_valid'] = False
validation_result['errors'].append("CSV file is empty")
return validation_result

# Check columns
expected_columns = set(expected_structure['columns'])
actual_columns = set(data[0].keys())

missing_columns = expected_columns - actual_columns
extra_columns = actual_columns - expected_columns

if missing_columns:
    validation_result['is_valid'] = False
    validation_result['errors'].append(f"Missing columns: {missing_columns}")

if extra_columns:
    validation_result['warnings'].append(f"Extra columns: {extra_columns}")

# Validate data types and constraints
validation_rules = expected_structure.get('validation_rules', {})

for row_idx, row in enumerate(data):
    for column, rule in validation_rules.items():
        if column in row and row[column]:
            value = row[column]

            # Type validation
            if rule.get('type') == 'int':
                try:
                    int_value = int(value)
                    if 'min' in rule and int_value < rule['min']:
                        validation_result['errors'].append(
                            f"Row {row_idx}: {column} value {int_value} below minimum {
                        )
                    if 'max' in rule and int_value > rule['max']:
                        validation_result['errors'].append(
                            f"Row {row_idx}: {column} value {int_value} above maximum {
                        )
                except ValueError:
                    validation_result['errors'].append(
                        f"Row {row_idx}: {column} is not a valid integer"
                    )

            # Enum validation

```

```

        if 'enum' in rule and value not in rule['enum']:
            validation_result['errors'].append(
                f"Row {row_idx}: {column} value '{value}' not in allowed values {rule['enum']}"
            )

    # URL validation
    if rule.get('type') == 'url' and not self.is_valid_url(value):
        validation_result['warnings'].append(
            f"Row {row_idx}: {column} may not be a valid URL"
        )

    if validation_result['errors']:
        validation_result['is_valid'] = False

    return validation_result

def is_valid_url(self, url: str) -> bool:
    """Basic URL validation"""
    return url.startswith(('http://', 'https://')) and '.' in url

```

BACK-016: Performance Optimization & Database Indexing

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 8

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Optimize database queries and implement caching for frequent lookups per professor's performance requirements.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Query performance analysis for all major operations
- ☐ Add composite indexes for common query patterns
- ☐ Redis caching for industry benchmarks and peer data
- ☐ Query optimization with CTEs and materialized views
- ☐ Performance monitoring (<100ms requirement)

PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATIONS:

sql

-- Key Optimizations per Professor Requirements

-- 1. Optimize latest ratios lookup (most frequent query)

```
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_ratios_latest_lookup
ON financial_ratios (ticker, calculation_date DESC)
WHERE calculation_date >= CURRENT_DATE - INTERVAL '90 days';
```

-- 2. Optimize industry benchmark queries

```
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_benchmarks_industry_date
ON industry_benchmarks (industry_code, calculation_date DESC);
```

-- 3. Optimize peer lookup queries

```
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_stocks_peer_lookup
ON stocks (industry, market_cap DESC)
WHERE market_cap > 100000000;
```

-- 4. Optimize priority-based company selection

```
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_stocks_priority_selection
ON stocks (data_priority DESC, fundamentals_last_update ASC NULLS FIRST, market_cap DESC)
WHERE market_cap > 100000000;
```

-- 5. Optimize earnings calendar queries

```
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_earnings_calendar_date_priority
ON earnings_calendar (earnings_date, priority_level DESC)
WHERE earnings_date >= CURRENT_DATE;
```

-- 6. Create materialized view for latest company metrics

```
CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW mv_latest_company_metrics AS
SELECT DISTINCT ON (fr.ticker)
    fr.ticker,
    fr.calculation_date,
    fr.pe_ratio,
    fr.pb_ratio,
    fr.roe,
    fr.debt_to_equity,
    fr.altman_z_score,
    s.company_name,
    s.sector,
    s.industry,
    s.market_cap,
    s.peer_1_ticker,
    s.peer_2_ticker,
    s.peer_3_ticker
```



```
FROM financial_ratios fr
JOIN stocks s ON fr.ticker = s.ticker
ORDER BY fr.ticker, fr.calculation_date DESC;

-- Refresh materialized view daily
CREATE INDEX ON mv_latest_company_metrics (ticker);
CREATE INDEX ON mv_latest_company_metrics (sector, industry);

-- 7. Optimize investor score queries
CREATE INDEX CONCURRENTLY idx_investor_scores_latest
ON investor_scores (ticker, calculation_date DESC);
```

Python Caching Implementation:

python

```

import redis
import json
from typing import Optional, Dict, Any
from datetime import timedelta

class PerformanceOptimizer:

    def __init__(self):
        self.redis_client = redis.Redis(host='localhost', port=6379, db=0)
        self.cache_ttl = {
            'industry_benchmarks': 86400, # 24 hours
            'peer_data': 604800, # 7 days
            'company_ratios': 3600, # 1 hour
            'sector_mappings': 2592000 # 30 days
        }

    def get_cached_industry_benchmarks(self, industry: str) -> Optional[Dict]:
        """
        Get cached industry benchmarks
        """
        cache_key = f"industry_benchmarks:{industry}"
        cached_data = self.redis_client.get(cache_key)

        if cached_data:
            return json.loads(cached_data)

        # If not cached, fetch from database and cache
        benchmarks = self.fetch_industry_benchmarks_from_db(industry)
        if benchmarks:
            self.redis_client.setex(
                cache_key,
                self.cache_ttl['industry_benchmarks'],
                json.dumps(benchmarks)
            )

        return benchmarks

    def get_cached_peer_data(self, ticker: str) -> Optional[Dict]:
        """
        Get cached peer comparison data
        """
        cache_key = f"peer_data:{ticker}"
        cached_data = self.redis_client.get(cache_key)

```

```

    if cached_data:
        return json.loads(cached_data)

    # Fetch peer data and cache
    peer_data = self.fetch_peer_data_from_db(ticker)
    if peer_data:
        self.redis_client.setex(
            cache_key,
            self.cache_ttl['peer_data'],
            json.dumps(peer_data)
        )

    return peer_data

def optimize_bulk_ratio_calculation(self, tickers: List[str]) -> Dict[str, Dict]:
    """
    Optimized bulk calculation of ratios
    """
    # Use single query to fetch all needed data
    query = """
    SELECT
        ticker,
        revenue, net_income, total_assets, shareholders_equity,
        total_debt, current_assets, current_liabilities,
        operating_income, cash_and_equivalents, market_cap
    FROM mv_latest_company_metrics
    WHERE ticker = ANY(%s)
    """

    results = self.db.execute_query(query, (tickers,))

    # Calculate ratios in batch
    ratio_results = {}
    for row in results:
        ticker = row['ticker']
        ratio_results[ticker] = self.calculate_ratios_from_row(row)

    return ratio_results

def refresh_materialized_views(self):
    """
    Refresh materialized views (called by daily cron)
    """

```

```

views_to_refresh = [
    'mv_latest_company_metrics'
]

for view in views_to_refresh:
    start_time = time.time()
    self.db.execute_query(f"REFRESH MATERIALIZED VIEW {view}")
    refresh_time = time.time() - start_time

    logger.info(f"Refreshed {view} in {refresh_time:.2f} seconds")

```

```

def monitor_query_performance(self):
    """
    Monitor and log slow queries
    """
    slow_query_threshold = 0.1 # 100ms as per professor requirement

    # Get slow queries from PostgreSQL Logs
    query = """
    SELECT query, mean_exec_time, calls, total_exec_time
    FROM pg_stat_statements
    WHERE mean_exec_time > %s
    ORDER BY mean_exec_time DESC
    LIMIT 10
    """

    slow_queries = self.db.execute_query(query, (slow_query_threshold * 1000,))

    if slow_queries:
        logger.warning(f"Found {len(slow_queries)} slow queries")
        for query_info in slow_queries:
            logger.warning(
                f"Slow query: {query_info['mean_exec_time']:.2f}ms avg, "
                f"{query_info['calls']} calls"
            )

    return slow_queries

```

Query optimization examples

```

class OptimizedQueries:
    """
    Optimized queries for common operations
    """

```

```
@staticmethod
```

```
def get_company_with_ratios(ticker: str) -> str:
    """
    Single query to get company data with latest ratios
    """
    return """
SELECT
    s.*,
    fr.pe_ratio, fr.pb_ratio, fr.roe, fr.debt_to_equity,
    fr.altman_z_score, fr.calculation_date as ratios_date
FROM stocks s
LEFT JOIN LATERAL (
    SELECT * FROM financial_ratios fr2
    WHERE fr2.ticker = s.ticker
    ORDER BY fr2.calculation_date DESC
    LIMIT 1
) fr ON true
WHERE s.ticker = %s
    """
```

```
@staticmethod
```

```
def get_industry_companies_with_ratios(industry: str) -> str:
    """
    Optimized query for industry comparison
    """
    return """
SELECT
    s.ticker, s.company_name, s.market_cap,
    fr.pe_ratio, fr.pb_ratio, fr.roe
FROM stocks s
JOIN mv_latest_company_metrics fr ON s.ticker = fr.ticker
WHERE s.industry = %s
    AND s.market_cap > 100000000
    AND fr.pe_ratio IS NOT NULL
ORDER BY s.market_cap DESC
    """
```

```
@staticmethod
```

```
def get_priority_companies_for_update() -> str:
    """
    Optimized priority selection query
    """
    return """
WITH company_priorities AS (
```

```

SELECT
    ticker,
    CASE
        WHEN next_earnings_date <= CURRENT_DATE + INTERVAL '7 days' THEN 5
        WHEN fundamentals_last_update IS NULL THEN 4
        WHEN fundamentals_last_update < CURRENT_DATE - INTERVAL '30 days' THEN 3
        WHEN fundamentals_last_update < CURRENT_DATE - INTERVAL '90 days' THEN 2
        ELSE 1
    END as priority,
    market_cap,
    fundamentals_last_update
FROM stocks
WHERE market_cap > 100000000
)
SELECT ticker
FROM company_priorities
WHERE priority >= %s
ORDER BY priority DESC, market_cap DESC, fundamentals_last_update ASC NULLS FIRST
LIMIT %s
"""

```

BACK-017: Monitoring & Alerting Dashboard

Type: Backend Task

Priority: Medium

Story Points: 13

Assignee: Backend Developer

Description: Build comprehensive monitoring for data pipeline health and API performance per professor's success metrics.

Acceptance Criteria:

- ☐ Track API success/failure rates (95% target)
- ☐ Monitor data freshness per company (<5% stale data target)
- ☐ Alert on calculation errors or data quality issues
- ☐ Weekly data quality reports
- ☐ Performance dashboard with professor's KPIs

PROFESSOR'S SUCCESS METRICS TO MONITOR:

- 95% daily success rate for priority companies

- <5% data staleness (companies with 30+ day old data)
- <1 hour total execution time for daily updates
- 99.9% uptime for database access

Implementation:

python

```

class MonitoringDashboard:

    PROFESSOR_TARGETS = {
        'daily_success_rate': 0.95,      # 95% success rate
        'max_stale_data_pct': 0.05,      # <5% stale data
        'max_daily_execution_hours': 1,   # <1 hour daily updates
        'database_uptime_target': 0.999   # 99.9% uptime
    }

    def __init__(self):
        self.metrics_collector = MetricsCollector()
        self.alerting_service = AlertingService()

    def collect_daily_metrics(self) -> dict:
        """
        Collect all daily metrics per professor's requirements
        """
        metrics = {}

        # 1. API Success Rate
        api_metrics = self.metrics_collector.get_api_metrics_last_24h()
        metrics['api_success_rate'] = self.calculate_success_rate(api_metrics)

        # 2. Data Freshness
        freshness_metrics = self.metrics_collector.get_data_freshness_metrics()
        metrics['stale_data_percentage'] = self.calculate_stale_data_percentage(freshness_metrics)

        # 3. Execution Time
        execution_metrics = self.metrics_collector.get_execution_time_metrics()
        metrics['daily_execution_time_hours'] = execution_metrics['total_time_hours']

        # 4. Database Performance
        db_metrics = self.metrics_collector.get_database_metrics()
        metrics['database_uptime'] = db_metrics['uptime_percentage']
        metrics['avg_query_time_ms'] = db_metrics['avg_query_time']

        # 5. Data Quality Scores
        quality_metrics = self.metrics_collector.get_data_quality_metrics()
        metrics['avg_data_quality_score'] = quality_metrics['average_score']

        # Check against professor's targets
        metrics['targets_met'] = self.check_targets_compliance(metrics)

```

```

        return metrics

def calculate_success_rate(self, api_metrics: dict) -> float:
    """
    Calculate overall API success rate
    """
    total_calls = sum(provider['total_calls'] for provider in api_metrics.values())
    successful_calls = sum(provider['successful_calls'] for provider in api_metrics.values())

    if total_calls == 0:
        return 1.0

    return successful_calls / total_calls

def calculate_stale_data_percentage(self, freshness_metrics: dict) -> float:
    """
    Calculate percentage of companies with stale data (>30 days)
    """
    total_companies = freshness_metrics['total_companies']
    stale_companies = freshness_metrics['companies_over_30_days']

    if total_companies == 0:
        return 0.0

    return stale_companies / total_companies

def check_targets_compliance(self, metrics: dict) -> dict:
    """
    Check if metrics meet professor's targets
    """
    compliance = {}

    compliance['api_success_rate'] = {
        'target': self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['daily_success_rate'],
        'actual': metrics['api_success_rate'],
        'met': metrics['api_success_rate'] >= self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['daily_success_rate']
    }

    compliance['stale_data'] = {
        'target': self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['max_stale_data_pct'],
        'actual': metrics['stale_data_percentage'],
        'met': metrics['stale_data_percentage'] <= self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['max_stale_data_p
    }

```

```

compliance['execution_time'] = {
    'target': self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['max_daily_execution_hours'],
    'actual': metrics['daily_execution_time_hours'],
    'met': metrics['daily_execution_time_hours'] <= self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['max_daily_e
}

compliance['database_uptime'] = {
    'target': self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['database_uptime_target'],
    'actual': metrics['database_uptime'],
    'met': metrics['database_uptime'] >= self.PROFESSOR_TARGETS['database_uptime_target
}

# Overall compliance
compliance['overall'] = all(item['met'] for item in compliance.values())

return compliance

def generate_daily_report(self) -> dict:
    """
    Generate daily monitoring report
    """
    metrics = self.collect_daily_metrics()

    report = {
        'date': datetime.now().date(),
        'metrics': metrics,
        'summary': {
            'overall_health': 'Good' if metrics['targets_met']['overall'] else 'Issues Dete
            'critical_issues': [],
            'warnings': [],
            'recommendations': []
        }
    }

    # Identify issues and recommendations
    self.analyze_metrics_and_add_recommendations(metrics, report)

    # Send alerts if needed
    if not metrics['targets_met']['overall']:
        self.alerting_service.send_daily_summary_alert(report)

    return report

def analyze_metrics_and_add_recommendations(self, metrics: dict, report: dict):

```

```

"""
Analyze metrics and add recommendations
"""

compliance = metrics['targets_met']

# API Success Rate Issues
if not compliance['api_success_rate']['met']:
    actual_rate = compliance['api_success_rate']['actual']
    report['summary']['critical_issues'].append(
        f"API success rate {actual_rate:.1%} below target {compliance['api_success_rate']
    )
    report['summary']['recommendations'].append(
        "Investigate API failures and consider adjusting rate limits or fallback strate
    )

# Data Staleness Issues
if not compliance['stale_data']['met']:
    stale_pct = compliance['stale_data']['actual']
    report['summary']['warnings'].append(
        f"Stale data percentage {stale_pct:.1%} above target {compliance['stale_data']
    )
    report['summary']['recommendations'].append(
        "Increase update frequency for companies with old data"
    )

# Execution Time Issues
if not compliance['execution_time']['met']:
    actual_time = compliance['execution_time']['actual']
    report['summary']['warnings'].append(
        f"Daily execution time {actual_time:.1f}h exceeds {compliance['execution_time']
    )
    report['summary']['recommendations'].append(
        "Optimize database queries or reduce batch sizes"
    )

# Database Performance Issues
if metrics['avg_query_time_ms'] > 100: # Professor's 100ms requirement
    report['summary']['warnings'].append(
        f"Average query time {metrics['avg_query_time_ms']:.1f}ms exceeds 100ms target"
    )
    report['summary']['recommendations'].append(
        "Review slow queries and add missing indexes"
    )

```

```

class AlertingService:
    """
    Email and Slack alerting for critical issues
    """

    def send_daily_summary_alert(self, report: dict):
        """
        Send daily summary alert if targets not met
        """
        subject = f>Data Pipeline Health Alert - {report['date']}"

        body = f"""
        Daily monitoring report for {report['date']}:

        Overall Health: {report['summary']['overall_health']}

        Critical Issues:
        {self.format_list(report['summary']['critical_issues'])}

        Warnings:
        {self.format_list(report['summary']['warnings'])}

        Recommendations:
        {self.format_list(report['summary']['recommendations'])}

        Detailed Metrics:
        - API Success Rate: {report['metrics']['api_success_rate']:.1%}
        - Stale Data: {report['metrics']['stale_data_percentage']:.1%}
        - Execution Time: {report['metrics']['daily_execution_time_hours']:.1f}h
        - Database Uptime: {report['metrics']['database_uptime']:.2%}

        Please review and take appropriate action.
        """

        self.email_service.send_alert(subject, body, priority='medium')

    def send_critical_alert(self, alert_type: str, details: dict):
        """
        Send immediate alert for critical issues
        """
        subject = f"CRITICAL: {alert_type}"

        body = f"""
        Critical issue detected in data pipeline:

```

```
Type: {alert_type}
Time: {datetime.now()}
Details: {details}

Immediate action required.
"""

self.email_service.send_alert(subject, body, priority='high')
# Also send to Slack if configured
self.slack_service.send_alert(subject, body)

def format_list(self, items: list) -> str:
    """Format list items for email"""
    if not items:
        return "None"
    return "\n".join(f"- {item}" for item in items)
```

FINAL IMPLEMENTATION SUMMARY

SUCCESS METRICS (Professor's Requirements):

- 95% daily success rate for priority companies
- <5% data staleness (companies with 30+ day old data)
- <1 hour total execution time for daily updates
- 99.9% uptime for database access
- <100ms average query response time

RATE LIMIT MANAGEMENT:

- **Monday/Wednesday:** 400 companies via Yahoo Finance (2000/hour limit)
- **Tuesday/Thursday:** 300 companies via mixed providers
- **Friday:** 100 companies via Alpha Vantage (5/min limit)
- **Total:** ~1300 companies updated weekly with priority system

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE:

- Multi-provider failover cascade (Yahoo → Finnhub → Alpha Vantage)
- Comprehensive validation rules with automatic corrections
- Confidence scoring (80+ High, 60-79 Medium, <60 Low)

- Score adjustments: -15% for low confidence, -5% for medium

PERFORMANCE TARGETS:

- All database queries <100ms
- Industry benchmarks cached for 24 hours
- Peer data cached for 7 days
- Materialized views refreshed daily
- Composite indexes on all query patterns

This comprehensive implementation provides all the detailed specifications needed for the development team to build a robust, scalable value investing analysis system that meets the professor's exact requirements while maintaining high performance and reliability standards.



TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

Environment Setup:

- Python 3.11+
- PostgreSQL 15+ (Railway)
- Redis for caching
- Celery for task queues
- APScheduler for cron jobs

External APIs:

- Yahoo Finance (primary)
- Finnhub (secondary)
- Alpha Vantage (tertiary)

Rate Limit Strategy:

- Distribute 1500 companies across 5 weekdays
- Monday/Wednesday: 400 companies each (Yahoo)
- Tuesday/Thursday: 300 companies each (mixed)
- Friday: 100 companies (Alpha Vantage)

Data Update Frequency:

- **Real-time:** None (frontend reads from DB)
- **Daily:** Fundamental data for priority companies
- **Weekly:** Industry benchmarks recalculation
- **Monthly:** Full data quality audit

Error Recovery:

- 3 retry attempts with exponential backoff
 - Dead letter queue for persistent failures
 - Email alerts for critical system errors
 - Graceful degradation when APIs unavailable
-



DEFINITION OF DONE

For each task to be considered complete:

1. Code Quality:

- ☐ Unit tests with >80% coverage
- ☐ Integration tests for API calls
- ☐ Code review completed
- ☐ Documentation updated

2. Performance:

- ☐ Database queries execute in <100ms
- ☐ API calls respect rate limits
- ☐ Memory usage stable over 24hr period

3. Reliability:

- ☐ Error handling for all failure modes
- ☐ Logging for debugging and monitoring
- ☐ Graceful degradation when dependencies fail

4. Data Quality:

- ☐ Validation rules implemented
- ☐ Data consistency checks pass
- ☐ Manual spot-checking of calculated ratios

Success Metrics:

- 95% daily success rate for priority companies

- <5% data staleness (companies with 30+ day old data)
- <1 hour total execution time for daily updates
- 99.9% uptime for database access