

Gradient Boosting and GBDT

Group 6



Overview

- 1. Introduction of Ensemble Learning
- 2. Gradient Boosting Introduction
- 3. Procedures of GB and GBDT algorithm
- 4. Dataset and Implementation
- 5. Result Comparison
- 6. Conclusion and Future works


Introduction of Ensemble Learning

Basic idea: Ensemble learning is to use certain means to learn multiple classifiers, and then combine multiple classifiers for public prediction.


General method: The core idea is to combine many weak models to get a strong one and find how to train multiple weak classifiers and how to combine them. The combined models in ensemble methods can be models of the same class or models of different types.

Boosting:

The main idea of the boosting is to combine a set of models with low variance but high bias to reduce the bias and get a strong one. (The bagging do the different.) Boosting mainly focused at reducing bias. Boosting is a sequential methods, we fit the models iteratively and at each step we hope to train a new model which can improve our pervious model.




Introduction of Gradient Boosting



update the pseudo-residuals considering predictions of the current ensemble model

- dataset values
- predictions of the current ensemble mode
- pseudo-residuals (targets of the weak learner)



In gradient boosting, each iteration generates a weak learner, which fits the residuals with respect to the previous cumulative model.

Then, adding the new fitted weak learner to the cumulative model to gradually reduce the loss of the cumulative model.

To formulate this:

Our approximation $\hat{F}(x)$ is an additive model that minimizes the loss function L(y, F(x)):

$$\widehat{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \gamma_i h_i(x) + const$$

Procedure of Gradient Boosting

The main goal of the Gradient Boosting

$$\widehat{F}(x) = argmin_F L(y, F(x))$$

The formula of $\hat{F}(x)$:

$$\widehat{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \gamma_i h_i(x) + const$$

3 Review the Gradient descent

$$\omega_{i+1} = \omega_i - \lambda \frac{\partial L(\omega)}{\partial \omega}$$

In the Gradient boosting, we consider the $F\left(x\right)$ as the parameter, then we can update the our model as:

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-1}(x) - \gamma_m \frac{\partial L(y, F_{m-1}(x))}{\partial F_{m-1}(x)}$$

Procedure of Gradient Boosting

We use a base learner h(x) to fit the **negative gradient (pseudo-residuals)** of each step, then we train the base model as follow:

$$h_m(x) \approx -\frac{\partial L(y, F_{m-1}(x))}{\partial F_{m-1}(x)}, F_m(x) = F_{m-1}(x) + \gamma_m h_m(x)$$

where the γ_m is the step length.

A proper step length γ_m should minimize the loss function L(y,F(x))

$$\gamma_m = argmin_{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, F_{m-1}(x_i) + \gamma h_m(x_i))$$

Last formula is a one-dimension optimization problem, for complex loss function we usually can not get the exact result. To optimize it, we can use line search or simplify the loss fuction to get an approximate result.

Regularization of Gradient Boosting

1 Shrinkage

A simple regularization strategy that scale the contribution of each weak learner use a learning rate parameter ν between (0,1]

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-1}(x) + \mathbf{v} \, \gamma_m h_m(x_i)$$

2 Subsampling

Combining gradient boosting with bootstrap averaging (bagging). The subsample is drawn without replacement.

With subsampling, we are then able to calculate out-of-bag error using the unused samples.

3 Early stopping

Score the model using the validation set, if the improvement of score is less than a threshold then the model is considered to be converged, thus stop training.

Gradient Boosting Decision Tree

1 Base learner: Decision Tree

NOTE: For both GBDT classification and regression we use the regression tree.

- 2 Loss Function:
 - For Classification:
 - ► Logistic loss: $L(y, f(x)) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k \log p_k(x)$
 - For Regression:
 - ightharpoonup Mean Square loss : $L(y, f(x)) = (y f(x))^2$
- 3 Some noticeable points
 - 1. The GBDT update the step length γ_m in each leaf region.

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-1}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{J_m} \gamma_{jm} \mathbf{1}_{R_{jm}}(x), \quad \gamma_{jm} = rg \min_{\gamma} \sum_{x_i \in R_{jm}} L(y_i, F_{m-1}(x_i) + \gamma).$$

2. The optimal of γ_m is simplify by **Newton's method** as

$$\gamma_{jkm} = rac{K-1}{K} rac{\sum_{x_i \in R_{jkm}} ilde{y}_{ik}}{\sum_{x_i \in R_{jkm}} | ilde{y}_{ik}|(1-| ilde{y}_{ik}|)}$$

Dataset / Implementation

Our dataset

~800 instances



- Predictors include "Ticket class", "Sex", "Age", "Fare", etc.
- Prediction goal is whether someone survives.


Our two set of implementation


- First one is based on DecisionTreeClassifier from sklearn, which implements Gradient
 Boosting for both regression and classification, it also includes regularization methods
 such as shrinkage, subsampling, out-of-bag error, early stop...
- Second one is completely written from scratch, using custom decision trees. One major difference is that it calculates a seperate γ_{jm} for each leaf, which is the latter algorithm named "Gradient Boosting Tree".

Result Comparasion




Result Comparasion





Result Comparasion



Conclusion

- We implemented the Gradient Boosting algorithm and the GBDT algorithm. The performance of these two algorithms are similar, and they both yield good results.
- We have learned:
 - 1. The theory behind Gradient Boosting and GBDT.
 - 2. Coding a custom estimator (with or without sklearn).
- Future works:
 - 1. GBDT only does second order Taylor expansion to the loss function, maybe we can try to do higher-order Taylor expansion to see whether the result can be improved.
 - 2. We can try to add regularization term in the loss function to avoid the base model become too complex.
 - 3. Use subsampling both to the data and the features, which might improve training speed and further reduce overfitting.

THANKS