

Based on joint work with A. Caraiani and C. Johansson and on joint work with A. Caraiani, C.-Y. Hsu, C. Johansson, L. Mocz, E. Reinecke, S.-C. Shih

I am going to talk about some results related to the (p -adic) Langlands correspondence. Roughly, the Langlands correspondence relates two different kinds of objects: automorphic representations and Galois representations.

$$\text{automorphic representations} \iff \text{Galois representations}$$

Here is a classic example. Let \mathcal{H} be the upper half complex plane $\text{Im } z > 0$. Consider the function $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f(z) := \eta(z)^2 \eta(11z)^2 = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{11n})^2, \quad q = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

One can show that is a modular form of weight 2 and level $\Gamma_0(11)$:

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^2 f(z)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $ad - bc = 1$, $c \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$. If $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, then define the associated L -function by

$$L(f, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}.$$

$$L(f, s) = 1 - 2 \cdot 2^{-s} - 3^{-s} + 2 \cdot 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} + 2 \cdot 6^{-s} - 2 \cdot 7^{-s} + \dots$$

Now consider the elliptic curve E defined by the equation

$$y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$

The curve E also has an associated L -function. For ℓ prime, let $a_\ell := \ell + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

$$L(E, s) := (1 - a_{11} 11^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{\ell \neq 11} (1 - a_\ell \ell^{-s} + \ell^{1-2s})^{-1}$$

Then one can compute

$$L(E, s) = 1 - 2 \cdot 2^{-s} - 3^{-s} + 2 \cdot 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} + 2 \cdot 6^{-s} - 2 \cdot 7^{-s} + \dots = L(f, s).$$

How does this relate to the Langlands correspondence?

- The modular form f is a vector in an automorphic representation Π of GL_2 .
- For any prime p , the Tate module $T_p(E)$ is a Galois representation.

The Tate module is defined as follows. Since E has a group law, $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is an abelian group, and it carries an action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. For any prime p ,

$$T_p(E) := \varprojlim_n E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[p^n]$$

is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank 2. So for each p , it determines a continuous representation

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

So how do we generalize this story? Generalizing the Galois side is fairly straightforward. Let F be a number field, let n be a positive integer, and let p be a prime. A Galois representation is a continuous representation

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p).$$

In the classical Langlands correspondence:

- Automorphic representations have \mathbb{C} coefficients
- Galois representations are required to be “geometric” (ramified at finitely many places, de Rham at p).

The p -adic Langlands correspondence interpolates the classical correspondence p -adically. So, on the Galois side, we drop the de Rham condition, and on the automorphic side, we need to find a p -adic replacement for automorphic forms.

One reason to be interested in the p -adic Langlands correspondence is that a lot of recent progress in the classical Langlands correspondence uses p -adic interpolation (construction of Galois representations by Harris–Lan–Taylor–Thorne and Scholze, potential modularity by Allen–Calegari–Caraiani–Gee–Helm–Le Hung–Newton–Scholze–Taylor–Thorne and Boxer–Calegari–Gee–Pilloni).

To see how to find a p -adic replacement for automorphic forms, let’s go back to the modular form example. Let

$$\Gamma_0(11) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| ad - bc = 1, 11 \mid c \right\}.$$

Let $Y := \Gamma_0(11) \backslash \mathcal{H}$. Consider the cohomology group $H^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$. We can think of elements of this group as functions on the space of loops in Y . The differential $f(z) dz$ is $\Gamma_0(11)$ -invariant, so we can define an element $\phi \in H^1(Y, \mathbb{C})$ by $\phi(\gamma) = \int_\gamma f(z) dz$. We think of ϕ as a cohomological replacement for f and $H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ as a p -adic replacement for modular forms of weight 2.

Theorem 1 (Eichler–Shimura). *For any congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the set of L -functions arising from weight 2 modular forms of level Γ is the same as the set of L -functions arising from $H^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C})$.*

Definition 2 (Emerton).

$$\tilde{H}^1(\Gamma) := \varprojlim_m \varinjlim_n H^1((\Gamma \cap \Gamma(p^n)) \backslash \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z})$$

Here

$$\Gamma(p^n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^n} \right\}.$$

It turns out that modular forms of *all* weights, not just weight 2, show up in the completed cohomology.

The spaces $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ are examples of *locally symmetric spaces*, i.e. they are quotients of symmetric spaces by arithmetic subgroups. A slightly more general example is $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ a congruence subgroup. (Note that $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{H} by linear fractional transformations and the stabilizer of the point i is $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$).

For an arbitrary connected reductive group G over \mathbb{Q} , one can define locally symmetric spaces

$$Y_{K^p K_p} := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / A_\infty K_\infty K^p K_p.$$

Definition 3 (Emerton).

$$\tilde{H}^i(K^p) := \varprojlim_m \varinjlim_{K_p} H^i(Y_{K^p K_p}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z}).$$

where the limit runs over compact open subgroups $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Define \tilde{H}_c^i similarly.

We still have only a basic understanding of completed cohomology. In particular, we still need to determine in which degrees completed cohomology can appear.

Conjecture 4 (Calegari–Emerton). *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 &:= \mathrm{rk} G - \mathrm{rk} A_\infty K_\infty \\ q_0 &:= \frac{1}{2}(\dim Y_K - \ell_0) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{H}^i = \tilde{H}_c^i = 0$ for $i > q_0$.

Theorem 5 (Scholze). *Suppose the Y_K have the structure of Shimura varieties of Hodge type. Then $\tilde{H}_c^i = 0$ for $i > q_0$.*

Theorem 6 (Caraiani–G–Johansson). *Suppose the Y_K have the structure of Shimura varieties of Hodge type, and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is split. Let N be a compact unipotent subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then*

$$\varprojlim_m \varinjlim_{K_p \supset N} H_c^i(Y_{K^p K_p}, \mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

for $i > q_0$.

Theorem 7 (Scholze). *Let F be a totally real or CM number field. Let $Y_{K_M}^M$ be a locally symmetric space for $M = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{GL}_n$. Let \mathbb{T} be the image of the Hecke algebra in $\mathrm{End} H^*(Y_{K_M}^M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and let $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be a maximal ideal. There exists a Galois representation*

$$\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

so that the Satake parameters of \mathfrak{m} match the Frobenius eigenvalues of $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. If $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is irreducible, this extends to

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}/I)$$

for some nilpotent ideal I of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Theorem 8 (Newton–Thorne). *In the above theorem, one can take $I^4 = 0$.*

Theorem 9 (CGHJMRS). *In the above theorem, if F is totally split above p , one can take $I = 0$.*