Causal Reasoning of edges of BN constructed by Marcus.

In addition to the PDF representing the N-Staging sub model, these evaluations here present as chatbot-based reasoning about two pre-selected edge directions. We ask you to read the following reasonings and evaluate whether the LLM reasonings are,

- 1. Correct/Incorrect recommendation (Yes/No)
- 2. Conflict/No Conlict (Reasons), and
- 3. Helpful/Not Helpful

Please feel free to

4. provide additional feedback of thoughts related to the chatbased evaluation.

Edge ID: 0

Edge: Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2 ----> PET_Scan_Results_10

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (PET_Scan_Results_10) causes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- The edge (PET_Scan_Results_10) causes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2) is not valid as a causal relationship.
- 2. PET scan results provide information about the current state of lymph node involvement but do not cause changes in the number of affected lymph nodes.
- 3. The PET scan is a diagnostic tool that detects the presence or absence of lymph node involvement, which is crucial for cancer staging.
- 4. The number of affected lymph nodes is determined by the underlying disease process, not by the PET scan results.

EDGE2:

edge: (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2) causes (PET_Scan_Results_10)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

- 1. The edge suggests that the number of affected lymph nodes causes the PET scan results.
- 2. In clinical practice, the PET scan results are used to assess the number of affected lymph nodes, not the other way around.
- 3. Therefore, the causal direction is likely reversed, and the given edge is not valid.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['94', '92', '55', '130', '96']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) PET_Scan_Results_10 increases risk Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2
- (B) Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2 increases risk PET_Scan_Results_10`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 34.86, 'B': 65.14}

Evidences:

- Page Number: 94, Section Name: Head and Neck Cancers Principles of Imaging, Entity1: 'PET/CT', Entity2: 'PET scan', Relationship: 'preferred over'
- 2. Page Number: 94, Section Name: Initial Workup Nodal Metastases, Entity1:
 'FDG-PET/CT', Entity2: 'lymph node metastases', Relationship: 'used for
 detection'
- 3. Page Number: 96, Section Name: Head and Neck Cancers, Entity1: 'FDG-PET/CT', Entity2: 'Head and Neck Neoplasms', Relationship: 'used for surveillance imaging'

Reasoning:

- The edge '(PET_Scan_Results_10) causes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2)' is not valid as a causal relationship. PET scan results provide information about the current state of lymph node involvement but do not cause changes in the number of affected lymph nodes.
- 2. The PET scan is a diagnostic tool that detects the presence or absence of lymph node involvement, which is crucial for cancer staging.
- 3. The number of affected lymph nodes is determined by the underlying disease process, not by the PET scan results.
- 4. The edge '(Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2) causes (PET_Scan_Results_10)' suggests that the number of affected lymph nodes causes the PET scan results.
- 5. In clinical practice, the PET scan results are used to assess the number of affected lymph nodes, not the other way around.
- 6. Therefore, the causal direction is likely reversed, and the given edge is not valid.
- 7. From the knowledge base, PET/CT is used to evaluate lymph node metastases, which aligns with the role of PET scans in assessing the number of affected lymph nodes.
- 8. The PET scan is a diagnostic tool that provides information about the current state of lymph node involvement, not a factor that influences the number of affected lymph nodes.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	 The AI assistant's reasoning is based on the understanding that PET scans are diagnostic tools used to assess the current state of lymph node involvement, not to influence or cause changes in the number of affected lymph nodes. The explanation provided for EDGE1 clearly states that the PET scan results do not cause changes in the number

of affected lymph nodes, which aligns with the understanding that diagnostic tools assess rather than influence disease states.

- 3. For EDGE2, the assistant correctly identifies that the number of affected lymph nodes does not cause PET scan results, as the scan is used to detect and assess the state of lymph nodes, not the other way around.
- 4. The assistant references the knowledge base, which supports the role of PET/CT in evaluating lymph node metastases, further reinforcing the idea that PET scans are used for assessment rather than causation.
- 5. The final answer (B) is consistent with the reasoning provided, as it correctly identifies that the number of affected lymph nodes does not increase the risk of PET scan results, but rather, the PET scan is used to assess the state of lymph nodes.

Edge ID: 1

Edge: Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 ----> PET_Scan_Results_10

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (PET_Scan_Results_10) causes (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": True

explanation:

- 1. The PET scan results provide direct information about the involvement of lymph nodes, which is used to assess the presence or absence of metastasis.
- 2. The edge is valid because the PET scan results are used to determine the state of lymph node metastasis, making it a direct causal relationship.
- 3. The causal direction is positive because the presence of findings in the PET scan correlates with the presence of metastasis, and absence correlates with no metastasis.
- 4. The PET scan results are not necessary for metastasis to occur, but they are sufficient for assessing its presence.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the PET scan results directly inform the assessment of lymph node metastasis.

EDGE2:

 $edge: \ (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) \ causes \ (PET_Scan_Results_10)$

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False

explanation:

1. The edge represents the causal relationship where the presence of lymph node metastasis (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) leads to positive findings on a PET scan (PET_Scan_Results_10).

- 2. The PET scan is used to detect metabolic activity associated with cancer, and if metastasis is present in the lymph nodes, it will likely be detected by the PET scan.
- 3. The causal direction is positive because the presence of metastasis would lead to positive PET scan results.
- 4. The presence of metastasis is necessary for the PET scan to show positive results related to lymph node involvement, but it is not sufficient because other factors could also cause positive PET scan results.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the presence of metastasis directly affects the PET scan results.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['92', '94', '55', '44', '130']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) PET_Scan_Results_10 increases risk Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3
- (B) Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 increases risk PET_Scan_Results_10`

LLM Answer: A

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 99.98, 'B': 0.02}

Evidences:

- 1. Page 92, Section: Imaging and Assessment Protocols, Entity: FDG-PET/CT Scan, Relationship: assesses Distant Metastases.
- 2. Page 94, Section: Initial Workup Nodal Metastases, Entity: FDG-PET/CT, Relationship: used for detection of lymph node metastases.
- 3. Page 130, Section: Pathological N (pN), Entity: Lymph Nodes, Relationship: involved in Neoplasm Metastasis.

Reasoning:

- 1. The edge (PET_Scan_Results_10) causes (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) suggests that PET scan results are used to assess lymph node metastasis.
- The edge (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) causes (PET_Scan_Results_10) suggests that the presence of metastasis leads to positive PET scan results.
- 3. The explanation for EDGE1 indicates that PET scan results provide direct information about lymph node involvement, which is used to assess metastasis.
- 4. The explanation for EDGE2 indicates that the presence of metastasis leads to positive findings on a PET scan.
- 5. The causal direction for EDGE1 is positive, indicating that PET scan results correlate with metastasis presence.
- 6. The causal direction for EDGE2 is also positive, indicating that metastasis presence leads to positive PET scan results.
- 7. The causal factor for EDGE1 is sufficient but not necessary, meaning PET scan results are enough to assess metastasis but not required for metastasis to occur.
- 8. The causal factor for EDGE2 is necessary but not sufficient, meaning metastasis presence is needed for positive PET scan results but not enough on its own.
- 9. The causal distance for both edges is proximal, indicating a direct relationship between the nodes.
- 10. The NCCN Guidelines emphasize the use of PET/CT scans for assessing lymph node metastasis, supporting the role of PET scans in evaluating metastasis presence.
- 11. The NCCN Guidelines do not suggest that metastasis presence causes PET scan results, but rather that PET scans are used to detect metastasis.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	A	1. The AI assistant's reasoning for option (A) is based on the explanation that PET scan results provide direct information about lymph node involvement, which is used to assess metastasis. This aligns with the causal direction being positive, indicating that PET scan results correlate with the presence of metastasis. 2. The assistant also notes that the causal factor for EDGE1 is sufficient but not necessary, meaning PET scan results are enough to assess metastasis but not required for metastasis to occur. This supports the idea that PET scan results can indicate metastasis presence, but metastasis can occur without PET scan results. 3. The assistant references the NCCN Guidelines, which emphasize the use of PET/CT scans for assessing lymph node metastasis, supporting the role of PET scans in evaluating metastasis presence. This aligns with the explanation for EDGE1, where PET scan results are used to determine the state of lymph node metastasis. 4. For option (B), the assistant notes that the explanation for EDGE2 indicates that the presence of metastasis leads to positive findings on a PET scan. However, the causal factor is necessary but not sufficient, meaning metastasis presence is needed for positive PET scan results but not enough on its own. 5. The NCCN Guidelines do not suggest that metastasis presence causes PET scan results, but rather that PET scans are used to detect metastasis. This supports the reasoning for option (A) over option (B). 6. Overall, the assistant's reasoning is consistent with the evidence provided, and the final answer (A) is supported by the explanations and guidelines referenced.

Edge ID: 2

Edge: Extranodal_Extension_5 ----> Histopathological_Examination_7

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (Histopathological_Examination_7) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

- 1. The edge suggests that the result of a histopathological examination causes extranodal extension.
- 2. Histopathological examinations are diagnostic tools used to detect the presence of

disease, such as cancer, in tissue samples.

- 3. Extranodal extension is a condition where cancer spreads beyond the lymph node capsule into surrounding tissues.
- 4. The examination itself does not cause the spread of cancer; it merely detects it.
- 5. Therefore, the edge is not valid as the examination does not cause extranodal extension.

EDGE2:

edge: (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (Histopathological_Examination_7)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False
explanation:

- 1. The edge (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (Histopathological_Examination_7) is not valid because the histopathological examination is a diagnostic procedure that identifies the presence of extranodal extension, not the other way around.
- 2. Extranodal extension is a condition that is detected by the histopathological examination, which means the examination is used to observe the state of extranodal extension.
- 3. The causal relationship is reversed; the examination does not cause extranodal extension, but rather detects it.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['55', '51', '41', '94', '102']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) Histopathological_Examination_7 increases risk Extranodal_Extension_5
- (B) Extranodal_Extension_5 increases risk Histopathological_Examination_7`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 43.78, 'B': 56.22}

Evidences:

- 1. Page Number: 55, Section Name: Adverse Pathologic Features, Entity: Extranodal Extension, Relationship: is a type of Adverse Pathologic Features.
- Page Number: 51, Section Name: Introduction, Entity: National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Relationship: provides guidelines for cancer of the supraglottic larynx.
- 3. Page Number: 102, Section Name: Principles of Surgery, Entity: Surgical Procedures, Operative, Relationship: used in the treatment of disease, injuries, or deformities.

Reasoning:

- 1. The edge (Histopathological_Examination_7) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5) is not valid because histopathological examination is a diagnostic tool, not a causal factor for extranodal extension.
- 2. Histopathological examination is used to detect the presence of disease, such as cancer, in tissue samples, but it does not cause the spread of cancer.
- Extranodal extension is a condition where cancer spreads beyond the lymph node capsule into surrounding tissues, which is detected by histopathological examination.

- 4. The edge (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (Histopathological_Examination_7) is also not valid because extranodal extension is a condition detected by the examination, not a cause of the examination.
- 5. The causal relationship is reversed; the examination does not cause extranodal extension, but rather detects it.
- 6. The causal direction for both edges is marked as 'Unknown', indicating no direct causal relationship is established.
- 7. The causal factor for both edges is marked as neither necessary nor sufficient, further supporting the lack of a causal relationship.
- 8. The causal distance for EDGE2 is marked as 'Proximal', indicating a direct observation rather than a causal influence.
- 9. From the INFORMATION FROM KNOWLEDGE BASE, extranodal extension is listed as an adverse pathologic feature, which is a condition rather than a cause.
- 10. The NCCN guidelines emphasize the role of histopathological examination in diagnosing and staging cancer, not causing it.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	1. The AI assistant's reasoning is based on the understanding that histopathological examination is a diagnostic tool, not a causal factor for extranodal extension. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the EDGE1 and EDGE2 descriptions. 2. The assistant correctly identifies that the histopathological examination is used to detect the presence of disease, such as cancer, in tissue samples, but it does not cause the spread of cancer. This aligns with the explanation that the examination detects extranodal extension rather than causing it. 3. The causal direction for both edges is marked as 'Unknown', which supports the assistant's conclusion that there is no direct causal relationship between the histopathological examination and extranodal extension. 4. The causal factor for both edges is marked as neither necessary nor sufficient, further supporting the lack of a causal relationship. This is consistent with the assistant's reasoning that the examination is not a causal of extranodal extension. 5. The causal distance for EDGE2 is marked as 'Proximal', indicating a direct observation rather than a causal influence. This supports the assistant's conclusion that the examination detects rather than causes extranodal extension. 6. The assistant references the INFORMATION FROM KNOWLEDGE BASE, noting that extranodal extension is listed as an adverse pathologic feature, which is a condition rather than a cause. This supports the conclusion that the examination detects rather than causes extranodal extension. 7. The NCCN guidelines emphasize the role of

histopathological examination in diagnosing and staging cancer, not causing it. This aligns with the assistant's reasoning that the examination is a diagnostic tool.

Edge ID: 3

Edge: Extranodal_Extension_5 ----> Imaging_Test_Results_8

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (Imaging_Test_Results_8) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. The edge suggests that the results of a diagnostic imaging test (Imaging_Test_Results_8) cause extranodal extension (Extranodal_Extension_5).
- 2. Imaging tests are diagnostic tools that detect existing conditions, such as extranodal extension, but they do not cause these conditions.
- 3. Extranodal extension is a result of cancer spreading beyond the lymph node capsule, which is a biological process independent of imaging test results.
- 4. Therefore, the edge is not valid as imaging test results do not cause extranodal extension.

EDGE2:

edge: (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (Imaging_Test_Results_8)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. Extranodal extension refers to the spread of cancer beyond the lymph node capsule into surrounding tissues, which is a significant factor in cancer staging and prognosis.
- 2. Imaging test results are used to detect such abnormalities, and if extranodal extension is present, it is likely to be detected by imaging tests.
- 3. Therefore, the presence of extranodal extension can cause imaging test results to show abnormalities, making the edge valid.
- 4. The causal direction is positive because the presence of extranodal extension leads to the imaging test detecting abnormalities.
- 5. Extranodal extension is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for imaging test results to show abnormalities, as there could be other causes or limitations in detection.
- 6. The causal distance is proximal because extranodal extension directly affects the imaging test results.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['51', '53', '55', '70', '46']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) Imaging_Test_Results_8 increases risk Extranodal_Extension_5
- (B) Extranodal_Extension_5 increases risk Imaging_Test_Results_8`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 0.0, 'B': 100.0}

Evidences:

- Page Number: 51, Section Name: Adverse Pathologic Features, Entities: Extranodal Extension, Imaging, Relationships: Extranodal extension is a type of adverse pathologic feature detected by imaging.
- Page Number: 53, Section Name: Imaging and Recurrent or Persistent Disease, Entities: CT, MRI, Relationships: Used for imaging primary and neck, evaluation after treatment.
- 3. Page Number: 55, Section Name: Adverse Pathologic Features, Entities: Extranodal Extension, Positive Surgical Margin, Relationships: Both indicate cancer spread beyond the original site, detected by imaging.

Reasoning:

- 1. The edge (A) suggests that imaging test results increase the risk of extranodal extension. However, imaging tests are diagnostic tools that detect existing conditions and do not cause them. Extranodal extension is a biological process related to cancer spread, independent of imaging results.
- The edge (B) suggests that extranodal extension increases the risk of imaging test results showing abnormalities. This is more plausible because if extranodal extension is present, it is likely to be detected by imaging tests, leading to abnormal results.
- 3. The causal direction for edge (B) is positive, as the presence of extranodal extension leads to imaging tests detecting abnormalities. This aligns with the understanding that extranodal extension is a significant factor in cancer staging and prognosis, which imaging tests aim to detect.
- 4. The causal factor for edge (B) is neither necessary nor sufficient, as other factors can also lead to abnormal imaging results, and extranodal extension may not always be detected due to limitations in imaging.
- 5. The causal distance for edge (B) is proximal, as extranodal extension directly affects imaging test results.
- 6. The NCCN guidelines emphasize the role of imaging in detecting cancer spread, including extranodal extension, which supports the validity of edge (B).

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	 The AI assistant's reasoning for option (A) is consistent with the understanding that imaging tests are diagnostic tools and do not cause conditions like extranodal extension. This aligns with the explanation provided in the edge analysis, which states that imaging tests detect existing conditions rather than causing them. For option (B), the AI assistant correctly identifies

that extranodal extension can lead to imaging test results showing abnormalities. This is consistent with the explanation that extranodal extension is a significant factor in cancer staging and prognosis, which imaging tests aim to detect.

- 3. The causal direction for option (B) is positive, as the presence of extranodal extension leads to imaging tests detecting abnormalities. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge analysis, which states that extranodal extension directly affects imaging test results.
- 4. The causal factor for option (B) is neither necessary nor sufficient, as other factors can also lead to abnormal imaging results, and extranodal extension may not always be detected due to limitations in imaging. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge analysis.
- 5. The causal distance for option (B) is proximal, as extranodal extension directly affects imaging test results. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge analysis.
- 6. The AI assistant's reasoning is consistent with the information from the NCCN guidelines, which emphasize the role of imaging in detecting cancer spread, including extranodal extension. This supports the validity of option (B).

Edge ID: 4

Edge: Extranodal_Extension_5 ----> Lymph_Node_Biopsy_Results_9

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (Lymph_Node_Biopsy_Results_9) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False

- 1. The edge represents the relationship between the results of a lymph node biopsy and the presence of extranodal extension.
- 2. A positive lymph node biopsy result (presence of cancer cells) is necessary for extranodal extension to occur, as cancer must be present in the lymph node before it can spread beyond it.
- 3. However, a positive biopsy result is not sufficient to cause extranodal extension, as not all cases with cancer in the lymph node will have extranodal extension.
- 4. The causal direction is positive because the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node increases the likelihood of extranodal extension.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node is directly related to the potential for extranodal extension.

EDGE2:

edge: (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (Lymph_Node_Biopsy_Results_9)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. Extranodal extension indicates that cancer has spread beyond the lymph node capsule into surrounding tissues.
- 2. If extranodal extension is present, it is likely that a lymph node biopsy would show the presence of cancer cells, as the cancer has spread beyond the lymph node.
- 3. The edge is valid because extranodal extension can directly influence the results of a lymph node biopsy by indicating the presence of cancer cells.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['49', '130', '41', '102', '55']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) Lymph_Node_Biopsy_Results_9 increases risk Extranodal_Extension_5
- (B) Extranodal_Extension_5 increases risk Lymph_Node_Biopsy_Results_9`

LLM Answer: A

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 100.0, 'B': 0.0}

Evidences:

- 1. Page Number: 55, Section Name: Adverse Pathologic Features, Entity: Extranodal Extension, Relationship: is a type of Adverse Pathologic Features
- Page Number: 130, Section Name: Pathological N (pN), Entity: Lymph Nodes, Relationship: involved in Neoplasm Metastasis
- 3. Page Number: 41, Section Name: Workup, Entity: Biopsy, Relationship: performed on Primary tumor site

Reasoning:

- The edge (A) suggests that the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node, as indicated by a positive lymph node biopsy result, is necessary for extranodal extension to occur. This is because cancer must be present in the lymph node before it can spread beyond it.
- 2. The edge (B) suggests that extranodal extension, which indicates cancer spread beyond the lymph node, can influence the results of a lymph node biopsy by indicating the presence of cancer cells.
- 3. The causal direction for both edges is positive, meaning that the presence of one increases the likelihood of the other.
- 4. The causal factor for edge (A) is necessary but not sufficient, meaning that while a positive biopsy is required for extranodal extension, it does not guarantee it.
- 5. The causal factor for edge (B) is neither necessary nor sufficient, meaning that extranodal extension does not guarantee a positive biopsy result, nor is it required for one.
- 6. The causal distance for both edges is proximal, indicating a direct relationship between the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node and extranodal extension.

- 7. From the NCCN Guidelines, extranodal extension is considered an adverse pathologic feature, which can influence treatment decisions and prognosis.
- 8. The presence of extranodal extension is a significant factor in cancer staging and prognosis, as it indicates a more advanced disease.
- 9. The NCCN Guidelines emphasize the importance of lymph node biopsy in diagnosing and staging cancer, which aligns with the necessity of a positive biopsy result for extranodal extension to occur.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	A	1. The AI assistant's reasoning for option (A) is based on the explanation that a positive lymph node biopsy result is necessary for extranodal extension to occur. This is because cancer must be present in the lymph node before it can spread beyond it, which aligns with the definition of a necessary causal factor. 2. The assistant correctly identifies that the causal direction is positive, meaning that the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node increases the likelihood of extranodal extension. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge description. 3. The assistant also notes that the causal factor is necessary but not sufficient, which is consistent with the explanation that not all cases with cancer in the lymph node will have extranodal extension. This aligns with the definition of a necessary but not sufficient condition. 4. The causal distance is described as proximal, indicating a direct relationship between the presence of cancer cells in the lymph node and extranodal extension. This is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge description. 5. The assistant references the NCCN Guidelines, noting that extranodal extension is considered an adverse pathologic feature, which can influence treatment decisions and prognosis. This supports the reasoning that extranodal extension is a significant factor in cancer staging and prognosis. 6. The assistant's reasoning for option (B) is less supported, as the explanation for this edge suggests that extranodal extension can influence the results of a lymph node biopsy by indicating the presence of cancer cells. However, this does not align with the definition of a necessary or sufficient causal factor, as extranodal extension is not required for a positive biopsy result. 7. Overall, the assistant's reasoning for option (A) is consistent with the explanation provided in the edge description and is supported by the NCCN Guidelines. The reasoning for option (B) is less consistent and lacks support from the guidelines.

```
Edge: Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2 ---->
                                                 N_Staging_1
Prompt:
EDGE1:
edge: (N_Staging_1) causes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2)
causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown
causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False
explanation:
1. The edge (N_Staging_1) causes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2) is not valid
because the causal relationship is reversed.
2. In reality, the number of affected lymph nodes (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2)
influences the staging of cancer in lymph nodes (N_Staging_1).
3. The staging is determined based on the clinical assessment of affected lymph nodes,
not the other way around.
EDGE2:
edge: (Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2) causes (N_Staging_1)
causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal
causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False
explanation:
1. The Number of Affected Lymph Nodes is a critical factor in determining the N
Staging of cancer.
2. The presence of affected lymph nodes directly influences the N stage, which is part
of the TNM staging system used in oncology.
3. The causal relationship is valid because the N stage is defined based on the
involvement of lymph nodes.
4. The causal direction is positive because more affected lymph nodes lead to a higher
N stage.
5. The presence of affected lymph nodes is necessary for a higher N stage, but not
sufficient on its own as other factors might be involved in staging.
6. The causal distance is proximal because the number of affected lymph nodes directly
affects the N stage.
Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['130', '102', '51', '42', '57']
Among these two options which one is the most likely true:
(A) N_Staging_1 increases risk Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2
(B) Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2 increases risk N_Staging_1`
LLM Answer: B
LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 0.0, 'B': 100.0}
Evidences:
```

Edge ID: 5

1. Page Number: 130

- 2. Section Name: Pathological N (pN)
- 3. Entities: Lymph Nodes, TNM Staging
- 4. Relationships: TNM Staging includes Lymph Nodes
- 5. Causalities: N stage is defined based on lymph node involvement

Reasoning:

- The edge in question is between 'N_Staging_1' and 'Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2'.
- 2. The explanation for EDGE1 suggests that the causal relationship is reversed, meaning 'Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2' should influence 'N_Staging_1'.
- 3. EDGE2 supports this by stating that the number of affected lymph nodes is a critical factor in determining the N stage of cancer.
- 4. The TNM staging system, as described in the knowledge base, includes lymph node involvement as a key component in staging cancer.
- 5. The causal direction for EDGE2 is positive, indicating that more affected lymph nodes lead to a higher N stage.
- 6. The causal distance is proximal, meaning the number of affected lymph nodes directly affects the N stage.
- 7. The knowledge base on page 130, under the section 'Pathological N (pN)', describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM staging system.
- 8. The relationship between 'TNM Staging' and 'Lymph Nodes' is described as 'includes', supporting the idea that lymph node involvement is part of the staging process.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	1. The AI assistant's reasoning begins by identifying the edge in question, which is between 'N_Staging_1' and 'Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2'. 2. The assistant correctly identifies that the explanation for EDGE1 suggests the causal relationship i reversed, meaning 'Number_of_Affected_Lymph_Nodes_2' should influence 'N_Staging_1'. 3. EDGE2 supports this by stating that the number of affected lymph nodes is a critical factor in determining the N stage of cancer, which aligns with the TNM staging system. 4. The assistant references the TNM staging system, which includes lymph node involvement as a key component in staging cancer, supporting the causal relationship described in EDGE2. 5. The causal direction for EDGE2 is positive, indication that more affected lymph nodes lead to a higher N stage, which is consistent with the explanation provided. 6. The causal distance is proximal, meaning the number of affected lymph nodes directly affects the N stage, further supporting the validity of EDGE2. 7. The assistant references the knowledge base on page 130, under the section 'Pathological N (pN)', which describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM

staging system.

- 8. The relationship between 'TNM Staging' and 'Lymph Nodes' is described as 'includes', supporting the idea that lymph node involvement is part of the staging process.
- 9. The assistant's reasoning is consistent with the information provided in the knowledge base and the explanation for EDGE2, supporting the validity of option (B).

Edge ID: 6

Edge: Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 ----> N_Staging_1

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (N_Staging_1) causes (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- The edge represents the causal relationship between the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) and the assessment of metastasis in lymph nodes (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3).
- N_Staging_1 being present indicates that cancer is present in the lymph nodes, which directly implies that metastasis is present in the lymph nodes (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3).
- 3. This relationship is valid because the staging of cancer in lymph nodes is a critical factor in determining the presence of metastasis in those nodes.

EDGE2:

edge: (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) causes (N_Staging_1)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False

- 1. The edge represents the causal relationship where the assessment of lymph node metastasis (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) influences the N staging (N_Staging_1).
- 2. The presence of metastasis in lymph nodes is a critical factor in determining the N stage of cancer, which reflects the extent of cancer spread.
- 3. This relationship is valid because the staging process directly considers the presence or absence of metastasis in lymph nodes.
- 4. The causal direction is positive because the presence of metastasis leads to a higher N stage, indicating more advanced cancer.
- 5. The presence of metastasis is necessary for a higher N stage, but not sufficient alone, as other factors may also play a role in staging.

6. The causal distance is proximal because the assessment of metastasis directly impacts the staging decision.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['130', '102', '51', '55', '44']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) N_Staging_1 increases risk Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3
- (B) Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 increases risk N_Staging_1`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 0.05, 'B': 99.95}

Evidences:

- 1. Page 130, Section: Pathological N (pN) TNM Staging includes lymph node involvement as a critical factor.
- 2. Causalities: Lymph node metastasis is necessary for higher N staging, but not sufficient alone.
- 3. The presence of metastasis in lymph nodes directly impacts the N stage of cancer, reflecting the extent of cancer spread.

Reasoning:

- The edge in question involves two nodes: N_Staging_1 and Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3.
- 2. N_Staging_1 refers to the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes, which is a decision node that needs to be predicted.
- 3. Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 refers to the assessment of lymph node metastasis, a patient situation that is unobserved.
- 4. The causal direction for EDGE1 is positive, indicating that the presence of cancer in lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) implies metastasis (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3).
- 5. The causal direction for EDGE2 is also positive, indicating that the presence of metastasis (Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) influences the N staging (N_Staging_1).
- 6. Both edges suggest a proximal causal distance, meaning the factors are closely related in the causal chain.
- 7. The NCCN guidelines and knowledge base information emphasize the role of lymph node metastasis in determining cancer staging.
- 8. The TNM staging system includes lymph node involvement as a critical factor in cancer staging, supporting the idea that metastasis assessment influences staging.
- 9. The knowledge base (Page 130, Section: Pathological N (pN)) describes the TNM staging system, where lymph node involvement is a key component.
- 10. The causalities in the knowledge base indicate that lymph node metastasis is a necessary condition for higher N staging, but not sufficient alone.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	1. The AI assistant's reasoning involves analyzing the causal relationship between two nodes: N_staging_1 and Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3.

- 2. N_Staging_1 is described as a decision node that represents the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes, which is crucial for determining the extent of cancer spread and guiding treatment decisions.
- 3. Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3 is a patient situation node that assesses whether metastasis is present in the lymph nodes, impacting cancer staging and treatment.
- 4. The AI assistant identifies two edges: EDGE1 and EDGE2, both with a positive causal direction, indicating a direct relationship between the presence of cancer in lymph nodes and metastasis.
- 5. The assistant's reasoning suggests that the presence of metastasis in lymph nodes

(Number_of_Lymph_Nodes_Metastasis_3) influences the N staging (N_Staging_1), which aligns with the TNM staging system described in the knowledge base.

- 6. The knowledge base information supports the idea that lymph node metastasis is a necessary condition for higher N staging, but not sufficient alone, indicating that metastasis assessment influences staging.
- 7. The AI assistant concludes that option (B) is more likely true, as the presence of metastasis in lymph nodes directly impacts the N stage of cancer, reflecting the extent of cancer spread.

Edge ID: 7

 $\textbf{Edge:} \hspace{0.2in} \textbf{Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4} \hspace{0.2in} \cdots \hspace{0.2in} \textbf{N_Staging_1}$

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (N_Staging_1) causes (Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4)

causal_direction: Unknown
causal_distance: Unknown

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. The edge (N_Staging_1) causes (Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4) is not valid because the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) does not cause the size of the lymph node (Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4).
- 2. In clinical practice, the size of the lymph node is used to help determine the staging, not the other way around.
- 3. Therefore, the causal direction is likely incorrect, and the relationship is not supported by typical clinical understanding.

EDGE2:

edge: (Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4) causes (N_Staging_1)

causal_direction: positive
causal distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False

"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. The Largest Diameter of Positive Lymph Node 4 is a measure of the size of a lymph node, which is used in cancer staging.
- 2. The N Staging 1 node represents the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes, which is influenced by the size of the lymph nodes.
- 3. In cancer staging, larger lymph nodes often indicate more advanced disease and a higher N stage.
- 4. Therefore, the size of the largest positive lymph node can influence the N staging, making this a valid causal relationship.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['130', '102', '51', '42', '57']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) N_Staging_1 increases risk Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4
- (B) Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4 increases risk N_Staging_1`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 0.0, 'B': 100.0}

Evidences:

1. Page Number: 130

2. Section Name: Pathological N (pN)

- 3. Entities: Lymph Nodes, TNM Staging
- 4. Relationships: TNM Staging includes Lymph Nodes
- 5. Causalities: TNM Staging describes the extent of cancer, including lymph node involvement

Reasoning:

- The edge in question is between 'N_Staging_1' and 'Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4'.
- 2. Option (A) suggests that the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes ('N_Staging_1') increases the risk of having a larger diameter of a positive lymph node ('Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4').
- 3. Option (B) suggests that the size of the largest positive lymph node ('Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4') influences the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes ('N_Staging_1').
- 4. From the explanation provided for EDGE1, it is stated that the staging of cancer does not cause the size of the lymph node, indicating that option (A) is not valid.
- 5. For EDGE2, it is explained that the size of the lymph node is used in cancer staging, supporting option (B).
- 6. The TNM staging system, as described in the knowledge base, includes the size and involvement of lymph nodes as part of the staging criteria, supporting the idea that the size of the lymph node influences the staging.
- 7. The causal direction for EDGE2 is positive, indicating that an increase in the size of the lymph node is associated with a higher stage, aligning with option (B).
- 8. The knowledge base information on page 130, under the section 'Pathological N (pN)', describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM staging system, supporting the causal relationship in option (B).

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	1. The AI assistant is tasked with analyzing the causal relationship between two nodes: 'N_Staging_1' and 'Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4'. 2. The assistant is given two options: (A) 'N_Staging_1' increases the risk of 'Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4', and (B) 'Largest_Diameter_of_Positive_Lymph_Node_4' increases the risk of 'N_Staging_1'. 3. The assistant's reasoning for option (A) is that the staging of cancer does not cause the size of the lymph node, which aligns with the explanation provided for EDGE1. 4. For option (B), the assistant notes that the size of the lymph node is used in cancer staging, which is supported by the explanation for EDGE2 and the TNM staging system described in the knowledge base. 5. The assistant correctly identifies that the causal direction for EDGE2 is positive, indicating that an increase in the size of the lymph node is associated with a higher stage, supporting option (B). 6. The assistant references the knowledge base information on page 130, under the section 'Pathological N (pN)', which describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM staging system, supporting the causal relationship in option (B). 7. The assistant's final answer is option (B), which is consistent with the reasoning provided and the evidence from the knowledge base.

Edge ID: 8

Edge: Extranodal_Extension_5 ----> N_Staging_1

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (N_Staging_1) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": True
"sufficient": False

- 1. The edge (N_Staging_1) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5) is valid because the presence of cancer in nearby lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) is a necessary condition for extranodal extension (Extranodal_Extension_5).
- 2. Extranodal extension occurs when cancer spreads beyond the lymph node capsule, which can only happen if cancer is present in the lymph nodes.
- 3. Therefore, the presence of cancer in lymph nodes is a necessary precursor to

extranodal extension, making the causal relationship valid.

- 4. The causal direction is positive because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes increases the likelihood of extranodal extension.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes directly affects the likelihood of extranodal extension.

EDGE2:

edge: (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (N_Staging_1)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. Extranodal extension indicates that cancer has spread beyond the lymph node capsule into surrounding tissues, which is a significant factor in cancer staging.
- 2. N staging assesses the presence of cancer in nearby lymph nodes, which is critical for determining the extent of cancer spread and guiding treatment decisions.
- 3. The presence of extranodal extension typically suggests a more advanced stage of cancer, which would likely affect the N staging by indicating that cancer has spread to nearby lymph nodes.
- 4. Therefore, the edge (Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (N_Staging_1) is valid because extranodal extension directly influences the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['130', '51', '102', '55', '42']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) N_Staging_1 increases risk Extranodal_Extension_5
- (B) Extranodal_Extension_5 increases risk N_Staging_1`

LLM Answer: A

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 99.99, 'B': 0.01}

Evidences:

- Page Number: 130, Section Name: Pathological N (pN), Entities: Lymph Nodes, Neoplasm Metastasis, TNM Staging, Relationships: TNM Staging includes Lymph Nodes, Causalities: N1, N2, N3 categories describe lymph node involvement.
- 2. Page Number: 51, Section Name: Adverse Pathologic Features, Entities: Extranodal Extension, Positive Surgical Margin, Relationships: Extranodal extension is a type of Adverse Pathologic Features, Causalities: Extranodal extension influences treatment decisions.

Reasoning:

- 1. The edge '(N_Staging_1) causes (Extranodal_Extension_5)' suggests that the presence of cancer in nearby lymph nodes is a necessary condition for extranodal extension. This is because extranodal extension can only occur if cancer is present in the lymph nodes, making the causal relationship valid.
- 2. The causal direction is positive because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes increases the likelihood of extranodal extension. The causal distance is proximal because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes directly affects the likelihood of extranodal extension.
- 3. The edge '(Extranodal_Extension_5) causes (N_Staging_1)' suggests that extranodal extension influences the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes.

- Extranodal extension indicates a more advanced stage of cancer, which would likely affect the N staging by indicating that cancer has spread to nearby lymph nodes.
- 4. The causal direction is positive because extranodal extension suggests a more advanced stage of cancer, affecting the N staging. However, extranodal extension is not a necessary or sufficient condition for N staging, as N staging can occur without extranodal extension.
- 5. From the NCCN Guidelines, extranodal extension is considered an adverse pathologic feature that influences treatment decisions, but it does not directly cause changes in N staging. Instead, N staging is based on the presence and extent of cancer in lymph nodes, which can occur independently of extranodal extension.

Critique:

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	A	1. The AI assistant's reasoning for option (A) is based on the idea that the presence of cancer in nearby lymph nodes is a necessary condition for extranodal extension. This is supported by the explanation that extranodal extension can only occur if cancer is present in the lymph nodes, making the causal relationship valid. 2. The assistant also mentions that the causal direction is positive because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes increases the likelihood of extranodal extension, and the causal distance is proximal because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes directly affects the likelihood of extranodal extension. 3. For option (B), the assistant suggests that extranodal extension influences the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes. However, it notes that extranodal extension is not a necessary or sufficient condition for N staging, as N staging can occur without extranodal extension. 4. The assistant references the NCCN Guidelines, stating that extranodal extension is considered an adverse pathologic feature that influences treatment decisions but does not directly cause changes in N staging. Instead, N staging is based on the presence and extent of cancer in lymph nodes, which can occur independently of extranodal extension. 5. The evidence provided from the knowledge base supports the assistant's reasoning for option (A) by highlighting the role of lymph nodes in TNM staging and the influence of extranodal extension as an adverse pathologic feature.

Edge ID: 9

Edge: Lymph_Node_Ratio_6 ----> N_Staging_1

Prompt:

EDGE1:

edge: (N_Staging_1) causes (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": False

explanation:

- 1. The edge (N_Staging_1) causes (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6) is valid because the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) is directly related to the lymph node ratio (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6).
- 2. If cancer is present in the nearby lymph nodes, it indicates a higher level of lymphatic involvement, which would likely result in a higher lymph node ratio, thus falling into category 6.
- 3. The causal direction is positive because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes would increase the lymph node ratio.
- 4. The causal factor is neither necessary nor sufficient because other factors can influence the lymph node ratio, and the presence of cancer does not guarantee a specific ratio category.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the staging of cancer in lymph nodes is directly related to the lymph node ratio.

EDGE2:

edge: (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6) causes (N_Staging_1)

causal_direction: positive
causal_distance: Proximal

causal_factor:
"necessary": False
"sufficient": True

explanation:

- 1. The edge (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6) causes (N_Staging_1) is valid because the lymph node ratio is a direct measure of lymphatic involvement, which is a key factor in cancer staging.
- 2. Lymph_Node_Ratio_6 represents a significant level of lymphatic involvement, which would directly influence the N staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes.
- 3. The causal direction is positive because an increase in lymph node ratio (more involvement) would likely lead to a higher N stage (more advanced cancer spread).
- 4. The causal factor is not necessary because other factors can influence N staging, but it might be sufficient if a high lymph node ratio guarantees a certain N stage.
- 5. The causal distance is proximal because the lymph node ratio directly affects the staging of cancer in the lymph nodes.

Top 5 Corresponding Pages: ['130', '102', '51', '42', '57']

Among these two options which one is the most likely true:

- (A) N_Staging_1 increases risk Lymph_Node_Ratio_6
- (B) Lymph_Node_Ratio_6 increases risk N_Staging_1`

LLM Answer: B

LLM Answer Choice Probabilities: {'A': 2.6, 'B': 97.4}

Evidences:

- 1. Page 130, Section 'Pathological N (pN)': Describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM staging system, indicating that lymph node involvement is a key factor in cancer staging.
- 2. The TNM staging system includes lymph node involvement as a critical factor in determining the extent of cancer spread.

Reasoning:

- 1. The edge '(N_Staging_1) causes (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6)' suggests that the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes directly influences the lymph node ratio. This is because the presence of cancer in lymph nodes indicates a higher level of lymphatic involvement, which would likely result in a higher lymph node ratio.
- The causal direction for EDGE1 is positive, meaning that an increase in the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes would lead to an increase in the lymph node ratio.
- 3. The causal factor for EDGE1 is neither necessary nor sufficient, indicating that while the staging of cancer in lymph nodes can influence the lymph node ratio, it is not the only factor, nor does it guarantee a specific ratio category.
- 4. The causal distance for EDGE1 is proximal, suggesting a direct relationship between the staging of cancer in lymph nodes and the lymph node ratio.
- 5. The edge '(Lymph_Node_Ratio_6) causes (N_Staging_1)' suggests that the lymph node ratio is a direct measure of lymphatic involvement, which is a key factor in cancer staging.
- 6. The causal direction for EDGE2 is also positive, meaning that an increase in the lymph node ratio would likely lead to a higher N stage, indicating more advanced cancer spread.
- 7. The causal factor for EDGE2 is not necessary but might be sufficient if a high lymph node ratio guarantees a certain N stage.
- 8. The causal distance for EDGE2 is proximal, indicating a direct relationship between the lymph node ratio and the staging of cancer in the lymph nodes.
- 9. From the NCCN Clinical Practitioner's Guidelines for Head and Neck Cancer, the TNM staging system includes lymph node involvement as a critical factor in determining the extent of cancer spread.
- 10. The TNM staging system describes the extent of cancer in a standardized way, with 'N' referring to the involvement of lymph nodes.
- 11. The knowledge base information on page 130, section 'Pathological N (pN)', describes the involvement of lymph nodes in the TNM staging system, indicating that lymph node involvement is a key factor in cancer staging.

Critique Consistent	Critique Answer	Critique Reasoning
yes	В	1. The AI assistant's reasoning for EDGE1 suggests that the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes (N_Staging_1) directly influences the lymph node ratio (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6). This is based on the idea that the presence of cancer in lymph nodes indicates a higher level of lymphatic involvement, which would likely result in a higher lymph node ratio. The causal direction is positive, meaning an increase in the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes would lead to an increase in the lymph

node ratio.

- 2. For EDGE2, the AI assistant suggests that the lymph node ratio (Lymph_Node_Ratio_6) is a direct measure of lymphatic involvement, which is a key factor in cancer staging (N_Staging_1). The causal direction is also positive, meaning an increase in the lymph node ratio would likely lead to a higher N stage, indicating more advanced cancer spread.
- 3. The AI assistant's final answer is (B), which states that 'Lymph_Node_Ratio_6' increases risk 'N_Staging_1'. This is consistent with the reasoning provided for EDGE2, where the lymph node ratio is seen as influencing the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes.
- 4. The evidence from the NCCN Clinical Practitioner's Guidelines for Head and Neck Cancer supports the idea that lymph node involvement is a critical factor in cancer staging, aligning with the reasoning for EDGE2.
- 5. The AI assistant's reasoning and final answer are consistent, as both suggest that the lymph node ratio influences the staging of cancer in nearby lymph nodes.