


Table S6. Anomaly Detection Performance on MVTec [5], as measured on AUROC.

↓ Backbone	% of $\mathcal{M}$	Img. AUROC	Pw. AUROC	PRO
ResNet50 [23]	10	99.0	98.1	93.3
	1	98.7	97.8	93.3
WideResNet50 [57]	10	98.9	98.1	<b>93.5</b>
	1	99.0	98.0	93.1
ResNet101 [23]	10	98.6	97.9	92.5
	1	98.7	97.8	92.2
WideResNet101 [57]	10	99.1	<b>98.2</b>	93.4
	1	99.0	98.1	93.0
ResNeXt101 [55]	10	98.9	98.0	92.8
	1	98.7	97.8	92.6



図S4. 画像サイズ (S) と近傍サイズ (P) がPatchCoreの性能に与える影響。デフォルト値を使用したPatchCoreのベースラインが参照用に含まれています。




Figure S5. Influence of local awareness and network feature depths on anomaly detection performance.

Table S6. Anomaly Detection Performance on MVTec [5], as measured on AUROC.

↓ Backbone	% of $\mathcal{M}$	Img. AUROC	Pw. AUROC	PRO
ResNet50 [23]	10	99.0	98.1	93.3
	1	98.7	97.8	93.3
WideResNet50 [57]	10	98.9	98.1	<b>93.5</b>
	1	99.0	98.0	93.1
ResNet101 [23]	10	98.6	97.9	92.5
	1	98.7	97.8	92.2
WideResNet101 [57]	10	99.1	<b>98.2</b>	93.4
	1	99.0	98.1	93.0
ResNeXt101 [55]	10	98.9	98.0	92.8
	1	98.7	97.8	92.6




Figure S4. Influence of image size (S) and neighbourhood size (P) on PatchCore performance. The PatchCore baseline with default values is included for reference.




Figure S5. Influence of local awareness and network feature depths on anomaly detection performance.