CONCURRENCY CONTROL TECHNIQUES

Dr. Dang Tran KhanhDept. of Information Systems, CSE/HCMUT khanh@cse.hcmut.edu.vn

OUTLINE

- Purposes of databases concurrency control
- Two-Phase Locking
- Dealing with deadlock & starvation
- Concurrency control in indexes
- Exercises
- Summary

Purposes of Databases Concurrency Control

- To enforce Isolation (through mutual exclusion) among conflicting transactions
- To preserve database consistency through consistency preserving execution of transactions
- To resolve read-write and write-write conflicts
- Example:
 - In concurrent execution environment if T1 conflicts with T2 over a data item A, then the existing concurrency control decides if T1 or T2 should get A and if the other transaction is rolledback or waits

4

Two-Phase Locking Techniques

- Locking is an operation which secures
 - (a) permission to Read
 - (b) permission to Write a data item for a transaction
- Unlocking is an operation which removes read/write permissions from the data item
- Lock and Unlock are Atomic operations (implemented as indivisible units, critical sections in OS - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Critical sections

- Essential components
 - Two locks modes: shared (read), exclusive (write)
 - Shared mode: Shared lock (X)
 - More than one transaction can apply a shared lock on X for reading but no write lock can be applied on X by any other ones
 - Exclusive mode: Write lock (X)
 - Only one write lock on X can exist at any time and no shared lock can be applied by any other transaction on X
 - Conflict matrix:

	Read	Write
Read	Y	N
Write	N	N

- Lock Manager: managing locks on data items
- Lock table: Lock manager uses it to store the ID of transaction locking a data item, the data item, lock mode and pointer to the next data item locked
 - One simple way to implement a lock table is through linked

Transaction ID	Data item id	lock mode	Ptr to next data item
T1	X1	Read	Next

- Database requires that all transactions should be wellformed
- A transaction is well-formed if:
 - It must lock the data item before it reads or writes to it
 - It must not lock an already locked data items and it must not try to unlock a free data item

```
Lock operation:Lock (Y) = 0 (*item)
```

```
B: if LOCK (X) = 0 (*item is unlocked*)
then LOCK (X) ← 1 (*lock the item*)
else begin
wait (until lock (X) = 0) and
the lock manager wakes up the transaction);
goto B
end;
```

```
• Unlock operation:
if LOCK (X) = "write-locked" then
begin LOCK (X) ← "unlocked";
wakes up one of the transactions, if any
end
else if LOCK (X) ← "read-locked" then
begin
no_of_reads (X) ← no_of_reads (X) -1
if no_of_reads (X) = 0 then
begin
LOCK (X) = "unlocked";
wake up one of the transactions, if any
end
end;
```

Read operation:

```
B: if LOCK (X) = "unlocked" then
begin LOCK (X) ← "read-locked";
    no_of_reads (X) \leftarrow 1;
end
else if LOCK (X) ← "read-locked" then
        no_of_reads (X) ← no_of_reads (X) +1
      else begin wait (until LOCK (X) = "unlocked" and
                the lock manager wakes up the transaction);
                go to B
          end;
```

Write operation:

```
B: if LOCK (X) = "unlocked" then LOCK (X) ← "write-locked"

else begin

wait (until LOCK (X) = "unlocked" and

the lock manager wakes up the transaction);

go to B

end;
```

Lock conversion:

- Lock upgrade: from existing read lock to write lock if Ti has a read-lock (X) and Tj has no read-lock (X) (i ≠ j) then convert read-lock (X) to write-lock (X) else force Ti to wait until Tj unlocks X
- Lock downgrade: from existing write lock to read lock
 Ti has a write-lock (X) (*no transaction can have any lock on X*)
 convert write-lock (X) to read-lock (X)

The algorithm:

- Two Phases:
 - (a) Locking (Growing)
 - (b) Unlocking (Shrinking)
- Locking Phase:
 - A transaction applies locks (read or write) on desired data items one at a time
- Unlocking Phase:
 - A transaction unlocks its locked data items one at a time
- Requirement:
 - For a transaction these two phases must be mutually exclusive: during locking phase, unlocking phase must not start and vice versa

Serializability is not guaranteed without Two-Phase Locking protocol

<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>	<u>Result</u>
read_lock (Y); read_item (Y); unlock (Y); write_lock (X); read_item (X); X:=X+Y; write_item (X); unlock (X);	read_lock (X); read_item (X); unlock (X); Write_lock (Y); read_item (Y); Y:=X+Y; write_item (Y); unlock (Y);	Initial values: X=20; Y=30 Result of serial execution T1 followed by T2 X=50, Y=80 Result of serial execution T2 followed by T1 X=70, Y=50

Problem:

Time

2PL:

All locking operations (read_lock, write_lock) precede the *first* unlock operation in the transaction

With Two-Phase Locking Techniques

```
<u>T'1</u>
                         T'2
                         read_lock (X);
read_lock (Y);
                                             T1 and T2 follow two-phase
read_item (Y);
                         read_item (X);
                                             policy but they are subject to
                                             deadlock, which must be
write_lock (X);
                         Write_lock (Y);
unlock (Y);
                         unlock (X);
                                             dealt with
read_item (X);
                         read_item (Y);
X:=X+Y;
                         Y:=X+Y;
write_item (X);
                         write item (Y);
unlock (X);
                         unlock (Y);
```

- Two-phase policy generates two locking algorithms: Basic & Conservative
 - Conservative: Prevents deadlock by locking all desired data items before transaction begins execution
 - Basic: Transaction locks data items incrementally. This may cause deadlock which must be dealt with
 - Strict: Unlocking Write locks is performed after a transaction terminates (commits or aborts and rolled-back)
 - This is the most commonly used two-phase locking algorithm
 - Strict 2PL is *not* deadlock-free
 - Rigorous 2PL: Strict 2PL + Read locks (both Read & Write locks are of concern)

DEALING WITH DEADLOCK AND STARVATION

```
T'1

read_lock (Y);

read_item (Y);

read_lock (X);

read_item (Y);

write_lock (X);

(waits for X)

T1 and T2 did follow two-phase policy but they are deadlocked

read_lock (X);

read_item (Y);

write_lock (Y);

(waits for Y)
```

Deadlock (T'1 and T'2) here

DEALING WITH DEADLOCK AND STARVATION

- Starvation occurs when a particular transaction consistently waits or restarted and never gets a chance to proceed further
- Homework: read sections 18.1.3, 18.2 [1] for the details of existing solutions

CONCURRENCY CONTROL IN INDEXES

- Problem: as a write_lock is required, the tree must be locked from the root exclusively
- Read section 18.6 (and section 18.7 for more information)
- More reading:
 - P.A. Bernstein & E. Newcomer: Principles of Transaction Processing, Morgan Kaufmann Publisher, Inc., 2nd Ed., 2009

EXERCISES

- In the class: Problem 18.20 (p. 653)
 - Prove that the basic 2PL protocol guarantees conflict serializability of schedules
 - Hint: Show that, if a serializability graph for a schedule has a cycle, then at least one of the transactions participating in the schedule does not obey the 2PL protocol
- Homework: Chapter 19 (self-reading)

SUMMARY

- Purposes of Databases Concurrency Control
- Two-Phase Locking
- Dealing with deadlock & starvation
- Do not forget: Homework !!
- Next lecture: Introduction to DB Security