ADT Lists

CS580u Fall '17

Problems with Arrays

Arrays in C/C++ are:

- inflexible
 - o static sizes once the size is allocated it cannot change
- wasteful
 - over-allocation happens 'in case you need it'
- cumbersome
 - to insert or expand requires reallocation

Vectors only hide the unpleasant truth...

Example problem

- Suppose I have an array: [1,4,10,19,6]
- I want to insert a 7 between the 4 and the 10
 - new_size = old_array.size + 1
 allocate new_array[new_size]
 copy from old_array -> new_array adding the 7
 delete old_array

Linked Lists solve Everything

- The problem with arrays is that they must be contiguous in memory
 - So expanding them is entirely dependant of the current state of memory and cannot be dynamic
- What if we could link non-contiguous segments of memory?
 - This means insertion and deletion are less cumbersome
 - expanding or shrinking the list is just a matter of changing links

Doubly Linked List

- We can create our a list of objects we'll call nodes
 - A node represents one 'chunk' of memory
 - The order of the nodes is determined by the insertion order and the next 'chunk', called the *link*, is stored in each node
 - The link is a pointer to a node class
- Every node (except the last node) contains the address of the next node and the previous node
 - Hence the doubly part

Nodes

- Components of a node
 - Data: stores the relevant information
 - Link: stores the address of the next node

What goes in the Node?

- No matter what kind of data is stored in our Node, the algorithms for our CRUD operations will always be the same
 - o CRUD: Create, Read, Update, Delete
 - This is true for all data structures. A dynamic array is going to work the same whether it is storing chars or ints
- We need a wrapper struct for our data so we can dynamically change our data type

Data Struct

 If we write everything to a Data struct, then we need only change the Data struct, not our data structure implementation when our data type changes

Templating in C

- By creating one more level of indirection, and wrapping our data in a generic struct, we can implement a crude form of templating
 - Both Java and C++ have language features that allow templating classes
 - Since C does not have this feature, we have to come up with other ways to template

List Struct

 The List class's only required instance variable is a pointer to the head of the list

```
> struct List{
         Node * head, * tail;
};
```

List Data Structure

- A head pointer is really the only required instance variables for your list
 - o starts as null
 - The tail pointer is a convenience (nice-to-have) attribute
- It must always hold the address of the first node in the list
 - requires a check for null to determine if the list is empty

Traversal and Iteration

- Basic operations of a linked list
 - Read the list for items
 - Insert an item in the list
 - Delete an item from the list
- The means we should have the following function points in our list struct
 - <type> (*read)(List *)
 - void (*insert)(List *, <type>)
 - void (*delete)(List *, <type>)

Reading from the list

- All Linked List operations require list traversal
 - Remember: You cannot use head to traverse the list

```
if(l->head == NULL) return NULL;
Node * current = l->head;
while(current != NULL){
    process(current->data);
    current = current->next;
}
```

 the list attribute head must always point to the first item in the list

Insert/Append

```
void insert(List * l, Data data){
    Node * new_node = newNode(data);
    l->tail->next = new_node;
    new_node->prev = l->tail;
    l->tail = new_node;
    //Why don't we need to do anything with the new_node next?
    return;
```

Copying a linked list

- What happens in the following code?
 - o struct List new_list = old_list;
 - Both lists point to the same nodes. why?
- Shallow Copy
 - Shallow copy only copies the pointer addresses
- Deep Copy
 - Deep copy traverses pointers and copies values

Making Two Lists

```
List * join(List * list1, List * list2){
    list1->tail = other_list->head;
    return list1;
```

for(Node * c = list.head; c != NULL; c = c->next, i++){

olist.insert(c->data);

elist.insert(c->data);

List elist, olist;

else

if(i % 2 == 1)

int i = 0:

Classwork

Circular Linked List

- A Circular Linked is a list in which last node points to the first node
- How do we know when we have finished traversing the list?
 - check if the pointer of the current node is equal to the head.
- Eliminates checks for null
 - Changes method implementation, not class definition

Delete in a Circular Linked List

```
void pop(List * l){ //removes the last node
     Node * to_delete = l->tail;
     l->tail = tail->previous; //set the new tail
     //update pointers
     l->tail->next = l->head;
     l->head->previous = l->tail;
     free(to delete);
```

Linked List Algorithms

- Linked List algorithms generally require a well formed linked list.
 - That is a linked list without loops or cycles in it.
 - If a linked list has a cycle:
 - The malformed linked list has no end (no node ever has a null next_node pointer)
 - The malformed linked list contains two links to some node
 - Iterating through the malformed linked list will yield all nodes in the loop multiple times

Malformed Lists

- A malformed linked list with a loop causes iteration over the list to fail because the iteration will never reach the end of the list.
- Solution?
 - be able to detect that a linked list is malformed before trying an iteration.

The Two Iterator Algorithm

- Simultaneously go through the list by ones (slow iterator) and by twos (fast iterators).
 - If there is a loop the fast iterators will go around that loop twice as fast as the slow iterator.
 - The fast iterator will lap the slow iterator within a single pass through the cycle, O(n).
- Detecting a loop is detecting that the slow iterator has been lapped by the fast iterator.

Two Iterator Check

```
Classwork
```

```
int hasLoop(List * I){
     Node * slowNode = I->head,
           * fastNode1 = I->head,
           * fastNode2 = I->head;
     while (slowNode &&
                 fastNode1 = fastNode2.next() &&
                 fastNode2 = fastNode1.next()){
           if (slowNode == fastNode1 ||
                 slowNode == fastNode2)
                      return true;
           slowNode = slowNode->next();
     return false;
```

Another design

- Can we alter our design to remove special cases?
 - Adding dummy nodes allows you to get rid of the special cases
 - Except you have to check for the dummy nodes
- How does this change your constructor?
 - You have to allocate space for a head node and a tail node in the constructor
 - Pros
 - Wasted space
 - Cons
 - Less complexity in the methods

Dummy Nodes

- Eliminates the need to check if the head is empty
 - No need for long while conditions
 - if(current!= null && current->next!= null && current->next!= null)
 - always at least two items in the list
- Should reserve an invalid value for the dummy node

