

#### 저작자표시 2.0 대한민국

#### 이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.
- 이차적 저작물을 작성할 수 있습니다.
- 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 있습니다.

#### 다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건
   을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 <u>이용허락규약(Legal Code)</u>을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

Disclaimer 🗖





碩士學位 請求論文 指導教授 裵 鍾 植

Invariance principle for the stochastic process generated by the chaotic tent map

成均館大學校 一般大學院 數 學 科 確 率 論 專 攻 尹 熙 東 碩士學位請求論文

Invariance principle for the stochastic process generated by the chaotic tent map

2

1 3

尹

煕

東



碩士學位 請求論文 指導教授 裵 鍾 植

Invariance principle for the stochastic proces sgenerated by the chaotic tent map

成均館大學校 一般大學院 數 學 科 確 率 論 專 攻 尹 熙 東





碩士學位 請求論文 指導教授 裵 鍾 植

# Invariance principle for the stochastic proces sgenerated by the chaotic tent map

이 論文을 理學 碩士學位請求論文으로 提出합니다.

2013 年 6 月 日

成均館大學校 一般大學院 數 學 科 確 率 論 專 攻 尹 熙 東





이 論文을 尹熙東의 理學 碩士學位 論文으로 認定함.

2013 年 6 月 日

審查委員長 천 창 범 (중) 審查委員 허 석 문 (중) 審查委員 배 종 식

## Contents

1	The	tent map	3
	1.1	The general tent map	3
		The chaotic tent map	
2	The	sequential law of large numbers	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	The main results	7
		A general setting and proofs	
		An application to Monte Carlo integration	
3	The	invariance principle for the chaotic tent map 1	.3
		Introduction and the main results	.3
		A general setting and proofs	
		An application to Kolmogorov-Sminov type result	





#### **ABSTRACT**

Invariance principle for the stochastic process generated by the chaotic tent map

> Yoon, Hee Dong Department of Mathematics Sungkyunkwan University

The chaotic tent map is an ergodic map defined on the unit interval. In this thesis, we study the asymptotic behaviors of the various processes generated by the chaotic tent map. We get the uniform version of the law of large numbers for the sequential process generated by the chaotic tent map. We also get the invariance principle of Donsker's type for the sequential process generated by the chaotic tent map

2





## Chapter 1

## The tent map

#### 1.1 The general tent map

Let  $\mu$  be a positive real constant. The general tent map  $\varphi_{\mu}$  is an iterated function, in the shape of a tent, forming a discrete-time dynamical system. It takes a point x on the unit interval [0,1] and maps it to another point:

$$\varphi_{\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu x & \text{for } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \mu(1-x) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

This dynamic system can also be written as a recurrence relation. Let  $x_0$  be a point, called the seed, in [0,1]. Iterating the seed we get a sequence  $\{x_n\}$ :

$$x_{n+1} = \varphi_{\mu}(x_n) = \begin{cases} \mu x_n & \text{for } 0 \le x_n < \frac{1}{2} \\ \mu(1 - x_n) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le x_n \le 1. \end{cases}$$

When  $\mu=2$ , the tent map demonstrates a chaotic dynamical behavior. See Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, the English edition[15]. More specifically, if  $\mu=2$  then the system maps the interval [0,1] to itself. There are now periodic points with every orbit length within this interval, as well as non-periodic points. The periodic points are dense in [0,1], so the map  $\varphi_2$  has become chaotic. We name  $\varphi_2$  as the chaotic tent map and written simply as  $\varphi$ .

In this work, we obtain uniform version of the law of large numbers(LLN) and the central limit theorem(CLT) for the process generated by the chaotic tent map.





#### 1.2 The chaotic tent map

We illustrate the chaotic tent map. Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  be the sample space,  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Borel sets and P be the Lebesgue measure. The chaotic tent map on the unit interval is defined by

$$\varphi(y) = \begin{cases} 2y, & \text{for } 0 \le y < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1-y), & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \le y \le 1. \end{cases}$$

The chaotic tent map is an iterated function, in the shape of a tent. More specifically, if you plot  $\varphi(y)$  versus y, it has two linear sections which rise to meet at (1/2, 1) - it looks like a tent.

The chaotic tent map  $\varphi$  preserves Lebesgue measure and is equivalent to a shift and flip map  $\tau$  on  $\{0,1\}^{\{0,1,2,\ldots\}}$ :

$$\tau(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) & \text{if } \omega_0 = 0\\ (1 - \omega_1, 1 - \omega_2, \ldots) & \text{if } \omega_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

We can think about  $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in \{0, 1\}^{\{0,1,2,\ldots\}}$  as a point y in the unit interval [0, 1] by putting

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_i}{2^{i+1}}.$$

It is known that the map  $\varphi$  is ergodic. See Durret[4].





## Chapter 2

## The sequential law of large numbers

#### 2.1 Introduction

The tent map is an iterated function forming a discrete-time dynamical system. The tent map demonstrates a chaotic dynamical behavior. See Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, the English edition[15].

In this chapter, we obtain uniform versions of the law of large numbers(LLN) for the process generated by the chaotic tent map.

In obtaining the uniform LLN, we observe the role played by bracketing of the indexed class of functions of the process. Then we employ the idea of DeHardt[3] of the bracketing method to the process generated by the chaotic tent map.

Let X be a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  whose distribution function is F. Consider a sequence  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$  of independent copies of X. Given a Borel measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $\{f(X_i) : i \geq 1\}$  forms a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables that are more flexible in applications than the sequence  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Consider a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of real-valued Borel measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Introduce the usual empirical distribution function  $F_n$  defined by  $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i \leq x\}}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define a function indexed integral process  $S_n$  by

5

$$S_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} (f(X_i) - Ef(X)) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1],$$
 (2.1)





where [x] is the integer part of x.

**Remark 1** The process given in (2.1) can be written as the following integral form:

$$S_n(t) = \frac{[nt]}{n} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d(F_{[nt]} - F)(x) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Developing a uniform LLN for the function-indexed process such as  $S_n(f)$  in (2.1) is usually meant that  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |S_n(f)|$  converges to zero in a certain sense under a certain entropy condition on the class  $\mathcal{F}$ . The process is indexed by  $\mathcal{F}$  and is considered as random elements in  $B(\mathcal{F})$ , the space of the bounded real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , taken with the sup norm  $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}}$ . It is known that  $(B(\mathcal{F}), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}})$  forms a Banach space.

Developing a uniform LLN for the random fields such as  $S_n(f, s)$  in (3.2) is usually meant that  $\sup_{(f,s)\in\mathcal{F}\otimes[0,1]}|S_n(f,s)|$  converges to zero in a certain sense under a certain entropy condition on the class  $\mathcal{S}:=\mathcal{F}\otimes[0,1]$ . The process is indexed by  $\mathcal{S}$  and is considered as random elements in  $B(\mathcal{S})$ , the space of the bounded real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{S}$ , taken with the sup norm  $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{S}}$ . The result may be used in the nonparametric statistical inference. See Van de Geer[13] for applications.

We use the following definition of almost sure convergence and convergence in the mean. See, for a recent reference, Van der Vaart and Wellner[14]. See also Hoffmann-Jörgensen[7].

**Definition 1** A sequence of  $B(\mathcal{F})$ -valued random functions  $\{Y_n\}$  converges almost surely to a constant c if  $P^*\{\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)\to c\}=P\{\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)^*\to c\}=1$ .  $\{Y_n\}$  converges in the mean to a constant c if  $E^*\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)=E\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)^*\to c$ . Here  $E^*$  denotes the upper expectation with respect to the outer probability  $P^*$ , and  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)^*$  is the measurable cover function of  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}Y_n(f)$ .

**Remark 2** If the measurability of the process  $Y_n$  is guaranteed then the convergence in the above definition boils down to the usual almost sure convergence and convergence in the mean.

In 1971, DeHardt[3] obtained the uniform LLN for the sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables under bracketing entropy. DeHardt's result states that if  $\mathcal{F}$  has a bracketing entropy then  $\sup_{\mathcal{F}} |S_n| \to 0$  almost surely.





The aim of our work is to develop the LLN, and the uniform LLN for the process generated by the tent map by employing DeHardt's idea of bracketing method. Our results will be stated with respect to the mean convergence and with respect to the almost sure convergence. In section 2.2, we describe a stationary sequence of random variables generated by the chaotic tent map, and state the main results. In section 2.3, we restate the main results in a more general setting of function indexed processes and we provide the proofs for the main results. Finally, in section 2.4, we provide an application to Monte Carlo integration.

#### 2.2 The main results

We now consider a series of stationary processes generated by the tent map  $\varphi$ .

Firstly, we start with  $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(y) = 1_{[0,\frac{1}{2})}(y)$ . Then  $\{f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  are identically distributed random variables which have uniform distribution with

$$\begin{split} &P(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))=0)=\frac{1}{2}\\ &P(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))=1)=\frac{1}{2}. \end{split}$$

Observe that  $Ef_{\frac{1}{2}}(y) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Var(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)) = \frac{1}{4}$ . Define

$$S_{n1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 and

$$S_{n1}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that

$$S_{n1}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{[nt]}{n} \frac{1}{[nt]} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{[nt]} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} \frac{[nt]}{n} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{[nt]} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} f_{nt}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$



where

$$f_{nt}(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) = \frac{[nt]}{n} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Observe that

$$f_{nt}(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) \to f_t(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) = t \ 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 almost surely.

Then we have the following

#### Theorem 1

- 1.  $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |S_{n1}(t)| \to 0$  almost surely.
- 2. In particular,  $S_{n1} \to 0$  almost surely.

Secondly, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for fixed  $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^j$ , we look at  $f_{i,j}(y) = 1_{\left[\frac{i-1}{2^j}, \frac{i}{2^j}\right)}(y)$ . Then  $\{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  are identically distributed random variables with

$$P(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{j}}$$
  
 
$$P(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = 1) = \frac{1}{2^{j}}.$$

Observe that  $Ef_{i,j}(y) = \frac{1}{2^j}$  and  $Var(f_{i,j}(y)) = \frac{1}{2^j}(1 - \frac{1}{2^j})$ . Define

$$S_{n2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2^{j}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{j}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j}}\right)}} \text{ and}$$

$$S_{n2}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} \frac{\left(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}} \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we have the following

#### Theorem 2

- 1.  $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |S_{n2}(t)| \to 0$  almost surely.
- 2. In particular,  $S_{n2} \to 0$  almost surely.



Thirdly, we consider, for each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^j} \frac{\left(f_{i,j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}}$ . Then,

for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , being a sequence of identically distributed random variables,  $\{f_j(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  is stationary and ergodic process.

Notice that 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^j} \frac{\left(f_{i,j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}} - \sum_{i=1}^{2^j - 1} \frac{\left(f_{i,j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}} = \frac{\left(f_{2^j,j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}}.$$
 We simply

denote

$$d(y) := \frac{\left(f_{2^{j},j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^{j}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{j}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j}}\right)}}.$$
 (2.2)

Then, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  is a stationary martingale-difference sequence.

Define

$$S_{n3} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$
 and

where  $\{d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)): m \geq 1\}$  are stationary processes given in (2.2). We also define the corresponding sequential process

$$S_{n3}(t) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) \text{ for } t \in [0,1].$$

Then we have the following

#### Theorem 3

- 1.  $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |S_{n3}(t)| \to 0$  almost surely.
- 2. In particular,  $S_{n3} \to 0$  almost surely.

#### 2.3 A general setting and proofs

Observe that

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) \\ &= d(y) + d(\varphi(y)) + \dots + d(\varphi^{[nt]-1}(y)) \\ &= d(y) \mathbf{1}_{[1, [nt]]}(1) + d(\varphi(y)) \mathbf{1}_{[1, [nt]]}(2) + \dots + d(\varphi^{[nt]-1}(y)) \mathbf{1}_{[1, [nt]]}([nt]) \end{split}$$



$$+d(\varphi^{[nt]}(y))1_{[1, [nt]]}([nt]+1)+\cdots+d(\varphi^{n-1}(y))1_{[1, [nt]]}(n)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))1_{[1, [nt]]}(m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} f_{t}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$

where

$$f_t(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = d(\varphi^{-1}(y))1_{[1, [nt]]}(\cdot)$$

Then the process  $\{S_{n3}(t): t \in [0,1]\}$  can be regarded as the function indexed process  $\{T_n(f): f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_t: t \in [0,1]\}$  and

$$T_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n f(\varphi^{m-1}(y)), \ f \in \mathcal{F}$$
 (2.3)

where Ef(y) = 0 for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We firstly state the following law of large numbers that will turn out to be a special case of an ergodic theorem. See p.296 in Durrett[4].

**Theorem 4** For each fixed  $f \in \mathcal{F} = \{f_t : t \in [0,1]\} \subseteq L^1$ , as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $T_n(f) \to 0$  almost surely and in the mean.

**Proof.** Denote  $\mathcal{I}_{\varphi}$  the  $\sigma$ -field  $\{A \in \mathcal{A} : \varphi^{-1}A = A\}$  of invariant sets under the tent map  $\varphi$ . Since  $f \in L^1$ , by the ergodic theorem, see for example p.296 in Durrett[?],  $T_n(f) \to E[f(y)|\mathcal{I}_{\varphi}]$  almost surely and in the mean. Because the tent map  $\varphi$  is ergodic, we get  $E[f(y)|\mathcal{I}_{\varphi}] = E[f(y)] = 0$ . The proof is completed.

We are mainly interested in the uniform limiting behavior of the processes  $\{T_n(f): f \in \mathcal{F}\}\$  in (2.3).

In order to measure the size of the function space, we define the following version of metric entropy with bracketing. See, for example, Van der Vaart and Wellner[14] for the recent reference.

**Definition 2** Given two functions l and u, the bracket [l, u] is the set of all functions f with  $l \leq f \leq u$ . An  $\epsilon$ -bracket is a bracket [l, u] with  $||u - l|| < \epsilon$ . The bracketing number  $N_{[\ ]}(\epsilon) := N_{[\ ]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||)$  is the minimum number of  $\epsilon$ -brackets needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$ .





**Remark 3** It is known that for given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist finitely many  $\epsilon$ -brackets  $[l_k, u_k]$  whose union contains  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . This is possible because the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the same as that of [0, 1]. See Van der Vaart and Wellner/14].

Let F be the distribution function of the random variable y. We introduce the empirical distribution function  $F_n$  for the random variables  $y, \varphi(y), \varphi^2(y), \ldots, \varphi^{n-1}(y)$  defined by  $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^n 1_{\{\varphi^{m-1}(y) \leq x\}}$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

We secondly state the following uniform law of large numbers for the process generated by the chaotic tent map.

**Theorem 5** As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |T_n(f)| \to 0$  almost surely and in the mean.

**Proof.** Observe that  $T_n(f)$  can be transformed into the following integral form:  $T_n(f) = \int f(x) dF_n(x)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose finitely many  $\epsilon$ -brackets  $[l_k, u_k]$  whose union contains  $\mathcal{F}$  and such that  $||u_k - l_k|| < \epsilon$  for every  $k = 1, ..., N_{\lceil 1 \rceil}(\epsilon)$ . Then, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a bracket such that

$$T_n(f) \le \int u_k dF_n + \int (u_k - l_k) dF \le \int u_k dF_n + \epsilon.$$

Consequently,  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} T_n(f) \leq \max_{1\leq k\leq N_{[\ ]}(\epsilon)} \int u_k dF_n + \epsilon$ . The right hand side converges almost surely and in the mean to  $\epsilon$  by Theorem 4. Combination with a similar argument for  $\inf_{f\in\mathcal{F}} T_n(f)$  yields that  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} |T_n(f)|^* \leq \epsilon$ , almost surely, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take a sequence  $\epsilon_m \downarrow 0$  to see that the limsup must actually be zero almost surely. The proof is completed.

Finally, the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 can be done similarly.

#### 2.4 An application to Monte Carlo integration

Consider the observable random variable  $d(y) := \frac{\left(f_{2j,j}(y) - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right)}}$  for a fixed  $j \in$ 

N. Let F be the distribution function of d(y). Let h be a known function with  $\int |h(x)|dF(x) < \infty$ .





It is often necessary to approximate the integral  $\int h(x)dF(x)$ . The usual "Monte Carlo" technique is still applied here for the stationary data d(y),  $d(\varphi(y)), d(\varphi^2(y)), \ldots$ 

We may restate the law of large numbers and the sequential law of large numbers generated by the chaotic tent map as follow: Let h be a function with  $\int |h(x)| dF(x) < \infty$ , and let  $d(y), d(\varphi(y)), d(\varphi^2(y)), \ldots$  be stationary random variables obtained from the chaotic tent map. Then, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} h(d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))) \to \int h(x) dF(x)$  almost surely and in the mean. Moreover, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} h(d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))) - \int h(x) dF(x)| \to 0$  almost surely and in the mean.





## Chapter 3

## The invariance principle for the chaotic tent map

#### 3.1Introduction and the main results

In this chapter, we obtain the invariance principle of Donsker-type for the process generated by the chaotic tent map. In obtaining the results, we employ the method of uniformly integrable entropy of Ziegler [12] to the process.

Let X be a uniformly bounded random variable defined on ([0, 1],  $\mathcal{B}$ [0, 1], P = Lebesgue measure) whose distribution function is F. Consider a sequence  $\{X_i: i \geq 1\}$  of independent copies of X. Given a Borel measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to [-1,1]$ , we see that  $\{f(X_i): i \geq 1\}$  forms a sequence of independent identically distributed(IID) random variables that are more flexible in applications than the sequence  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Consider a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of real-valued Borel measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Introduce the usual empirical distribution function  $F_n$  defined by  $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i \leq x\}}$ for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define a function indexed integral process  $S_n$  by  $S_n(f) =$  $n^{1/2} \int f(x) d(F_n - F)(x)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Given a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions, establishing a uniform central limit theorem (CLT) means showing that  $\mathcal{L}(S_n(f))$ :  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ )  $\to \mathcal{L}(G(f) : f \in \mathcal{F})$ , where the processes are considered as random elements of the Banach space,  $B(\mathcal{F}) := \{z : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R} : ||z||_{\mathcal{F}} :=$  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}|z(f)|<\infty$ , the space of the bounded real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{F}$ , taken with the sup norm. The limiting process  $G = (G(f) : f \in \mathcal{F})$  is a Gaussian process whose sample paths are contained in  $U_B(\mathcal{F},\rho) := \{z \in$  $B(\mathcal{F}): z$  is uniformly continuous with respect to  $\rho$ , where  $\rho$  is a metric on

13





 $\mathcal{F}$ . Notice that  $(B(\mathcal{F}), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}})$  is a Banach space and  $U_B(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  is a closed subspace of  $(B(\mathcal{F}), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}})$  and hence is a Banach space. In particular  $U_B(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  is separable if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}, \rho)$  is totally bounded.

In 1997, Ziegler[12] obtained the uniform CLT for the sequence of independent random variables under the uniformly integrable entropy. Ziegler's result states that if  $\mathcal{F}$  has a uniformly integrable entropy then  $S_n$  is asymptotically Gaussian.

We use the following weak convergence due to Hoffmann-Jörgensen[7].

**Definition 3** A sequence of  $B(\mathcal{F})$ -valued random functions  $\{Y_n : n \geq 1\}$  converges in law to a  $B(\mathcal{F})$ -valued Borel measurable random function Y whose law concentrates on a separable subset of  $B(\mathcal{F})$ , denoted by  $Y_n \Rightarrow Y$ , if  $Eg(Y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E^*g(Y_n)$  for all  $g \in C(B(\mathcal{F}), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}})$ , where  $C(B(\mathcal{F}), ||\cdot||_{\mathcal{F}})$  is the set of real bounded, continuous functions.

Let X be a random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  whose distribution function is F. Consider a sequence  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$  of independent copies of X. Given a Borel measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $\{f(X_i) : i \geq 1\}$  forms a sequence of IID random variables that are more flexible in applications than the sequence  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Define a [0,1] indexed process  $S_n$  by

$$S_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} (f(X_i) - Ef(X)) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1],$$
 (3.1)

where [x] is the integer part of x. Introduce the usual empirical distribution function  $F_n$  defined by  $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i \leq x\}}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This process  $S_n$  can be written as the following integral

$$S_n(t) = n^{1/2} \frac{[nt]}{n} \int f(x) d(F_{[nt]} - F)(x) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$
 (3.2)

Establishing a uniform CLT, or an invariance principle, for the process such as  $S_n(t)$  in (3.2) means showing that  $\mathcal{L}(S_n(t):t\in[0,1])\to \mathcal{L}(U(t):t\in[0,1])$ , where the processes are considered as random elements of D[0,1] the space of all real-valued functions on [0,1] that are right continuous at each point of [0,1) with left limits existing at each point of [0,1]. The limiting process  $U=(U(t):t\in[0,1])$  is the Gaussian process with continuous sample paths with the mean zero and the covariance  $EU(s)U(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}Cov(S_n(s),S_n(t))$ .





We now consider a series of stationary processes generated by the tent map  $\varphi$ .

Firstly, we start with  $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)=1_{[0,\frac{1}{2})}(y)$ . Then  $\{f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)): m\geq 1\}$  are identically distributed random variables which have uniform distribution with

$$\begin{split} &P(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))=0)=\frac{1}{2}\\ &P(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))=1)=\frac{1}{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore  $\{f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)): m \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of stationary random variables. Observe that  $Ef_{\frac{1}{2}}(y) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Var(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)) = \frac{1}{4}$ . Define

$$S_{n1} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 and

$$S_{n1}(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that

$$S_{n1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[nt]}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{[nt]}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} \frac{\sqrt{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} 2 \left( f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{[nt]}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} f_{nt}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$

where

$$f_{nt}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = \frac{\sqrt{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Observe that

$$f_{nt}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) \to f_t(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = \sqrt{t} \ 2\left(f_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 almost surely.

Then we have the following





#### Theorem 6

- 1. As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_{n,1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- 2. For each fixed  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$  in [0,1],  $(S_{n1}(t_1), S_{n1}(t_2), \ldots, S_{n1}(t_k)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  as random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . The variance covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_1 \wedge t_2 & \cdots & t_1 \wedge t_k \\ & t_2 & \cdots & t_2 \wedge t_k \\ & & \ddots & & \\ Sym. & & & t_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $t_1 \wedge t_2 = min\{t_1, t_2\}$ 

#### Proof.

- 1. By the central limit theorem for stationary processes, see Gordin[6],  $S_{n1}$  converges in distribution to a standard normal random variable.
- 2. The one dimensional result and Cramer-Wold device give the finite dimensional convergence to a multivariate normal distribution and the covariance structure is given by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ES_{ni}(t_i)S_{n1}(t_j) = t_i \wedge t_j \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Secondly, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for fixed  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^j$ , we look at  $f_{i,j}(y) = 1_{\left[\frac{i-1}{2^j}, \frac{i}{2^j}\right)}(y)$ . Then  $\{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  are identically distributed random variables with

$$P(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = 0) = 1 - 2^{-j}$$
  
 $P(f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = 1) = 2^{-j}$ .

Observe that  $Ef_{i,j}(y) = \frac{1}{2^j}$  and  $Var(f_{i,j}(y)) = \frac{1}{2^j}(1 - \frac{1}{2^j})$ . Define

$$S_{n2} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}$$
 and

$$S_{n2}(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} \frac{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}} \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$





Observe that

$$S_{n2}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[nt]}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} g_{nt}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$

where

$$g_{nt}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = \frac{\sqrt{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}.$$

Observe that

$$g_{nt}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) \to g_t(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = \sqrt{t} \frac{f_{i,j}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}$$
 almost surely.

Then we have the following

#### Theorem 7

- 1. As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_{n2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- 2. For each fixed  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$  in [0,1],  $(S_{n2}(t_1), S_{n2}(t_2), \ldots, S_{n2}(t_k)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  as random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . The variance covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_1 \wedge t_2 & \cdots & t_1 \wedge t_k \\ & t_2 & \cdots & t_2 \wedge t_k \\ & & \cdots & & \\ Sym. & & & t_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** Similar as above.

Thirdly, for each fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider the sum

$$f_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^j} \frac{f_{i,j}(y) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}$$

of the random variables

$$\frac{f_{1,j}(y)-2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1-2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}, \frac{f_{2,j}(y)-2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1-2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}, \dots, \frac{f_{2^{j},j}(y)-2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1-2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}.$$



Then, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , being a sequence of identically distributed random variables,  $\{f_j(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  is stationary and ergodic process. Consider the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{j}} \frac{f_{i,j}(y) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}} - \sum_{i=1}^{2^{j}-1} \frac{f_{i,j}(y) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}} = \frac{f_{2^{j},j}(y) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}.$$

We simply denote

$$d(y) := \frac{f_{2^{j},j}(y) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}.$$

Recall that  $\Omega = [0,1]$  is the sample space,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Borel sets and P is the Lebesgue measure. Then  $\varphi : \Omega \to \Omega$  is a P-preserving measurable transformation. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  is the  $\varphi$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -field (i.e.  $\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ ), set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \varphi^{-n}\mathcal{F}_0$ , and denote by  $E_n$  the conditional expectation operator with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Notice that  $E_{m-2}d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = 0$ . Indeed,

$$E_{m-2}d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = \frac{E_{m-2}1_{[1-2^{-j},1)}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - 2^{-j}}{\{2^{-j}(1-2^{-j})\}^{1/2}}$$

and

$$E_{m-2}1_{[1-2^{-j},1)}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) = E\left(1_{[1-2^{-j},1)}(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-2}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\varphi^{m-1}(y) \in \left[1-2^{-j},1\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{m-2}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\varphi(y) \in \left[1-2^{-j},1\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\varphi(y) \in \left[1-2^{-j},1\right)\right)$$

$$= P\left(y \in \left[1-2^{-j},1\right)\right)$$

$$= 2^{-j}$$

This shows that, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $\{d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \geq 1\}$  is an ergodic, stationary sequence of martingale-differences.

From stationarity, using the Kolmogorov consistency theorem, we may assume that the process, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is double sided.

Define

$$S_{n3} := n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$
 and (3.3)





$$S_{n3}(t) := n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]$$
(3.4)

Observe that

$$S_{n3}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[nt]}} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} h_{nt}(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$$

where

$$h_{nt}(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)) = \frac{\sqrt{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}} \ d(\varphi^{\cdot -1}(y)).$$

Observe that

$$h_{nt}(\varphi^{-1}(y)) \to h_t(\varphi^{-1}(y)) = \sqrt{t} \ d(\varphi^{-1}(y))$$
 almost surely.

Then we have the following

#### Theorem 8

- 1. As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_{n3} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .
- 2. As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_{n3} \Rightarrow U$  as random elements of D[0,1]. The limiting process U is a Gaussian process with continuous sample paths and EU(t) = 0 and

$$EU(s)U(t) = s \wedge t.$$

In this paper, we obtain the uniform version of the central limit theorems (CLT) for the process  $T_n$  in (3.4). In obtaining the uniform CLT, we employ the method of uniformly integrable entropy of Ziegler [12] to the process.

Identify the points  $t \in [0, 1]$  with the class of indicator functions  $\{h_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ .

We are interested in developing the uniform limiting behavior of the process  $S_{n3}$  in (3.4) by using a method of uniformly integrable entropy. We firstly state the following central limit theorem for the sequence of random variables generated by the tent map.

The aim of our work is to develop the CLT and the uniform CLT for the process generated by the tent map by employing Ziegler's idea of the uniformly integrable entropy method.





In section 3.2, we restate the main results in a more general setting of function indexed processes and provide the proofs for the main results. Finally, in section 3.3, we provide an application to Kolmogorov-Smirnov type result.

#### 3.2 A general setting and proofs

We use the following set up to state problem in a concrete fashion. From stationarity, using the Kolmogorov consistency theorem, we may assume that the process, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{d(\varphi^{m-1}(y))\}$  is double sided. We choose  $(\Omega = [0,1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{B}[0,1])^{\mathbb{Z}}, P)$ . We know that the Lebesgue measure P is invariant under  $\varphi$ , that is,  $P\varphi^{-1} = P$ . We also know that  $\varphi$  is an ergodic map. Define for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  a  $\sigma$ -field  $M_{m-1} = \sigma(\varphi^n(y) : n \leq m-1)$  and  $H_{m-1} = \{f : \Omega \to [-1,1] : f \text{ is } M_{m-1} \text{ measurable and } f \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ . For each  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  we simply denote  $E_{m-1}f$  to mean  $E(f|M_{m-1})$  and  $H_0 \ominus H_{-1} = \{f \in H_0 : E(fg) = 0 \text{ for each } g \in H_{-1}\}$ . On  $L^2(\Omega)$  we define a metric d by  $d(f,g) = [E(f-g)^2]^{1/2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_0 \ominus H_{-1}$ . Consider the function indexed processes defined by

$$T_n(f) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^n f(\varphi^{m-1}(y)), \ f \in \mathcal{F}$$

where Ef(y) = 0 for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In order to measure the size of the function space, we define the following version of metric entropy condition. See, for example, Van der Vaart and Wellner[14] for the recent reference.

**Definition 4** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of measurable functions defined on a measurable space  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X})$  such that  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(x)| \leq 1$  for  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ . The covering number  $N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||)$ , simply denote  $N(\epsilon)$  when there is no risk of ambiguity, is the minimum number of balls  $\{g : ||g-h|| < \epsilon\}$  of radius  $\epsilon$  needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 5** Let  $M(\mathbf{X})$  be the set of all finite measures  $\gamma$  on  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}$  has uniformly integrable entropy if  $\int_0^\infty \sup_{\gamma \in M(\mathbf{X})} [\ln N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, d_{\gamma}^{(2)})]^{1/2} d\epsilon < \infty$ , where  $d_{\gamma}^{(2)}(f,g) := [\int_{\mathbf{X}} (f-g)^2 d\gamma]^{1/2}$ .





**Remark 4** It is known that  $\mathcal{F} = \{h_t : t \in [0,1]\}$  has a uniformly integrable entropy. This is possible because the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the same as that of [0,1]. See the section 2.6 of Van der Vaart and Wellner[14].

We firstly state the central limit theorem for the sequence of the random variables generated by the chaotic tent map.

**Theorem 9** For each fixed  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , the sequence of random variables  $T_n(f)$  converges in distribution to a standard normal distribution.

**Proof.** This is a one dimensional central limit theorem for a sequence of an ergodic stationary martingale differences. See for example p.375 in Durrett[4].

We secondly state the uniform central limit theorem for the process generated by the chaotic tent map. Define  $\sigma_n^2(f,g) := n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^n E_{m-2}[f(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) - g(\varphi^{m-1}(y))]^2$  for  $f,g \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 10** Assume that there exists a constant L such that

$$P^* \left\{ \sup_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{\sigma_n^2(f,g)}{d^2(f,g)} \ge L \right\} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.5)

Then, as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $T_n \Rightarrow G$  as random elements of  $B(\mathcal{F})$ . The limiting process G is a Gaussian process with EG(f) = 0 and EG(f)G(g) = Efg.

**Proof.** Observe that the finite dimensional distributions convergence of  $T_n$  to those of G is obtained from Theorem 9. The result is now a consequence of Proposition 1 below, taking  $V_{n,m}(f) := n^{-1/2} f(\varphi^{m-1}(y))$ , by applying Theorem 10.2 of Pollard[10] to the process  $(T_n(f): f \in \mathcal{F})$  indexed by the totally bounded pseudometric space  $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ .

Consider a process  $\{S_n(f): f \in \mathcal{F}\}\$  defined by  $S_n(f):=\sum_{m\leq m(n)}V_{n,m}(f)$  for  $f\in\mathcal{F}$  where  $\{V_{n,m}(f): m\leq m(n), n\in\mathbb{N}, f\in\mathcal{F}\}$  is a martingale-difference array of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -process indexed by the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions with respect to the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\{\mathcal{E}_{n,m}: 0\leq m\leq m(n), n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ . Define  $\sigma_n^2(f,g):=\sum_{m\leq m(n)}E_{n,m-1}[V_{n,m}(f)-V_{n,m}(g)]^2$  for  $f,g\in\mathcal{F}$ . Given random measures  $\mu_n$  on  $(\mathbf{X},\mathcal{X})$ , we define  $d_{\mu_n}^{(2)}(f,g):=[\mu_n(f-g)^2]^{1/2}$ . The proof of following Proposition 1 appears in Bae, J., et al[2].





**Proposition 1** Let  $\{V_{n,m}(f): m \leq m(n), n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a martingaledifference array of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -process indexed by the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions with an envelope M on a measurable space  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  has uniformly integrable entropy. Let  $\mu_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be random measures such that

$$P^*\left\{\sup_{f,g\in\mathcal{F}}\frac{\sigma_n^2(f,g)}{(d_{\mu_n}^{(2)}(f,g))^2}\geq L\right\}\rightarrow 0,\ as\ n\rightarrow\infty,\ for\ a\ constant\ L.$$

Suppose

$$L_n(\delta) := \frac{6}{\delta} \sum_{m \le m(n)} E[V_{n,m}^2(M) 1_{\{V_{n,m}(M) > \delta\}}] \to 0 \text{ for every } \delta > 0.$$
 (3.6)

Then given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  there exists an  $\eta > 0$  for which  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} P^*(\sup_{d_{\mu_n}^{(2)}(f,g) \le \eta} |S_n(f) - S_n(g)| > 5\beta) \le 3\epsilon$ .

**Remark 5** The Lindeberg condition (3.6) is naturally satisfied when the envelop function M is constant.

Finally we remain to finish the proof of Theorem 10. Observe first that  $\mathcal{F} = \{h_t : t \in [0,1]\}$  has a uniformly integrable entropy. We need to verify the assumption (3.5) for  $\mathcal{F} = \{h_t : t \in [0,1]\}$ . Notice that  $d^2(f,g) = E[h_t - h_s]^2 = |t - s|^2 E d^2(y) = |t - s|^2$  and  $E_{m-2}[f(y_{m-1}) - g(y_{m-1})]^2 = |t - s|^2 E_{m-2} d^2(y_{m-1}) = |t - s|^2 E_{-1} d^2(y)$ , where  $y_{m-1} := \varphi^{m-1}(y)$ . Then the assumption (3.5) boils down to the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** There exist L > 0 such that

$$P\left(\sup_{s,t\in[0,1]}\frac{|t-s|^2E_{-1}d^2(y)}{|t-s|^2}>L\right)\to 0 \ as \ n\to\infty$$

whose proof is self evident because d(y) is a uniformly bounded random variable.

Hence the proof of Theorem 10 is finished.

## 3.3 An application to Kolmogorov-Sminov type result

Consider the observable random variable  $d(y) := (f_{2^j,j}(y) - 2^{-j})/\{2^{-j}(1 - 2^{-j})\}^{1/2}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The usual "Kolmogorov-Smirnov type" result is still applied here for the stationary data  $d(y), d(\varphi(y)), d(\varphi^2(y)), \ldots$  We may apply





the uniform central limit theorem for the process generated by the chaotic tent map to get:  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} n^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{[nt]} d(\varphi^{m-1}(y)) \Rightarrow \sup_{t \in [0,1]} U(t)$ .









## Bibliography

- [1] Bae, J., Hwang, C. and Jun, D. (2010). The uniform laws of large numbers for the tent map. Statistics and Probability Letters, 80, No.17-18. pp.1437-1441 doi:10.1016/j.spl.2010.05.010
- [2] Bae, J., Jun, D. and Levental, S. (2010). The uniform CLT for martingale difference arrays under the uniformly integrable entropy. B. Korean Math. Soc. 47, No.1, pp.39-51 doi:10.4134/BKMS.2010.47.1.039
- [3] DeHardt, J. (1971). Generalizations of the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem. Ann. Math. Statist. 42, 2050-2055.
- [4] Durrett, R. (1991). Probability: Theory and Examples. Wadsworth, Belmont, CA.
- [5] Freedman, D. (1975). On Tail Probabilities for Martingales. Ann. Probab. 3, 100-118
- [6] Gordin, M. I. (1969). The central limit theorem for stationary processes. Sov. Math. Dokl. 10, 1174-1176
- [7] Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J. (1991). Stochastic processes on Polish spaces. Aarhus Universitet. Matematisk Institut.
- [8] Ossiander, M. (1987). A Central Limit Theorem under Metric Entropy with  $\mathcal{L}_2$  Bracketing. Ann. Probab. 15, 897-919
- [9] Pollard, D. (1984). Convergence of Stochastic Processes. Springer series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [10] Pollard, D. (1990). Empirical Processes: Theory and Applications. Regional conference series in Probability and Statistics 2, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA.

25





- [11] Van der Vaart, A. W. and Wellner, J. A. (1996). Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics. Springer series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [12] Ziegler, K. (1997). Functional Central Limit Theorem for Triangular Arrays of Function-Indexed Processes under Uniformly Integrable Entropy Conditions. J. Multivariate. Anal. 62, 233-272.
- [13] Van de Geer, S. (2000). Empirical Processes in M-Estimation. Cambridge in Statististical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- [14] Van der Vaart, A. W. and Wellner, J. A. (1996). Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics. Springer series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [15] Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, the english edition.





#### 논문요약

### Tent map에 의해 생성된 확률과정에 대한 불변원리

Chaotic tent map은 단위구간에서 정의된 ergodic map이다. 본 논문에서는 Chaotic tent map에 의해 생성된 다양한 프로세스의 asymptotic behaviors를 연구한다. Chaotic tent map에 의해 생성된 sequential process의 대수의 법칙의 uniform version과 Donsker의 형태에 대한 불변원리를 연구한다.



