



Sprint 5 Team Report

Team: 105

Repo URL: <https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500/team-105-SP19>

Reviewer: Michael Weintraub

Score: 92.3

Comments

Overall, solid presentation. The slides are good and the team added value to each one (instead of just reading the slides).

The organization could be improved. The Major Highlights section featured all the base functionality. The major highlights should be project highlights, meaning what did the team accomplish that stands out. To me, this should be the team accomplished X features, Y quality levels, on Z schedule. One point that was confusing is the slides titled "why use our product?" This strikes me as the product owner's job, and not development's. Moreover, this list includes all the advanced features, which one might have thought were the actual highlights. Meaning, that the team accomplished the base feature set, the me-too work, but delivered these additional, unique features. Also, a minor thing, but there seems to be a bit of repetition in the features offered.

One thing that would make the discussion even better would be to include more specifics. For example, during the retrospective - and use that word, why did you use Post Sprint - where the point is discussed improvement, that would have been the ideal spot to include a list of issues faced by sprint. That is, the core issues at the end of sprint 1 were... sprint-2...

The lessons learned section is good.

Didn't reconcile where for this team is the balance on what can be done and what should be promised.

Liked the discussion about the scrum master and PSR.

The system demo video is good. One improvement would be to think about this more from the user's perspective. It's a conversational system. So doing the same demo, but with two windows active showing the back and forth might be more effective for this system.

The system build video was good.