## INTRODUCTION

The following are the instructions for using the spreadsheet which numerically evaluates the model of a downlink for a low-mass interstellar probe.

#### TRANSPORT AND PHYSICAL LAYERS

The spreadsheet calculates performance metrics for the transport layer based on a specific model of the physical layer.

In Fig.25, the physical layer consists of all system elements with a physical realization, such as apertures, optical source and detectors, propagation, etc. The transport layer is everything else that builds on the physical layer, and includes the modulation coding and error-correction layers. The transport layer is logical and algorithmic, and thus processing (often software) based. Here is a definition of the two layers:

**Physical layer:** Everything that has a physical realization, such as apertures, light generators and detectors, optical filters, propagation, etc. Generally the study of these elements is based on an understanding of physics.

Transport layer: Everything needed to convey data reliably and efficiently from a probe to earth (and possibly earth to probe) that is based on services and capabilities provided by the physical layer and adds logical elements such as modulation (representation of scientific data in terms of a light intensity waveform), interpretation of individual photon detection events in the receiver, coding of scientific data to counter impairments, multiplexing of data from multiple probes, etc. Generally the study of these elements is based on an understanding of probability and statistics, communication theory, information theory, and signal processing, and thus has no foundation in the natural sciences.

For purposes of the transport layer, the physical layer is represented by a model, with a set of configuration parameters and performance metrics (like transmit power) and a set of assumed statistics for stochastic entities (such as quantum effects and noise and interference). The spreadsheet assumes a specific physical-layer model, accepts numerical values for configuration parameters and generates numerical values for performance metrics. The opportunities for improving the performance metrics through a knowledgeable design of the transport layer are substantial (measured in multiple orders of magnitude). The theory underlying the transport layer is relatively mature and well-known. One of the major results is a set of theoretical limits on the scientific data rate that can be achieved consistent with reliable recovery of that scientific data at the receiver. The models used in the paper are based on these theoretical limits, and therefore are somewhat idealized. Actual performance for concrete realizations and error-correction codes will fall somewhat short of these ideals, although the current state of the art can come pretty close if sufficient processing power is available. Therefore there is little or no opportunity to improve on the transport layer modeled in this spreadsheet as long as the model of the physical layer remains valid.

It is important to note that the physical layer model used here is idealized, and is missing a number of practical impairments such as pointing errors, atmospheric turbulence, etc. Thus the actual performance metrics will be less favorable than calculated here. With this limitation, the model is quite general, as its structure is based on fundamental physical principles.

Changes to the physical layer that are reflected in the structure of the model (not merely its parameterization) will require reformulation of the transport layer results here. The paper authors would be pleased to assist in this, should it prove advantageous.

# RECEIVER APERTURE MODEL

A key part of the physical layer model is the specific architecture for the receive aperture, which assumes a decomposition of the receive aperture as a whole into apertures as shown in Fig.2 and Fig.3. This architecture is dictated by the laws of antennas in the context of a requirement that the receive aperture as a whole maintains a sufficiently large coverage solid angle OmegaA to accommodate the trajectories of a swarm of probes. The model makes the assumption that a single receive aperture is shared by all concurrently transmitting probes, and that the receive aperture is equally sensitive in the direction of all probe trajectories.

The parameters of the aperture determine the coverage solid angle OmegaA, and that coverage is maintained following the scale-out of NS aperture's with incoherent accumulation of signal photons. This incoherent accumulation has no impact on the receive aperture coverage, nor does it affect the signal-to-background ratio SBR. Thus OmegaA and SBR are determined by the sub-arrays, while the scale-out simply boosts the signal level sufficiently to support the target scientific data rate Bitrate.

The model is also valid for a receive aperture designed for a single probe as a special case. In this case, the model remains valid with OmegaA determined not by the probe swarm and its set of trajectories, but rather practical

considerations that limit aperture pointing accuracy, including atmospheric refraction and the possibility of adaptive optics. OmegaA has to be chosen sufficiently large so that the coverage solid angle is guaranteed to include the probe trajectory in the worst case.

# BURST-PULSE-POSITION MODULATION (BPPM)

The transport layer is built on an assumption of a specific modulation coding called burst pulse-position modulation (BPPM) (see §6.2). This is a generalization of PPM, which is widely used as the basis of optical communications in circumstances where transmit power is an expensive resource (see Fig.4). PPM is characterized by parameters BitsPerFrame and slot time duration Ts. In conventional PPM Ts is not a free parameter, but rather is determined by constraints that PPM frames are transmitted continuously. BPPM allows Ts to be chosen much smaller, resulting in PPM frames with intervening blank intervals and a resulting duty cycle delta. This decouples the frame duration TF from the inter-frame interval TI. The benefits are an increase in signal bandwidth (loosening the requirement in receive optical bandpass filtering) without an attendant increase in background radiation and a significant suppression of the dark count rate in the receive optical detectors. Conventional PPM is a special case when Ts is chosen so that TF=TI.

### LIST OF CONFIGURATION PARAMETERS

The interpretation of parameters and metrics is given in the spreadsheet. The following are references relevant background information in the paper. We also describe briefly the rationale behind the choice of a nominal value, and a short description of the effect of that parameter on the overall design. See also Tbls.1, 2, 3, and 4 for a list of parameter definitions. The nominal values of the parameters in the spreadsheet are the same as in those tables for the swarm case.

- Bitrate: See (1). The nominal value is a rather arbitrary choice. In BPPM PpT is independent of this value, but PaT is proportional to Bitrate.
- BitsPerFrame: §6.2, Fig.4, §14, Fig.27. The nominal value is chosen to be the smallest such that theoretical bounds indicate that reliable recovery of scientific data is feasible at a BPP>10. If you would like to explore the tradeoff between smaller PaT and PpT against a larger total receive aperture effective area AeS\*NS, you can decrease the chosen value of BitsPerFrame (see §10.5.2).
- KsR: XX, §10.2, §10.6, §14, Fig.27. As illlustrated in Fig.27, this is nearly the optimum (BPP maximizing) value over a range of BitsPerFrame. There is probably no reason to ever change this value.
- SBR: See §4.6, §10.6. This value results in a theoretical bound indicating that BPP > 10 is feasible with reliable recovery of scientific data for the chosen value of BitsPerFrame. This could be reduced to as small as SBR=3, although such a low value would result in very little margin. If you would like to explore the tradeoff between larger PaT and PpT against a smaller total receive aperture effective area AeS\*NS, you can increase the chosen value of SBR (see §10.5).
- BPPQ: See §14.3.4, Fig.27, §D, (D14). This is a calculated value, and depends on the choice of Slots and KsR. It indicates the ultimate quantum limit on reliable scientific data recovery.
- BPPPC: See §14.3.4, §E, (E15). This is a calculated value, and depends on the choice of BitsPerFrame and SBR. It indicates the theoretical limit for photon-counting detectors achieving reliable scientific data recovery.
- BPPPPM: See §14.3.4, §F, (18). Fig.27. This is a calculated value, and depends on the choice of BitsPerFrame and KsR. It indicates the theoretical limit for a PPM modulating code achieving reliable scientific data recovery at high SBR.
- lambda: See §8. Two values, 400 and 1000 nm, are supported in the spreadsheet. The choice 400 nm is advantageous in terms of reducing target star interference, whereas 1000 nm illustrates the effect of larger interference.
- DayOfNight: See §11. Two values, "day" and "night" are supported by the spreadsheet. Generally "day" will result in too large a component of noise from scattering sunlight. The "night" value replaces scattered sunlight by scattering moonlight for the full moon phase.
- Pdaylight: See §11. This is the probability of daylight outage, assuming that daylight is treated as an outage condition by the receiver.

- Pweather: See §11. This is the probability of outages for weather conditions treated as an outage by the receiver.
- Ts: See §6.2, Fig.4, §10.8, Fig.20, Fig.21. Generally choosing a smaller value results in a smaller duty cycle delta and hence reduces the effect of dark counts without harming other sources of background. However, this also increases PpT, so values in the range of 0.1 to 1 microseconds are advantageous. These values are several orders of magnitude smaller than for conventional PPM, and reduce the effect of dark counts accordingly.
- Distance: See §10.3. This is chosen to be the distance of Proxima Centauri, with an assumption that downlink operation begins immediately after star encounter.
- AeT: See §10.1. The for a given transmitter power-area metric, there is a tradeoff between the transmit aperture effective area AeT and the transmit peak and average powers PpT and PaT. The nominal value chosen seems reasonable for a low-mass probe.
- effic: See §6.1, Fig.24. Reducing this value will increase the required transmit powers PpT and PaT, although less than expected since effic has no impact on dark counts.
- OmegaA: See §4.1, Fig.1, §9, Fig.8, (7), Fig.11, Fig.12, §B. The larger OmegaA the lower the sensitivity of the aperture and the larger the transmit average power PaT required to achieve the specified SBR. The nominal value chosen is justified in §9, but the reasoning explained there can be extended to other scenarios, such as the interprobe launch interval and the downlink transmission time for each probe. The case of a single-probe receiver can also be explored by choosing OmegaA based on the expected aperture pointing accuracy.
- LambdaNS: See §10.6, Tbl.9, Tbl.10, §11.1. The nominal numerical values are taken from Tbl.10, and these are dependent on the choice of lambda and the switch variable DayOrNight.
- LambdaIS: The nominal value is taken from Tbl.10 based on the choice of wavelength lambda.
- We: See (2), §6.1, §10.8, Fig.23, §13.2. The nominal value assumes an ideal bandpass filter with We = 1/Ts. Note also that when Ts is changed, it is appropriate to coordinate a change to We, and it should never occur that We\*Ts<1.
- Fc: See Fig.19, §12.7. The numerical value is chosen to achieve balance between SIR and the other signal-to-noise ratios. For parameters which minimize the effect of dark counts (small LambdaDS or small Ts) SIR becomes small and reducing star interference (with a smaller Fc) is particularly advantageous.
- Fx: See §10.7, (12), §13.1. The numerical value must satisfy Fc\*M\*SBR<1 (see §10.7). The value is large enough to render extinction a small effect, but it should be reduced for larger BitsPerFrame or SBR.
- LambdaDS: See Fig.17, Fig.18, Fig.20, Fig.21, Fig.23, §13.3. There is not a solid basis for the nominal value chosen, and it is based on achieving approximately equal SNR and SDR in the swarm probe case. Larger values will have a deleterious effect on performance parameters. There are possibilities such as sharing an optical detector across multiple apertures while avoiding optical interference (see §13.3).
- Ractual: See §14.4. The value is calculated from Bitrate reduced by the probability of non-outage, which depends on Pdaylight and Pweather.
- TF: See §6.2, Fig.4. This value is calculated from the transmitter configuration choice of BitsPerFrame and Ts.
- TI: See §6.2, Fig.4. This value is calculated from by the choice of BPP, Bitrate and KsR. A more aggressive (larger) choice of BPP will decrease the PPM frame rate, as will a lower Bitrate, because for fixed Ks the received signal photon rate is lower.
- delta: See §6.2, Fig.4. This duty cycle is calculated from TF and TI, and is the factor by which dark counts are reduced due to ignoring all background radiation during blank intervals.
- AeS: See §5.1, Fig.2, Fig.3, Fig.11, Fig.16, §B. The effective area of a diffraction-limited aperture is the ratio of power in the optical detector (assuming idea quantum efficiency) to the flux of an incident plane wave. The larger AeS the greater the sensitivity (or gain or directivity) of a aperture to probe signal, but the smaller the coverage solid angle OmegaA. In this spreadsheet, OmegaA is an input, and AeS is calculated from OmegaA and the wavelength lambda.

- NS: See §5.1, Fig.2, §5.2.1, Fig.3, §10.2, Fig.15, Fig.16, Fig.24. The number of apertures that are replicated and incoherently combined in the receive aperture. This is calculated from the specified KsR, or in other words NS must be large enough to insure data reliability in the face of signal shot noise.
- PaT: See §3.2, §??, Fig.12, Fig.20, Fig.23. The average transmit power determines the minimum electrical power requirement as well as the transmit laser. The value calculated here is the minimum PaT consistent with the chosen values of SBR and BitsPerFrame. You can explore the tradeoffs between PaT and PpT and the total receive aperture equivalent area AeS\*NS by manipulating the values of SBR and BitsPerFrame.
- PpT: See §3.2, Fig.21, §13.1. The peak transmit power is consistent with PaT. If pulse compression technology is employed, the transmit laser does not necessarily have to generate this level of peak power (see §13.1.2).
- SXR: See §4.4, §10.6. These four signal-to-noise ratios SxR indicate the relative importance of the four types of background that are considered. Together these sources accumulate to achieve exactly the overall specified SBR. The smallest of the SxR's, especially one that is not too much larger than SBR, is the dominant source of background. This tells us which background radiation to focus on reducing by changes in the input parameters. SXR characterizes the background due to incomplete extinguishing of the light source in the transmitter, and can be increased by choosing a smaller Fx.
- SNR: See §4.4, §10.6, Fig.13, Fig.14. This is background noise originating with unresolved cosmic sources of background like the atmosphere, Zodiacal radiation, and the deep star field. There is no way of increasing SNR because it is not affected by coverage solid angle OmegaA, and it is proportional to We Ts which will generally stay fixed as We and Ts are changed in coordinated fashion. Fortunately it is often the least significant source of background radiation.
- SIR: §4.4, §10.6, Fig.13, Fig.14. This is background interference originates with the target star falling in the coverage angle OmegaA and reduced by the coronagraph attenuation factor Fc. It is unaffected by the choice of OmegaA, which affects signal and interference equally. SIR can be increased by choosing a smaller Fc and by choosing the smaller value of wavelength lambda.
- SDR: §4.4, §10.6, Fig.13, Fig.14. This background originates with dark counts in the optical detectors, which are oblivious to OmegaA and We. SDR can be increased by choosing a smaller Ts, which will reduce delta and require an increase in We. The deleterious effect will be an increase in peak power PpT.