We go through the details of how portfolio level risk profile is derived. In this document we will consider both simple return and logrithmic return and their differences in deriving the conclusion.

1 Definition of Asset/Portfolio Return

Concepts defined in this section apply equally to both single financial asset and portfolio made of these assets.

For convenience, we use the following notation.

Let $P_{i,t}$ denote price of asset i at day t.

Let n_i denote number of shares of asset i. This stays constant for each asset respectively.

Name $\dfrac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}}$ as price change factor, $X_{i,t}$ for convenience

We can obtain the following two type of returns:

- Simple return: $R_{i,t}^{(S)} = \dfrac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}} - 1$

  which implies that $P_{i,t} = (1 + R_{i,t}^{(S)})P_{i,t-1}$

- logrithmic return: $R_{i,t}^{(L)} = \ln \dfrac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}}$

  which impies that $P_{i,t} = e^{R_{i,t}^{(L)}} P_{i,t-1}$

In exact form, we hvae

$$1 + R_{i,t}^{(S)} = e^{R_{i,t}^{(L)}} = X_{i,t}$$

Notice that when $\dfrac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}} \approx 1$, the above two returns are equivalent, that is $R_{i,t}^{(S)} \approx R_{i,t}^{(L)}$ when $P_{i,t} \approx P_{i,t-1}$ for small daily price changes.

2 Portfolio Daily Return

2.1 Exact Form

Here we work our portfolio daily return using both simple return and logrithmic return at asset level. Given that simple return is a first order approximation of logrithmic return at asset level, we will try to derive using logrithmic first:

let V_t be the asset value of day t, which can be written as

$$V_t = \sum_i^N n_i P_{i,t} = \sum_i^N n_i P_{i,t-1} e^{R_{i,t}^{(L)}}$$

where N denotes the total number of constituent assets.

Then the daily change of the portfolio would be deconstructed by using weights from the previous day

$$X_t = \frac{V_t}{V_{t-1}} \qquad \text{by definition}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i^N n_i P_{i,t-1} X_{i,t}}{V_{t-1}} = \sum_i^N \frac{n_i P_{i,t-1}}{V_{t-1}} X_{i,t} \qquad \text{by expansion}$$

$$= \sum_i^N w_{i,t-1} X_{i,t} \qquad \text{weight definition} \tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_i^N w_{i,t-1} \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}} \qquad \text{using price change}$$

where $w_{i,t-1}$ is the weight ratio of asset i in the entire portfolio. Interestingly this demonstrates the exact relationship between daily change ratio of the portfolio and that of the constituent assets where $V_{i,t}$ is the total value of asset i on day t. Though this is an exact relationship between constituent price change and portfolio value change.

2.2 Practial Approximations

Approximately, when daily changes are small, simple return and logrithmic return are close. Then (1) can be written as

$$1 + R_t = \sum_i^N w_{i,t-1}(1 + r_{i,t})$$

where R_t is portfolio return and $r_{i,t}$ is asset return.

Given that $\sum_1^N w_{i,t-1} = 1$, we have

$$R_t = \sum_i^N w_{i,t-1} r_{i,t} \tag{2}$$

This can be also written in a vector form

$$R_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}^T \boldsymbol{r}_t \tag{3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_t$ are both $N \times 1$ vectors.

2.3 Is weight vector approximately constant?

Let us understand how $w_{i,t}$ evolves over time. Denote $V_{i,t}$ the value of asset i on day t

$$w_{i,t} = \frac{V_{i,t}}{V_t} = \frac{V_{i,t}/V_{t-1}}{V_t/V_{t-1}} = \frac{\dfrac{V_{i,t}}{V_{i,t-1}} \dfrac{V_{i,t-1}}{V_{t-1}}}{\dfrac{V_t}{V_{t-1}}}$$

where:

$\dfrac{V_{i,t}}{V_{i,t-1}}$ is the growth of asset i on day t, $X_{i,t}$.

$\dfrac{V_{i,t-1}}{V_{t-1}}$ is the weight of asset i on day $t-1$, $w_{i,t-1}$. We obtain approximately

$\dfrac{V_t}{V_{t-1}}$ is the growth of portfolio, see (1)

when returns are small:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{i,t} &= \frac{w_{i,t-1}X_{i,t}}{\sum_j^N w_{j,t-1}X_{j,t}} \\
&\approx \frac{w_{i,t-1}(1+r_{i,t})}{1+\sum_j^N w_{j,t-1}r_{j,t}} && \text{replacing } X \text{ by } 1+r \\
&\approx w_{i,t-1}(1+r_{i,t})(1-\sum_j^N w_{j,t-1}r_{j,t}) && \text{first order expansion} \\
&= w_{i,t-1}(1+r_{i,t})(1-\boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}^T \boldsymbol{r}_t) && \text{use vector form} \\
&= w_{i,t-1}(1+r_{i,t}-\boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}^T \boldsymbol{r}_t) && \text{ignore second order terms of } r
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

The crux is that the difference of weights between neighboring days is at the first order of r.

$$
w_{i,t} \approx w_{i,t-1} + O(r) \quad \text{where r is small} \tag{5}
$$

which would lead (3) to be rewritten as

$$
R_t = (\boldsymbol{w}_0^T + O(r))\boldsymbol{r}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_0^T \boldsymbol{r}_t + O(r^2) \approx \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{r}_t \tag{6}
$$

The intuition is that though weight vector changes slowly over time, however as far as the return of the portfolio is concerned, the impact of drift is at second-order. That's why in practice, the initial weight vector is used to perform the risk modeling

2.4 Portfolio Risk

The model is now equivalently a linear model if we write (6) using a generic $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_N)^T$. Let's consider the random variable for each asset i as r_i (dropping t). When it is small, it is the same as the logrithmic return which is usually modeled by a normal distribution, $r_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$. Then we can compute the portfolio return R as a function of the random variables r_i.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(R) &= \boldsymbol{w}^T \, \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\
\mathrm{Var}(R) &= \mathrm{Var}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{r}) = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_r \boldsymbol{w}
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_r$ is the covariance matrix of r. We can also write that in terms of summation for variance of a linear combination of random variables, as follows

$$\text{Var}(R) = \text{Var}(\sum_i^N w_i r_i)$$

$$= \sum_i^N w_{i,t}^2 \text{Var}(r_i) + 2 \sum_{i,j,i<j}^N w_i w_j \text{Cov}(r_i, r_j)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{1,2} & \cdots & \sigma_{1,N} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{N,1} & \sigma_{N,2} & \cdots & \sigma_N^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$