

Part 1: Stationary Time Series

Instructor: Ma, Jun

School of Economics, Renmin University of China

March 6, 2018

Time Series and Stochastic Process

Definition 1 (Time Series)

1. A collection of data (observations), collected at every $t \in T$, where T is an index set, e.g., $T = [a, b]$ with continuous observations or $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ with discrete-time observations.
2. The mathematical model that describes how the data is generated.

A stochastic process is a collection of random variables (or vectors) defined on a common probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , denoted by $\{X_t : t \in T\}$ with an index set T .

For a single $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $t \mapsto X_t(\omega) : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a sample path.

Time Series and Stochastic Process

Remark 2

For $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$, our data $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, starting being observed at time 1 and stop at time n .

We use a stochastic process to describe how the data is generated, $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, i.e., a process that runs from infinite past to infinite future. The process run before we start observing the output (i.e., before $t = 1$) and will continue after we stop observing at $t = n$.

Our data $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a realization of the process. I.e.,

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)\}.$$

Notice that $\{\dots, X_{-1}(\omega), X_0(\omega)\}$ and $\{X_{n+1}(\omega), X_{n+2}(\omega), \dots\}$ are unobserved.

Examples

- (I.I.D. Process) If $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, we often write $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{i.i.d.}$ or $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{i.i.d.}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ to indicate $E[X_1] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X_1] = \sigma^2$. X_s and X_t (for all $s \neq t$) are independent and also $P[X_t \leq x] = P[X_s \leq x]$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- (Random Walk) $\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Let

$$X_t := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^t Z_k & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The simplest case corresponds to

$$Z_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Examples

- ▶ (Moving Average) $\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{i.i.d.} (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$X_t := a_1 Z_t + a_2 Z_{t-1} + \cdots + a_q Z_{t-q+1},$$

for $(a_1, \dots, a_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

- ▶ (AR(1)) $\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{i.i.d.} (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$X_t := \rho X_{t-1} + Z_t, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- ▶ (Signal + Noise) $\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{i.i.d.} (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$X_t := \mu(t) + Z_t,$$

where μ is a deterministic function.

- ▶ (Brownian Motion) A Brownian motion is a stochastic process with index set $T = [0, 1]$, such that (1). $P[X(0) = 0] = 1$, (2). The process has independent increments, i.e., if $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_m$, then $X(t_1) - X(t_0), \dots, X(t_m) - X(t_{m-1})$ are independent. (3). For $t_2 > t_1 \geq 0$, $X(t_2) - X(t_1) \sim N(0, t_2 - t_1)$.

Definitions

Definition 3 (White Noise)

A process $\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called a white noise if: (1).

$\text{Var}[Z_t] = \sigma^2 < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, (2). $E[Z_t] = \mu$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

(3). $\text{Cov}[Z_t, Z_{t+h}] = 0$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \neq 0$. Write

$\{Z_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \sim \text{WN}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ be a stochastic process, the finite-dimensional distribution (f.d.d.) for some $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ is the joint distribution of $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$.

A stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ is called Gaussian if all of its f.d.d. are multivariate normal.

Definitions

Definition 4 (Autocovariance Function)

For a stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$, for which $\text{Var}[X_1] < \infty$ (if and only if $E[X_1^2] < \infty$), for all $t \in T$, the autocovariance function (ACF) $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ of $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ is given by

$$\gamma(s, t) := \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t] = E[(X_s - E[X_s])(X_t - E[X_t])], (s, t) \in T^2.$$

The stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ is said to be weakly stationary if (1). $E[X_t^2] < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, (2). $E[X_t] = \mu$, all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e., the expectation is constant) and (3). $\gamma(s, t) = \gamma(s + r, t + r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Definitions

Remark 5

Apparently, $\gamma(s, t) = \gamma(t, s)$ for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. When the process is weakly stationary,

$$\gamma(0, s - t) = \gamma(t, s) = \gamma(t - s, 0) = \gamma(0, t - s).$$

And the ACF of a weakly stationary process can be expressed as a one-parameter function $\gamma(h)$ with $h = |s - t|$.

Definition 6 (Autocorrelation Function)

The autocorrelation function is

$$\rho(h) := \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)} = \frac{\text{Cov}[X_{t+h}, X_t]}{\text{Var}[X_t]} = \text{Corr}[X_{t+h}, X_t].$$

Remark 7

Notice that $\rho(h) = 0$ does not generally imply that X_t is independent of X_{t+h} .

Definitions

Definition 8 (Strict Stationarity)

A stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly stationary if

$$F_{X_{t_1} \dots X_{t_n}} = F_{X_{t_1+h} \dots X_{t_n+h}}, \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}, (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

or

$$P[X_{t_1} \leq x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} \leq x_n] = P[X_{t_1+h} \leq x_1, \dots, X_{t_n+h} \leq x_n]$$

for all $(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 9

Example 1: An i.i.d. process is strictly stationary. Example 2: For a random variable X , define $X_t = X$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly stationary.

Definitions

Remark 10 (Relation between weak and strict stationarity)

If $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly stationary, the distribution F_{X_t} of X_t is the same for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Any pair (X_t, X_{t+h}) has a joint distribution $F_{X_t, X_{t+h}}$ that does not depend on t . Hence, $E[X_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dF_{X_t}(x)$ is independent of t and

$$\text{Cov}[X_t, X_{t+h}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x - \mu)(y - \mu) dF_{X_t, X_{t+h}}(x, y),$$

where $\mu := E[X_t]$ is independent of t . So strict stationarity \implies weak stationarity.

One important case when weak stationarity implies strict stationarity is that when the process is Gaussian. When $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Gaussian process, weak stationarity of $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ implies its strict stationarity since $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ and $(X_{t_1+h}, \dots, X_{t_n+h})$ have the same mean and covariance matrix and hence the same distribution for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Properties of ACF

Proposition 11

If $\gamma(\cdot)$ is the ACF of a stationary stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then

(1). $\gamma(0) \geq 0$

(2). $|\gamma(h)| \leq \gamma(0)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$

(3). $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 12 (Positive Semi-Definite)

A real-valued function $\kappa : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ positive semi-definite (p.s.d.) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \kappa(t_i - t_j) \geq 0$$

for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. I.e., fixing any $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the $n \times n$ matrix where the (i, j) -th element is $\kappa(t_i - t_j)$ is p.s.d.

Properties of ACF

Theorem 13 (Characterization of ACF)

A function $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the ACF of a stationary stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if γ is an even function, i.e., $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p.s.d.

Sample Estimates

- Assume that we have a stationary time series process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $E[X_t] = \mu$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and ACF $\gamma(h)$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. In practice, μ and γ must be estimated from the data.

Definition 14 (Sample mean and Sample ACF)

Sample Mean:

$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

and sample ACF:

$$\hat{\gamma}(h) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-|h|} (X_{k+|h|} - \bar{X}_n) (X_k - \bar{X}_n).$$

Asymptotic Normality

Definition 15

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. They are said to be asymptotically normal denoted as $Y_n \overset{a}{\sim} N(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ if $\mu_n = E[Y_n]$, $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}[Y_n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\frac{Y_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \leq x \right] = \Phi(x), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where Φ is the $N(0, 1)$ CDF. In other words, $\frac{Y_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$.

Remark 16

For sample, let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d., $\mu = E[X_1]$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[X_1]$. Let

$$Y_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j, \mu_n := E[Y_n] = \mu, \sigma_n := \text{Var}[Y_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

Then, by standard CLT, $\frac{Y_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$.

Properties of Sample Mean and Sample ACF

- ▶ We can show that the sample mean and sample ACF are consistent and asymptotically normal. For now, we show only consistency of the sample mean.
- ▶ An estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ of some parameter θ , n is the sample size.

$$\text{consistency} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(weak)} & \forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\left| \hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right| > \epsilon \right] = 0 \\ \text{(strong)} & P \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_n(\omega) = \theta \right\} \right) = 1 \\ \text{(MSE)} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right)^2 \right] = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ MSE consistency \implies Weak consistency:

$$P \left[\left| \hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right| > \epsilon \right] \leq \frac{E \left[\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta \right)^2 \right]}{\epsilon^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

- ▶ Strong consistency \implies Weak consistency: the proof is difficult.

Properties of Sample Mean and Sample ACF

Proposition 17

Suppose that $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is weakly stationary and let γ be its ACF and let μ be its mean. (1). If $\gamma(h) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then $E[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. (2). If $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$,

$$n \cdot \text{Var} [\bar{X}_n] = n \cdot E[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h).$$

Properties of Sample Mean and Sample ACF

Remark 18

In some books, the condition $\gamma(h) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow \infty} 0$ is referred to as “weak dependence”. We say that a stationary process is weakly dependent if it satisfies this. Note that stationarity alone does not guarantee consistency of \bar{X}_n . Here is a counter-example. Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be i.i.d. mean 0. Let Z be independent of $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$, mean 0. Define $Y_t := X_t + Z$. The process $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is strictly stationary, mean 0. But

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t + Z \rightarrow_p Z \neq \mathbb{E}[Y_1],$$

since $\{Y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has too much temporal dependence:

$$\text{Cov}[Y_t, Y_{t+h}] = \text{Var}[Z] \neq 0, \forall h.$$

Properties of Sample Mean and Sample ACF

Remark 19

Suppose that $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is weakly stationary and let γ be its ACF and let μ be its mean. If we can show \bar{X}_n is asymptotically normal, i.e.,

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\text{Var} [\bar{X}_n]}} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1).$$

If $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$, since

$n \cdot \text{Var} [\bar{X}_n] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) =: \tau^2$, we have

$$\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) = \sqrt{n \cdot \text{Var} [\bar{X}_n]} \cdot \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\text{Var} [\bar{X}_n]}}$$

and thus $\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \tau^2)$. We will provide a CLT for some special weakly stationary process later.