

OPENMP – SCHEDULING

LAB 3

Aim: To understand and implement the three scheduling algorithms (static, dynamic and guided) for a shortest path algorithm.

CODE AND OUTPUTS

1. Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm– CODE WITH OpenMP scheduling

```

1 #include<stdio.h>
2 #include<omp.h>
3 #include <time.h>
4
5 #define INFINITY 9999
6 #define MAX 10
7
8 void dijkstra(int G[MAX][MAX],int n,int startnode);
9
10 int main()
11 {
12     int G[MAX][MAX],i,j,n,u;
13     printf("\n ----- ");
14     printf("\n\tTECHNIQUE IMPLEMENTED: GUIDED SCHEDULING");
15     printf("\n ----- ");
16     printf("\n\n\tNO OF VERTICES : ");
17     scanf("%d",&n);
18     printf("\n\tENTER THE ADJACENCY MATRIX : \n\t");
19
20     for(i=0; i<n; i++)
21         for(j=0; j<n; j++)
22             scanf("%d",&G[i][j]);
23     printf("\n\tENTER THE STARTING NODE : ");
24     scanf("%d",&u);
25     clock_t begin = clock();
26     dijkstra(G,n,u);
27     clock_t end = clock();
28     double time_spent = (double)(end - begin) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;
29     printf("\n ----- ");
30     printf("\n\n\tTIME TAKEN FOR CODE EXECUTION IS: %f\n", time_spent);
31     printf("\n ----- \n");
32     return 0;
33 }

```

```

35 void dijkstra(int G[MAX][MAX],int n,int startnode)
36 {
37     int cost[MAX][MAX],distance[MAX],pred[MAX];
38     int visited[MAX],count,mindistance,nextnode,i,j,k;
39     for(i=0; i<n; i++)
40         for(j=0; j<n; j++)
41             if(G[i][j]==0)
42                 cost[i][j]=INFINITY;
43             else
44                 cost[i][j]=G[i][j];
45     for(i=0; i<n; i++){
46         distance[i]=cost[startnode][i];
47         pred[i]=startnode;
48         visited[i]=0;
49     }
50     distance[startnode]=0;
51     visited[startnode]=1;
52     count=1;
53
54     #pragma omp parallel for schedule(guided)
55     for(k=1; k<n-1; k++){
56         mindistance=INFINITY;
57         for(i=0; i<n; i++)
58             if(distance[i]<mindistance&&!visited[i])
59             {
60                 mindistance=distance[i];
61                 nextnode=i;
62             }
63
64         visited[nextnode]=1;
65         for(i=0; i<n; i++)
66             if(!visited[i])
67                 if(mindistance+cost[nextnode][i]<distance[i])
68                 {
69                     distance[i]=mindistance+cost[nextnode][i];
70                     pred[i]=nextnode;
71                 }
72         count++;
73     }
74     count++;
75 }
76
77 for(i=0; i<n; i++)
78     if(i!=startnode)
79     {
80         printf("\n\n\tDISTANCE OF NODE %d = %d",i,distance[i]);
81         printf("\n\tPATH = %d",i);
82         j=i;
83         do
84         {
85             j=pred[j];
86             printf(" <- %d",j);
87         }
88         while(j!=startnode);
89     }
90 }

```

OUTPUT

STATIC Scheduling

```

dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559: ~/PDC-lab/Lab3
@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ gcc -o omp_shortestDistance -fopenmp shortestDistance.c
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ ./omp_shortestDistance
-----
    TECHNIQUE IMPLEMENTED: STATIC SCHEDULING
-----

    NO OF VERTICES : 5

    ENTER THE ADJACENCY MATRIX :
    0 10 0 30 100
    10 0 50 0 0
    0 50 0 20 10
    30 0 20 0 60
    100 0 10 60 0

    ENTER THE STARTING NODE : 0

    DISTANCE OF NODE 1 = 10
    PATH = 1 <- 0

    DISTANCE OF NODE 2 = 110
    PATH = 2 <- 4 <- 0

    DISTANCE OF NODE 3 = 30
    PATH = 3 <- 4 <- 0

    DISTANCE OF NODE 4 = 100
    PATH = 4 <- 0
-----

    TIME TAKEN FOR CODE EXECUTION IS: 0.001048
-----

```

Dynamic scheduling

```
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559: ~/PDC-lab/Lab3
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ gcc -o omp_shortestDistance -fopenmp shortestDistance.c
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ ./omp_shortestDistance

-----
      TECHNIQUE IMPLEMENTED: DYNAMIC SCHEDULING
-----

      NO OF VERTICES : 5

      ENTER THE ADJACENCY MATRIX :
      0 10 0 30 100
      10 0 50 0 0
      0 50 0 20 10
      30 0 20 0 60
      100 0 10 60 0

      ENTER THE STARTING NODE : 0

      DISTANCE OF NODE 1 = 10
      PATH = 1 <- 0

      DISTANCE OF NODE 2 = 60
      PATH = 2 <- 1 <- 0

      DISTANCE OF NODE 3 = 30
      PATH = 3 <- 0

      DISTANCE OF NODE 4 = 100
      PATH = 4 <- 0

-----

      TIME TAKEN FOR CODE EXECUTION IS: 0.002040

-----
```

Guided scheduling


```
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559: ~/PDC-lab/Lab3
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ gcc -o omp_shortestDistance -fopenmp shortestDistance.c
dhruv@dhruv-Inspiron-5559:~/PDC-lab/Lab3$ ./omp_shortestDistance

-----
TECHNIQUE IMPLEMENTED: GUIDED SCHEDULING
-----

NO OF VERTICES : 5

ENTER THE ADJACENCY MATRIX :
0 10 0 30 100
10 0 50 0 0
0 50 0 20 10
30 0 20 0 60
100 0 10 60 0

ENTER THE STARTING NODE : 0

DISTANCE OF NODE 1 = 10
PATH = 1 <- 0

DISTANCE OF NODE 2 = 60
PATH = 2 <- 1 <- 0

DISTANCE OF NODE 3 = 30
PATH = 3 <- 1 <- 0

DISTANCE OF NODE 4 = 100
PATH = 4 <- 0
-----

TIME TAKEN FOR CODE EXECUTION IS: 0.010209
-----
```

INFERENCE

The inference from the above three screenshots is that static scheduling performed better than dynamic scheduling, which further performed better than guided scheduling.