

# Project Apex Race Report - Race Event

## Executive Summary

The race presented a clear stratification of performance, largely dictated by a combination of raw pace, tire management, and operational efficiency. **\*\*Leaders:\*\*** BMW and Aston Martin emerged as the clear frontrunners. BMW demonstrated superior overall pace, ranking 1st in manufacturer pace, with cars like #39 and #95 showing exceptional average green flag pace and industry-leading consistency (e.g., #27 at 0.536s STDEV). Their pit operations were also highly efficient, with CarBahn (#4) leading in pit cycle loss. Aston Martin, while slightly behind in raw pace, showcased excellent consistency (#46 at 0.506s STDEV) and superior tire wear management (ranked 2nd overall). Porsche, though not topping overall pace rankings, displayed intriguing performance with car #16 exhibiting an anomalous negative tire degradation rate (-0.325s/lap), suggesting it gained pace towards the end of stints, a significant competitive advantage. **\*\*Mid-field:\*\*** This tier was characterized by mixed performance and untapped potential. Ford demonstrated high raw speed (#13 had the fastest optimal lap and strong average green pace), but this was severely undermined by extreme inconsistency (11.544s STDEV for #13) and high tire degradation (2.201s/lap for #13). Hyundai showed variability, with some cars managing tires well but overall pit efficiency being a weakness. Toyota's #12 was a standout, embodying optimal race performance with excellent consistency and minimal tire degradation (0.138s/lap), contrasting sharply with the manufacturer's lower overall pace ranking. Mercedes-AMG and Cupra also resided in this tier, showing flashes of speed but struggling with tire wear and consistency, respectively. **\*\*Laggers:\*\*** Audi consistently occupied the bottom tier across all key metrics. Ranked last in both manufacturer pace and tire wear, their performance was fundamentally hampered. Car #10 suffered a critical, race-ending pit stop failure (6:30.181s average stationary time), highlighting severe operational deficiencies. Even without this, their cars exhibited slow average green flag pace and high tire degradation, pointing to deep-seated performance issues. The ability to combine raw pace with exceptional **\*\*tire management and race pace consistency\*\*** proved to be the most significant strategic differentiator in this race.

## Tactical Insights

- {'team\_type': 'leading\_team', 'recommendation': 'Leverage the exceptional consistency and negative tire degradation of Car #39 (BMW) to implement aggressive undercut strategies or extend stints for overcut opportunities, maximizing track position gains.', 'justification': 'Car #39 (BMW) exhibits a

- 'race\_pace\_consistency\_stdev' of '0.438s', which is among the best, and a 'tire\_degradation\_model' with an 'end\_of\_stint\_deg\_rate\_s\_per\_lap' of '-0.140s'. This unique characteristic means the car paradoxically gets faster towards the end of its stints, allowing it to maintain or gain pace when competitors are struggling with tire wear. This offers strategic flexibility for both early stops (undercut) or longer runs (overcut) to exploit tire performance differences."}
- {'team\_type': 'mid\_field\_team', 'recommendation': "Prioritize immediate and thorough analysis of Car #13's (Ford) setup and driver inputs to address its severe race pace inconsistency and high tire degradation, even if it means sacrificing some raw peak pace.", 'justification': "Car #13 (Ford) has a 'fastest\_lap' of '1:56.488' and a strong 'avg\_green\_pace\_fuel\_corrected' of '2:00.794', indicating high raw potential. However, its 'race\_pace\_consistency\_stdev' is an alarming '11.544s', and its 'tire\_degradation\_model' shows an 'end\_of\_stint\_deg\_rate\_s\_per\_lap' of '2.201s'. This drastic drop-off negates its initial speed. Comparing this to Car #59 (Ford), which has a 'stdev' of '0.893s' and 'deg' of '0.029s/lap', suggests the issue is car-specific setup or driver technique rather than a fundamental manufacturer flaw. Focusing on consistency will unlock the car's true potential over race distance."}
  - {'team\_type': 'lagging\_team', 'recommendation': 'Conduct an urgent, comprehensive operational review of pit stop procedures and equipment for Car #10 (Audi), and implement robust contingency plans to prevent recurrence of critical failures. Simultaneously, investigate the root causes of high tire degradation across the manufacturer.', 'justification': "Car #10 (Audi) suffered an extreme 'avg\_pit\_stationary\_time' of '6:30.181s', which is an unacceptable operational failure and the primary reason for its race performance collapse ('total\_pit\_time\_minus\_travel' of '8:28.324'). This is further compounded by the manufacturer's overall worst 'manufacturer\_tire\_wear\_ranking' ('0.2289') and Car #10's high 'end\_of\_stint\_deg\_rate\_s\_per\_lap' of '0.458s'. Addressing the pit stop reliability is paramount, as even competitive pace is meaningless with such operational vulnerabilities. Improving tire degradation is a secondary, but crucial, long-term objective for the manufacturer."}