

SentinelGate: A Hierarchical Cheap-First Guardrail with Human-in-the-Loop Adaptive Domain Memory for Cost-Efficient Enterprise LLM Governance

Dhrumil Patel

College of Engineering

Northeastern University

Boston, USA

patel.dhrumil@northeastern.edu

Abstract—Enterprise adoption of Large Language Models (LLMs) introduces significant operational costs caused by irrelevant, noisy, and off-domain prompts. Traditional guardrail approaches rely on secondary LLM moderation, increasing latency and cost. We present SentinelGate, a hierarchical cheap-first guardrail architecture that prevents token leakage before expensive model invocation. The system integrates deterministic heuristics, semantic noise detection, contrastive domain disambiguation, and a novel human-in-the-loop adaptive memory layer. Evaluated on enterprise-style prompts, SentinelGate achieves 92.44% accuracy with sub-11ms latency while rejecting 100% of junk and generic prompts. The proposed architecture provides a scalable, FinOps-aligned framework for cost-efficient LLM governance.

Index Terms—LLM Guardrails, AI FinOps, Semantic Similarity, Sentence Transformers, Prompt Governance, Human-in-the-Loop.

I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Large Language Models (LLMs) into enterprise workflows has transformed productivity but introduced substantial FinOps challenges. Organizations deploying LLM APIs incur per-token costs that scale with usage. A significant proportion of prompts are irrelevant, low-value, or off-domain, resulting in unnecessary token consumption.

Existing moderation systems typically invoke additional LLM calls for filtering, paradoxically increasing operational expenditure. We propose SentinelGate, a hierarchical gating system designed to minimize LLM invocations through lightweight filtering stages.

II. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

SentinelGate follows a cascading cost model where each stage increases computational complexity but reduces overall system cost by preventing unnecessary LLM invocations.

A. Layer 0: Heuristic Pre-Filtering

Layer 0 performs constant-time rule-based filtering using regular expressions and token length constraints. This stage removes trivial inputs such as “hi”, “test”, or symbol-only strings in $O(1)$ time.

B. Layer 1: Semantic Noise Detection

Prompts passing Layer 0 are embedded using the *all-MiniLM-L6-v2* transformer model. Cosine similarity between the prompt embedding \mathbf{x} and predefined noise anchors \mathbf{n} is computed:

$$S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{n}\|} \quad (1)$$

C. Layer 2: Contrastive Domain Disambiguation

To distinguish domain-relevant prompts from generic requests, we compute a margin score:

$$\text{margin} = \max(\text{sim}(x, P)) - \max(\text{sim}(x, N)) \quad (2)$$

where P represents positive domain anchors and N represents negative generic anchors. A prompt is allowed if $\text{margin} \geq \tau$, with τ empirically set to 0.10.

D. Layer 2.5: Human-in-the-Loop Adaptive Memory

SentinelGate introduces an approved memory store M . Administrators may approve off-domain prompts, embedding canonical representations into a vector store. A prompt x is auto-allowed if:

$$\max(\text{sim}(x, M)) \geq \alpha \quad (3)$$

where α is the approved similarity threshold. This enables safe adaptability without retraining models.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We evaluated SentinelGate on 119 enterprise-adjacent prompts using a FastAPI implementation.

TABLE I
SENTINELGATE PERFORMANCE METRICS

Metric	Value
Overall Accuracy	92.44%
Junk Rejection	100.00%
Generic Rejection	100.00%
Domain Recall	80.00%
Mean Latency (Local)	10.69 ms
Mean API Latency	35.46 ms

IV. FINOPS COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS

Unlike LLM-based moderation which incurs high latency and cost, SentinelGate operates at near-zero expenditure.

TABLE II
COMPARISON WITH LLM-BASED GUARDRAILS

Method	Latency	Cost
LLM Moderation API	300–800 ms	High
Secondary GPT Filter	400–1000 ms	Very High
SentinelGate	10–35 ms	Near-Zero

V. CONCLUSION

SentinelGate achieves high accuracy with minimal latency by combining deterministic filtering, semantic similarity, and human-approved memory. Future work includes dynamic anchor optimization and multi-domain routing.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Reimers and I. Gurevych, “Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks,” in *Proc. EMNLP*, 2019.
- [2] A. Vaswani et al., “Attention is All You Need,” 2017.
- [3] FinOps Foundation, “What is FinOps,” 2023. [Online].