

Analysis of Human Health Predictions

This is the analysis of dataset of breast tumours .It can help in determining if the tumour is benign or malignant. Here benign refers no cancer and malignant means cancer. Benign tumour may grow large but do not spread into or invade nearby tissues or other body parts. Malignant can spread into nearby tissues and other body parts. The factors considered includes the thickness of the lamp, the number of nuclei, the rate of mitosis, diagnosis and many more.

Prediction of Breast Cancer

Using the Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) Database, we can create a classifier that can help diagnose patients and predict the likelihood of a breast cancer. A few machine learning techniques will be explored. In this exercise, Support Vector Machine is being implemented with 99% accuracy.

Data Visualization and Pre-processing

First thing to do is to enumerate the diagnosis column such that $M = 1$, $B = 0$. Then, I set the ID column to be the index of the dataframe . After all, the ID column will not be used for machine learning. From the output shown below, majority of the cases are benign (0).

Next, we visualise the data using density plots to get a sense of the data distribution. From the outputs below, you can see the data shows a general gaussian distribution.

we'll split the data into predictor variables and target variable, following by breaking them into train and test sets. We will use 20% of the data as test set.

Baseline algorithm checking

From the dataset, we will analysis and build a model to predict if a given set of symptoms lead to breast cancer. This is a binary classification problem, and a few algorithms are appropriate for use. Since we do not know which one will perform the best at the point, we will do a quick test on the few appropriate algorithms with default setting to get an early indication of how each of them perform. We will use 10 fold cross validation for each testing.

The following non-linear algorithms will be used, namely: Classification and Regression Trees (CART), Linear Support Vector Machines (SVM), Gaussian Naive Bayes (NB) **and** k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN).

From the initial run, it looks like GaussianNB, KNN and CART performed the best given the dataset (all above 92% mean accuracy). Support Vector Machine has a surprisingly bad performance here. However, if we standardise the input dataset, it's performance should improve.

Evaluation of algorithm on Standardised Data

The performance of the few machine learning algorithm could be improved if a standardised dataset is being used. The improvement is likely for all the models. I will use pipelines that standardize the data and build the model for each fold in the cross-validation test harness. That way we can get a fair estimation of how each model with standardized data might perform on unseen data.

We can see the most accurate configuration was SVM with an **RBF** kernel and **C=1.5**, with the accuracy of **96.92%**.

We can see that we achieve an accuracy of 99.1% on the held-out test dataset. From the confusion matrix, there is only 1 case of mis-classification. The performance of this algorithm is expected to be high given the symptoms for breast cancer should exhibit certain clear patterns.