

# A Comparison between Dempster-Shafer and Bayesian Approaches to Soft Evidence

Dylan Hutchison

last updated October 29, 2012

## 1 3-State Single Variable

Let's consider the case of a murder investigation that after considerable detective work has only three possible suspects: Peter, Paul and Mary. (CITE the Shafer book) Let  $G$  be the random variable denoting the murderer and let the domain of  $G$  be  $\{\text{Peter, Paul, Mary}\}$ . Let's see how the Bayesian and Dempster-Shafer approaches handle this scenario with varying degrees of evidence. [1]

As single variable offers little chance of ambiguity, I will use the shorthand  $P(\text{Peter})$  to denote  $P(G=\text{Peter})$  frequently.

### 1.1 No evidence

Bayesian theory tells us to impart equal prior probability to the possible states of  $G$  if there is no other information. Thus  $P(\text{Peter})=P(\text{Paul})=P(\text{Mary})=\frac{1}{3}$ .

Dempster-Shafer theory will impart no belief to any of the three single states of  $G$ .

## References

- [1] Shafer, G.: A Mathematical Theory of Evidence. Princeton University Press (1976)