

Definite Clause: Clause with only one positive literal

Eg- $(\neg L_{11} \vee B_{11} \vee \neg Breeze)$

Horn Clause: Clause with at most one positive literal

Advantage of using definite clause (CNF can be converted to Implication)

$$(\neg L_{11} \vee B_{11} \vee \neg Breeze)$$

$$\equiv (L_{11} \wedge Breeze) \Rightarrow B_{11}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\neg (L_{11} \wedge Breeze) \vee B_{11}) \\ (\neg L_{11} \vee \neg Breeze \vee B_{11}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(\underbrace{\neg L_{11} \vee \neg Breeze}_{\text{body}} \vee \underbrace{B_{11}}_{\text{head}})$$

$$\equiv \neg (L_{11} \wedge Breeze) \vee B_{11}$$

$$\equiv \underbrace{(L_{11} \wedge Breeze)}_{\text{Body (Premise)}} \Rightarrow \underbrace{B_{11}}_{\text{head}}$$

Inference with Horn clauses can be done using Forward chaining and

backward chaining • RUN in linear time
Only when KB is conjunction of Horn clauses

Inference Engine

- 1 Forward chaining (May do a lot of irrelevant work)
 - Starts with known facts and asserts new facts
- 2 Backward chaining (Goal driven)
 - Starts with goals and works backward to determine what facts must be asserted so that goals can be achieved.

$$\text{American}(x) \wedge \text{Weapon}(y) \wedge \text{sell}(x, y, z) \wedge \text{enemy}(z, \text{America}) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Criminal}(x)$$

- ✓ $\text{Enemy}(\text{None}, \text{America})$
- ✓ $\text{Owns}(\text{None}, x)$
- ✓ $\text{Missile}(x)$
- ✓ $\forall x \text{ Missile}(x) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{None}, x) \Rightarrow \text{sell}(\text{Colonel}, x, \text{None})$
- ✓ $\text{Missile}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Weapon}(x)$
- ✓ $\text{American}(\text{Colonel})$

