

# Variations on a . . . theme

Proposals for . . . in R

Doug Kelkhoff @ DSC 2019

2019-09-18

# First, an ode to . . .

```
dots_how_do_i_love_thee <- function(...) {  
  cat("let me count the ways... \n")  
  cat(paste(..., sep = "\n"))  
}
```

```
dots_how_do_i_love_thee("really flexible", "mirrors natural language", "intuitive")
```

```
## let me count the ways...  
## really flexible  
## mirrors natural language  
## intuitive
```

# The ... are really handy, but can get unwieldy

- Often need to be collapsed into list, thwarting laziness
- Comes with a cohort of unintuitive operators/functions
- No way to operate on named ... elements without evaluation (?)
- Paradigm is only applicable within function calls

```
dots_how_do_i_love_thee <- function(...) {  
  cat("let me count the ways... \n")  
  cat(paste0("  ", seq(1, ...length()), ". ", list(...), collapse = "\n"))  
}
```

```
dots_how_do_i_love_thee("really flexible", "mirrors natural language", "intuitive")
```

```
## let me count the ways...  
## 1. really flexible  
## 2. mirrors natural language  
## 3. intuitive
```

## Some ... syntax

```
..., ..n, ...length(), ...elt()
```

# Variadic functions popular in tons of languages

There are plenty of implementations of this feature, some with quite interesting edge case handling

[Rosetta Code: Variatic Functions](#)

## python

```
def my_func(*args, **kwargs):  
    other_func(*args, **kwargs)
```

## julia

```
function my_func(args...; kwargs...)  
    other_func(args...; kwargs...)  
end
```

# Ellipsis leverage language familiarity

## *University of Oxford Style Guide:*

- “The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog... And if they have not died, they are still alive today.”
- “It is not cold... it is freezing cold.”

[Wikipedia “Ellipsis”](#)

## They map well to use in verbal languages

- you might expect a list to continue...
- if followed by an ellipsis
- ...or a long pause before an end

## To generalize...

- **something** ...: more to come
- ... **something**: finishing something

How far can we push this  
familiarity?

# Prompt

*Are there ways we can extend our intuition for ... to other elements of the language?*

# Idea 1: Ellipsis unpacking

Composing function calls in R is high bar for new users

```
args <- list("gone!", sep = ", ")  
cat(do.call(paste, append(list("going", "going"), args)))
```

- `do.call` assumes pretty strong familiarity of first class functions
- Argument lists must be composed dynamically

Instead, could arguments lists be unpacked directly into a call

```
args <- list("gone!", sep = ", ")  
cat(paste("going", "going", ...args))
```

- Retains familiar function call structure
- Syntactically cleaner
- Extends `...` paradigm



# Idea 2: Named Ellipsis Parameters

Taking a page from **Julia**, allow naming of a “rest” argument

```
example <- function(dots...) {  
  # allow for easier subsetting, manipulation without  
  # collapsing to list(...) or handling eval in parent frame  
  cat(...dots, sep = ", ")  
}
```

```
function(rest...) class(rest) # possibly a list of unevaluated promises?
```

# Idea 2: Named Ellipsis Parameters

Taking a page from **Julia**, allow naming of a “rest” argument

```
example <- function(dots...) {  
  # allow for easier subsetting, manipulation without  
  # collapsing to list(...) or handling eval in parent frame  
  
  dots <- dots[!names(dots) %in% "sep"]  
  cat(...dots, sep = ", ")  
}
```

But we still need to handle repeated argument names to avoid ... induced errors

# Idea 3: Better yet, allow repeated arguments

Use ellipses position to indicate precedence

If an argument is passed in ellipses (not explicitly named twice), allow the most recent argument to take precedence.

```
example <- function(...) {  
  # fix the 'sep' field regardless of what's in dots  
  cat(..., sep = ", ")  
  # set a default that is overwritten if present in dots  
  cat(sep = ", ", ...)  
}
```

*julia* implements ellipsis passing as a special case where rightmost argument is used

# Idea 4: Parital Function Application

Appending ellipsis after a function to indicate that it should return a partially applied function instead of the call result

```
newline_cat <- cat(sep = "\n")...  
newline_cat("word", "per", "line")
```

```
## word  
## per  
## line
```

- Retains formals
- Could propegate documentation
- Especially helpful for tab completions

# Aside: A mental model for argument unpacking

```
my_function <- function(a, b, c, d, e = 4, dots...) <stuff>
args <- list(1, b = 2, c = 3)
my_function(0, a = 2, ...args)
```

## Step 1: Consider the function formals

```
# <----- what I passed ----->      <---- my_function formals ---->
(0, a = 2, 1, b = 2, c = 3) ==> (a, b, c, d, e = 4, dots...)
```

## Step 2: Fill in formal default values

```
(0, a = 2, 1, b = 2, c = 3, e = 4)
```

## Step 3: Align named arguments

```
(a = 2, b = 2, c = 3, e = 4, 0, 1)
```

## Step 4: Backfill positional arguments

```
(a = 2, b = 2, c = 3, e = 4, d = 0, dots... = 1)
```

# Idea 5: Return list unpacking

Mirror list unpacking into function calls with unpacking into assigned return values

Syntactically parallels function parameter aliasing

```
(x, y, z...) <- list(w = 1, x = 2, y = 3, z = 3)
```

```
> x  
## [1] 2
```

```
> y  
## [1] 3
```

```
> z  
## $w  
## [1] 1  
##  
## $z  
## [1] 3
```

# Idea 5: Return list unpacking... considerations

- Can we get rid of the `()`'s?

```
x, y, z... <- list(w = 1, x = 2, y = 3, z = 3)
```

- Requiring unpacking syntax?

```
(x, y, z...) <- ...list(w = 1, x = 2, y = 3, z = 3)
```

- Allowing mapping list names to target object names?

```
(a = x, b = y, c...) <- list(w = 1, x = 2, y = 3, z = 3)
```

- Should the `rest...` contain *just* the remaining values or the entire list?
- Should it be possible to get both?
- Worthwhile having a thunk syntax `()` to throw away list elements?

```
# taking a page from Haskell  
# getting both entirety of list (list) and sub-components head (x) & remainder (xs)  
f list@(x:xs) = ...
```

# Idea 6: Anonymous function shorthand

Draw inspiration from the **purrr** package to create an unambiguous lambda function syntax

```
# function(...) ..1 + ..2  
~> ..1 + ..2
```

```
# function(x, y, ...) x + y  
x, y ~> x + y
```

- reminiscent of **purrr**-style lambda function syntax
- disambiguates lambdas from formulas (**:symbol** shorthand for **name?**)

## Another alternative for “partial application”

```
new_cat <- ~>cat(sep = ", ", ...)
```

*retaining formals and docs require special handling for singular call*



Why are these conveniences  
important to the longevity of the  
language?

# Enter the **Tidyverse**

The tidyverse, and its incredible mindshare, has begun to implement many of these conveniences.

New users have trouble tracking tidyverse-specific syntax

## Argument unpacking (and unquoting) !!!

```
my_mutations <- list(new_var = "new_var")  
mtcars %>% mutate(!!!my_mutations)
```

## purrr-style lambdas (now in rlang) ~

```
mtcars %>% mutate_at(vars(cyl), ~ . * 2)
```

## ggplot2 symbol representation

```
ggplot(mtcars) +  
  aes_(~mpg, ~wt + wt) + # requires parsing of ~rhs  
  geom_point()
```

# Reconciling the Tidyverse

Some of the proposed syntax can be used to bring consistency to the tidyverse/base bifurcation

## Argument unpacking

```
my_mutations <- list(new_var = "new_var")  
mtcars %>% mutate(...my_mutations)
```

*handles unpacking, but not unquoting*

## Lambdas

```
mtcars %>% mutate_at(vars(cyl), x ~> x * 2)
```

## Name Notation

```
ggplot(mtcars) +  
  aes(:mpg, :wt + :wt) +  
  geom_point()
```

# Closing Thoughts

```
dots_how_do_i_love_thee <- function(dots...) {  
  class(dots)                # - list of unevaluated promise?  
  names(dots)                # - operate on list without evaluating  
  (pdots, ndots) <- ...split_named(dots) # - define helpers that keep laxiness  
  cat("let me count the ways... \n")  
  cat(paste0(" ", seq_along(pdots), ". ", pdots, collapse = "\n", ...ndots, sep = " "))  
}
```

- ... is awesome syntactic feature in R, balancing usability against readability
- Offers opportunities for expanding on paradigm
- Developers benefit from handling **rest...** args without breaking laziness
- Users benefit from consistency of ... arguments
- Consistency among package implementations reduces bucketing of expectations (e.g. **tidyverse** vs **base**)

# Questions & Discussion

## Special Thanks

Michael Lawrence, Gabe Becker

Genentech, Roche