

CS345 : Assignment 3

Dhruv Gupta (220361)

October 2024

Dhruv Gupta: 220361

Question 1

Reduction to Max-Flow Problem:

We will reduce this problem to Max-Flow Problem by creating an appropriate directed graph $G = (V, E)$. Let B be the set of all buses, S be the set of all stations, s and t be special vertices not in B and S (these will be the source and sink vertices), $V = B \cup S \cup \{s, t\}$ be the set of vertices, E be the set of edges. For each pair $(u, v) \in B \times S$, if distance between u and $v \leq r$, we will add the edge (u, v) in E . Also we will add the edges (s, u) and $(v, t) \forall u \in B$ and $\forall v \in S$ in E . Note that there is no edge between any two vertices in B or between any two vertices in S .

We will assign capacities $c(u, v)$ to all the edges $(u, v) \in E$.

- $c(s, u) = 1 \forall u \in B$
- $c(v, t) = L \forall v \in S$
- $c(u, v) = 1$ otherwise

We will apply Max-Flow algorithm for this graph considering s and t as the source and sink respectively. We claim that the max flow will be equal to the maximum number of buses that can be connected simultaneously to a station while following the given constraints. If this number is less than n then it is not possible to connect every bus simultaneously. Otherwise if it is equal to n , it is possible to connect every bus simultaneously. It is easy to observe that max flow can not be more than n .

Proof of correctness:

We will define a *mapping* M as a set of edges of the form $(u, v) \in E$ where $u \in B, v \in S$ such that $\forall u \in B$, there is atmost one outgoing edge in M , and $\forall v \in S$ there are atmost L incoming edges in M . Note that for every *mapping* we will get a valid way of connecting the buses to the stations. Let us define the "size" of a *mapping* M as the number of elements in the set M , i.e., $|M|$. It is easy to see that every *mapping* corresponds to a valid way of connecting buses to stations while following all the constraints. Therefore, if we get a *mapping* of size k , it means that k buses can be connected.

Theorem: There exists a flow of value k in G iff there exists a *mapping* of size k in G .

Proof:

Part I: flow of value $k \Rightarrow$ mapping of size k .

Consider any flow with value k in G . By integrality of max flow, we can say that there exists an integral flow f of value k in G . We will create a *mapping* M of size k from this flow as follows -

$$M = \{(u, v) \mid u \in B, v \in S, (u, v) \in E, f(u, v) = 1\}$$

Note that flow can only be 0 or 1 for each edge from B to S (because flow is integral) and we are taking all those edges along which flow is 1. We will prove that M is a valid *mapping* of size k .

- First, we will prove that $|M| = k$.
Consider the $s - t$ cut with $A = B \cup \{s\}$ and $\bar{A} = S \cup \{t\}$ as the two sets. Note that $k = \text{value}(f) = f_{\text{out}}(A) - f_{\text{in}}(A)$. Note that there are no edges from \bar{A} to A . Therefore, $f_{\text{in}}(A) = 0$. So, $k = \text{value}(f) = f_{\text{out}}(A)$. Also, all the edges going from A to \bar{A} are of the form (u, v) where $u \in B, v \in S$. Since, flow values along these edges can only be 0 or 1, $f_{\text{out}}(A) = \text{total number of edges going from } A \text{ to } \bar{A} \text{ which have a flow of } 1 = \text{total number of edges going from } B \text{ to } S \text{ which have a flow of } 1 = |M|$. Therefore, $|M| = k$.
- Second, we will prove that there is atmost one outgoing edge in M for each vertex in B .
Consider any $u \in B$. Since, f is a flow, by conservation constraint $f_{\text{out}}(u) - f_{\text{in}}(u) = 0 \Rightarrow f_{\text{out}}(u) = f_{\text{in}}(u)$ (since u is an intermediate vertex). Now, only incoming edge on u is from s . So, $f_{\text{in}}(u) = f(s, u) \leq c(s, u) = 1$, (by capacity constraint) $\Rightarrow f_{\text{in}}(u) \leq 1 \Rightarrow f_{\text{out}}(u) \leq 1$. Since, flow along any edge from B to S can only be 0 or 1, $f_{\text{out}}(u)$ is the number of outgoing edges from u with flow of 1, there can be atmost one outgoing edge from u with a flow of 1. Therefore, atmost one outgoing edge from u will be included in M .
- Third, we will prove that there are atmost L incoming edges in M for each vertex in S .
Consider any $v \in S$. Since, f is a flow, by conservation constraint $f_{\text{out}}(v) - f_{\text{in}}(v) = 0 \Rightarrow f_{\text{out}}(v) = f_{\text{in}}(v)$ (since v is an intermediate vertex). Now, only outgoing edge from v is to t . So, $f_{\text{out}}(v) = f(v, t) \leq c(v, t) = L$, (by capacity constraint) $\Rightarrow f_{\text{out}}(v) \leq L \Rightarrow f_{\text{in}}(v) \leq L$. Since, flow along any edge from B to S can only be 0 or 1, $f_{\text{in}}(v)$ is the number of incoming edges on v with flow of 1, there can be atmost L incoming edges on v with a flow of 1. Therefore, atmost L incoming edges on v will be included in M .

Hence we have proven that M is a valid *mapping* of size k . Therefore, we have proven that for every flow of value k there exists a *mapping* of size k in G .

Part II: mapping of size $k \Rightarrow$ flow of value k .

Consider a *mapping* M in G , with $|M| = k$. We will construct a flow f , in G such that $\text{value}(f) = k$.

- For all edges $(s, u) \in E, u \in B$: $f(s, u) = 1$ if there exists an edge of the form (u, v) in M , 0 otherwise.
- For all edges $(u, v) \in E, u \in B, v \in S$: $f(u, v) = 1$ if $(u, v) \in M$, 0 otherwise.
- For all edges $(v, t) \in E, v \in S$: $f(v, t) = \text{number of edges of the form } (u, v) \text{ in } M$.

Now we need to prove that this is a valid flow of value k .

- First, we will prove that $value(f) = k$.
Consider the $s - t$ cut with $A = B \cup \{s\}$ and $\bar{A} = S \cup \{t\}$ as the two sets. Note that $k = value(f) = f_{out}(A) - f_{in}(A)$. Note that there are no edges from \bar{A} to A . Therefore, $f_{in}(A) = 0$. So, $value(f) = f_{out}(A)$. Also, all the edges going from A to \bar{A} are of the form (u, v) where $u \in B, v \in S$. Since, flow values along these edges can only be 0 or 1, $f_{out}(A) =$ total number of edges going from A to \bar{A} which have a flow of 1 = total number of edges going from B to S which have a flow of 1 = $|M| = k$ (since, for every edge $(u, v) \in B \times S$, flow is 1 iff $(u, v) \in M$). Therefore, $value(f) = k$.
- Second, we will prove the capacity constraint, i.e., for every edge $(u, v) \in E, f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.
 - For all edges $(s, u) \in E, u \in B : f(s, u) = 1$ or $0 \leq 1 = c(s, u)$.
 - For all edges $(u, v) \in E, u \in B, v \in S : f(u, v) = 1$ or $0 \leq 1 = c(u, v)$.
 - For all edges $(v, t) \in E, v \in S : f(v, t) = \text{number of edges of the form } (u, v) \text{ in } M = \text{number of incoming edges on } v \text{ in } M \leq L = c(v, t)$.
- Third, we will prove the conservation constraint, i.e., for every vertex $w \in V \setminus \{s, t\}, f_{out}(w) - f_{in}(w) = 0$. Take any vertex $w \in V \setminus \{s, t\} = B \cup S$.
 - If $w \in B$ and there exists an edge of the form (w, v) in M , by construction, $f(s, w) = 1$. Also, since M is a *mapping*, there cannot be more than one edge of the form (w, v) in M . Let this edge be (w, v_0) . Again by construction, $f(w, v_0) = 1$ and $f(w, v) = 0$, where $(w, v) \in E, \forall v \in S \setminus \{v_0\}$.
Therefore, $f_{out}(w) - f_{in}(w) = \sum_{v \in S} f(w, v) - f(s, w) = f(w, v_0) + \sum_{v \in S \setminus \{v_0\}} f(w, v) - f(s, w) = 1 + 0 - 1 = 0$.
 - If $w \in B$ and there does not exist an edge of the form (w, v) in M , by construction, $f(s, w) = 0$. Also, by construction $f(w, v) = 0$ for all $v \in S$. Therefore, $f_{out}(w) - f_{in}(w) = \sum_{v \in S} f(w, v) - f(s, w) = 0 - 0 = 0$.
 - If $w \in S, f_{out}(w) = f(w, t) = \text{number of edges of the form } (u, w) \text{ in } M = \text{number of edges } (u, w), u \in B \text{ with } f(u, w) = 1$ (by construction all the edges of this form have a flow of 1 if they are in M , otherwise they have a flow of 0) = $\sum_{u \in B} f(u, w) = f_{in}(w)$.
Therefore, $f_{out}(w) = f_{in}(w) \Rightarrow f_{out}(w) - f_{in}(w) = 0$.

Hence we have proven that f is a valid flow with value k . Therefore, we have proven that for every *mapping* of size k , there exists a flow of value k in G .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now, suppose max flow in G has a value p , i.e., we have a flow with value p , and there does not exist any flow with value more than p . From above theorem, we can say that we will have a *mapping* of size p , and there does not exist any *mapping* with size more than p . Thus size of maximal *mapping* must be of size p , i.e., the maximum number of buses that can be connected simultaneously to a station while following the given constraints must be p . This proves the correctness of our algorithm.

Pseudocode:

We will assume that we are given B (set of buses), S (set of stations), r (range parameter) and L (capacity parameter). Also, $u.x$ and $u.y$ are the x and y coordinates for the vertex u (bus or a station). We will also assume that a function **Max-Flow**(G) is available which returns the max

flow value for a given input graph G . Also, we have a function $dist(u, v)$ which returns the distance between u and v in $O(1)$ time.

```

IsConnectionPossible( $B, S, r, L$ ){
   $V \leftarrow B \cup S \cup \{s, t\}$ ;
   $E \leftarrow \phi$ ;
  for every  $u \in B$  {  $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(s, u)\}$ ;  $c(s, u) = 1$  }
  for every  $v \in S$  {  $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(v, t)\}$ ;  $c(v, t) = L$  }
  for every  $(u, v) \in B \times S$  {
    if( $dist(u, v) \leq r$ ) {  $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(u, v)\}$ ;  $c(u, v) = 1$  }
  }
  Let  $G = (V, E)$ 
   $x \leftarrow \mathbf{Max-Flow}(G)$ ;
  if( $x < |B|$ ) return false;
  else return true;
}

```

Time Complexity Analysis:

Let $|B| = n, |S| = k$. We assume that insertion operation (union with a single element) in a set takes $O(\log(N))$ time, where N is the size of the set (this is true for RBT implementation of set). Creating V takes $O((n+k)\log(n+k))$ time. Creating E and assigning capacities to all the edges simultaneously takes $O((n+k+nk)\log(n+k)) = O(nk\log(n+k))$ time. Note that $|V| = n+k+2 = O(n+k)$, $|E| \leq nk+n+k = O(nk)$. **Max-Flow** will take $O(E^2V) = O(n^2k^2(n+k))$ time (using Edmond Karp algorithm). Therefore, overall time complexity is $O(n^2k^2(n+k) + nk\log(n+k) + (n+k)\log(n+k)) = O(n^2k^2(n+k))$, which is a polynomial in n and k .

Dhruv Gupta: 220361

Question 2

Reduction to Edge-Disjoint-Paths Problem:

We will reduce the given problem to the edge-disjoint-paths problem, by modifying the given graph G to create a new graph G' . Let $G = (V, E)$. We will create $G' = (V', E')$. For every vertex $v \in V$, add vertices v_{in} and v_{out} in V' and edge (v_{in}, v_{out}) in E' . Also, for every edge $(x, y) \in E$, add edge (x_{out}, y_{in}) in E' . We claim that maximum number of vertex disjoint paths in G is equal to the maximum number of edge disjoint paths in G' , which we know how to compute from the edge-disjoint-paths problem.

Proof of correctness:

We will first prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1: For every $s - t$ path in G there is a unique $s_{out} - t_{in}$ path in G' and vice-versa.

Proof: Consider any $s - t$ path $P_1 = (s = v^0, v^1, \dots, v^{k-1}, v^k = t)$ in G . Construct a path $P'_1 = (s_{out} = v_{out}^0, v_{in}^1, v_{out}^1, \dots, v_{in}^{k-1}, v_{out}^{k-1}, v_{in}^k = t_{in})$. Note that this is a valid path in G' . Now, observe that all the edges in G' are of the form (v_{in}, v_{out}) or of the form (x_{out}, y_{in}) . Therefore, any $s_{out} - t_{in}$ path P'_2 must be of the form $(s_{out} = v_{out}^0, v_{in}^1, v_{out}^1, \dots, v_{in}^{k-1}, v_{out}^{k-1}, v_{in}^k = t_{in})$. To construct an $s - t$ path P_2 from P'_2 , we can remove every consecutive pair v_{in}^i, v_{out}^i and replace it by the vertex v^i . Also, replace s_{out}, t_{in} by s, t respectively. This will give us an $s - t$ path $P_2 = (s = v^0, v^1, \dots, v^{k-1}, v^k = t)$ in G . Thus we have proven that for every $s - t$ path in G we have an $s_{out} - t_{in}$ path in G' and vice-versa. Now we need to prove that this path is unique.

Consider any two distinct $s - t$ paths P_1 and P_2 in G . If they have different number of vertices, by construction the number of vertices in the corresponding $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths P'_1 and P'_2 in G' will also be different (note that the number of intermediate vertices becomes double). Hence, P'_1 and P'_2 must be distinct. Otherwise, they must be of the form $P_1 = (s = v^0, v^1, \dots, v^{k-1}, v^k = t)$ and $P_2 = (s = u^0, u^1, \dots, u^{k-1}, u^k = t)$. For these paths to be distinct, there must exist some i , such that $v^i \neq u^i$. This implies that $v_{in}^i \neq u_{in}^i$ and $v_{out}^i \neq u_{out}^i$ for that i . Thus P'_1 and P'_2 must be distinct. Similarly, take any two distinct $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths P'_1 and P'_2 . If they have different number of vertices, by construction the number of vertices in the corresponding $s - t$ paths P_1 and P_2 in G will also be different (note that the number of intermediate vertices becomes half). Hence, P_1 and P_2 must be distinct. Otherwise, they must be of the form

$P'_1 = (s_{out} = v_{out}^0, v_{in}^1, v_{out}^1, \dots, v_{in}^{k-1}, v_{out}^{k-1}, v_{in}^k = t_{in})$ and $P'_2 = (s_{out} = u_{out}^0, u_{in}^1, u_{out}^1, \dots, u_{in}^{k-1}, u_{out}^{k-1}, u_{in}^k = t_{in})$. For these paths to be distinct, there must exist some i , such that $v_{in}^i \neq u_{in}^i$ or $v_{out}^i \neq u_{out}^i$. But since, there is only one outgoing edge from v_{in}^i which goes to v_{out}^i , and there is only one incoming edge to v_{out}^i which comes from v_{in}^i , $v_{in}^i \neq u_{in}^i \Leftrightarrow v_{out}^i \neq u_{out}^i$. This implies that $v^i \neq u^i$ for that i . Therefore, P_1 and P_2 must be distinct.

Thus we have proven a one-to-one correspondence between the $s - t$ paths in G and $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths in G' , i.e., for every $s - t$ path in G there is a unique $s_{out} - t_{in}$ path in G' and vice-versa.

Theorem 2: Two $s - t$ paths are vertex disjoint in G if the corresponding $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths are edge disjoint in G' and vice-versa.

Proof: Consider any two vertex disjoint $s - t$ paths P_1 and P_2 in G , i.e., if we take any pair of vertices $u, v (\neq s \text{ or } t)$ such that u lies in P_1 and v lies in P_2 , then $u \neq v \Rightarrow u_{in} \neq v_{in}$ and $u_{out} \neq v_{out}$ in the corresponding $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths P'_1, P'_2 in G' . Also, trivially any "in-vertex" can not be equal to any "out-vertex" in G' (by construction of G'). Hence, the paths P'_1 and P'_2 will also be vertex disjoint. Since for two paths to have a common edge, they must necessarily have a common vertex, we can conclude that P'_1 and P'_2 must be edge disjoint.

Now, consider two edge disjoint $s_{out} - t_{in}$ paths P'_1 and P'_2 in G' . We need to prove that the corresponding $s - t$ paths P_1 and P_2 in G must be vertex disjoint. We will prove this by contradiction. Suppose, P_1 and P_2 both have a common vertex v . Then, P'_1 and P'_2 must have a common edge (v_{in}, v_{out}) . This is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of our theorem.

Now, suppose that the maximum number of edge disjoint paths in G' is k and let these paths be P'_1, \dots, P'_k . Also, since this is the maximum number we can get, it is not possible to get more than k edge disjoint paths in G' . By theorem 1, we can construct k paths, P_1, \dots, P_k in G . By theorem 2, all these paths will be vertex disjoint. Now, if it is possible to get more than k vertex disjoint paths in G , by theorem 1 and 2, we can construct more than k edge disjoint paths in G' . Thus it is not possible. Therefore, k is the maximum number of vertex disjoint paths that we can get in G . This completes our proof of correctness.

Pseudocode:

We will assume that we are given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and we have a function **Max-Edge-Disjoint-Paths**(G, s, t) which returns the maximum number of edge disjoint paths in a given input graph G from vertex s to vertex t .

```

Max-Vertex-Disjoint-Paths( $G = (V, E), s, t$ ) {
     $V' \leftarrow \phi; E' \leftarrow \phi;$ 
    for every  $v \in V$  {  $V' \leftarrow V' \cup \{v_{in}, v_{out}\}; E' \leftarrow E' \cup \{(v_{in}, v_{out})\};$  }
    for every  $(u, v) \in E$  {  $E' \leftarrow E' \cup \{(u_{out}, v_{in})\};$  }
    Let  $G' = (V', E')$ 
     $x \leftarrow$  Max-Edge-Disjoint-Paths( $G', s_{out}, t_{in}$ );
    return  $x$ ;
}

```

Time Complexity Analysis:

Assuming that each insertion in set takes $O(\log(N))$ time, where N is the number of elements in the set (RBT implementation of set), construction of G' will take $O(n(2\log(n) + \log(m)) + m\log(m)) = O((m+n)(\log(m) + \log(n)))$ time (for each vertex, we have two insertions in V' and one insertion in E' ; for each edge, we have one insertion in E'). We know that **Max-Edge-Disjoint-Paths** function, uses max-flow algorithm. Therefore, it should take $O(m^2n)$ time (Edmond Karp algorithm). Thus overall time complexity would be $O(m^2n + (m+n)(\log(m) + \log(n))) = O(m^2n)$, which is a polynomial in m and n .