Supreme Court of India

Mahavir Singh vs Staff Selection Committee And ... on 17 January, 1986

Equivalent citations: AIR 1986 SC 582, 1986 (52) FLR 214, 1986 (1) SCALE 85, (1986) 1 SCC 668,

1986 (1) UJ 322 SC Author: O C Reddy

Bench: O C Reddy, V B Eradi

JUDGMENT O. Chinnappa Reddy, J.

- 1. Special leave granted.
- 2. The petitioner belongs to the Scheduled Castes. He joined the Delhi Police as a Constable on May 18, 1979. He became a Graduate in 1981. On October 10, 1981, the Staff Selection Committee invited applications for filling up vacancies of posts of Sub-Inspectors of Police on the basis of a competitive examination. There were altogether 170 vacancies. The break up of the vacancies, General and Reserved, Departmental and Non-departmental, as admitted by the respondents was as follows:

3. Rule 7 of the Delhi Police Rules is as follows:

Recruitment of SI (Executive) fifty per cent of the vacancies in the rank of SI & executives shall be filled by direct recruitment and 50% of the vacancies by way of promotion. Out of 50% direct quota 10% of the posts shall be filled through the deptt. candidates viz. the constable head constables and the ASI of not more than 30 years of age having the requisite qualifications and standards through the examinations for the departmental candidates by the SSC in accordance with relevant rules, Education Physical and other standards for the posts of Sub-Inspector (direct recruited) shall be as under:

(1) Age 20-25 years.

Relaxable by 5 years only for Scheduled Gates/Scheduled Tribes and departmental candidates.

1

(2) Weight 170 centimeters.

Relaxable by 4 cms. only for residents of hill areas e.g. Gorakhas Garhwalis.

(3) Chest 81 centimeters to 85 centimeters.

Relaxable by 2 cms. for residents of hill areas.

(4) Educational Qualification.

Degree from a recognised University. No relaxation (5) Physical standard.

Sound health free from defect/deformity/disease both eyes vision 6/12 (without glasses. No colour blindness) No relaxation (6) Reservation.

- (i) For scheduled castes/Scheduled Tribes and Ex-servicemen etc. as per orders issued by Govt. from time to time.
- (ii) For departmental candidates 10% of vacancies.
- 4. According to the interpretation placed upon the Rule by the Delhi Hight Court while 15 per cent of the total number of vacancies available for direct recruitment are reserved for Scheduled Castes, it is not permissible to reserve any vacancy for departmental candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes. According to the High Court that would give departmental candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes a double advantage. We fail to see how they get a double advantage. If we look at the break up given by the Respondents themselves, we see that out of a total of 170 vacancies, 15% of 170, that is, 25 vacancies are reserved for Scheduled Castes. Out of the 25 vacancies so reserved for Scheduled Castes, 10% of 25, that is 3 (correcting 2.5 to the nearest numeral) are reserved for departmental candidates belonging to the Scheduled Castes. The reservations for Scheduled Castes and departmental candidates operate vertically and horizontally. The reservation for departmental candidates is not in addition to the reservation for Scheduled Castes. We are are told that that was how the department itself was interpreting the rule until their interpretation was upset by the Delhi High Court in Raj Kumar Singh's case. We do not agree with the interpretation placed by the Delhi High Court. We allow the appeal with costs and direct the Respondents to appoint the petitioner as sub-Inspector of Police (Executive) with effect from the date on which the petitioner would have been appointed but for the misinterpretation of the Rule and give to him all the benefits that would have accrued to him thereafter.