L108 Assessment heads up

Assessed exercise sheet (ExSh#4) (for 25% credit)

- issuee Monday 7 Nov (in clas)
- your answers are due by Monday 14 Nov, 16:00

(Take-home exam, 75% credit, in Jan.)

(Typed) Equations

| + + = +' : A (where [+ t: A and [+ t: A hold) is satisfied by the semantics in a M[[rtt:A]] & M[[rtt:A]] are equal C-morphisms M[M] -> M[A]

Q: Which equations are always satisfied in any ccc?

A: Bn-equivalence.

Bn-Equality $\Gamma_{+} t = \xi_{n} t : A$ where $\Gamma_{+} t : A$ & $\Gamma_{+} t : A$, is inductively defined, as follows:

• β-(wnversions

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A + t : B}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A + t : B}{\Gamma + (\lambda x : A . t) S =_{\beta \eta} t [S | x] : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + t : B} \frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + t : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + f S + (S : t) =_{\beta \eta} S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S + (S : t) =_{\beta \eta} S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma + S : A}{\Gamma + S : A}$$

Bn-Equality [+t=BnE:A where [+t:A]

where [It: A & [It: A, is inductively defined, as follows:

- β-Conversions...
- n-Conversions

Bn-Equality [+ t= Bn E: A

where [+t: A & [+t: A, is inductively defined, as follows:

- β-Conversions...
- n-Conversions...
- · Congnence rules

$$\Gamma, x: A \vdash t =_{\beta \eta} t': B$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A \cdot t =_{\beta \eta} \lambda x: A \cdot t': A \rightarrow B$$

$$\Gamma \vdash S =_{\beta \eta} S': A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash t =_{\beta \eta} t': A$$

$$\Gamma \vdash S t =_{\beta \eta} S't': B$$

etc.

Bn-Equality [+ t= &: A where [+t: A & [+t: A, is inductively defined, as follows:

- β-Conversions...
- n-Conversions...
- · Congnence rules...
- = is reflexive, symmetric & transitive

$$\frac{\Gamma + t : A}{\Gamma + t = \beta y t : A}$$

etc. (see p9 of the notes)

Bn-Equality [+ t=syt:A

Soundness Theorem for ccc semantics of STLC If [F + f = f' : A], then in any CCC M[F + f' : A] = M[F + f' : A] in C(M[F], M[A])

(See Theorem 6.2 in the notes.)

E.g. given [12:A+t:A' & [+t:A, then always have M[[+(\lambdax:A.t)t:A'] = M[[+t[t/x]:A'] (\beta-conversion is satisfied by the semantics in cccs)

E.g. given [1:A+t:A' & [+t:A, then always have M[[[(\x:A.t) t': A'] = M[[[+ t[t']: A']] (B-conversion is satisfied by the semantics in cccs) because, if M[A] = X M[A] = Y M[A'] = 7 $M[[[x:A+t:A']] = f:X\times Y \rightarrow Z$

 $M\mathbb{C} \Gamma \vdash t' : A' \mathbb{J} = g : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$

E.g. given [1:A+t:A' & [+t:A, then always have M[[r (xx:A.t)t: A'] = M[[r t[t/x]: A']] (B-conversion is satisfied by the semantics in cccs) because, if M[A] = X M[A] = Y M[A'] = 7 $M[[]x:A+t:A'] = f:X\times Y \rightarrow Z$ $ME \Gamma + t' : A' J = g : X \longrightarrow Z$ Then $M[\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A : A \rightarrow A'] = cur(f) : X \rightarrow Z^{Y}$ $METL(\lambda_{x:A.t})t':A'J = app \circ (cur(f), g)$

M[T] = X M[A] = Y M[A'] = 7 $M[\Gamma,x:A+t:A'] = f:X\times Y \rightarrow Z$ $M[\Gamma \vdash t' : A'] = g : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ M[[$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A : L : A \rightarrow A'] = cur(f) : X \rightarrow Z'$ M[[$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A : L) \vdash L' : A'] = app \circ (cur(f), g)$ = appo (aur(f)xid,) (id, 9) $= f \circ \langle id_x, g \rangle$ = M[[[+ t[t/2]: A']] by semantics of substitution...

Semantics of substitution in a Ccc

Theorem If [+t: A & [,x: A + t: A' then in any ccc MELLI <id, W[LLFf: A]) W[L] × W[A] M[[+ [t/n]: A']] MICx: Art: A'D M[A]]M & Commutes

(See Corollary 5.6 in the notes.)

The internal language of a ccc C

- one ground type for each C-object X
- One constant f for each C-morphism $f: 1 \rightarrow X$ ("global element" of the object X)

Then types & terms of STLC over this language describe objects & morphisms of C.

For example [Ex.Sh. 3, qu. 3], in any ccc C there is an isomorphism $Z^{(x \times Y)} \cong (Z^{Y})^{\times}$ (any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$) Which in the internal language of C is described by terms

- S $\langle Y + \lambda f : (x \times Y) \rightarrow Z, \lambda x : X, \lambda y : Y, f(x_1y)$ $\vdots (x \times Y) \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow Z))$

Satisfying $\begin{cases} 0, f:(xxy) \rightarrow Z + t(sf) = \beta \eta f \\ 0, g: x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow Z) + s(tg) = \beta \eta g \end{cases}$

Free cartesian closed categories

Soundness Theorem has a converse (completeness). In fact, for a given set of ground types & typed constants, there's a single ccc F + interpretation function M so that THE = pn t': A holds iff the equation is satisfied by M in F

Construction of F

- objects of F one types of I
- equivalence classes of closed terms

Construction of F

- objects of F one types of I
- Morphisms A->B in F are equivalence classes of closed terms
 - Ot E: A > B for equiv. relation

- identity on A is class of OL λχ:A.X:A>A
- Composition induced by OF t: A→B, OF t': B→C F→ VF Xx:A. t(tx): A→C

Curry-Howard

LOGIC TYPE THEORY propositions \in types proofs (=> terms Eg. IPL VS STLC profs terms

Recall the derivation of PDY, YDD + PDD in $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \varphi$

A corresponding STLC term: (vow) (V ow) $\Phi \mapsto \psi$ Drya:4 (app) 車トで中⇒日 $y: \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \chi: \psi \Rightarrow \theta \mapsto \lambda \pi: \psi \cdot \chi(yx): \varphi \Rightarrow \theta$ $\left(\underline{\Phi} \triangleq (y: \varphi \Rightarrow \psi, \ \overline{\tau}: \psi \Rightarrow \theta, \ \overset{\alpha}{\sim} : \varphi)$

9.19

Carry-Howard-Lawvere Lambek

LOGIC TYPE THEORY CATEGORY TH. propositions (>> types (->> objects proofs (=> terms (=> morphisms Eg. IPL vs STLC vs ccc's profs terms morphisms

Curry-Howard-Lawvere Lambek

thuse conespondences can be made into categorical equivalences - need the nations of functor & natural transformation to define "equivalence"...