1 Ribosome accumulation during early phase resistance training

- 2 Daniel Hammarström^{1,2,£}*,Sjur J. Øfsteng^{2,£}, Nicolai B. Jacobsen¹, Krister B.
- Flobergseter¹, Bent R. Rønnestad¹, Stian Ellefsen^{1,3}
- ⁴ Section for Health and Exercise Physiology, Department of Public Health and Sport
- 5 Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Lillehammer, Norway.
- ² Swedish School of Sport and Health Sciences, Stockholm, Sweden.
- ³ Innlandet Hospital Trust, Lillehammer, Norway.
- 9 * Correspondance

- Daniel Hammarström, Section for Health and Exercise Physiology, Department of Public
- Health and Sport Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Lillehammer,
- Norway. Email: daniel.hammarstrom@inn.no
- Running title: Ribosome biogenesis in early phase resistance training

Abstract

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Increased ribosomal density is a phenomenon observed in response to resistance training (RT) supporting elevated. A time course of RT-induced accumulation of ribosomes has not been described. We mapped markers of ribosomal biogenesis (total RNA, ribosomal RNA (rRNA), ribosomal protein S6 (rpS6), and upstream binding factor (UBF) protein content) in response to twelve RT sessions. Additionally, we investigated the effect of fluctuations in training volume on these markers and the relationship between RNA accumulation and muscle growth measured as muscle thickness (ultrasound). Eighteen participants were allocated to either a training (TRAIN, n=11) or a control (CTRL, n=7) group. In TRAIN, participants performed unilateral knee extension with either constant (CONST, 6 sets) or a variable volume (VAR, 6, 3, and 9 sets in sessions 1-4, 5-8, and 9-12, respectively). Muscle biopsies were sampled from m vastus lateralis in TRAIN before and 48 hrs after the first session and 48 hrs after sessions 4, 5, 8, 9, and 12, and after eight days of de-training. Control biopsies were sampled in CTRL at baseline and after 48 hrs and 3-5 weeks. RT led to muscle growth in TRAIN compared to CTRL and concomitant increases in total RNA, rRNA, including precursor rRNA as well as UBF and rpS6 protein through gradual increase throughout the training period, confirmed in comparison to CTRL. Total RNA increased in a curve-linear fashion, most rapidly in response to the first four sessions (8.6%, 95%) CI: [5.5, 11.7] per session), followed by a plateau and peak values (49.5% [34.2, 66.5] above baseline) after Session 8. UBF protein levels explained total RNA levels after controlling for time, and increases in total RNA levels predicted RT-induced muscle hypertrophy. After de-training, total RNA and specific rRNA species decreased without muscle mass changes indicating reduced concentrations and biosynthesis of ribosomes. These results underline a determinant role for ribosomal biogenesis in RT-induced muscle hypertrophy and that ribosomal biogenesis is sensitive to training cessation.

Keywords: Resistance training, ribosome biogenesis

Introduction

- Skeletal muscle is a critical target for interventions that promotes health across the lifespan
- (Wolfe, 2006), with resistance training (RT) being the advocated remedy.
- 46 Prolonged RT leads to changes in the balance between muscle protein breakdown and
- 47 synthesis, with one bout of resistance exercise acutely increasing protein synthesis for up to
- 48 hrs after exercise (Phillips *et al.*, 1997), with subsequent repeated bouts leading to
- accumulation of muscle protein over time (Phillips, 2014; Brook et al., 2015). In recent years,
- 50 this view has been supplemented by evidence suggesting that chronic RT leads to increased
- resting synthetic rate of muscle protein (Kim et al., 2005; Wilkinson et al., 2008; Reidy et al.,
- 52 2017), which has been postulated to be associated with increased translational capacity,
- i.e. accumulation of ribosomes (Reidy et al., 2017; Figueiredo & McCarthy, 2017). This
- notion is supported by exercise-induced increases in total RNA, a proxy marker of ribosome
- abundance, which is closely connected to protein synthesis (West et al., 2016; Millward et al.,
- 56 1973) and muscle hypertrophy (Figueiredo et al., 2015; Stec et al., 2016; Hammarström et al.,
- 57 2020). Conversely, inhibition of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) transcription and inhibition of its
- up-stream transcription factors act to diminish muscle cell growth (Stec et al., 2016; West et
- 59 al., 2016; Walden et al., 2016).
- Biosynthesis of novel ribosomes is a complex, highly coordinated and energy demanding
- process that involves synthesis of both ribosomal proteins and the four mature rRNA
- transcripts (Warner, 1999; Moss et al., 2007; Walden et al., 2016). Ribosomal accumulation is
- believed to be determined by the rates of pre-rRNA transcription by RNA polymerase I (Pol
- I), which in turn is regulated by coordinated assembly of a complex of transcription factors at
- 65 the rDNA promoter (Moss et al., 2007). Specifically, activation of the of the upstream binding
- factor (UBF) through phosphorylation is needed to initiate transcription (Tuan *et al.*, 1999;
- 67 Lin et al., 2007). Such activation is at least partly controlled by the mechanosensitive mTOR
- 68 pathway, with its inhibition being associated with blocked UBF phosphorylation and
- subsequent rRNA transcription (Nader et al., 2005; Hannan et al., 2003). Interestingly the
- availability of UBF per se has been shown to be a determinant of rRNA transcription (Hannan
- et al., 1996) through control of rDNA gene activity (Sanij et al., 2008).
- Resistance exercise is a potent stimuli for rRNA transcription as a single session leads to
- 73 increases in pre-rRNA (Stec et al., 2015; Nader et al., 2014) and repeated bouts lead to
- accumulation of mature rRNA thus also total RNA and presumably functional ribosomes

(Stec et al., 2015, 2016; Bickel et al., 2005; Hammarström et al., 2020; Figueiredo et al., 2015; Brook et al., 2016; Reidy et al., 2017). However, the true time course of ribosomal transcription and accumulation in response to RT remains largely unstudied, with a mere few studies having investigated exercise-induced changes in rRNA over multiple time-points, all of which are either limited to a selected few time-points or a limited time frame. For example, two consecutive bouts of electrically evoked muscle contractions were associated with increased levels of total RNA, with peak values being observed 72 hrs after the second bout (Bickel et al., 2005). Using voluntary contractions, peak values were reported after nine sessions, followed by a slight decrease to after 18 sessions (Brook et al., 2016), resembling data from our lab where five sessions of RT led to marked increase in total RNA levels (perunit muscle tissue), whereby a numerical lowering occurred to after the last training session of the 12 wk interventions (31 sessions). (Hammarström et al., 2020). Interestingly, during the initial phase of RT, total RNA accumulation seems to be volume-dependent, as three sets per exercise in leg exercises led to augmented total RNA and rRNA levels compared to one set per exercise, coinciding with the differences in muscle hypertrophy seen after 12 weeks of RT. (Hammarström et al., 2020). These data suggest that ribosome accumulation reaches a plateau in the early phase of RT and that increases are sensitive to training volume in constant volume protocols. Based on these observations we hypothesize that (1) ribosome accumulation occurs during the early phase (3-4 weeks) of RT, within which this accumulation (2) reaches a plateau when RT volume is kept constant, (3) displays fluctuations in response to fluctuating training volume

Methods

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

Study overview

Eighteen volunteers were recruited to the study. Eligible participants were non-smokers between 18 and 35 years of age with a training history of less than one RT session per week during the six months leading up to the study. Exclusion criteria were consumption of dietary supplements or medication with known effects on muscle metabolism, injuries causing impaired strength and/or affecting their ability to perform RT, symptoms or history of disease, and known adverse reactions to local anesthetics. Participants were allocated to either an training group (TRAIN, n = 11) or a non-training control group (CTRL, n = 8; see Table 1 for

and (4) is partially reversed to one week after cessation of RT. In addition to addressing these

hypotheses we aimed to relate RNA accumulation to total UBF levels and muscle growth.

participant characteristics; see Figure 1A for overview of the intervention). TRAIN performed a 12 session RT protocol lasting for 3-4 weeks, consisting of 10 repetition maximum (RM) unilateral knee-extension, with the two legs conducting RT with different volume profiles, allowing within-participant comparison of the effects of volume regimes. In TRAIN, one leg conducted RT with constant volume throughout the intervention (CONST, 6 sets per session) and the other leg performed RT with variable volume (VAR, 3 blocks of four sessions with 6, 3 and 9 sets per session, respectively; Figure 1A). CTRL did not partake in RT and were instructed to continue their everyday activities. Muscle biopsies were sampled bilaterally in TRAIN before and 48 hrs after the first session, as well as 48 hrs after the fourth, fifth, eight, ninth and twelfth session, and after a seven days of de-training. Muscle biopsies were obtained from CTRL at three occasions; at baseline and 48 hrs and 3-5 weeks (average (SD) 3.6 (0.7)) after the first sampling event. TRAIN and CTRL performed strength assessments > seven days prior to the first biopsy sampling (TRAIN; CTRL), 72 hrs after the twelfth session (TRAIN) and 24 h after the last biopsy (TRAIN, following de-training; CTRL). Appendicular lean mass (Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, DXA) and muscle thickness of m. vastus lateralis were assessed prior to the first biopsy (TRAIN and CTRL) as wells as before the second to last (TRAIN) and last (TRAIN and CTRL) biopsy.

RT protocol

Prior to all RT sessions, participants performed a standardized warm-up consisting of 5 min ergometer cycling (rating of perceived exertion (RPE): 12-14), followed by ten repetitions of push-ups, sit-ups and back-extensions. After warm-up, participants performed unilateral knee-extension with the prescribed number of sets. Each set was prescribed with 10 repetitions maximum (RM). When sets were completed with either fewer (8) or more (12) repetitions, the resistance was adjusted accordingly. Inter-set rest periods were 90 sec. Throughout the intervention RT sessions were alternatingly initiated by training the right and left leg, changing every other session, with the contralateral leg being trained in the rest period between sets of the first leg. The second session of each four-session block (session 2, 6 and 10) was performed at a sub-maximal resistance (~90% of the previous session) with the same number of repetitions (10). Within each session, participants also conducted two sets of three upper-body exercises (bench press, lateral pull-down and shoulder press; 10RM). After completion of each session participants were given a standardized drink to aid recovery (0.15 g kg-1 protein, 11.2 g kg-1 carbohydrates and 0.5 g kg-1 fat).

139 Muscle strength, body composition and muscle thickness assessments 140 Muscle strength was assessed as maximal voluntary isokinetic (90° sec-1) and isometric (60° angle, fully extended leg 0°) knee extension torque. After a brief warm-up (5-min cycling, 141 142 RPE 12-14), participants were seated and secured in the individually adjusted dynamometer. 143 Participants were instructed to gradually increase their effort during three warm-up repetitions (50, 60 and, 70% of subjective maximal effort). After a 30-sec restitution period participants 144 145 were instructed to perform three repetitions with maximal effort in the concentric phase. Sixty 146 seconds after the isokinetic test the lever automatically moved to a 60° angle and participants were instructed to push against the lever enough to see feedback from the visual feedback 147 148 system. After an additional 15-sec restitution period, participants were instructed to push against the lever with maximal effort. Within the same assessment session, participants 149 150 remained seated in the dynamometer for measurement performed on both legs. The first 151 measurement was alternated between legs every other session. For statistical treatment of the 152 data, all successful attempts were used. The last strength assessment at baseline was 153 performed at least seven days prior to the first biopsy sampling. At least one of the baseline 154 strength tests was performed on separate day with two sessions allowed to be perform on the same day with a short rest between assessments. Post training assessments were performed 48 155 156 hours and eight days after the last session. 157 For determination of body composition participants were scanned using DXA (Lunar Prodigy densitometer, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA) with the standard scanning mode (13-25 158 cm). Participants were lying supine within the scanning bed reference lines, with a strap 159 160 secured around the ankles to ensure a standardized body position in each scan. The scans were 161 conducted with participants in a fasted state between 07.00-10.00 AM, with empty bladder 162 and wearing only under-wear. Prior to each scan, a phantom scan was run to prevent baseline 163 drifting from affecting analyses. The same technician was used at each time point. Analyses 164 was performed using GE enCORE version 17.0 software (GE Healthcare). Region of interest 165 was customized for covering upper thigh, marked with a sqaure from pubic symphysis to 166 lateral part of tuberculum major, and distal to art. genu. 167 Muscle thickness (MT) was measured using a B-mode ultra sound unit (SmartUS EXT-1M, 168 Telemed, Vilnius, Lithuania). Participants lay supine in a relaxed position for 20 min before 169 assessments, with their feet strapped in a standardized position. A mark was set on the line 170 60% of the distance between Spinia Iliac Anterior Superior and the lateral femur condyle. MT 171 of m.vastus lateralis was measured applying a water-soluble transmission gel (Aquasonic 100

Ultrasound Transmission Gel; Parker Laboratories Inc., Fairfield, NJ, USA), and a 39 mm 12 172 173 MHz ultrasound probe was placed perpendicular to the site of interest without pressing the 174 skin. When the quality of the image was satisfactory, evident as distinct upper and lower 175 muscle fascia, three images were captured, where the probe was relocated to the same 176 position between each image. Position of the probe was marked on the skin and subsequently 177 marked on a transparent paper to ensure similar probe placement for both the right and left m.vastus lateralis at subsequent assessments. Analyses were done in ImageJ Fiji (Schindelin 178 179 et al., 2012) with images cropped and coded to ensure blinding of the assessor. Muscle biopsy sampling 180 181 Muscle specimens were sampled bilaterally from m. vastus laterlis under local anesthesia 182 (Lidokain 10 mg ml-1, Mylan, Mylan Ireland Limited, Dublin, Ireland) using a disposable 183 needle (12-14 gauge, Universal plus, Medax, Poggio Rusco, Italy), operated with a spring 184 loaded device (Bard Magnum, Bard Norway, Rud, Norway). Two to four passes were made to get sufficient material. One to two aliquots of the samples were quickly dissected free from 185 connective and fat tissue, weighed and frozen in isopentan chilled to -80°C and stored at -186 187 80°C until further processing. 188 RNA and protein extraction 189 Frozen muscle tissue was homogenized in 1 ml of Trizol (ThermoFisher Scientific, Oslo, 190 Norway) spiked with 0.04 ng of an external, non-mammalian, RNA spike-in (Lambda PolyA External Standard Kit, Takara Bio Europe, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). Mechanical 191 disruption of the samples was achieved using Zirconium Oxide Beads (0.5 mm, Next 192 Advance, Inc., New York, USA) and a bead mill (Bullet blender, Next Advance). Chloroform 193 (200 µl) was added prior to centrifugation (12000 g, 15 min at 4°C) to achieve phase 194 separation. Four hundred fifty µl of the upper aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh tube 195 196 and 500 µl of isopropanol was added to precipitate the RNA. After a 10 min incubation at 197 room temperature, samples were centrifuged (12000 g, 10 min at 4°C), after which a pellet 198 formed. The pellet was washed three times in chilled 75% ethanol with centrifugation 199 between each wash (7500 g, 5 min at 4°C). After the final wash all ethanol was removed and 200 the pellet was eluted in 0.1X Tris-EDTA buffer. RNA concentration and purity was assessed by spectrophotometry. All samples had 260/280 ratios > 1.95. 201 202 Protein was extracted from Trizol preparations according to manufacturers instructions and (Kopec et al., 2017) with modifications. The remaining aqueous phase was removed and 203

204	DNA was precipitated by the addition of 300 µl of absolute ethanol followed by gentle
205	centrifugation (2000 g, 5 min at room temperature). An aliquot of the phenol-ethanol phase,
206	corresponding to ~1.75 mg of tissue, was transferred to to a fresh tube. After addition of at
207	least two volumes of isopropanol and incubation (10 min at room temperature), samples were
208	centrifuged (7500 g, 10 min 4°C) and a pellet formed. The pellet was washed three times in
209	95% ethanol with each wash separated by centrifugation (5000g, 5 min at room temperature).
210	After the last wash all liquid was removed and 45 µl of Kopec buffer (Kopec et al., 2017) was
211	added (5% SDS, 10 mM Tris, 140 mM NaCl and 20 mM EDTA, pH 8; containing protease
212	and phosphatase inhibitors). Pellets were incubated at 50°C for three hours after which the
213	majority of samples were dissolved. Any undissolved material was sedimented by
214	centrifugation (10000 g, 10 min at room temperature). Protein concentrations were measured
215	(Pierce Detergent Compatible Bradford Assay, ThermoFisher Scientific). Sample were
216	normalized in 4X Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad Norway AS, Oslo, Norway), boiled (95°C, 5 min)
217	and stored at -20°C before later use.
218	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)
219	Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized in technical duplicates from 500 ng of total
220	RNA using random hexemer and anchored Oligo-dT primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
221	together with Superscript IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer's
222	instruction. qPCR reactions were performed with diluted cDNA (2 µl, 1:25 dilution), a
223	SYBR-green based commercial master mix (PowerUp TM SYBR TM Green Master Mix,
224	Thermo Fisher) and, target-specific primers (500 nM) in 10 µl reaction volumes using a real-
225	time detection system (QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
226	Fast cycling was used (1 sec denaturing, 30 sec annealing) after UNG (2 min, 50°C) and
227	polymerase (2 min, 95°C) activation. Melt curves were collected from all reactions to confirm
228	single product amplification. Primers were further evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis
229	which confirmed primer sizes and non-template control experiments confirming no
230	amplification without template. Primer sequences and their respective average performances
231	are shown in Table 2.
232	Raw fluorescence data was exported from the QuantStudio software and estimates of
233	quantification cycle (Cq) and amplification efficiency was derived for each reaction using the
234	qpcR package(Ritz & Spiess, 2008).

Immunoblotting

235

236 Protein samples (20 µg) were separated on 4-20% Tris-Glycin gels (Criterion TGX Precast 237 Gels, Bio-Rad) at 250 V for 45 min using the recommended running buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 238 mM Glycin, 0.1% SDS). All samples from the same participant were run on the same gel and 239 all samples were run in at least duplicates. Separated samples were transferred to μm 240 PVDF membranes (Immun-Blot, Bio-Rad) using wet transfer (25 mM Tris, 192 Glycin, 10% 241 vol/vol methanol) at a constant voltage of 300 mA for 3 h. Membranes were then stained to 242 confirm transfer and enable total protein quantification using a reversible protein stain (Pierce 243 Reversible Protein Stain, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primary antibodies were acquired to 244 detect UBF (F-9, sc-13125, Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, Texas, USA) and ribosomal protein S6 (54D2, #2317, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). After blocking 245 246 (Tris-buffered saline blocking buffer, 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 5% fat-free milk, 0.1% 247 Tween-20), membranes were incubated over-night with primary antibodies diluted in 248 blocking buffer (UBF, 1:200; S6, 1:1000) followed by incubation with a secondary antibody 249 conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Anti-mouse IgG, #7076, Cell Signaling Technology, 250 1:10000). Membranes were washed 6×5 min after incubation with primary antibodies and 8 251 × 5 min after incubation with the secondary antibody. All incubation and washing steps were 252 performed at 4°C using an automatic membrane processor (BlotCycler, Precision Biosystems, 253 Mansfield, MA, USA). Chemiluminescent signals from membranes were detected after 5 min 254 incubation in substrate (Super Signal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate, Thermo 255 Fisher Scientific) using a documentation system. Total protein content was quantified from 256 whole membrane images and defined as the mean gray value of the whole lane. Between-lane 257 gray values were used as background subtracted from protein values. Total protein 258 quantification was done using ImageJ Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Chemiluminescence 259 signals were quantified using Image Studio Lite (LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE, USA). 260

Statistics and data analysis

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

Descriptive data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). The effect of training on muscle strength, muscle thickness, UBF/rpS6 protein, total RNA and gene abundances were assessed using mixed effects regression models. Time and group (TRAIN vs. CTRL) were treated as population (fixed) effects and leg nested within participant included as group level (random) effects. These analyses were performed on data with matching time points between TRAIN and CTRL with the exeption that all post-training data from TRAIN were included (post-training and de-training). Relative interactions between groups were estimated as Δ

TRAIN - Δ CTRL. The effects of different volume conditions and general time-course 268 patterns were assessed using all pairwise observations from the TRAIN group. For protein 269 270 and total RNA data, segmented regression models were used to estimate changes over 271 sessions in three segments (session 1-4, 4-8 and 8-12; corresponding to blocks of different 272 volume prescription in TRAIN). When no robust effects of volume conditions were detected, 273 group averages are presented. Segmented models were fitted with time and volume condition 274 as population effects and legs nested within participants as group level effects. 275 Muscle strength, muscle thickness, protein and total RNA data was modeled after log 276 transformation. Gene abundance data were fitted with number of sessions as a categorical 277 variable in comparisons of volume conditions, and Cq values converted to counts as 278 suggested by Matz et al. (2013). A Poisson-lognormal model was used to fit these count, 279 using data from all genes and including group level effects for each technical duplicate, 280 controlling for technical errors during sample preparation. An offset consisting of a 281 normalization factor proportional to the amount of muscle used to prepare cDNA was used to model gene abundance per tissue weight. The external reference gene was used to calculate 282 283 the normalization factor (External reference counts × muscle weight (mg) in each Trizol preparation). The offset was specified as a predictor with the coefficient fixed to 1. 284 285 A linear model was used to estimate the increase per session and average total RNA for every 286 leg in the TR group. These estimates were then used to estimate the effect of training-induced 287 increase per session and average total RNA abundance on muscle hypertrophy. For each leg, 288 session was used as the independent variable centered on Session 6 and log transformed RNA 289 per tissue weight as the dependent variable. Mean-centering of the independent variable was 290 done to obtain an estimate of the average RNA concentration per leg. This also assured that 291 the slope and intercept did not correlate, something that could lead to colinearity issues in 292 subsequent modeling. A mixed effects model was subsequently fitted with differences in 293 muscle thickness pre- to post-training as the dependent variable and estimated percentage per 294 session increases in total RNA, the mean total RNA scaled as standard deviations from the 295 mean and sex as independent variables. Leg nested within participant was used as group levels effects. The robustness of this model was assessed by leave-one-out analysis on the 296 297 level of individual data points in the relationship between total RNA and sessions and on the 298 level of participants (see Results).

All models were fitted using a Bayesian framework using either the brms (Bürkner, 2017) or MCMCglmm (Hadfield, 2010) package written for R (R Core Team, 2020). Inference about effects of interest was done based on point estimates and their 95% credible intervals (CI). Credible intervals not containing null effects were interpreted as robust. Models were fitted with default priors which also makes CI analogous to confidence intervals but with the interpretation being that the CI contains the true population value with the specified certainty (95%), given the data. Fitting performance was assessed by confirming convergence of at least four different chains of MCMC samples (graphically assessed and confirmed with $\hat{R} \approx$ 1). Model performance was assessed from comparing simulated data from each model to observed data graphically (posterior predictive checks).

Results

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

In TRAIN, all participants successfully completed their prescribed RT on both legs, with the two volume conditions resulting in diverging volume profiles (load × repetitions) over the 311 312 course of the study (Figure 1B). Exercise intensities (resistance at 10RM) increased similarly 313 in both conditions from the first to the second (30%, 95% CI: [21, 41]) and third (47% [35, 314 61]) training block, with each block consisting of four training sessions. Concomitantly, in 315 TRAIN, isokinetic strength and thickness of m. vastus lateralis increased from baseline to after Session 12 compared to CTRL (isokinetic strength ~ 9.2%-point difference; muscle 316 317 thickness ~ 3.6%-point difference, Figure 1C and D), a difference that was sustained to after eight days of de-training ($\sim 6.7\%$ -point and $\sim 3.5\%$ -point difference in change in isokinetic 318 319 strength and muscle thickness, respectively; Figure 1C and D). Isometric strength showed the same general pattern to after Session 12 (~ 3.5%-point difference), though with considerably 320 larger degrees of uncertainty, as indicated by wider 95% CI normalization compared to CTRL 321 322 after de-training (~ 1.9%-point; Figure 1C). No differences were observed between volume 323 conditions for either strength or muscle thickness. 324 For both rpS6 and UBF, protein levels increased linearly throughout the training intervention, 325 with rpS6 showing estimated increments per session corresponding to 4.2% [1.1, 7.3] during block 1 (session 1-4), 2.6% [-0.4, 5.6] during block 2 (session 5-8) and 4.6% [1.2, 8.1] during 326 327 block 3 (session 9-12), and UBF showing increments corresponding to 7.3% [2.1, 12.7], 4.6% [-0.4, 9.9] and 6.1% [0.3, 12.2]. This general pattern was confirmed when comparing TRAIN 328 329 to CTRL where UBF and rpS6 protein levels were higher in TRAIN compared to CTRL after 330 Session 12 and elevated after eight days of rest (Figure 2A and B), however with no

differences being observed after the first training session (48 hrs). Increases did not differ 331 332 between volume-conditions but for UBF, there was a tendency towards lower levels in VAR 333 after Session 12 (-19.7% [-42.8, 11.6]). After de-training, UBF-levels tended to decrease in 334 CONST (-22.5% [-44.4, 7.0]) while levels in VAR remained at elevated level compare to 335 after Session 12 (8.0% [-21.4, 49.6]; interaction effect: 34.4% [-14.8, 113.1]). For rpS6, de-336 training affect protein levels, which remained similar between volume conditions period and remained similar between volume conditions. At the mRNA level, UBF showed robust 337 increase from before to 48 hrs after the first session in TRAIN compared to CTRL (Figure 338 339 2D), while rpS6 showed no robust differences between TRAIN and CTRL at any time point. 340 No differences were observed between volume conditions for either transcripts (Figure 2D 341 and E). 342 A single session of RT (Session 1) led to robust increases in pre-rRNA 47S ETS and 45S ETS 343 abundance per unit tissue weight, measured as changes from baseline to 48 hrs after exercise 344 within TRAIN (Figure 3B), as well as compared to CTRL (Figure 3C). After Session 1, pre-345 rRNA 47S ETS and 45S ETS levels remained at similar levels at all measured time-points in 346 TRAIN (Figure 3D), confirmed in comparison to CTRL after Session 12 (Figure 3C). Other rRNA transcripts showed increases in response to training with slightly different temporal 347 348 patterns with exception of rRNA 5S which did not change and rRNA 5.8 which tended to follow other mature transcript spliced from pre-rRNA 45S ETS, but without statistical 349 350 robustness (Figure 3C and D). After eight days of rest, 18S and 28S remained at elevated 351 levels compared to CTRL (Figure 3B). This general pattern of rRNA expression was reflected 352 by total RNA expression per unit tissue weight, which increased robustly and steadily in 353 TRAIN throughout the initial part of the intervention (Figure 3E and G), leading to robust 354 increase compared to CTRL after Session 12 (Figure 3F), followed by decreased levels after 355 de-training (-19.2%, [-29.1, -8.1]). For both rRNA expression and total RNA levels, the 356 training-associated increases in abundances occurred predominately during the first four sessions, evident as 8.6% [5.5, 11.7] increase per session, followed by sustained levels from 357 358 sessions four to eight (1.9% [-0.9, 4.7] increase per session) and from sessions eight to twelve 359 0.0% [-3.1, 3.2], corresponding to 38.9% [23.9, 55.4], 49.5% [34.2, 66.5] and 49.5% [32.5, 360 68.6] increases from baseline to 48 hrs after session four, eight and twelve, respectively. In TRAIN, the two volume conditions led to similar changes for most variables (Figure 3D and 361 362 G), with 45S ETS abundance only showing differential expression, evident as robustly higher

363 levels in VAR compared to CONST after the 12th session (Figure 3D), coinciding with the 364 increased training volume towards the end of the intervention for this condition. 365 In TRAIN, total RNA levels were robustly predicted by UBF levels (after controlling for 366 time), with 6.3% [1.8, 11.0] increases in total RNA per unit tissue weight coninciding with one unit increase in UBF levels (corresponding to one standard deviation; Table 3). In 367 368 contrast, no evidence was found for a relationship between total RNA and rpS6 protein levels 369 (Table 3). 370 In TRAIN, there was a robust positive relationship between rates of increase in total RNA in 371 response to training and muscle growth measured as increases in m. vastus lateralis thickness (Table 4, Figure 4A), with changes in total RNA over the course of the training intervention 372 373 being estimated in each leg using a regression model containing number of sessions as the 374 independent variable. Conversely, there was a tendency towards a negative relationship 375 between average total RNA levels at Session 6 and changes in muscle thickness (Table 4, 376 Figure 4B), with the average total RNA levels estimated as the predicted value at Session 6 377 (estimated as the intercept-term) from the model used to estimate the rate of total RNA 378 increase per session. 379 To assess the robustness of the model for predicting muscle growth, individual relationships 380 between sessions and total RNA levels (Figure 4C) were recalculated after removal of single 381 data points from each participant. The model predicting muscle growth was refitted using new 382 estimates of changes in total RNA abundances and increases thereof per session. Each refitted 383 model resulted in slightly different estimates (displayed as means and 95% CI in Figure 4D). 384 No single data point influenced the r4esults in any meaningful way. Next we assessed the 385 robustness by iteratively removing one participant from the data set, similarly this showed 386 that estimates of the effect of total RNA increase on muscle growth was robust but the effect 387 of average total RNA estimates were more variable (Participant 8 and 3 in Figure 4D). **Discussion** 388 389 Here, we confirm that resistance training leads to increased abundance of markers of ribosome density, measured as total RNA, ribosomal RNA subspecies and rpS6 protein in 390 391 previously untrained individuals compared to a non-training control group. These markers 392 accumulated progressively during the initial part of the intervention before it leveled out, 393

establishing a plausible time course for changes in ribosomal concentration in response to RT

that plateaus after ~ 8 sessions. This increase in total RNA was interconnected with increases 394 395 in UBF protein abundance, suggesting UBF levels per se to play a role in regulation of rRNA 396 transcription regulation in response to RT. Total RNA increases was not affected by weekly 397 fluctuations in training volume, however, eight days of de-training led to lowered levels of 398 total RNA and rRNA content, suggesting that training cessation halts ribosome biogenesis. 399 Finally, individual rates of increases in total RNA abundance predicted the magnitude of 400 muscle growth, confirming the likely link between ribosomal biogenesis and muscle mass 401 accretion (West et al., 2016; Millward et al., 1973) and muscle hypertrophy (Figueiredo et al., 402 2015; Stec *et al.*, 2016; Hammarström *et al.*, 2020). 403 Total RNA seems to be a valid proxy marker of ribosomal density, as most of the RNA is 404 assumed to be ribosomal RNA (Young, 1970), which in turn is a valid marker of translational 405 capacity (Millward et al., 1973). Several studies have shown that total RNA content is altered 406 by RT (Haun et al., 2019; Haddad et al., 2005; Stec et al., 2015, 2016; Bickel et al., 2005; 407 Hammarström et al., 2020; Figueiredo et al., 2015; Brook et al., 2016; Reidy et al., 2017), as 408 was also the case in the present data set. However, the time course of total RNA/rRNA 409 changes in response to RT has so far remained speculative, with no study investigating 410 responses to prolonged interventions with multiple sampling time points. In the present data, RT led to a clear session-to-session increase in total RNA per unit tissue weight in response to 411 the first four session, whereupon the changes gradually leveled out before peaking after the 8th 412 session, with the peak increase from baseline being $\sim 50\%$, defining an accumulation phase. 413 414 This corroborates well with previous suggestions of peak values being reached within four to 415 nine sessions in young males and females (Brook et al., 2016; Hammarström et al., 2020), and may be essential for preparing muscle fibers for subsequent growth (Brook et al., 2016; 416 Figueiredo et al., 2015; Hammarström et al., 2020). After the 8th session, no meaningful 417 increase or decrease were observed for total RNA/rRNA content within the training period, 418 419 suggesting a plateau phase with attenuated net synthesis of novel ribosomes. Within this last 420 part of the intervention, synthesis of novel rRNA still seemed to be elevated per weight unit 421 muscle tissue compared to baseline, as suggested by sustained elevation of pre-rRNA 422 transcripts, coinciding with peak values of UBF protein levels. This may indicate that during 423 the plateau phase, the ribosomal concentration is balanced by muscle growth (Figueiredo & 424 McCarthy, 2019). 425 The observed rates of RNA accumulation over the entirety of the intervention were found to 426 be a determinant of changes in muscle thickness (after controlling for average total RNA

levels). Individuals with higher rates of accumulation showed larger accretion of muscle 427 428 mass. This supports the notion that ribosomal biogenesis is an important determinant of RT-429 induced muscle hypertrophy, with previous studies showing that increases in total RNA are 430 positively correlated with increases in muscle mass (Figueiredo et al., 2015; Reidy et al., 431 2017; Mobley et al., 2018), differs between individuals displaying low vs. high levels of 432 muscle hypertrophy in response to RT (Stec et al., 2016) an contribute to explain RT volume-433 dependent changes in muscle mass and strength (Hammarström et al., 2020). In addition, 434 supression of ribosomal biogenesis in in vitro models leads to halted muscle cellular growth 435 in some (Nader et al., 2005; West et al., 2016; Stec et al., 2016) but not all studies (Crossland 436 et al., 2017). Conversely, individual variation in fixed amounts of total RNA was not found to 437 determine muscle mass accretion, and higher levels of total RNA was instead associated with 438 a tendency towards lowered muscle growth. Overall, the rate of increases in ribosomal density 439 thus seems to be a better predictor of individual RT-induced changes in muscle mass than 440 absolute ribosomal density, suggesting that net increases in ribosomal biogenesis may be a 441 core determinant of RT responsiveness. Interestingly, the interaction between rRNA synthesis 442 rate and muscle mass accretion (but not between ribosomal content and muscle mass 443 accretion) may shed light on observed differences in muscular responses to RT between 444 young and old individuals. Whereas aged muscle display higher levels of total RNA at rest (Stec et al., 2015) they show reduced changes in total RNA levels in response to RT (Brook et 445 al., 2016), potentially explaining their alleged poorer overall hypertrophic responses (Brook et 446 447 al., 2016). Whether these cellular characteristics are related to e.g. differences in fiber type 448 distributions (Habets et al., 1999) remains to be determined. Together, these results and 449 perspectives emphasizes on the potentially crucial role of RT-induced ribosomal synthesis for 450 adaptations to training, making ribosomal responses to RT an interesting biomarker in relation 451 to manipulation of training loads for specific populations. 452 In the present study, training induced increases in rRNA and total RNA coincided with 453 increases in rpS6. Changes in total RNA levels and rpS6 in response to de-training did 454 however not correspond as rpS6 protein levels remained elevated after the de-training period. 455 Training induced increases in rpS6 seen in the present study are in agreement to what has 456 previously been reported in young men (Brook et al., 2015), but not in elderly men and women where a decrease was observed in response to training despite increases in total RNA 457 458 and rRNA (Stec et al., 2016). Although increases were seen in both rpS6 and and total RNA, 459 rpS6 did not explain variations in total RNA when number of sessions were controlled for.

Together with a disconnect after the de-training period, this suggest that regulations of rpS6 460 461 expression and ribosomal RNA transcription displays different temporal characteristics in 462 response to RT. Additionally, ribosomal proteins may have extra-ribosomal functions affecting their expression (Warner & McIntosh, 2009). 463 464 UBF levels robustly explained total RNA levels over the entire course of the intervention. 465 These analyses were done with the number of sessions accounted for, allowing unbiased 466 estimates. Unrealistically strong relationships could have been otherwise expected as both the dependent variable (total RNA) and the covariate (UBF levels) varies with the number of 467 468 sessions. From a mechanistic perspective, UBF is an important transcription factor for rDNA 469 transcription as it, in its active state recruits a secondary transcription factor (SL1) to the 470 rDNA promoter and enables transcription by RNA Pol I (Lin et al., 2007). Activation of UBF 471 is controlled by the mechanosensitive mTOR pathway, and rapamycin, a specific mTOR 472 inhibitor, blocks UBF from recruiting SL1 and subsequent rRNA transcription (Nader et al., 473 2005; Hannan et al., 2003). Evidence from human exercise studies confirms training-induced 474 activation of UBF through phosphorylation (Figueiredo et al., 2016, 2015). In addition to 475 exercise-induced activation of UBF, mechanical loading also leads to increased levels of total 476 UBF (Figueiredo et al., 2016, 2015). Increases in UBF was determined to be rapamycin 477 insensitive after synergist ablation in mice (Goodman et al., 2011) pointing to an effect observed in cell models where c-Myc induces UBF mRNA transcription (Poortinga et al., 478 479 2004). Interestingly the availability of UBF per se has been shown to regulate rRNA 480 transcription (Hannan et al., 1996) through control of rDNA gene activity (Sanij et al., 2008). 481 Together with our observations, this underlines the importance of UBF as a regulator of RTinduced ribosomal biogenesis. 482 483 After eight days of de-training, total RNA and rRNA levels per weight unit muscle tissue returned toward baseline levels, though without concomitant reversal of muscle thickness, 484 485 which remained at elevate levels. This was likely caused by attenuated rRNA transcription, a notion that was supported by reversal of pre-rRNA abundances and possibly by lowered UBF 486 487 protein levels, though this was not confirmed as statistically robust. This supports the idea that ribosomal biogenesis is a cellular activity on demand, possibly relating to its relative expense 488 489 (Warner, 1999) also in muscle tissue. Based on this notion, and the fact that RT volume is 490 known to be a potent modulator of molecular mechanisms determining protein synthesis and ribosomal biogenesis including induction of c-Myc expression, mTOR activation 491 (Hammarström et al., 2020; Ahtiainen et al., 2015; Burd et al., 2010), subsequent total RNA 492

increases (Hammarström et al., 2020) and post exercise protein synthesis (Burd et al., 2010) and subsequent training outcomes (Schoenfeld et al., 2016; Hammarström et al., 2020), we hypothesized that fluctuations in training volume would be reflected in markers of ribosomal biogenesis. When comparing VAR to CONST in the present study we found only one part of the pre-rRNA, 45S ETS, to be differentially expressed and only so after Session 12 in favor of VAR together with a tendency towards rescued UBF levels after de-training in response to increased volume in the VAR but not CONST protocol. These observations do not give support to a clear effect of fluctuations in training volume on total RNA levels or rRNA expression within a relatively short and training-intensive intervention, though it should be noted that the time point with increased 45S ETS expression was preceded by a period of increased training volume, suggesting a potential interaction between time and volume. Indeed, both training protocols utilized in the present study increased muscle strength and induced muscle hypertrophy to a similar degree. From a general perspective, albeit volume is an important determinant of increases in muscle strength and mass (Schoenfeld et al., 2016; Ralston et al., 2017), differences in organization of training loads is likely of minor importance when training volumes are equated over time (Grgic et al., 2017). It is important to note that RT in the current study was performed with the same volume in the first four sessions, something that could have been more than enough to maximize rRNA transcription in previously untrained individuals. This is supported by the observation that pre-rRNA increased rapidly initially in both protocols with minimal changes in response to subsequent sessions, regardless of exercise volume. The CONST protocol in the present study corresponded to volumes used in the moderate volume condition in a previous study from our lab (three sets in two exercises activating knee extensor muscles) (Hammarström et al., 2020). There, higher levels of total RNA were observed after four sessions in the moderate compared to a low volume protocol (Hammarström et al., 2020). Interestingly, using a progressive volume protocol in well-trained participants, increases in total RNA have been reported throughout six weeks of training (Haun et al., 2019). Altough this observation was done in well-trained participants performing a high volume protocol without a control condition with constant volume, compared to constant volume protocols [Brook et al. (2016); Hammarström et al. (2020); and the present study], progressive volume may thus increase ribosomal abundance to a higher degree and provide a measure to avoid the plateau phase seen in the present study.

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

- In conclusion, RT-induced ribosome accumulation reached peak values in the initial phase of
- RT (8 sessions) and was interconnected with increases in UBF protein levels. The rate of total
- 527 RNA accumulation predicted RT-induced muscle hypertrophy. Fluctuations in training
- volume did not transfer to fluctuations in ribosomal biogenesis, but training cessation led to
- 529 decreased ribosomal content.

References

- Ahtiainen JP, Walker S, Silvennoinen M, Kyrolainen H, Nindl BC, Hakkinen K, Nyman K,
- 532 Selanne H & Hulmi JJ (2015). Exercise type and volume alter signaling pathways regulating
- skeletal muscle glucose uptake and protein synthesis. *Eur J Appl Physiol* **115**, 1835–1845.
- Bickel CS, Slade J, Mahoney E, Haddad F, Dudley GA & Adams GR (2005). Time course of
- molecular responses of human skeletal muscle to acute bouts of resistance exercise. J Appl
- 536 *Physiol* (1985) **98,** 482–488.
- Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Mitchell WK, Lund JN, Phillips BE, Szewczyk NJ, Greenhaff PL,
- 538 Smith K & Atherton PJ (2016). Synchronous deficits in cumulative muscle protein synthesis
- and ribosomal biogenesis underlie age-related anabolic resistance to exercise in humans. J
- 540 *Physiol* **594,** 7399–7417.
- Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Mitchell WK, Lund JN, Szewczyk NJ, Greenhaff PL, Smith K &
- Atherton PJ (2015). Skeletal muscle hypertrophy adaptations predominate in the early stages
- of resistance exercise training, matching deuterium oxide-derived measures of muscle protein
- synthesis and mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling. FASEB J 29, 4485–4496.
- Burd NA, Holwerda AM, Selby KC, West DW, Staples AW, Cain NE, Cashaback JG, Potvin
- JR, Baker SK & Phillips SM (2010). Resistance exercise volume affects myofibrillar protein
- synthesis and anabolic signalling molecule phosphorylation in young men. J Physiol 588,
- 548 3119–3130.
- Bürkner P-C (2017). Brms: An r package for bayesian multilevel models using stan. 2017 80,
- 550 28.
- Crossland H, Timmons JA & Atherton PJ (2017). A dynamic ribosomal biogenesis response
- is not required for IGF-1-mediated hypertrophy of human primary myotubes. *Faseb j*; DOI:
- 553 10.1096/fj.201700329R.
- Figueiredo VC, Caldow MK, Massie V, Markworth JF, Cameron-Smith D & Blazevich AJ
- 555 (2015). Ribosome biogenesis adaptation in resistance training-induced human skeletal muscle
- hypertrophy. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **309**, E72–83.
- Figueiredo VC & McCarthy JJ (2017). The role of ribosome biogenesis in skeletal muscle
- hypertrophy. In *The plasticity of skeletal muscle*, ed. Sakuma K, pp. 141–153. Springer
- Singapore, Singapore. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3292-9_6.
- Figueiredo VC & McCarthy JJ (2019). Regulation of ribosome biogenesis in skeletal muscle
- 561 hypertrophy. *Physiology (Bethesda)* **34,** 30–42.

- Figueiredo VC, Roberts LA, Markworth JF, Barnett MP, Coombes JS, Raastad T, Peake JM
- & Cameron-Smith D (2016). Impact of resistance exercise on ribosome biogenesis is acutely
- regulated by post-exercise recovery strategies. *Physiol Rep*; DOI: 10.14814/phy2.12670.
- Goodman CA, Frey JW, Mabrey DM, Jacobs BL, Lincoln HC, You JS & Hornberger TA
- 566 (2011). The role of skeletal muscle mTOR in the regulation of mechanical load-induced
- 567 growth. *J Physiol* **589**, 5485–5501.
- Grgic J, Mikulic P, Podnar H & Pedisic Z (2017). Effects of linear and daily undulating
- periodized resistance training programs on measures of muscle hypertrophy: A systematic
- review and meta-analysis. *PeerJ* **5**, e3695–e3695.
- Habets PE, Franco D, Ruijter JM, Sargeant AJ, Pereira JA & Moorman AF (1999). RNA
- content differs in slow and fast muscle fibers: Implications for interpretation of changes in
- muscle gene expression. J Histochem Cytochem 47, 995–1004.
- Haddad F, Baldwin KM & Tesch PA (2005). Pretranslational markers of contractile protein
- expression in human skeletal muscle: Effect of limb unloading plus resistance exercise. *J Appl*
- 576 *Physiol* (1985) **98,** 46–52.
- Hadfield JD (2010). MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models:
- The MCMCglmm r package. *Journal of Statistical Software*; Vol 1, Issue 2 (2010). Available
- at: https://www.jstatsoft.org/v033/i02 http://dx.doi.org/10.18637/jss.v033.i02.
- Hammarström D, Øfsteng S, Koll L, Hanestadhaugen M, Hollan I, Apró W, Whist JE,
- Blomstrand E, Rønnestad BR & Ellefsen S (2020). Benefits of higher resistance-training
- volume are related to ribosome biogenesis. *The Journal of physiology* **598**, 543–565.
- Hannan KM, Brandenburger Y, Jenkins A, Sharkey K, Cavanaugh A, Rothblum L, Moss T,
- Poortinga G, McArthur GA, Pearson RB & Hannan RD (2003). mTOR-dependent regulation
- of ribosomal gene transcription requires S6K1 and is mediated by phosphorylation of the
- carboxy-terminal activation domain of the nucleolar transcription factor UBF. *Mol Cell Biol*
- **23,** 8862–8877.
- Hannan RD, Stefanovsky V, Taylor L, Moss T & Rothblum LI (1996). Overexpression of the
- transcription factor UBF1 is sufficient to increase ribosomal DNA transcription in neonatal
- cardiomyocytes: Implications for cardiac hypertrophy. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- of Sciences of the United States of America **93**, 8750–8755.
- Haun CT, Vann CG, Mobley CB, Osburn SC, Mumford PW, Roberson PA, Romero MA, Fox
- 593 CD, Parry HA, Kavazis AN, Moon JR, Young KC & Roberts MD (2019). Pre-training
- skeletal muscle fiber size and predominant fiber type best predict hypertrophic responses to 6
- weeks of resistance training in previously trained young men. Frontiers in Physiology; DOI:
- 596 10.3389/fphys.2019.00297.
- Kim PL, Staron RS & Phillips SM (2005). Fasted-state skeletal muscle protein synthesis after
- resistance exercise is altered with training. *J Physiol* **568**, 283–290.
- Kopec AM, Rivera PD, Lacagnina MJ, Hanamsagar R & Bilbo SD (2017). Optimized
- solubilization of TRIzol-precipitated protein permits western blotting analysis to maximize
- data available from brain tissue. *Journal of neuroscience methods* **280**, 64–76.

- 602 Lin CH, Platt MD, Ficarro SB, Hoofnagle MH, Shabanowitz J, Comai L, Hunt DF & Owens
- 603 GK (2007). Mass spectrometric identification of phosphorylation sites of rRNA transcription
- factor upstream binding factor. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 292, C1617–24.
- Matz MV, Wright RM & Scott JG (2013). No control genes required: Bayesian analysis of
- 606 qRT-PCR data. *PLoS One* **8,** e71448.
- Millward DJ, Garlick PJ, James WPT, Nnanyelugo DO & Ryatt JS (1973). Relationship
- between protein synthesis and RNA content in skeletal muscle. *Nature* **241**, 204.
- Mobley CB, Haun CT, Roberson PA, Mumford PW, Kephart WC, Romero MA, Osburn SC,
- Vann CG, Young KC, Beck DT, Martin JS, Lockwood CM & Roberts MD (2018).
- Biomarkers associated with low, moderate, and high vastus lateralis muscle hypertrophy
- following 12 weeks of resistance training. *PLoS One* **13**, e0195203.
- Moss T, Langlois F, Gagnon-Kugler T & Stefanovsky V (2007). A housekeeper with power
- of attorney: The rRNA genes in ribosome biogenesis. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **64**, 29–49.
- Nader GA, McLoughlin TJ & Esser KA (2005). mTOR function in skeletal muscle
- 616 hypertrophy: Increased ribosomal RNA via cell cycle regulators. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*
- **289,** C1457–65.
- Nader GA, Walden F von, Liu C, Lindvall J, Gutmann L, Pistilli EE & Gordon PM (2014).
- Resistance exercise training modulates acute gene expression during human skeletal muscle
- 620 hypertrophy. J Appl Physiol (1985) **116,** 693–702.
- Phillips SM (2014). A brief review of critical processes in exercise-induced muscular
- hypertrophy. Sports Med 44 Suppl 1, S71–7.
- Phillips SM, Tipton KD, Aarsland A, Wolf SE & Wolfe RR (1997). Mixed muscle protein
- 624 synthesis and breakdown after resistance exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol* **273**, E99–107.
- Poortinga G, Hannan KM, Snelling H, Walkley CR, Jenkins A, Sharkey K, Wall M,
- Brandenburger Y, Palatsides M, Pearson RB, McArthur GA & Hannan RD (2004). MAD1
- and c-MYC regulate UBF and rDNA transcription during granulocyte differentiation. *The*
- 628 EMBO Journal 23, 3325–3335.
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at: https://www.R-project.org/.
- Ralston GW, Kilgore L, Wyatt FB & Baker JS (2017). The effect of weekly set volume on
- strength gain: A meta-analysis. Sports Med 47, 2585–2601.
- Reidy PT, Borack MS, Markofski MM, Dickinson JM, Fry CS, Deer RR, Volpi E &
- Rasmussen BB (2017). Post-absorptive muscle protein turnover affects resistance training
- 635 hypertrophy. *Eur J Appl Physiol* **117,** 853–866.
- Ritz C & Spiess AN (2008). qpcR: An r package for sigmoidal model selection in quantitative
- real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 1549–1551.
- 638 Sanij E, Poortinga G, Sharkey K, Hung S, Holloway TP, Quin J, Robb E, Wong LH, Thomas
- WG, Stefanovsky V, Moss T, Rothblum L, Hannan KM, McArthur GA, Pearson RB &
- Hannan RD (2008). UBF levels determine the number of active ribosomal RNA genes in
- 641 mammals. *J Cell Biol* **183**, 1259–1274.

- 642 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
- Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak
- P & Cardona A (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
- 645 *Methods* **9,** 676–682.
- Schoenfeld BJ, Ogborn D & Krieger JW (2016). Dose-response relationship between weekly
- resistance training volume and increases in muscle mass: A systematic review and meta-
- 648 analysis. J Sports Sci1–10.
- Stec MJ, Kelly NA, Many GM, Windham ST, Tuggle SC & Bamman MM (2016). Ribosome
- biogenesis may augment resistance training-induced myofiber hypertrophy and is required for
- myotube growth in vitro. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab **310**, E652–E661.
- Stec MJ, Mayhew DL & Bamman MM (2015). The effects of age and resistance loading on
- skeletal muscle ribosome biogenesis. *J Appl Physiol* (1985)jap 00489 2015.
- Tuan JC, Zhai W & Comai L (1999). Recruitment of TATA-binding protein-TAFI complex
- SL1 to the human ribosomal DNA promoter is mediated by the carboxy-terminal activation
- domain of upstream binding factor (UBF) and is regulated by UBF phosphorylation. *Mol Cell*
- 657 *Biol* **19,** 2872–2879.
- Walden F von, Liu C, Aurigemma N & Nader GA (2016). mTOR signaling regulates
- myotube hypertrophy by modulating protein synthesis, rDNA transcription and chromatin
- remodeling. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*ajpcell 00144 2016.
- Warner JR (1999). The economics of ribosome biosynthesis in yeast. Trends Biochem Sci 24,
- 662 437–440.
- Warner JR & McIntosh KB (2009). How common are extraribosomal functions of ribosomal
- proteins? *Molecular cell* **34,** 3–11.
- West DW, Baehr LM, Marcotte GR, Chason CM, Tolento L, Gomes AV, Bodine SC & Baar
- 666 K (2016). Acute resistance exercise activates rapamycin-sensitive and -insensitive
- mechanisms that control translational activity and capacity in skeletal muscle. J Physiol **594**,
- 668 453–468.
- Wilkinson SB, Phillips SM, Atherton PJ, Patel R, Yarasheski KE, Tarnopolsky MA & Rennie
- MJ (2008). Differential effects of resistance and endurance exercise in the fed state on
- signalling molecule phosphorylation and protein synthesis in human muscle. *J Physiol* **586**,
- 672 3701–3717.
- Wolfe RR (2006). The underappreciated role of muscle in health and disease. Am J Clin Nutr
- 674 **84,** 475–482.
- Young VR (1970). CHAPTER 40 the role of skeletal and cardiac muscle in the regulation of
- protein metabolism. In *Mammalian protein metabolism*, ed. Munro HN, pp. 585–674.
- 677 Academic Press. Available at:
- 678 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780125106047500189.

Figure legends 679 680 Figure 1. Study design (A) observed training loads in response to Constant and Variable volume protocols (B) and training outcomes (muscle strength, C; muscle thickness D). 681 682 Figure 2. Protein (A and B) and mRNA abundances (D and E) of rpS6 and UBF. Black points 683 and error bars represents statistically robust results (a 95% CI not containing 0). C shows 684 western-blots and total protein stains from a representative participant. mRNA data is 685 normalized per total RNA. 686 Figure 3. Total RNA and ribosomal RNA subspecies in response to trainin. Total RNA 687 increased compared to non-training controls to post-training (12 sessions) and tended to 688 normalize after de-training (A). There were no robust differences between different volume conditions in the training group (A). B shows primer locations targeting different ribosomal 689 690 RNA subspecies. Subsets of ribosomal RNA showed robust increases compared to control 48 h after the first session and other after the training period (C). Error bars shows 95% CI. 691 692 Asterisk in C indicates robust differences between volume conditions (a 95% CI of pairwise 693 differences not containing 0). Figure 4. Predictions of muscle thickness increase based on total RNA increases (A) and total 694 695 RNA abundance (B; see Table 4). Values are averaged over values from men and women. 696 Individual plots of estimates total RNA increases over time is shown in C together with results from leave-one-out analysis (D). Leave-one-out analysis shows the effect of removing 697 a single participant (black point and error-bars) and individual values from the total RNA per 698 time estimates where green points represents bounds of the 95% CI and yellow points 699 700 represents mean estimates.