Project Report Blockchain Attacks and Vulnerabilities Table of Contents:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Blockchain Security Overview
- 3. Modern Cyber Threats to Blockchain
- 4. Notable Blockchain Attacks
 - 4.1 Ransomware Attacks
 - 4.2 Vulnerabilities in Major Platforms
 - 4.3 Parity Multisig Wallet Hack
- 5. Attack Vectors
 - 5.1 Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attacks
 - 5.2 Transaction Malleability Attacks
 - 5.3 Time jacking Attacks
- 6. Conclusion
- 7. References

1. Introduction

Blockchain technology, often hailed for its security features, is not immune to cyber threats. While it is true that blockchains are designed to resist many traditional cyber attacks, cybercriminals are constantly developing new methods to exploit vulnerabilities. In this report, we will explore the various attacks on blockchain technology and vulnerabilities in smart contracts. We will shed light on the misconception that blockchain is impervious to security breaches and discuss some of the most significant attacks to date.

2. Blockchain Security Overview

Blockchain technology incorporates security at its core. It utilizes cryptographic techniques, decentralized consensus mechanisms, and immutability to provide a high level of security. However, it's essential to understand that no system is entirely foolproof, and blockchain is no exception.

3. Modern Cyber Threats to Blockchain

While blockchains can withstand conventional cyber threats, cybercriminals are adapting their tactics to target blockchain technology. These modern threats pose challenges that require continuous vigilance and proactive security measures.

4. Notable Blockchain Attacks

4.1 Ransomware Attacks

Ransomware attacks, such as WannaCry and Petya, have become more extensive due to attackers receiving ransom payments in cryptocurrencies. This not only highlights the versatility of cryptocurrencies but also the potential for malicious actors to exploit blockchain security vulnerabilities as a significant source of revenue.

4.2 Vulnerabilities in Major Platforms

In March 2019, white hat hackers discovered 43 vulnerabilities in various blockchain and cryptocurrency platforms within just 30 days. Even well-known platforms like Coinbase, EOS, and Tezos were found to have vulnerabilities. This underscores the challenges of detecting weaknesses, as they can hide in unexpected places.

4.3 Parity Multisig Wallet Hack

The Parity multisig wallet hack serves as a cautionary tale. Attackers exploited a library vulnerability, enabling them to claim ownership rights over the library itself and affecting 573 wallets. Approximately \$30 million worth of cryptocurrency was stolen, but a white hat hacker group later returned \$180 million to the rightful owners, illustrating the complex dynamics of blockchain security.

5. Attack Vectors

5.1 Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attacks

DDoS attacks, though challenging to execute on a blockchain network, are still possible. Attackers aim to overwhelm a server's processing resources with numerous requests, potentially disconnecting mining pools, e-wallets, and crypto exchanges. DDoS attacks can also target blockchain applications using botnets.

In 2017, Bitfinex experienced a massive DDoS attack, causing disruptions. The timing was particularly inconvenient as the IOTA Foundation had just launched its IOTA token on the platform.

5.2 Transaction Malleability Attacks

Transaction malleability attacks aim to trick victims into making duplicate payments. In Bitcoin, every transaction has a unique hash as its transaction ID. Attackers can alter this hash and broadcast a modified transaction to the network, potentially causing the sender to believe the initial transaction failed. Successful execution leads to the sender being debited twice. The Mt. Gox exchange bankruptcy in 2014 resulted from a malleability attack. Bitcoin introduced Segregated Witness (SegWit) to address this issue.

5.3 Time jacking Attack

Timejacking exploits a theoretical vulnerability in Bitcoin's timestamp handling. Hackers manipulate a node's network time counter, forcing it to accept an alternative blockchain. This manipulation occurs when malicious users introduce fake peers with inaccurate timestamps. Preventing timejacking attacks requires restricting acceptance time ranges or using the node's system time. Timejacking attacks can also lead to network desynchronization.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, blockchain technology offers robust security measures, but it is not impervious to evolving cyber threats. Cybercriminals are continually adapting their tactics, necessitating

ongoing vigilance and proactive security measures within the blockchain ecosystem. Understanding these vulnerabilities is essential for strengthening the security of blockchain networks and smart contracts.

7. References

https://cryptodeeptech.ru/blockchain-attack-vectors/

This project report provides a comprehensive overview of blockchain attacks and vulnerabilities, including examples and explanations of various attack vectors. You can further customize and expand upon it as needed.