VERAC⊙1DE

Veracode Analysis Report

Application Security Report
As of 10 Nov 2014

Prepared for:	Tufts University
Prepared on:	November 12, 2014
Application:	ctf-dgriff03

Industry:	Not Specified
Business Criticality:	BC4 (High)
Type(s) of Analysis Included:	Static
Scope of Static Scan:	3 of 3 Modules Analyzed

Veracode Analysis Report
Application Security Report
As of 10 Nov 2014

Application:	ctf-dgriff03

Scans Included in Report

Static Scan	Dynamic Scan	Manual Scan
10 Nov 2014 Static Score: 58 Completed: 11/10/14	Not Included in Report	Not Included in Report

Executive Summary

This report contains a summary of the security flaws identified in this application using the scan types selected, which may include automated static, automated dynamic and/or manual security analysis techniques. Other scan results may be available for this application. This report is designed to provide information about flaws identified in one or more specific scans of an application.

Scope of Static Scan

It is important to note that this application may include additional modules which were not included in this analysis. We recommend that you contact the vendor to determine whether all modules have been included.

Engine Version: 78380

The following modules were included in the application scan:

Module Name	Compiler	Operating Environment	Engine Version
PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	PHP_5	PHP	78380
plupload.silverlight.xap	MSIL_MSVC8_X86	Silverlight	78380
silverlightmediaelement.xap	MSIL_MSVC8_X86	Silverlight	78380

Flaw Types by Severity and Category

Static Scan Security Quality Score = 58		
Very High	**2**	
Code Injection	2	
High	**10**	
Code Injection	3	
SQL Injection	7	
Medium	**191**	
Credentials Management	9	
Cross-Site Scripting	72	
Cryptographic Issues	100	
Directory Traversal	10	
Low	**7**	
Information Leakage	7	

Tel.+1.339.674.2500 **Fax.**+1.339.674.2502 **URL:**http://www.veracode.com

Static Scan Security Quality Score = 58			
Very Low	**0**		
Informational	**8**		
Untrusted Initialization	8		
Total	**218**		

Detailed Flaws by Severity

Very High (2 flaws)

→ **Code Injection(2 flaws)**

Description
Code injection is the process of injecting untrusted input into an application that dynamically evalutes and executes the input as code. Common examples of code injection include Remote File Includes and Eval Injection into applications implemented in an interpreted language such as PHP.

Recommendations
Do not allow untrusted input to be evaluated or otherwise interpreted as code.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ **Improper Neutralization of Directives in Dynamically Evaluated Code ('Eval Injection') (CWE ID 95)(2 flaws)**

Description
The software allows user-controlled input to be fed directly into a function (e.g. "eval") that dynamically evaluates and executes the input as code, usually in the same interpreted language that the product uses.

Effort to Fix: 3 - Complex implementation error. Fix is approx. 51-500 lines of code. Up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations
Validate all user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible. In general, avoid executing code derived from untrusted input.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
-	4	plupload.silverlight.xap	System.Windows.Browser.ScriptObject get_EventTarget() 95%	11/10/14	1
-	5	silverlightmediaelement.xap	void !ctor(System.Collections.Generic.IDictionary<string,string>) 98%	11/10/14	5

Tufts University and Veracode Confidential

High (10 flaws)

→ **Code Injection(3 flaws)**

Description

Code injection is the process of injecting untrusted input into an application that dynamically evalutes and executes the input as code. Common examples of code injection include Remote File Includes and Eval Injection into applications implemented in an interpreted language such as PHP.

Recommendations

Do not allow untrusted input to be evaluated or otherwise interpreted as code.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ **Improper Control of Filename for Include/Require Statement in PHP Program ('PHP Remote File Inclusion') (CWE ID 98)(3 flaws)**

Description

The PHP application receives user-supplied input but does not properly restrict the input before using it in require(), include(), or similar functions. This can allow an attacker to specify a URL to a remote location from which the application will retrieve code and execute it.

Effort to Fix: 3 - Complex implementation error. Fix is approx. 51-500 lines of code. Up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Validate all user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible. Use white lists to specify known safe values rather than relying on black lists to detect malicious input.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
67	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/plugins.php 151	11/10/14	29
86	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/update.php 90	11/10/14	41
95	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../www/wp-settings.php 358	11/10/14	6

→ **SQL Injection(7 flaws)**

Description

SQL injection vulnerabilities occur when data enters an application from an untrusted source and is used to dynamically construct a SQL query. This allows an attacker to manipulate database queries in order to access, modify, or delete arbitrary data. Depending on the platform, database type, and configuration, it may also be possible to execute administrative operations on the database, access the filesystem, or execute arbitrary system commands. SQL injection attacks can also be used to subvert authentication and authorization schemes, which would enable an attacker to gain privileged access to restricted portions of the application.

Recommendations

Several techniques can be used to prevent SQL injection attacks. These techniques complement each other and address security at different points in the application. Using multiple techniques provides defense-in-depth and minimizes the likelihood of a SQL injection vulnerability.

* Use parameterized prepared statements rather than dynamically constructing SQL queries. This will prevent the database from interpreting the contents of bind variables as part of the query and is the most effective defense against SQL injection.
* Validate user-supplied input using positive filters (white lists) to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible.
* Normalize all user-supplied data before applying filters or regular expressions, or submitting the data to a database. This means that all URL-encoded (%xx), HTML-encoded (&#xx;), or other encoding schemes should be reduced to the internal character representation expected by the application. This prevents attackers from using alternate encoding schemes to bypass filters.
* When using database abstraction libraries such as Hibernate, do not assume that all methods exposed by the API will automatically prevent SQL injection attacks. Most libraries contain methods that pass arbitrary queries to the database in an unsafe manner.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ **Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection') (CWE ID 89)(7 flaws)**

Description

This database query contains a SQL injection flaw. The function call constructs a dynamic SQL query using a variable derived from user-supplied input. An attacker could exploit this flaw to execute arbitrary SQL queries against the database.

Effort to Fix: 3 - Complex implementation error. Fix is approx. 51-500 lines of code. Up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Avoid dynamically constructing SQL queries. Instead, use parameterized prepared statements to prevent the database from interpreting the contents of bind variables as part of the query. Always validate user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 30	11/10/14	136
33	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/dblib.php 23	11/10/14	178
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 50	11/10/14	32
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 60	11/10/14	98
62	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Cache/MySQL.php 344	11/10/14	19
94	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-db.php 795	11/10/14	190
94	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-db.php 797	11/10/14	125

Medium (191 flaws)

→ **Credentials Management(9 flaws)**

Description

Improper management of credentials, such as usernames and passwords, may compromise system security. In particular, storing passwords in plaintext or hard-coding passwords directly into application code are design issues that cannot be easily remedied. Not only does embedding a password allow all of the project's developers to view the password, it also makes fixing the problem extremely difficult. Once the code is in production, the password cannot be changed without patching the software. If a hard-coded password is compromised in a commercial product, all deployed instances may be vulnerable to attack, putting customers at risk.

One variation on hard-coding plaintext passwords is to hard-code a constant string which is the result of a cryptographic one-way hash. For example, instead of storing the word "secret," the application stores an MD5 hash of the word. This is a common mechanism for obscuring hard-coded passwords from casual viewing but does not significantly reduce risk. However, using cryptographic hashes for data stored outside the application code can be an effective practice.

Recommendations

Avoid storing passwords in easily accessible locations, and never store any type of sensitive data in plaintext. Avoid using hard-coded usernames, passwords, or hash constants whenever possible, particularly in relation to security-critical components.   Store passwords out-of-band from the application code. Follow best practices for protecting credentials stored in alternate locations such as configuration or properties files.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ **Use of Hard-coded Password (CWE ID 259)(9 flaws)**

Description

A method uses a hard-coded password that may compromise system security in a way that cannot be easily remedied. The use of a hard-coded password significantly increases the possibility that the account being protected will be compromised. Moreover, the password cannot be changed without patching the software. If a hard-coded password is compromised in a commercial product, all deployed instances may be vulnerable to attack.

Effort to Fix: 4 - Simple design error. Requires redesign and up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Store passwords out-of-band from the application code. Follow best practices for protecting credentials stored in locations such as configuration or properties files.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 15	11/10/14	214
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 18	11/10/14	15
33	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/dblib.php 3	11/10/14	42
33	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/dblib.php 6	11/10/14	106

Tufts University and Veracode Confidential

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 31	11/10/14	61
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 34	11/10/14	193
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 106	11/10/14	40
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 109	11/10/14	58
78	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../network/site-new.php 74	11/10/14	142

→ Cross-Site Scripting(72 flaws)

Description

Cross-site scripting (XSS) attacks occur when an attacker uses a web application to send malicious code, generally in the form of a browser side script, to a different end user. Flaws that allow these attacks to succeed occur whenever a web application uses untrusted data in the output it generates without validating or encoding it. XSS vulnerabilities are commonly exploited to steal or manipulate cookies, modify presentation of content, and compromise sensitive information, with new attack vectors being discovered on a regular basis. XSS is also commonly referred to as HTML injection.

XSS vulnerabilities can be either persistent or transient (often referred to as stored and reflected, respectively). In a persistent XSS vulnerability, the injected code is stored by the application, for example within a blog comment or message board. The attack occurs whenever a victim views the page containing the malicious script. In a transient XSS vulnerability, the injected code is included directly in the HTTP request. These attacks are often carried out via malicious URLs sent via email or another website and requires the victim to browse to that link. The consequence of an XSS attack to a victim is the same regardless of whether it is persistent or transient; however, persistent XSS vulnerabilities are likely to affect a greater number of victims due to its delivery mechanism.

Recommendations

Several techniques can be used to prevent XSS attacks. These techniques complement each other and address security at different points in the application. Using multiple techniques provides defense-in-depth and minimizes the likelihood of a XSS vulnerability.

* Use output filtering to sanitize all output generated from user-supplied input, selecting the appropriate method of encoding based on the use case of the untrusted data. For example, if the data is being written to the body of an HTML page, use HTML entity encoding. However, if the data is being used to construct generated Javascript or if it is consumed by client-side methods that may interpret it as code (a common technique in Web 2.0 applications), additional restrictions may be necessary beyond simple HTML encoding.
* Validate user-supplied input using positive filters (white lists) to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible.
* Do not permit users to include HTML content in posts, notes, or other data that will be displayed by the application. If users are permitted to include HTML tags, then carefully limit access to specific elements or attributes, and use strict validation filters to prevent abuse.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

VERACODE

→ Improper Neutralization of Script-Related HTML Tags in a Web Page (Basic XSS) (CWE ID 80)(72 flaws)

Description

This call contains a cross-site scripting (XSS) flaw. The application populates the HTTP response with user-supplied input, allowing an attacker to embed malicious content, such as Javascript code, which will be executed in the context of the victim's browser. XSS vulnerabilities are commonly exploited to steal or manipulate cookies, modify presentation of content, and compromise confidential information, with new attack vectors being discovered on a regular basis.

Effort to Fix: 3 - Complex implementation error. Fix is approx. 51-500 lines of code. Up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Use contextual escaping on all untrusted data before using it to construct any portion of an HTTP response. The escaping method should be chosen based on the specific use case of the untrusted data, otherwise it may not protect fully against the attack. For example, if the data is being written to the body of an HTML page, use HTML entity escaping; if the data is being written to an attribute, use attribute escaping; etc. Both the OWASP ESAPI library for Java and the Microsoft AntiXSS library provide contextual escaping methods. For more details on contextual escaping, see https://www.owasp.org/index.php/XSS_%%28Cross_Site_Scripting%%29_Prevention_Cheat_Sheet. In addition, as a best practice, always validate user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
1	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../admin-header.php 65	11/10/14	45
1	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../admin-header.php 173	11/10/14	132
2	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ajax-actions.php 1795	11/10/14	143
2	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ajax-actions.php 2748	11/10/14	115
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 43	11/10/14	169
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 44	11/10/14	198
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 50	11/10/14	162
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 58	11/10/14	156
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 59	11/10/14	69
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 64	11/10/14	124
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 631	11/10/14	54
16	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-comments-list-table.php 536	11/10/14	131
17	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-editor.php 1104	11/10/14	23
20	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-links-list-table.php 163	11/10/14	13

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
21	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-list-table.php 564	11/10/14	112
23	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-ms-users-list-table.php 189	11/10/14	197
35	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../edit-form-advanced.php 418	11/10/14	181
36	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/edit-form-comment.php 149	11/10/14	200
38	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/file.php 1097	11/10/14	70
44	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/import.php 116	11/10/14	103
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 114	11/10/14	134
46	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/install.php 176	11/10/14	36
49	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../load-scripts.php 161	11/10/14	50
50	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../load-styles.php 152	11/10/14	67
51	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-includes/media-template.php 236	11/10/14	30
51	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-includes/media-template.php 239	11/10/14	68
52	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/media.php 2368	11/10/14	107
54	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../meta-boxes.php 781	11/10/14	96
61	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../my-sites.php 119	11/10/14	66
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 133	11/10/14	26
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 692	11/10/14	81
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 697	11/10/14	171
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 702	11/10/14	10
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 932	11/10/14	31
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 937	11/10/14	157
63	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../nav-menu.php 942	11/10/14	137
65	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 184	11/10/14	160
65	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 186	11/10/14	9
65	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 189	11/10/14	151
65	-	PHP files within ctf-	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 191	11/10/14	209

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
		f2014.zip			
67	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/plugins.php 311	11/10/14	135
67	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/plugins.php 322	11/10/14	146
67	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/plugins.php 402	11/10/14	59
69	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/post.php 1461	11/10/14	187
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 154	11/10/14	71
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 208	11/10/14	43
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 219	11/10/14	89
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 376	11/10/14	75
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 424	11/10/14	90
70	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../press-this.php 478	11/10/14	83
76	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../setup-config.php 308	11/10/14	20
76	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../setup-config.php 337	11/10/14	120
81	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../template.php 1541	11/10/14	213
81	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../template.php 1577	11/10/14	205
82	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../theme-editor.php 138	11/10/14	212
83	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../network/themes.php 166	11/10/14	173
83	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../network/themes.php 177	11/10/14	182
85	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../update-core.php 75	11/10/14	102
87	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/upgrade.php 72	11/10/14	37
87	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/upgrade.php 76	11/10/14	46
87	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/upgrade.php 100	11/10/14	123
89	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/upload.php 277	11/10/14	77
90	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../user-edit.php 204	11/10/14	105
90	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../user-edit.php 234	11/10/14	145
91	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../user-new.php 299	11/10/14	186

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
92	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../network/users.php 43	11/10/14	108
93	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/widgets.php 287	11/10/14	201
96	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/wp-signup.php 103	11/10/14	85
96	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/wp-signup.php 112	11/10/14	148
97	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-tinymce.php 33	11/10/14	161
97	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-tinymce.php 36	11/10/14	48
97	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-tinymce.php 37	11/10/14	203

Cryptographic Issues(100 flaws)

Description

Applications commonly use cryptography to implement authentication mechanisms and to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of sensitive data, both in transit and at rest. The proper and accurate implementation of cryptography is extremely critical to its efficacy. Configuration or coding mistakes as well as incorrect assumptions may negate a large degree of the protection it affords, leaving the crypto implementation vulnerable to attack.

Common cryptographic mistakes include, but are not limited to, selecting weak keys or weak cipher modes, unintentionally exposing sensitive cryptographic data, using predictable entropy sources, and mismanaging or hard-coding keys.

Developers often make the dangerous assumption that they can improve security by designing their own cryptographic algorithm; however, one of the basic tenets of cryptography is that any cipher whose effectiveness is reliant on the secrecy of the algorithm is fundamentally flawed.

Recommendations

Select the appropriate type of cryptography for the intended purpose. Avoid proprietary encryption algorithms as they typically rely on "security through obscurity" rather than sound mathematics. Select key sizes appropriate for the data being protected; for high assurance applications, 256-bit symmetric keys and 2048-bit asymmetric keys are sufficient. Follow best practices for key storage, and ensure that plaintext data and key material are not inadvertently exposed.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

Cleartext Storage of Sensitive Information in Memory (CWE ID 316)(1 flaw)

Description

The application reads and/or stores sensitive information (such as passwords) unencrypted in memory, leaving it susceptible to compromise or erroneous exposure. An attacker with access to the system running the application may be able to obtain access to this sensitive data by examining core dumps and swap files, or by attaching to the running process with a debugger and searching mapped memory pages. Unless memory is explicitly overwritten, the sensitive information will persist until it is garbage collected and reallocated for other purposes.

Effort to Fix: 4 - Simple design error. Requires redesign and up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Try to avoid storing sensitive data in plaintext. When possible, always clear sensitive data after use by explicitly zeroing out the memory. In languages that do not provide a mechanism for zeroing out memory, such as Java or C#, focus on minimizing the risk rather than eliminating it. Try to avoid using immutable types when handling sensitive information (for example, use a character array rather than a String). Keep the time window in which sensitive information is present in memory as short as possible to minimize the likelihood of it being swapped to disk.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
-	1	plupload.silverlight.xap	void set_Password(string) 77%	11/10/14	2

→ ## Insufficient Entropy (CWE ID 331)(1 flaw)

Description

Standard random number generators do not provide a sufficient amount of entropy when used for security purposes. Attackers can brute force the output of pseudorandom number generators such as rand().

Effort to Fix: 2 - Implementation error. Fix is approx. 6-50 lines of code. 1 day to fix.

Recommendations

If this random number is used where security is a concern, such as generating a session key or session identifier, use a trusted cryptographic random number generator instead. These can be found on the Windows platform in the CryptoAPI or in an open source library such as OpenSSL.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
-	3	plupload.silverlight.xap	string guid(string) 57%	11/10/14	4

→ ## Missing Encryption of Sensitive Data (CWE ID 311)(3 flaws)

Description

The application exposes potentially sensitive data by passing it into a function unencrypted. This could allow private data such as cryptographic keys or other sensitive information to be erroneously exposed.

Effort to Fix: 4 - Simple design error. Requires redesign and up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations

Ensure that the application protects all sensitive data from unnecessary exposure.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
8	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../includes/class-ftp-sockets.php 138	11/10/14	183
19	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-filesystem-ftpext.php 68	11/10/14	34
19	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-filesystem-ftpext.php 70	11/10/14	76

→ ## Use of a Broken or Risky Cryptographic Algorithm (CWE ID 327)(95 flaws)

Description

The use of a broken or risky cryptographic algorithm is an unnecessary risk that may result in the disclosure of sensitive information.

Effort to Fix: 1 - Trivial implementation error. Fix is up to 5 lines of code. One hour or less to fix.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
3	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Author.php 103	11/10/14	55
5	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../bookmark.php 131	11/10/14	139
6	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Caption.php 121	11/10/14	154
7	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Category.php 103	11/10/14	93
9	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-pclzip.php 2678	11/10/14	172
9	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-pclzip.php 2716	11/10/14	116
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 67	11/10/14	144
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 70	11/10/14	199
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 139	11/10/14	175
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 141	11/10/14	155
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 144	11/10/14	47
10	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-phpass.php 146	11/10/14	126
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 1569	11/10/14	110
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 2044	11/10/14	100
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 2783	11/10/14	202

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
12	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-pop3.php 182	11/10/14	33
13	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-simplepie.php 720	11/10/14	141
14	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-smtp.php 416	11/10/14	28
14	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-smtp.php 424	11/10/14	176
15	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-snoopy.php 1215	11/10/14	24
18	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-includes/class-wp-embed.php 192	11/10/14	177
22	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-ms-themes-list-table.php 347	11/10/14	153
24	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-wp-plugins-list-table.php 473	11/10/14	35
25	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-includes/class-wp-theme.php 203	11/10/14	184
26	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../includes/class-wp-upgrader.php 1704	11/10/14	79
27	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../class-wp.php 379	11/10/14	158
28	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../comment.php 319	11/10/14	208
29	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Copyright.php 93	11/10/14	86
30	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Credit.php 102	11/10/14	133
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 43	11/10/14	84
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 85	11/10/14	27
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 106	11/10/14	147
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 150	11/10/14	38
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 182	11/10/14	16
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 201	11/10/14	149
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 394	11/10/14	127
31	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/cron.php 461	11/10/14	122
32	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../dashboard.php 836	11/10/14	150
32	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../dashboard.php 914	11/10/14	63
32	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../dashboard.php 1229	11/10/14	179
34	-	PHP files within ctf-	.../default-constants.php 169	11/10/14	111

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
		f2014.zip			
37	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Enclosure.php 272	11/10/14	207
38	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/file.php 495	11/10/14	114
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1263	11/10/14	217
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1283	11/10/14	101
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1302	11/10/14	109
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1324	11/10/14	189
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1352	11/10/14	159
39	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../general-template.php 1409	11/10/14	21
41	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.php 1363	11/10/14	57
41	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.php 1393	11/10/14	163
42	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../gzdecode.php 322	11/10/14	191
43	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/image.php 140	11/10/14	170
47	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Item.php 117	11/10/14	49
47	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Item.php 252	11/10/14	118
47	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Item.php 256	11/10/14	72
48	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-includes/link-template.php 1565	11/10/14	52
53	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Cache/Memcache.php 102	11/10/14	94
55	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../ID3/module.tag.apetag.php 260	11/10/14	210
56	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../ID3/module.tag.id3v2.php 1433	11/10/14	80
57	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-blogs.php 111	11/10/14	62
57	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-blogs.php 128	11/10/14	218
57	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-blogs.php 227	11/10/14	164
57	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-blogs.php 419	11/10/14	128
58	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-files.php 55	11/10/14	130
59	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-functions.php 367	11/10/14	78

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
59	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-functions.php 377	11/10/14	25
59	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-functions.php 381	11/10/14	166
59	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-functions.php 728	11/10/14	192
59	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-functions.php 764	11/10/14	99
60	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/ms.php 217	11/10/14	56
60	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/ms.php 287	11/10/14	88
64	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../pluggable.php 1942	11/10/14	180
64	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../pluggable.php 2031	11/10/14	104
64	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../pluggable.php 2034	11/10/14	74
64	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../pluggable.php 2153	11/10/14	117
66	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../includes/plugin-install.php 113	11/10/14	138
68	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/post.php 4460	11/10/14	14
71	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../query.php 1809	11/10/14	65
71	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../query.php 2393	11/10/14	92
72	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Rating.php 93	11/10/14	51
73	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Restriction.php 102	11/10/14	17
74	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-includes/rss.php 798	11/10/14	165
75	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/schema.php 1018	11/10/14	60
79	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../SimplePie/Source.php 74	11/10/14	12
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 1298	11/10/14	73
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 1311	11/10/14	7
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 1400	11/10/14	53
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 1434	11/10/14	82
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 1685	11/10/14	64
80	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../taxonomy.php 3769	11/10/14	168

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
84	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../update-core.php 868	11/10/14	152
84	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../update-core.php 929	11/10/14	188
88	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/upgrade.php 546	11/10/14	39
91	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../user-new.php 75	11/10/14	196

→ Directory Traversal(10 flaws)

Description

Allowing user input to control paths used in filesystem operations may enable an attacker to access or modify otherwise protected system resources that would normally be inaccessible to end users. In some cases, the user-provided input may be passed directly to the filesystem operation, or it may be concatenated to one or more fixed strings to construct a fully-qualified path.

When an application improperly cleanses special character sequences in user-supplied filenames, a path traversal (or directory traversal) vulnerability may occur. For example, an attacker could specify a filename such as "../../etc/passwd", which resolves to a file outside of the intended directory that the attacker would not normally be authorized to view.

Recommendations

Assume all user-supplied input is malicious. Validate all user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible. When using black lists, be sure that the sanitizing routine performs a sufficient number of iterations to remove all instances of disallowed characters and ensure that the end result is not dangerous.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ External Control of File Name or Path (CWE ID 73)(10 flaws)

Description

This call contains a path manipulation flaw. The argument to the function is a filename constructed using user-supplied input. If an attacker is allowed to specify all or part of the filename, it may be possible to gain unauthorized access to files on the server, including those outside the webroot, that would be normally be inaccessible to end users. The level of exposure depends on the effectiveness of input validation routines, if any.

Effort to Fix: 2 - Implementation error. Fix is approx. 6-50 lines of code. 1 day to fix.

Recommendations

Validate all user-supplied input to ensure that it conforms to the expected format, using centralized data validation routines when possible. When using black lists, be sure that the sanitizing routine performs a sufficient number of iterations to remove all instances of disallowed characters.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
26	-	PHP files within ctf-	.../includes/class-wp-upgrader.php 1780	11/10/14	211

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
		f2014.zip			
38	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/file.php 65	11/10/14	22
58	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ms-files.php 82	11/10/14	194
65	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 63	11/10/14	129
65	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../wp-admin/plugin-editor.php 149	11/10/14	11
77	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Engine/shell.php 42	11/10/14	18
77	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Engine/shell.php 43	11/10/14	204
82	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../theme-editor.php 85	11/10/14	44
82	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../theme-editor.php 107	11/10/14	215
-	2	plupload.silverlight.xap	void !ctor(System.IO.FileInfo) 88%	11/10/14	3

Low (7 flaws)

→ Information Leakage(7 flaws)

Description

An information leak is the intentional or unintentional disclosure of information that is either regarded as sensitive within the product's own functionality or provides information about the product or its environment that could be useful in an attack. Information leakage issues are commonly overlooked because they cannot be used to directly exploit the application. However, information leaks should be viewed as building blocks that an attacker uses to carry out other, more complicated attacks.

There are many different types of problems that involve information leaks, with severities that can range widely depending on the type of information leaked and the context of the information with respect to the application. Common sources of information leakage include, but are not limited to:

* Source code disclosure
* Browsable directories
* Log files or backup files in web-accessible directories
* Unfiltered backend error messages
* Exception stack traces
* Server version information
* Transmission of uninitialized memory containing sensitive data

Recommendations

Configure applications and servers to return generic error messages and to suppress stack traces from being displayed to end users. Ensure that errors generated by the application do not provide insight into specific backend issues.

Remove all backup files, binary archives, alternate versions of files, and test files from web-accessible directories of production servers. The only files that should be present in the application's web document root are files required by the application. Ensure that deployment procedures include the removal of these file types by an administrator. Keep web and application servers fully patched to minimize exposure to publicly-disclosed information leakage vulnerabilities.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ Information Exposure Through an Error Message (CWE ID 209)(7 flaws)

Description

The software generates an error message that includes sensitive information about its environment, users, or associated data. The sensitive information may be valuable information on its own (such as a password), or it may be useful for launching other, more deadly attacks. If an attack fails, an attacker may use error information provided by the server to launch another more focused attack. For example, file locations disclosed by an exception stack trace may be leveraged by an attacker to exploit a path traversal issue elsewhere in the application.

Effort to Fix: 1 - Trivial implementation error. Fix is up to 5 lines of code. One hour or less to fix.

Recommendations

Ensure that only generic error messages are returned to the end user that do not reveal any additional details.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
4	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/www/board.php 18		97
33	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/dblib.php 8		185
33	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../includes/dblib.php 27		91
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 34		195
45	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../scoreboard/index.php 109		121
67	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../wp-admin/plugins.php 284		8
83	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../network/themes.php 153		167

Very Low (0 flaws)

No flaws of this type were found

Info (8 flaws)

→ Untrusted Initialization(8 flaws)

Description

Applications should be reluctant to trust variables that have been initialized outside of its trust boundary. Untrusted initialization refers to instances in which an application allows external control of system settings or variables, which can disrupt service or cause an application to behave in unexpected ways. For example, if an application uses values from the environment, assuming the data cannot be tampered with, it may use that data in a dangerous way.

Recommendations

Compartmentalize the application and determine where the trust boundaries exist, then treat any input or control outside the trust boundary as potentially hostile. In general, do not allow user-provided or otherwise untrusted data to control sensitive values.

Associated Flaws by CWE ID:

→ ### External Initialization of Trusted Variables or Data Stores (CWE ID 454)(8 flaws)

Description
A function is used to process options passed into a command line application. The optarg variable is used to store any additional arguments that an option requires. If optarg is used in an unbounded string copy, an attacker can specify overly long command line arguments and overflow the destination buffer, potentially resulting in execution of arbitrary code.

Effort to Fix: 4 - Simple design error. Requires redesign and up to 5 days to fix.

Recommendations
Be sure to limit the size of data copied from the optarg variable.

Instances found via Static Scan

Module #	Class #	Module	Location	Fix By	Flaw Id
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 1050		95
11	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	.../class-phpmailer.php 1065		216
40	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.lib.php 602		140
40	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.lib.php 1356		206
41	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.php 190		87
41	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.php 1337		174
41	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../ID3/getid3.php 1349		113
77	-	PHP files within ctf-f2014.zip	ctf-f2014/.../Engine/shell.php 50		119

About Veracode's Methodology

The Veracode platform uses static and dynamic analysis (for web applications) to inspect executables and identify security flaws in your applications. Using both static and dynamic analysis helps reduce false negatives and detect a broader range of security flaws. The static binary analysis engine models the binary executable into an intermediate representation, which is then verified for security flaws using a set of automated security scans. Dynamic analysis uses an automated penetration testing technique to detect security flaws at runtime. Once the automated process is complete, a security technician verifies the output to ensure the lowest false positive rates in the industry. The end result is an accurate list of security flaws for the classes of automated scans applied to the application.

Veracode Rating System Using Multiple Analysis Techniques

Higher assurance applications require more comprehensive analysis to accurately score their security quality. Because each analysis technique (automated static, automated dynamic, manual penetration testing or manual review) has differing false negative (FN) rates for different types of security flaws, any single analysis technique or even combination of techniques is bound to produce a certain level of false negatives. Some false negatives are acceptable for lower business critical applications, so a less expensive analysis using only one or two analysis techniques is acceptable. At higher business criticality the FN rate should be close to zero, so multiple analysis techniques are recommended.

Application Security Policies

The Veracode platform allows an organization to define and enforce a uniform application security policy across all applications in its portfolio. The elements of an application security policy include the target Veracode Level for the application; types of flaws that should not be in the application (which may be defined by flaw severity, flaw category, CWE, or a common standard including OWASP, CWE/SANS Top 25, or PCI); minimum Veracode security score; required scan types and frequencies; and grace period within which any policy-relevant flaws should be fixed.

Policy constraints

Policies have three main constraints that can be applied: rules, required scans, and remediation grace periods.

Evaluating applications against a policy

When an application is evaluated against a policy, it can receive one of four assessments:

Not assessed The application has not yet had a scan published
Passed The application has passed all the aspects of the policy, including rules, required scans, and grace period.
Did not pass The application has not completed all required scans; has not achieved the target Veracode Level; or has one or more policy relevant flaws that have exceeded the grace period to fix.
Conditional pass The application has one or more policy relevant flaws that have not yet exceeded the grace period to fix.

Understand Veracode Levels

The Veracode Level (VL) achieved by an application is determined by type of testing performed on the application, and the severity and types of flaws detected. A minimum security score (defined below) is also required for each level.

There are five Veracode Levels denoted as VL1, VL2, VL3, VL4, and VL5. VL1 is the lowest level and is achieved by demonstrating that security testing, automated static or dynamic, is utilized during the SDLC. VL5 is the highest level and is achieved by performing automated and manual testing and removing all significant flaws. The Veracode Levels VL2, VL3, and VL4 form a continuum of increasing software assurance between VL1 and VL5.

For IT staff operating applications, Veracode Levels can be used to set application security policies. For deployment scenarios of different business criticality, differing VLs should be made requirements. For example, the policy for applications that handle credit card transactions, and therefore have PCI compliance requirements, should be VL5. A medium business criticality internal application could have a policy requiring VL3.

Software developers can decide which VL they want to achieve based on the requirements of their customers. Developers of software that is mission critical to most of their customers will want to achieve VL5. Developers of general purpose business software may want

to achieve VL3 or VL4. Once the software has achieved a Veracode Level it can be communicated to customers through a Veracode Report or through the Veracode Directory on the Veracode web site.

Criteria for achieving Veracode Levels

The following table defines the details to achieve each Veracode Level. The criteria for all columns: Flaw Severities Not Allowed, Flaw Categories not Allowed, Testing Required, and Minimum Score.

*Dynamic is only an option for web applications.

Veracode Level	Flaw Severities Not Allowed	Testing Required*	Minimum Score
VL5	V.High, High, Medium	Static AND Manual	90
VL4	V.High, High, Medium	Static	80
VL3	V.High, High	Static	70
VL2	V.High	Static OR Dynamic OR Manual	60
VL1		Static OR Dynamic OR Manual	

When multiple testing techniques are used it is likely that not all testing will be performed on the exact same build. If that is the case the latest test results from a particular technique will be used to calculate the current Veracode Level. After 6 months test results will be deemed out of date and will no longer be used to calculate the current Veracode Level.

Business Criticality

The foundation of the Veracode rating system is the concept that more critical applications require higher security quality scores to be acceptable risks. Less business critical applications can tolerate lower security quality. The business criticality is dictated by the typical deployed environment and the value of data used by the application. Factors that determine business criticality are: reputation damage, financial loss, operational risk, sensitive information disclosure, personal safety, and legal violations.

US. Govt. OMB Memorandum M-04-04; NIST FIPS Pub. 199

Business Criticality	Description
Very High	Mission critical for business/safety of life and limb on the line
High	Exploitation causes serious brand damage and financial loss with long term business impact
Medium	Applications connected to the internet that process financial or private customer information
Low	Typically internal applications with non-critical business impact
Very Low	Applications with no material business impact

Business Criticality Definitions

Very High (BC5) This is typically an application where the safety of life or limb is dependent on the system; it is mission critical the application maintain 100% availability for the long term viability of the project or business. Examples are control software for industrial, transportation or medical equipment or critical business systems such as financial trading systems.

High (BC4) This is typically an important multi-user business application reachable from the internet and is critical that the application maintain high availability to accomplish its mission. Exploitation of high criticality applications cause serious brand damage and business/financial loss and could lead to long term business impact.

Medium (BC3) This is typically a multi-user application connected to the internet or any system that processes financial or private customer information. Exploitation of medium criticality applications typically result in material business impact resulting

in some financial loss, brand damage or business liability. An example is a financial services company's internal 401K management system.

Low (BC2) This is typically an internal only application that requires low levels of application security such as authentication to protect access to non-critical business information and prevent IT disruptions. Exploitation of low criticality applications may lead to minor levels of inconvenience, distress or IT disruption. An example internal system is a conference room reservation or business card order system.

Very Low (BC1) Applications that have no material business impact should its confidentiality, data integrity and availability be affected. Code security analysis is not required for applications at this business criticality, and security spending should be directed to other higher criticality applications.

Scoring Methodology

The Veracode scoring system, Security Quality Score, is built on the foundation of two industry standards, the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) and Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS). CWE provides the dictionary of security flaws and CVSS provides the foundation for computing severity, based on the potential Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability impact of a flaw if exploited.

The Security Quality Score is a single score from 0 to 100, where 0 is the most insecure application and 100 is an application with no detectable security flaws. The score calculation includes non-linear factors so that, for instance, a single Severity 5 flaw is weighted more heavily than five Severity 1 flaws, and so that each additional flaw at a given severity contributes progressively less to the score.

Veracode assigns a severity level to each flaw type based on three foundational application security requirements — Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability. Each of the severity levels reflects the potential business impact if a security breach occurs across one or more of these security dimensions.

Confidentiality Impact

According to CVSS, this metric measures the impact on confidentiality if a exploit should occur using the vulnerability on the target system. At the weakness level, the scope of the Confidentiality in this model is within an application and is measured at three levels of impact -None, Partial and Complete.

Integrity Impact

This metric measures the potential impact on integrity of the application being analyzed. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and guaranteed veracity of information within the application. Integrity measures are meant to protect data from unauthorized modification. When the integrity of a system is sound, it is fully proof from unauthorized modification of its contents.

Availability Impact

This metric measures the potential impact on availability if a successful exploit of the vulnerability is carried out on a target application. Availability refers to the accessibility of information resources. Almost exclusive to this domain are denial-of-service vulnerabilities. Attacks that compromise authentication and authorization for application access, application memory, and administrative privileges are examples of impact on the availability of an application.

Security Quality Score Calculation

The overall Security Quality Score is computed by aggregating impact levels of all weaknesses within an application and representing the score on a 100 point scale. This score does not predict vulnerability potential as much as it enumerates the security weaknesses and their impact levels within the application code.

The Raw Score formula puts weights on each flaw based on its impact level. These weights are exponential and determined by empirical analysis by Veracode's application security experts with validation from industry experts. The score is normalized to a scale of 0 to 100, where a score of 100 is an application with 0 detected flaws using the analysis technique for the application's business criticality.

Understand Severity, Exploitability, and Remediation Effort

Severity and exploitability are two different measures of the seriousness of a flaw. Severity is defined in terms of the potential impact to confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the application as defined in the CVSS, and exploitability is defined in terms of the likelihood

or ease with which a flaw can be exploited. A high severity flaw with a high likelihood of being exploited by an attacker is potentially more dangerous than a high severity flaw with a low likelihood of being exploited.

Remediation effort, also called Complexity of Fix, is a measure of the likely effort required to fix a flaw. Together with severity, the remediation effort is used to give Fix First guidance to the developer.

Veracode Flaw Severities

Veracode flaw severities are defined as follows:

Severity	Description
Very High	The offending line or lines of code is a very serious weakness and is an easy target for an attacker. The code should be modified immediately to avoid potential attacks.
High	The offending line or lines of code have significant weakness, and the code should be modified immediately to avoid potential attacks.
Medium	A weakness of average severity. These should be fixed in high assurance software. A fix for this weakness should be considered after fixing the very high and high for medium assurance software.
Low	This is a low priority weakness that will have a small impact on the security of the software. Fixing should be consideration for high assurance software. Medium and low assurance software can ignore these flaws.
Very Low	Minor problems that some high assurance software may want to be aware of. These flaws can be safely ignored in medium and low assurance software.
Informational	Issues that have no impact on the security quality of the application but which may be of interest to the reviewer.

Informational findings

Informational severity findings are items observed in the analysis of the application that have no impact on the security quality of the application but may be interesting to the reviewer for other reasons. These findings may include code quality issues, API usage, and other factors.

Informational severity findings have no impact on the security quality score of the application and are not included in the summary tables of flaws for the application.

Exploitability

Each flaw instance in a static scan may receive an exploitability rating. The rating is an indication of the intrinsic likelihood that the flaw may be exploited by an attacker. Veracode recommends that the exploitability rating be used to prioritize flaw remediation within a particular group of flaws with the same severity and difficulty of fix classification.

The possible exploitability ratings include:

Exploitability	Description
V. Unlikely	Very unlikely to be exploited
Unlikely	Unlikely to be exploited

Exploitability	Description
Neutral	Neither likely nor unlikely to be exploited.
Likely	Likely to be exploited
V. Likely	Very likely to be exploited

Note: All reported flaws found via dynamic scans are assumed to be exploitable, because the dynamic scan actually executes the attack in question and verifies that it is valid.

Effort/Complexity of Fix

Each flaw instance receives an effort/complexity of fix rating based on the classification of the flaw. The effort/complexity of fix rating is given on a scale of 1 to 5, as follows:

Effort/Complexity of Fix	Description
5	Complex design error. Requires significant redesign.
4	Simple design error. Requires redesign and up to 5 days to fix.
3	Complex implementation error. Fix is approx. 51-500 lines of code. Up to 5 days to fix.
2	Implementation error. Fix is approx. 6-50 lines of code. 1 day to fix.
1	Trivial implementation error. Fix is up to 5 lines of code. One hour or less to fix.

Flaw Types by Severity Level

The flaw types by severity level table provides a summary of flaws found in the application by Severity and Category. The table puts the Security Quality Score into context by showing the specific breakout of flaws by severity, used to compute the score as described above. If multiple analysis techniques are used, the table includes a breakout of all flaws by category and severity for each analysis type performed.

Flaws by Severity

The flaws by severity chart shows the distribution of flaws by severity. An application can get a mediocre security rating by having a few high risk flaws or many medium risk flaws.

Flaws in Common Modules

The flaws in common modules listing shows a summary of flaws in shared dependency modules in this application. A shared dependency is a dependency that is used by more than one analyzed module. Each module is listed with the number of executables that consume it as a dependency and a summary of the impact on the application's security score of the flaws found in the dependency.

The score impact represents the amount that the application score would increase if all the flaws in the shared dependency module were fixed. This information can be used to focus remediation efforts on common modules with a higher impact on the application security score.

 Only common modules that were uploaded with debug information are included in the Flaws in Common Modules listing.

Action Items

The Action Items section of the report provides guidance on the steps required to bring the application to a state where it passes its assigned policy. These steps may include fixing or mitigating flaws or performing additional scans. The section also includes best practice recommendations to improve the security quality of the application.

Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE)

The Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) is an industry standard classification of types of software weaknesses, or flaws, that can lead to security problems. CWE is widely used to provide a standard taxonomy of software errors. Every flaw in a Veracode report is classified according to a standard CWE identifier.

More guidance and background about the CWE is available at http://cwe.mitre.org/data/index.html.

About Manual Assessments

The Veracode platform can include the results from a manual assessment (usually a penetration test or code review) as part of a report. These results differ from the results of automated scans in several important ways, including objectives, attack vectors, and common attack patterns.

A manual penetration assessment is conducted to observe the application code in a run-time environment and to simulate real-world attack scenarios. Manual testing is able to identify design flaws, evaluate environmental conditions, compound multiple lower risk flaws into higher risk vulnerabilities, and determine if identified flaws affect the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of the application.

Objectives

The stated objectives of a manual penetration assessment are:

- Perform testing, using proprietary and/or public tools, to determine whether it is possible for an attacker to:
- Circumvent authentication and authorization mechanisms
- Escalate application user privileges
- Hijack accounts belonging to other users
- Violate access controls placed by the site administrator
- Alter data or data presentation
- Corrupt application and data integrity, functionality and performance
- Circumvent application business logic
- Circumvent application session management
- Break or analyze use of cryptography within user accessible components
- Determine possible extent access or impact to the system by attempting to exploit vulnerabilities
- Score vulnerabilities using the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS)
- Provide tactical recommendations to address security issues of immediate consequence

Provide strategic recommendations to enhance security by leveraging industry best practices

Attack vectors

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the following tests are performed as part of the manual penetration assessment, when applicable to the platforms and technologies in use:

- Cross Site Scripting (XSS)
- SQL Injection
- Command Injection
- Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
- Authentication/Authorization Bypass
- Session Management testing, e.g. token analysis, session expiration, and logout effectiveness
- Account Management testing, e.g. password strength, password reset, account lockout, etc.
- Directory Traversal
- Response Splitting
- Stack/Heap Overflows
- Format String Attacks

- Cookie Analysis
- Server Side Includes Injection
- Remote File Inclusion
- LDAP Injection
- XPATH Injection
- Internationalization attacks
- Denial of Service testing at the application layer only
- AJAX Endpoint Analysis
- Web Services Endpoint Analysis
- HTTP Method Analysis
- SSL Certificate and Cipher Strength Analysis
- Forced Browsing

CAPEC Attack Pattern Classification

The following attack pattern classifications are used to group similar application flaws discovered during manual penetration testing. Attack patterns describe the general methods employed to access and exploit the specific weaknesses that exist within an application. CAPEC (Common Attack Pattern Enumeration and Classification) is an effort led by Cigital, Inc. and is sponsored by the United States Department of Homeland Security's National Cyber Security Division.

Abuse of Functionality

Exploitation of business logic errors or misappropriation of programmatic resources. Application functions are developed to specifications with particular intentions, and these types of attacks serve to undermine those intentions.

Examples:

- Exploiting password recovery mechanisms
- Accessing unpublished or test APIs
- Cache poisoning

Spoofing

Impersonation of entities or trusted resources. A successful attack will present itself to a verifying entity with an acceptable level of authenticity.

Examples:

- Man in the middle attacks
- Checksum spoofing
- Phishing attacks

Probabilistic Techniques

Using predictive capabilities or exhaustive search techniques in order to derive or manipulate sensitive information. Attacks capitalize on the availability of computing resources or the lack of entropy within targeted components.

Examples:

- Password brute forcing
- Cryptanalysis
- Manipulation of authentication tokens

Exploitation of Authentication

Circumventing authentication requirements to access protected resources. Design or implementation flaws may allow authentication checks to be ignored, delegated, or bypassed.

Examples:

- Cross-site request forgery
- Reuse of session identifiers
- Flawed authentication protocol

Resource Depletion

Affecting the availability of application components or resources through symmetric or asymmetric consumption. Unrestricted access to computationally expensive functions or implementation flaws that affect the stability of the application can be targeted by an attacker in order to cause denial of service conditions.

Examples:

- Flooding attacks
- Unlimited file upload size
- Memory leaks

Exploitation of Privilege/Trust

Undermining the application's trust model in order to gain access to protected resources or gain additional levels of access as defined by the application. Applications that implicitly extend trust to resources or entities outside of their direct control are susceptible to attack.

Examples:

- Insufficient access control lists
- Circumvention of client side protections
- Manipulation of role identification information

Injection

Inserting unexpected inputs to manipulate control flow or alter normal business processing. Applications must contain sufficient data validation checks in order to sanitize tainted data and prevent malicious, external control over internal processing.

Examples:

- SQL Injection
- Cross-site scripting
- XML Injection

Data Structure Attacks

Supplying unexpected or excessive data that results in more data being written to a buffer than it is capable of holding. Successful attacks of this class can result in arbitrary command execution or denial of service conditions.

Examples:

- Buffer overflow
- Integer overflow
- Format string overflow

Data Leakage Attacks

Recovering information exposed by the application that may itself be confidential or may be useful to an attacker in discovering or exploiting other weaknesses. A successful attack may be conducted passive observation or active interception methods. This attack pattern often manifests itself in the form of applications that expose sensitive information within error messages.

Examples:

- Sniffing clear-text communication protocols
- Stack traces returned to end users
- Sensitive information in HTML comments

Resource Manipulation

Manipulating application dependencies or accessed resources in order to undermine security controls and gain unauthorized access to protected resources. Applications may use tainted data when constructing paths to local resources or when constructing processing environments.

Examples:

- Carriage Return Line Feed log file injection
- File retrieval via path manipulation
- User specification of configuration files

Time and State Attacks

Undermining state condition assumptions made by the application or capitalizing on time delays between security checks and performed operations. An application that does not enforce a required processing sequence or does not handle concurrency adequately will be susceptible to these attack patterns.

Examples:

- Bypassing intermediate form processing steps
- Time-of-check and time-of-use race conditions
- Deadlock triggering to cause a denial of service

Terms of Use

Use and distribution of this report are governed by the agreement between Veracode and its customer. In particular, this report and the results in the report cannot be used publicly in connection with Veracode's name without written permission.

Appendix A: Referenced Source Files

Id	Filename	Path
1	admin-header.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
2	ajax-actions.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
3	Author.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
4	board.php	ctf-f2014/www/
5	bookmark.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
6	Caption.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
7	Category.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
8	class-ftp-sockets.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
9	class-pclzip.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
10	class-phpass.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
11	class-phpmailer.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
12	class-pop3.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
13	class-simplepie.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
14	class-smtp.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
15	class-snoopy.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
16	class-wp-comments-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
17	class-wp-editor.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
18	class-wp-embed.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
19	class-wp-filesystem-ftpext.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
20	class-wp-links-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
21	class-wp-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
22	class-wp-ms-themes-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
23	class-wp-ms-users-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
24	class-wp-plugins-list-table.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
25	class-wp-theme.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
26	class-wp-upgrader.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
27	class-wp.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
28	comment.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
29	Copyright.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
30	Credit.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
31	cron.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
32	dashboard.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
33	dblib.php	ctf-f2014/www/includes/
34	default-constants.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
35	edit-form-advanced.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
36	edit-form-comment.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
37	Enclosure.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/

Tufts University and Veracode Confidential

Tel.+1.339.674.2500 **Fax.**+1.339.674.2502 **URL:**http://www.veracode.com

Id	Filename	Path
38	file.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
39	general-template.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
40	getid3.lib.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/ID3/
41	getid3.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/ID3/
42	gzdecode.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
43	image.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
44	import.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
45	index.php	ctf-f2014/www/scoreboard/
46	install.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
47	Item.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
48	link-template.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
49	load-scripts.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
50	load-styles.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
51	media-template.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
52	media.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
53	Memcache.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/Cache/
54	meta-boxes.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
55	module.tag.apetag.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/ID3/
56	module.tag.id3v2.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/ID3/
57	ms-blogs.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
58	ms-files.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
59	ms-functions.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
60	ms.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
61	my-sites.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
62	MySQL.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/Cache/
63	nav-menu.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
64	pluggable.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
65	plugin-editor.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
66	plugin-install.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
67	plugins.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
68	post.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
69	post.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
70	press-this.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
71	query.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
72	Rating.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
73	Restriction.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
74	rss.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
75	schema.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
76	setup-config.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/

Id	Filename	Path
77	shell.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/Text/Diff/Engine/
78	site-new.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/network/
79	Source.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/SimplePie/
80	taxonomy.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
81	template.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
82	theme-editor.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
83	themes.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/network/
84	update-core.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
85	update-core.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
86	update.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
87	upgrade.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
88	upgrade.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/includes/
89	upload.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
90	user-edit.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
91	user-new.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
92	users.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/network/
93	widgets.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-admin/
94	wp-db.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/
95	wp-settings.php	ctf-f2014/www/
96	wp-signup.php	ctf-f2014/www/
97	wp-tinymce.php	ctf-f2014/www/wp-includes/js/tinymce/

Appendix B: Referenced Classpaths

Id	Path
1	moxie_dll.Moxie.PngEncoder.DeflaterOutputStream
2	moxie_dll.Moxiecode.Com.Buffer
3	moxie_dll.Moxiecode.MXI.Utils
4	moxie_dll.Moxiecode.Moxie
5	silverlightmediaelement_dll.SilverlightMediaElement.MainPage