

# **Data Mining**

## **Classification: Alternative Techniques**

---

Lecture Notes for Chapter 5

Introduction to Data Mining  
by  
Tan, Steinbach, Kumar

# Rule-Based Classifier

- Classify records by using a collection of “if...then...” rules
- Rule:  $(Condition) \rightarrow y$ 
  - where
    - ◆ *Condition* is a conjunctions of attributes
    - ◆ *y* is the class label
  - *LHS*: rule antecedent or condition
  - *RHS*: rule consequent
  - Examples of classification rules:
    - ◆  $(\text{Blood Type}=\text{Warm}) \wedge (\text{Lay Eggs}=\text{Yes}) \rightarrow \text{Birds}$
    - ◆  $(\text{Taxable Income} < 50\text{K}) \wedge (\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}) \rightarrow \text{Evade}=\text{No}$

# Rule-based Classifier (Example)

Name	Blood Type	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Class
human	warm	yes	no	no	mammals
python	cold	no	no	no	reptiles
salmon	cold	no	no	yes	fishes
whale	warm	yes	no	yes	mammals
frog	cold	no	no	sometimes	amphibians
komodo	cold	no	no	no	reptiles
bat	warm	yes	yes	no	mammals
pigeon	warm	no	yes	no	birds
cat	warm	yes	no	no	mammals
leopard shark	cold	yes	no	yes	fishes
turtle	cold	no	no	sometimes	reptiles
penguin	warm	no	no	sometimes	birds
porcupine	warm	yes	no	no	mammals
eel	cold	no	no	yes	fishes
salamander	cold	no	no	sometimes	amphibians
gila monster	cold	no	no	no	reptiles
platypus	warm	no	no	no	mammals
owl	warm	no	yes	no	birds
dolphin	warm	yes	no	yes	mammals
eagle	warm	no	yes	no	birds

R1: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Birds

R2: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Live in Water = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Fishes

R3: (Give Birth = yes)  $\wedge$  (Blood Type = warm)  $\rightarrow$  Mammals

R4: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = no)  $\rightarrow$  Reptiles

R5: (Live in Water = sometimes)  $\rightarrow$  Amphibians

# Application of Rule-Based Classifier

- A rule  $r$  **covers** an instance  $x$  if the attributes of the instance satisfy the condition of the rule

R1: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Birds

R2: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Live in Water = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Fishes

R3: (Give Birth = yes)  $\wedge$  (Blood Type = warm)  $\rightarrow$  Mammals

R4: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = no)  $\rightarrow$  Reptiles

R5: (Live in Water = sometimes)  $\rightarrow$  Amphibians

Name	Blood Type	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Class
hawk	warm	no	yes	no	?
grizzly bear	warm	yes	no	no	?

The rule R1 covers a hawk  $\Rightarrow$  Bird

The rule R3 covers the grizzly bear  $\Rightarrow$  Mammal

# Rule Coverage and Accuracy

- Coverage of a rule:
  - Fraction of records that satisfy the antecedent of a rule
- Accuracy of a rule:
  - Fraction of records that satisfy both the antecedent and consequent of a rule

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

(Status=Single) → No

Coverage = 40%, Accuracy = 50%

# How does Rule-based Classifier Work?

R1: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Birds

R2: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Live in Water = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Fishes

R3: (Give Birth = yes)  $\wedge$  (Blood Type = warm)  $\rightarrow$  Mammals

R4: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = no)  $\rightarrow$  Reptiles

R5: (Live in Water = sometimes)  $\rightarrow$  Amphibians

Name	Blood Type	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Class
lemur	warm	yes	no	no	?
turtle	cold	no	no	sometimes	?
dogfish shark	cold	yes	no	yes	?

A lemur triggers rule R3, so it is classified as a mammal

A turtle triggers both R4 and R5


A dogfish shark triggers none of the rules

# Characteristics of Rule-Based Classifier

---

- Mutually exclusive rules
  - Classifier contains mutually exclusive rules if the rules are independent of each other
  - Every record is covered by at most one rule
  
- Exhaustive rules
  - Classifier has exhaustive coverage if it accounts for every possible combination of attribute values
  - Each record is covered by at least one rule

# From Decision Trees To Rules



## Classification Rules

(Refund=Yes) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single, Divorced}, Taxable Income<80K) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single, Divorced}, Taxable Income>80K) ==> Yes


(Refund=No, Marital Status={Married}) ==> No

**Rules are mutually exclusive and exhaustive**

**Rule set contains as much information as the tree**



# Rules Can Be Simplified



<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

Initial Rule:  $(\text{Refund}=\text{No}) \wedge (\text{Status}=\text{Married}) \rightarrow \text{No}$

Simplified Rule:  $(\text{Status}=\text{Married}) \rightarrow \text{No}$

# Effect of Rule Simplification

---

- Rules are no longer mutually exclusive
  - A record may trigger more than one rule
  - Solution?
    - ◆ Ordered rule set
    - ◆ Unordered rule set – use voting schemes
  
- Rules are no longer exhaustive
  - A record may not trigger any rules
  - Solution?
    - ◆ Use a default class

# Ordered Rule Set

- Rules are rank ordered according to their priority
  - An ordered rule set is known as a decision list
- When a test record is presented to the classifier
  - It is assigned to the class label of the highest ranked rule it has triggered
  - If none of the rules fired, it is assigned to the default class


R1: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Birds

R2: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Live in Water = yes)  $\rightarrow$  Fishes

R3: (Give Birth = yes)  $\wedge$  (Blood Type = warm)  $\rightarrow$  Mammals

R4: (Give Birth = no)  $\wedge$  (Can Fly = no)  $\rightarrow$  Reptiles

R5: (Live in Water = sometimes)  $\rightarrow$  Amphibians



Name	Blood Type	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Class
turtle	cold	no	no	sometimes	?

# Rule Ordering Schemes

## □ Rule-based ordering

- Individual rules are ranked based on their quality

## □ Class-based ordering

- Rules that belong to the same class appear together

### Rule-based Ordering

(Refund=Yes) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced},  
Taxable Income<80K) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced},  
Taxable Income>80K) ==> Yes

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Married}) ==> No

### Class-based Ordering

(Refund=Yes) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced},  
Taxable Income<80K) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Married}) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced},  
Taxable Income>80K) ==> Yes

# Building Classification Rules

---

## □ Direct Method:

- ◆ Extract rules directly from data
- ◆ e.g.: RIPPER, CN2, Holte's 1R

## □ Indirect Method:


- ◆ Extract rules from other classification models (e.g. decision trees, neural networks, etc).
- ◆ e.g: C4.5rules

# Direct Method: Sequential Covering


---

1. Start from an empty rule
2. Grow a rule using the Learn-One-Rule function
3. Remove training records covered by the rule
4. Repeat Step (2) and (3) until stopping criterion is met

# Example of Sequential Covering




(i) Original Data




(ii) Step 1

# Example of Sequential Covering...



(iii) Step 2



(iv) Step 3




# Aspects of Sequential Covering

---


- Rule Growing
- Instance Elimination
- Rule Evaluation
- Stopping Criterion
- Rule Pruning

# Rule Growing

## □ Two common strategies



(a) General-to-specific



(b) Specific-to-general

# Rule Growing (Examples)

## □ CN2 Algorithm:


- Start from an empty conjunct:  $\{\}$
- Add conjuncts that minimizes the entropy measure:  $\{A\}, \{A,B\}, \dots$
- Determine the rule consequent by taking majority class of instances covered by the rule

## □ RIPPER Algorithm:

- Start from an empty rule:  $\{\} \Rightarrow \text{class}$
- Add conjuncts that maximizes FOIL's information gain measure:
  - ◆  $R0: \{\} \Rightarrow \text{class}$  (initial rule)
  - ◆  $R1: \{A\} \Rightarrow \text{class}$  (rule after adding conjunct)
  - ◆  $\text{Gain}(R0, R1) = t [ \log(p1/(p1+n1)) - \log(p0/(p0 + n0)) ]$
  - ◆ where  $t$ : number of positive instances covered by both  $R0$  and  $R1$ 
    - $p0$ : number of positive instances covered by  $R0$
    - $n0$ : number of negative instances covered by  $R0$
    - $p1$ : number of positive instances covered by  $R1$
    - $n1$ : number of negative instances covered by  $R1$

# Instance Elimination

- Why do we need to eliminate instances?
  - Otherwise, the next rule is identical to previous rule
- Why do we remove positive instances?
  - Ensure that the next rule is different
- Why do we remove negative instances?
  - Prevent underestimating accuracy of rule
  - Compare rules R2 and R3 in the diagram



# Rule Evaluation

## □ Metrics:

- Accuracy =  $\frac{n_c}{n}$

- Laplace =  $\frac{n_c + 1}{n + k}$

- M-estimate =  $\frac{n_c + kp}{n + k}$

$n$  : Number of instances covered by rule

$n_c$  : Number of instances covered by rule

$k$  : Number of classes

$p$  : Prior probability

# Stopping Criterion and Rule Pruning

---

- Stopping criterion
  - Compute the gain
  - If gain is not significant, discard the new rule
  
- Rule Pruning
  - Similar to post-pruning of decision trees
  - Reduced Error Pruning:
    - ◆ Remove one of the conjuncts in the rule
    - ◆ Compare error rate on validation set before and after pruning
    - ◆ If error improves, prune the conjunct

# Summary of Direct Method

---

- Grow a single rule
- Remove Instances from rule
- Prune the rule (if necessary)
- Add rule to Current Rule Set
- Repeat

# Direct Method: RIPPER

---

- For 2-class problem, choose one of the classes as positive class, and the other as negative class
  - Learn rules for positive class
  - Negative class will be default class
- For multi-class problem
  - Order the classes according to increasing class prevalence (fraction of instances that belong to a particular class)
  - Learn the rule set for smallest class first, treat the rest as negative class
  - Repeat with next smallest class as positive class



# Direct Method: RIPPER

---

- Growing a rule:
  - Start from empty rule
  - Add conjuncts as long as they improve FOIL's information gain
  - Stop when rule no longer covers negative examples
  - Prune the rule immediately using incremental reduced error pruning
  - Measure for pruning:  $v = (p-n)/(p+n)$ 
    - ◆  $p$ : number of positive examples covered by the rule in the validation set
    - ◆  $n$ : number of negative examples covered by the rule in the validation set
  - Pruning method: delete any final sequence of conditions that maximizes  $v$

# Direct Method: RIPPER

---

## □ Building a Rule Set:


- Use sequential covering algorithm
  - ◆ Finds the best rule that covers the current set of positive examples
  - ◆ Eliminate both positive and negative examples covered by the rule
- Each time a rule is added to the rule set, compute the new description length
  - ◆ stop adding new rules when the new description length is  $d$  bits longer than the smallest description length obtained so far

# Direct Method: RIPPER

---

- Optimize the rule set:
  - For each rule  $r$  in the rule set  $R$ 
    - ◆ Consider 2 alternative rules:
      - Replacement rule ( $r^*$ ): grow new rule from scratch
      - Revised rule( $r'$ ): add conjuncts to extend the rule  $r$
    - ◆ Compare the rule set for  $r$  against the rule set for  $r^*$  and  $r'$
    - ◆ Choose rule set that minimizes MDL principle
  - Repeat rule generation and rule optimization for the remaining positive examples

# Indirect Methods



## Rule Set

- r1: (P=No,Q=No) ==> -
- r2: (P=No,Q=Yes) ==> +
- r3: (P=Yes,R=No) ==> +
- r4: (P=Yes,R=Yes,Q=No) ==> -
- r5: (P=Yes,R=Yes,Q=Yes) ==> +

# Indirect Method: C4.5rules

---

- Extract rules from an unpruned decision tree
- For each rule,  $r: A \rightarrow y$ ,
  - consider an alternative rule  $r': A' \rightarrow y$  where  $A'$  is obtained by removing one of the conjuncts in  $A$
  - Compare the pessimistic error rate for  $r$  against all  $r$ 's
  - Prune if one of the  $r$ 's has lower pessimistic error rate
  - Repeat until we can no longer improve generalization error

# Indirect Method: C4.5rules


---

- Instead of ordering the rules, order subsets of rules (**class ordering**)
  - Each subset is a collection of rules with the same rule consequent (class)
  - Compute description length of each subset
    - ◆  $\text{Description length} = L(\text{error}) + g L(\text{model})$
    - ◆  $g$  is a parameter that takes into account the presence of redundant attributes in a rule set (default value = 0.5)

# Example

Name	Give Birth	Lay Eggs	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
human	yes	no	no	no	yes	mammals
python	no	yes	no	no	no	reptiles
salmon	no	yes	no	yes	no	fishes
whale	yes	no	no	yes	no	mammals
frog	no	yes	no	sometimes	yes	amphibians
komodo	no	yes	no	no	yes	reptiles
bat	yes	no	yes	no	yes	mammals
pigeon	no	yes	yes	no	yes	birds
cat	yes	no	no	no	yes	mammals
leopard shark	yes	no	no	yes	no	fishes
turtle	no	yes	no	sometimes	yes	reptiles
penguin	no	yes	no	sometimes	yes	birds
porcupine	yes	no	no	no	yes	mammals
eel	no	yes	no	yes	no	fishes
salamander	no	yes	no	sometimes	yes	amphibians
gila monster	no	yes	no	no	yes	reptiles
platypus	no	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
owl	no	yes	yes	no	yes	birds
dolphin	yes	no	no	yes	no	mammals
eagle	no	yes	yes	no	yes	birds

# C4.5 versus C4.5rules versus RIPPER



## C4.5rules:

(Give Birth=No, Can Fly=Yes) → Birds

(Give Birth=No, Live in Water=Yes) → Fishes

(Give Birth=Yes) → Mammals

(Give Birth=No, Can Fly=No, Live in Water=No) → Reptiles

( ) → Amphibians

## RIPPER:

(Live in Water=Yes) → Fishes

(Have Legs=No) → Reptiles

(Give Birth=No, Can Fly=No, Live In Water=No) → Reptiles

(Can Fly=Yes, Give Birth=No) → Birds

( ) → Mammals



# C4.5 versus C4.5rules versus RIPPER

## C4.5 and C4.5rules:

		PREDICTED CLASS				
		Amphibians	Fishes	Reptiles	Birds	Mammals
ACTUAL CLASS	Amphibians	2	0	0	0	0
	Fishes	0	2	0	0	1
	Reptiles	1	0	3	0	0
	Birds	1	0	0	3	0
	Mammals	0	0	1	0	6

## RIPPER:

		PREDICTED CLASS				
		Amphibians	Fishes	Reptiles	Birds	Mammals
ACTUAL CLASS	Amphibians	0	0	0	0	2
	Fishes	0	3	0	0	0
	Reptiles	0	0	3	0	1
	Birds	0	0	1	2	1
	Mammals	0	2	1	0	4

# Advantages of Rule-Based Classifiers

---

- As highly expressive as decision trees
- Easy to interpret
- Easy to generate
- Can classify new instances rapidly
- Performance comparable to decision trees

# Instance-Based Classifiers

Set of Stored Cases

Atr1	.....	AtrN	Class
			A
			B
			B
			C
			A
			C
			B

- Store the training records
- Use training records to predict the class label of unseen cases

Unseen Case

Atr1	.....	AtrN

# Instance Based Classifiers

---

## □ Examples:

### — Rote-learner

- ◆ Memorizes entire training data and performs classification only if attributes of record match one of the training examples exactly


### — Nearest neighbor

- ◆ Uses k “closest” points (nearest neighbors) for performing classification


# Nearest Neighbor Classifiers

## □ Basic idea:

- If it walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, then it's probably a duck




# Nearest-Neighbor Classifiers




- Requires three things
  - The set of stored records
  - Distance Metric to compute distance between records
  - The value of  $k$ , the number of nearest neighbors to retrieve
- To classify an unknown record:
  - Compute distance to other training records
  - Identify  $k$  nearest neighbors
  - Use class labels of nearest neighbors to determine the class label of unknown record (e.g., by taking majority vote)


# Definition of Nearest Neighbor



(a) 1-nearest neighbor



(b) 2-nearest neighbor




(c) 3-nearest neighbor

K-nearest neighbors of a record  $x$  are data points that have the  $k$  smallest distance to  $x$

# 1 nearest-neighbor

## Voronoi Diagram





# Nearest Neighbor Classification

---


- Compute distance between two points:
  - Euclidean distance

$$d(p, q) = \sqrt{\sum_i (p_i - q_i)^2}$$

- Determine the class from nearest neighbor list
  - take the majority vote of class labels among the k-nearest neighbors
  - Weigh the vote according to distance
    - ◆ weight factor,  $w = 1/d^2$

# Nearest Neighbor Classification...

- Choosing the value of  $k$ :
  - If  $k$  is too small, sensitive to noise points
  - If  $k$  is too large, neighborhood may include points from other classes



# Nearest Neighbor Classification...

---

## □ Scaling issues

- Attributes may have to be scaled to prevent distance measures from being dominated by one of the attributes
- Example:
  - ◆ height of a person may vary from 1.5m to 1.8m
  - ◆ weight of a person may vary from 90lb to 300lb
  - ◆ income of a person may vary from \$10K to \$1M

# Nearest Neighbor Classification...

- Problem with Euclidean measure:
  - High dimensional data
    - ◆ **curse of dimensionality**
  - Can produce counter-intuitive results

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

VS

1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

$d = 1.4142$

$d = 1.4142$

- ◆ Solution: Normalize the vectors to unit length

# Nearest neighbor Classification...

---

- k-NN classifiers are lazy learners
  - It does not build models explicitly
  - Unlike eager learners such as decision tree induction and rule-based systems
  - Classifying unknown records are relatively expensive

# Example: PEBLS

---

- PEBLS: Parallel Exemplar-Based Learning System (Cost & Salzberg)
  - Works with both continuous and nominal features
    - ◆ For nominal features, distance between two nominal values is computed using modified value difference metric (MVDM)
  - Each record is assigned a weight factor
  - Number of nearest neighbor,  $k = 1$

# Example: PEBLS

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

Distance between nominal attribute values:

$d(\text{Single}, \text{Married})$

$$= |2/4 - 0/4| + |2/4 - 4/4| = 1$$

$d(\text{Single}, \text{Divorced})$

$$= |2/4 - 1/2| + |2/4 - 1/2| = 0$$

$d(\text{Married}, \text{Divorced})$

$$= |0/4 - 1/2| + |4/4 - 1/2| = 1$$

$d(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}, \text{Refund}=\text{No})$

$$= |0/3 - 3/7| + |3/3 - 4/7| = 6/7$$

Class	Marital Status		
	Single	Married	Divorced
Yes	2	0	1
No	2	4	1

Class	Refund	
	Yes	No
Yes	0	3
No	3	4

$$d(V_1, V_2) = \sum_i \left| \frac{n_{1i}}{n_1} - \frac{n_{2i}}{n_2} \right|$$

# Example: PEBLS

<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
X	Yes	Single	125K	No
Y	No	Married	100K	No

Distance between record X and record Y:

$$\Delta(X, Y) = w_X w_Y \sum_{i=1}^d d(X_i, Y_i)^2$$

where:  $w_X = \frac{\text{Number of times X is used for prediction}}{\text{Number of times X predicts correctly}}$

$w_X \cong 1$  if X makes accurate prediction most of the time

$w_X > 1$  if X is not reliable for making predictions



# Bayes Classifier

- A probabilistic framework for solving classification problems

- Conditional Probability:

$$P(C | A) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(A)}$$

$$P(A | C) = \frac{P(A, C)}{P(C)}$$

- Bayes theorem:

$$P(C | A) = \frac{P(A | C)P(C)}{P(A)}$$

# Example of Bayes Theorem

## □ Given:

- A doctor knows that meningitis causes stiff neck 50% of the time
- Prior probability of any patient having meningitis is  $1/50,000$
- Prior probability of any patient having stiff neck is  $1/20$

## □ If a patient has stiff neck, what's the probability he/she has meningitis?

$$P(M | S) = \frac{P(S | M)P(M)}{P(S)} = \frac{0.5 \times 1/50000}{1/20} = 0.0002$$

# Bayesian Classifiers

---

- Consider each attribute and class label as random variables
- Given a record with attributes  $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ 
  - Goal is to predict class  $C$
  - Specifically, we want to find the value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Can we estimate  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  directly from data?

# Bayesian Classifiers

## □ Approach:

- compute the posterior probability  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  for all values of  $C$  using the Bayes theorem

$$P(C | A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) = \frac{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n | C) P(C)}{P(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n)}$$

- Choose value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(C | A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$
- Equivalent to choosing value of  $C$  that maximizes  $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) P(C)$

## □ How to estimate $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C)$ ?

# Naïve Bayes Classifier

- Assume independence among attributes  $A_i$  when class is given:
  - $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n | C) = P(A_1 | C_j) P(A_2 | C_j) \dots P(A_n | C_j)$
  - Can estimate  $P(A_i | C_j)$  for all  $A_i$  and  $C_j$ .
  - New point is classified to  $C_j$  if  $P(C_j) \prod P(A_i | C_j)$  is maximal.

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

□ Class:  $P(C) = N_c/N$

— e.g.,  $P(\text{No}) = 7/10$ ,  
 $P(\text{Yes}) = 3/10$

□ For discrete attributes:

$$P(A_i | C_k) = |A_{ik}| / N_{C_k}$$

— where  $|A_{ik}|$  is number of instances having attribute  $A_i$  and belongs to class  $C_k$

— Examples:

$$P(\text{Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$
$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes})=0$$

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

- For continuous attributes:
  - Discretize the range into bins
    - ◆ one ordinal attribute per bin
    - ◆ violates independence assumption <sup>k</sup>
  - Two-way split:  $(A < v)$  or  $(A > v)$ 
    - ◆ choose only one of the two splits as new attribute
  - Probability density estimation:
    - ◆ Assume attribute follows a normal distribution
    - ◆ Use data to estimate parameters of distribution (e.g., mean and standard deviation)
    - ◆ Once probability distribution is known, can use it to estimate the conditional probability  $P(A_i|c)$

# How to Estimate Probabilities from Data?

<i>Tid</i>	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Evade
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

- Normal distribution:

$$P(A_i | c_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{ij}^2}} e^{-\frac{(A_i - \mu_{ij})^2}{2\sigma_{ij}^2}}$$

- One for each  $(A_i, c_i)$  pair

- For (Income, Class=No):

- If Class=No

◆ sample mean = 110

◆ sample variance = 2975

$$P(\text{Income} = 120 | \text{No}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(54.54)}} e^{-\frac{(120-110)^2}{2(2975)}} = 0.0072$$



# Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

## Given a Test Record:

$$X = (\text{Refund} = \text{No}, \text{Married}, \text{Income} = 120\text{K})$$

naive Bayes Classifier:

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{No}) = 3/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{Yes}|\text{Yes}) = 0$$

$$P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Yes}) = 1$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{No}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{No}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{No}) = 4/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Single}|\text{Yes}) = 2/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Divorced}|\text{Yes}) = 1/7$$

$$P(\text{Marital Status}=\text{Married}|\text{Yes}) = 0$$

For taxable income:

If class=No: sample mean=110

sample variance=2975

If class=Yes: sample mean=90

sample variance=25

- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{No}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
 $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{No})$   
 $= 4/7 \times 4/7 \times 0.0072 = 0.0024$
- $P(X|\text{Class}=\text{Yes}) = P(\text{Refund}=\text{No}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
 $\times P(\text{Married}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
 $\times P(\text{Income}=120\text{K}|\text{Class}=\text{Yes})$   
 $= 1 \times 0 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-9} = 0$

Since  $P(X|\text{No})P(\text{No}) > P(X|\text{Yes})P(\text{Yes})$

Therefore  $P(\text{No}|X) > P(\text{Yes}|X)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Class} = \text{No}$

# Naïve Bayes Classifier

- If one of the conditional probability is zero, then the entire expression becomes zero
- Probability estimation:

$$\text{Original : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic}}{N_c}$$

$$\text{Laplace : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + 1}{N_c + c}$$

$$\text{m - estimate : } P(A_i | C) = \frac{N_{ic} + mp}{N_c + m}$$

c: number of classes

p: prior probability

m: parameter

# Example of Naïve Bayes Classifier

Name	Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
human	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
python	no	no	no	no	non-mammals
salmon	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
whale	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
frog	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
komodo	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
bat	yes	yes	no	yes	mammals
pigeon	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
cat	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
leopard shark	yes	no	yes	no	non-mammals
turtle	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
penguin	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
porcupine	yes	no	no	yes	mammals
eel	no	no	yes	no	non-mammals
salamander	no	no	sometimes	yes	non-mammals
gila monster	no	no	no	yes	non-mammals
platypus	no	no	no	yes	mammals
owl	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals
dolphin	yes	no	yes	no	mammals
eagle	no	yes	no	yes	non-mammals

**A: attributes**

**M: mammals**

**N: non-mammals**

$$P(A | M) = \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{6}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} \times \frac{2}{7} = 0.06$$

$$P(A | N) = \frac{1}{13} \times \frac{10}{13} \times \frac{3}{13} \times \frac{4}{13} = 0.0042$$

$$P(A | M)P(M) = 0.06 \times \frac{7}{20} = 0.021$$

$$P(A | N)P(N) = 0.004 \times \frac{13}{20} = 0.0027$$

Give Birth	Can Fly	Live in Water	Have Legs	Class
yes	no	yes	no	?

$$P(A|M)P(M) > P(A|N)P(N)$$

**=> Mammals**


# Naïve Bayes (Summary)

---

- Robust to isolated noise points
- Handle missing values by ignoring the instance during probability estimate calculations
- Robust to irrelevant attributes
- Independence assumption may not hold for some attributes
  - Use other techniques such as Bayesian Belief Networks (BBN)

# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)


$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	Y
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0



Output  $Y$  is 1 if at least two of the three inputs are equal to 1.

# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$Y$
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0




$$Y = I(0.3X_1 + 0.3X_2 + 0.3X_3 - 0.4 > 0)$$

$$\text{where } I(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

- Model is an assembly of inter-connected nodes and weighted links
- Output node sums up each of its input value according to the weights of its links
- Compare output node against some threshold  $t$




## Perceptron Model

$$Y = I\left(\sum_i w_i X_i - t\right) \quad \text{or}$$

$$Y = \text{sign}\left(\sum_i w_i X_i - t\right)$$

# General Structure of ANN






# Algorithm for learning ANN

- Initialize the weights ( $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k$ )
- Adjust the weights in such a way that the output of ANN is consistent with class labels of training examples
  - Objective function:  $E = \sum_i [Y_i - f(w_i, X_i)]^2$
  - Find the weights  $w_i$ 's that minimize the above objective function
    - ◆ e.g., backpropagation algorithm (see lecture notes)


# Support Vector Machines

---




- Find a linear hyperplane (decision boundary) that will separate the data

# Support Vector Machines




□ One Possible Solution

# Support Vector Machines




□ Another possible solution

# Support Vector Machines




□ Other possible solutions

# Support Vector Machines




- Which one is better?  $B_1$  or  $B_2$ ?
- How do you define better?

# Support Vector Machines



- Find hyperplane **maximizes** the margin  $\Rightarrow$  B1 is better than B2

# Support Vector Machines



$$f(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x} + b \geq 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x} + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Margin} = \frac{2}{\|\vec{w}\|^2}$$



# Support Vector Machines


- We want to maximize:  $\text{Margin} = \frac{2}{\|\vec{w}\|^2}$ 
  - Which is equivalent to minimizing:  $L(w) = \frac{\|\vec{w}\|^2}{2}$
  - But subjected to the following constraints:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \geq 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

- ◆ This is a constrained optimization problem
  - Numerical approaches to solve it (e.g., quadratic programming)

# Support Vector Machines

- What if the problem is not linearly separable?



# Support Vector Machines

- What if the problem is not linearly separable?
  - Introduce slack variables


◆ Need to minimize: 
$$L(w) = \frac{\|\vec{w}\|^2}{2} + C \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^k \right)$$

◆ Subject to:

$$f(\vec{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ -1 & \text{if } \vec{w} \bullet \vec{x}_i + b \leq -1 + \xi_i \end{cases}$$


# Nonlinear Support Vector Machines

- What if decision boundary is not linear?



# Nonlinear Support Vector Machines

- Transform data into higher dimensional space




# Ensemble Methods

---

- Construct a set of classifiers from the training data
- Predict class label of previously unseen records by aggregating predictions made by multiple classifiers

# General Idea



# Why does it work?

- Suppose there are 25 base classifiers
  - Each classifier has error rate,  $\varepsilon = 0.35$
  - Assume classifiers are independent
  - Probability that the ensemble classifier makes a wrong prediction:

$$\sum_{i=13}^{25} \binom{25}{i} \varepsilon^i (1 - \varepsilon)^{25-i} = 0.06$$



# Examples of Ensemble Methods

---

- How to generate an ensemble of classifiers?
  - Bagging
  - Boosting

# Bagging

## □ Sampling with replacement

Original Data	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Bagging (Round 1)	7	8	10	8	2	5	10	10	5	9
Bagging (Round 2)	1	4	9	1	2	3	2	7	3	2
Bagging (Round 3)	1	8	5	10	5	5	9	6	3	7

## □ Build classifier on each bootstrap sample

## □ Each sample has probability $(1 - 1/n)^n$ of being selected

# Boosting

---

- An iterative procedure to adaptively change distribution of training data by focusing more on previously misclassified records
  - Initially, all  $N$  records are assigned equal weights
  - Unlike bagging, weights may change at the end of boosting round

# Boosting

- Records that are wrongly classified will have their weights increased
- Records that are classified correctly will have their weights decreased

Original Data	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Boosting (Round 1)	7	3	2	8	7	9	4	10	6	3
Boosting (Round 2)	5	4	9	4	2	5	1	7	4	2
Boosting (Round 3)	4	4	8	10	4	5	4	6	3	4

- Example 4 is hard to classify
- Its weight is increased, therefore it is more likely to be chosen again in subsequent rounds

# Example: AdaBoost


□ Base classifiers:  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_T$

□ Error rate:

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N w_j \delta(C_i(x_j) \neq y_j)$$

□ Importance of a classifier:

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} \right)$$



# Example: AdaBoost

- Weight update:


$$w_i^{(j+1)} = \frac{w_i^{(j)}}{Z_j} \begin{cases} \exp^{-\alpha_j} & \text{if } C_j(x_i) = y_i \\ \exp^{\alpha_j} & \text{if } C_j(x_i) \neq y_i \end{cases}$$

where  $Z_j$  is the normalization factor

- If any intermediate rounds produce error rate higher than 50%, the weights are reverted back to  $1/n$  and the resampling procedure is repeated
- Classification:

$$C^*(x) = \arg \max_y \sum_{j=1}^T \alpha_j \delta(C_j(x) = y)$$

# Illustrating AdaBoost



# Illustrating AdaBoost

