

Programming with Effect Handlers in Links

PLInG, Autumn 2015, University of Edinburgh

Daniel Hillerström

daniel.hillerstrom@ed.ac.uk

<http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/s1467124/>

November 4, 2015

School of Informatics

The University of Edinburgh

Programs are inherently effectful

Programs may...

- ...halt prematurely
- ...diverge
- ...be stateful (e.g. modify a global state)
- ...communicate via a network
- ...print to standard out

A pure¹ program is not much fun.

¹By pure we mean a program that has no effects.

Function signatures (I)

$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

`int f(int x)`

$f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Mathematical pure function

C/C++ (impure) function

ML (impure) function

Let's be explicit about effects

Effect annotation

An effect annotation gives a static description of the potential run-time behaviour of a computation.

Benefits

- Serves as documentation (clarity)
- Compiler can apply specific optimisations
- Possible to reason more precisely about programs

Enter the Monad

“Have you considered using a monad?”



Figure 1: Philip Wadler aka. Lambda Man

- The Essence of Functional Programming (1992)
- The Marriage of Effects and Monads (1998/2003)

Function signatures (II)

$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Mathematical pure function

`int f(int x)`

C/C++ (impure) function

$f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

ML (impure) function

$f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{IO Int}$

Haskell effect annotation

Function signatures (II)

$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Mathematical pure function

`int f(int x)`

C/C++ (impure) function

$f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

ML (impure) function

$f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{IO Int}$

Haskell effect annotation

IO can be considered an effect annotation. However, sadly, different monads do not compose well.

Function signatures (II)

$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Mathematical pure function

`int f(int x)`

C/C++ (impure) function

$f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

ML (impure) function

$f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{IO Int}$

Haskell effect annotation

`int f(int x) throws Exception`

Java effect annotation

Function signatures (II)

$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Mathematical pure function

`int f(int x)`

C/C++ (impure) function

$f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

ML (impure) function

$f : \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{IO Int}$

Haskell effect annotation

`int f(int x) throws Exception`

Java effect annotation

$f : (\text{Int}) \xrightarrow{\{\text{Read:String} \mid \rho\}} \text{Int}$

Links effect annotations



Figure 2: Gordon Plotkin



Figure 3: John Power

Adequacy for Algebraic Effects (2001)

Algebraic effects and computations

Definition (Algebraic effect)

An algebraic effect is a collection of abstract operations. For example $Choice \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Choose : \text{Bool}\}$.

Definition (Abstract computation)

An abstract computation is composed from abstract operations.

Effect handlers



Figure 4: Gordon Plotkin



Figure 5: Matija Pretnar

Handling Algebraic Effects (2003)

Effect handler

Definition (Handler)

A handler interprets an abstract computation.

An example

Essentially, a handler pattern-matches on operations occurring in a computation m :

```
handler choice(m) {  
  case Choose(k) -> ...  
  case Return(x) -> ...  
}
```

WARNING

The following code examples may be reproduced at home.

Nim: A game with sticks




Set-up


- Two players: Alice and Bob; Alice always starts.
- One heap of n sticks.
- Turn-based. Each player take between 1-3 sticks.
- The one, who takes the last stick, wins.

We'll demonstrate how to encode strategic behaviour, compute game data, and cheat using handlers.

Game tree generated by gametree with $n = 3$



Game tree when Bob plays the perfect strategy, $n = 3$



References I



Gordon D. Plotkin and Matija Pretnar.

Handling algebraic effects.

Logical Methods in Computer Science, 9(4), 2013.



Philip Wadler, Sam Lindley, Garrett Morris, et al.

Links: Linking theory to practice for the web.

<http://groups.inf.ed.ac.uk/links/>, 2005.



Ohad Kammar, Sam Lindley, and Nicolas Oury.

Handlers in action.

In *ICFP'13*, pages 145–158, 2013.

References II



Sam Lindley.

Algebraic effects and effect handlers for idioms and arrows.

In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM SIGPLAN workshop on Generic programming, WGP 2014, Gothenburg, Sweden, August 31, 2014*, pages 47–58, 2014.



Philip Wadler and Peter Thiemann.

The marriage of effects and monads.

ACM Trans. Comput. Log., 4(1):1–32, 2003.



Philip Wadler.

The essence of functional programming.

In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, POPL '92*, pages 1–14, New York, NY, USA, 1992. ACM.



Gordon D. Plotkin and John Power.

Adequacy for algebraic effects.

In *Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures, 4th International Conference, FOSSACS 2001 Held as Part of the Joint European Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software, ETAPS 2001 Genova, Italy, April 2-6, 2001, Proceedings*, pages 1–24, 2001.