# **Architecture Overview**

#### # Architecture Overview

## ## Purpose

This document explains the high-level structure of the Document Management System. It includes an easy-to-understand description of the technologies considered and why we selected the final tech stack.

---

# ## 1. System Components

- \*\*Frontend (React.js)\*\*: A web application where users log in, upload documents, trigger ingestion, and view logs.
- \*\*Backend (NestJS)\*\*: A server application that provides APIs to handle authentication, document storage, and ingestion tracking.
- \*\*Database (PostgreSQL)\*\*: Stores users, uploaded documents, and ingestion logs.
- \*\*File Storage\*\*: Stores uploaded files either on the server (local) or on Amazon S3 (cloud storage).
- \*\*Containerization (Docker)\*\*: Ensures that the entire application runs consistently across all environments.

---

#### ## 2. Tech Stack Considered

#### ### Backend Options:

- 1. \*\*Express.js\*\*
- Pros: Simple, widely used, minimal setup.
- Cons: Lacks built-in modular structure for large projects.

# 2. \*\*Django (Python)\*\*

- Pros: Rapid development, good for data-heavy apps.
- Cons: Less popular in Node.js ecosystem and higher latency for real-time interactions.

# 3. \*\*NestJS (Chosen)\*\*

- Pros: Modular, scalable, built on TypeScript, easy to maintain for large projects.
- Cons: Slight learning curve for beginners.
- \*\*We chose NestJS\*\* because it provides a well-structured, scalable architecture, is compatible with TypeScript, and makes it easy to add future microservices.

---

### ### Frontend Options:

- 1. \*\*Angular\*\*
- Pros: Strong structure, good for large enterprise apps.
- Cons: Steeper learning curve, less flexible for small to mid projects.
- 2. \*\*Vue.js\*\*
- Pros: Lightweight and easy to learn.
- Cons: Smaller community compared to React.
- 3. \*\*React.js (Chosen)\*\*
- Pros: Flexible, large community, great for reusable UI components, widely adopted.
- Cons: Needs extra libraries for state management and routing.
- \*\*We chose React.js\*\* because it is beginner-friendly, widely used, and integrates well with modern tools like Vite, TailwindCSS, and React Query.

---

### ### Database Options:

- 1. \*\*MvSQL\*\*
  - Pros: Popular, easy to set up.
  - Cons: Limited support for advanced JSON data types and full-text search.
- 2. \*\*MongoDB\*\*
- Pros: Flexible document-based database.
- Cons: Not ideal for relational data and transactions.
- 3. \*\*PostgreSQL (Chosen)\*\*
- Pros: Supports relational data, transactions, indexing, and advanced queries.
- Cons: Slightly more setup needed.
- **V**\*\*We chose PostgreSQL\*\* because it handles relational data (Users ↔ Documents ↔ Ingestion Logs) perfectly and ensures data consistency.

...

### ### File Storage Options:

- 1. \*\*Local Storage\*\*
- Pros: Fast and easy to set up.
- Cons: Files are stored on a single server and can't scale well.
- 2. \*\*AWS S3 (Chosen for production)\*\*
- Pros: Scalable, reliable cloud storage.
- Cons: Requires setup and has a cost for storage/transfer.

\*\*We implemented a hybrid approach\*\*: local storage for development and S3 for production.

---

### Why Docker?

Docker ensures that the backend, frontend, and database run in isolated containers. This avoids the "it works on my machine" problem and makes deployment simple and repeatable.

---

# ## 3. Data Flow (Simplified)

- 1. A user logs in using their credentials.
- 2. An admin or editor uploads a document (saved locally or on S3).
- 3. Admin (or editor with permission) triggers ingestion.
- 4. Backend simulates ingestion and stores status logs.
- 5. User can view history or retry ingestion.

---

This architecture is beginner-friendly, modular, and scalable for future enhancements.