## 18.650 Statistics for Applications

Chapter 2: Parametric Inference

### The rationale behind statistical modeling

- ▶ Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be n independent copies of X.
- ▶ The goal of statistics is to learn the distribution of *X*.
- ▶ If  $X \in \{0,1\}$ , easy! It's Ber(p) and we only have to learn the parameter p of the Bernoulli distribution.
- ▶ Can be more complicated. For example, here is a (partial) dataset with number of siblings (including self) that were collected from college students a few years back: 2, 3, 2, 4, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 3, ...
- ▶ We could make no assumption and try to learn the pmf:

That's 7 parameters to learn.

▶ Or we could assume that  $X \sim \mathsf{Poiss}(\lambda)$ . That's 1 parameter to learn!

## Statistical model (1)

#### Formal definition

Let the observed outcome of a statistical experiment be a sample  $X_1,\ldots,X_n$  of n i.i.d. random variables in some measurable space E (usually  $E\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ) and denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  their common distribution. A statistical model associated to that statistical experiment is a pair

$$(E,(\mathbb{P}_{\theta})_{\theta\in\Theta}),$$

#### where:

- E is sample space;
- ▶  $(\mathbb{P}_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is a family of probability measures on E;
- $ightharpoonup \Theta$  is any set, called *parameter set*.

## Statistical model (2)

- ▶ Usually, we will assume that the statistical model is well specified, i.e., defined such that  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}$ , for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- This particular  $\theta$  is called the true parameter, and is unknown: The aim of the statistical experiment is to *estimate*  $\theta$ , or check it's properties when they have a special meaning  $(\theta > 2?, \ \theta \neq 1/2?, \dots)$
- ▶ For now, we will always assume that  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $d \ge 1$ : The model is called *parametric*.

### Statistical model (3)

#### **Examples**

1. For n Bernoulli trials:

$$\left(\{0,1\}, (\mathsf{Ber}(p))_{p \in (0,1)}\right).$$

2. If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ , for some unknown  $\lambda > 0$ :

$$\left({\rm I\!R}_+^*, \left({\rm Exp}(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda>0}\right).$$

3. If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathsf{Poiss}(\lambda)$ , for some unknown  $\lambda > 0$ :

$$\left(\mathbb{N}, (\mathsf{Poiss}(\lambda))_{\lambda>0}\right).$$

4. If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , for some unknown  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ :  $\left( \mathbb{R}, \left( \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \right)_{(\mu, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*} \right).$ 

#### Identification

The parameter  $\theta$  is called *identified* iff the map  $\theta \in \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{P}_{\theta}$  is injective, i.e.,

$$\theta = \theta' \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\theta} = \mathbb{P}_{\theta'}.$$

#### **Examples**

- 1. In all four previous examples, the parameter was identified.
- 2. If  $X_i = \mathbb{I}_{Y_i \geq 0}$ , where  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , for some unknown  $\mu \in \mathbb{IR}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , are unobserved:  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are not identified (but  $\theta = \mu/\sigma$  is).

## Parameter estimation (1)

**Idea:** Given an observed sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and a statistical model  $(E, (\mathbb{P}_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta})$ , one wants to *estimate* the parameter  $\theta$ .

#### **Definitions**

- ▶ Statistic: Any measurable¹ function of the sample, e.g.,  $\bar{X}_n, \max_i X_i, X_1 + \log(1 + |X_n|)$ , sample variance, etc...
- **Estimator** of  $\theta$ : Any statistic whose expression does not depend on  $\theta$ .
- ▶ An estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta$  is weakly (resp. strongly) consistent iff

$$\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathbb{P} \text{ (w.r.t. } \mathbb{P}_{\theta})} \theta$$
 (w.r.t.  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$ ).

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Rule of thumb: if you can compute it exactly once given data, it is measurable. You may have some issues with things that are implicitly defined such as  $\sup$  or  $\inf$  but not in this class

## Parameter estimation (2)

▶ Bias of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta}_{n}\right]-\theta.$$

• Risk (or quadratic risk) of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta|^2\right].$$

**Remark:** If  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,

"Quadratic risk = bias $^2$  + variance".

## Confidence intervals (1)

Let  $(E,(\mathbb{P}_{\theta})_{\theta\in\Theta})$  be a statistical model based on observations  $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ , and assume  $\Theta\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Definition

Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ .

▶ Confidence interval (C.I.) of level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\theta$ : Any random (i.e., depending on  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ ) interval  $\mathcal I$  whose boundaries do not depend on  $\theta$  and such that:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{I} \ni \theta \right] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

▶ C.I. of asymptotic level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\theta$ : Any random interval  $\mathcal{I}$  whose boundaries do not depend on  $\theta$  and such that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\theta} \left[ \mathcal{I} \ni \theta \right] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

# Confidence intervals (2)

**Example:** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathrm{Ber}(p)$ , for some unknown  $p \in (0,1)$ .

- ▶ LLN: The sample average  $\bar{X}_n$  is a strongly consistent estimator of p.
- Let  $q_{lpha/2}$  be the  $(1-rac{lpha}{2})$ -quantile of  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and

$$\mathcal{I} = \left[ \bar{X}_n - \frac{q_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X}_n + \frac{q_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{\sqrt{n}} \right].$$

- ► CLT:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_p \left[ \mathcal{I} \ni p \right] = 1 \alpha, \quad \forall p \in (0,1).$
- ▶ Problem:  $\mathcal{I}$  depends on p !

## Confidence intervals (3)

#### Two solutions:

- ▶ Replace p(1-p) with 1/4 in  $\mathcal{I}$  (since  $p(1-p) \leq 1/4$ ).
- ▶ Replace p with  $\bar{X}_n$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  and use Slutsky's theorem.

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

#### 18.650 / 18.6501 Statistics for Applications

Fall 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.