

not "The Butterfly Algo" SLIDE 2

S/ - - / A Butterfly for Non-Uniform Fourier

1

Suggest: Structure of Butterfly Algos

SLIDE 2: maybe ditch code box

SLIDES: remove code boxes

SLIDE 11: ppl think of FFT AS a butterfly;  
this (non-uniform) is referred to as  
"analysis-based" butterfly

↳ maybe around 1<sup>st</sup> slide

S16: NAIVE "IS" QUADRATIC.

S17: Kinda busy

S18: shouldn't it be  $10^{-16}???$

S21: Add  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$  -- labels

S23: keep  $[0, 1)$ , toss  $s_j^- = j/N$   
 $\sigma_j$  as fr. of  $L, j$  (maybe? maybe not?)

S29: Also need "scaling"  
double t and half s

2

$$T_d(t) S_d(s) = T_d(2t) S_d(s/2)$$

Maybe not necessary?

S31: reminder of the old expression

$\hat{f}(t) \approx$  both times need approx

Why is  $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{\sigma} k(t, \sigma) D(\sigma)$

both are made up of exponentials

different than

$$\sum_{\sigma, \alpha} k'(t, (\sigma, \alpha)) D((\sigma, \alpha))$$

still has some exponential

both are made up of polynomials

What is  $k'$ ?

$k$  was the kernel, but WTH is  $k'$ ?

$k$  is a matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$

$k'$  is a matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$

stopped at SLIDE 32