

Organization Development

Navigating Change: A Case Study on Goldman Sachs' Organizational Evolution



Dhwani Modi

Department of Psychology, New York University

PSYCH-GA.2074: Organizational Development

Dr. Bill Battle

December 12, 2023

Introduction

Goldman Sachs is one of Wall Street's most prestigious and oldest investment banks in the United States. With its headquarters located in New York City, this American multinational investment bank and financial services company provides services in the areas of prime brokerage, securities underwriting, asset management, and investment management.

As of May 2020, Goldman Sachs secures its place as the 62nd largest U.S.-based corporation in terms of revenue. Securing a position at this organization is extremely challenging, as the company attracts thousands of candidates every year applying for each position. During an interview in June 2020, CEO David Solomon revealed that Goldman Sachs employs two to three thousand graduates annually. While the appeal of high compensation serves a significant role in attracting candidates, the company also has a reputation for stressful work culture and demanding work hours. This complex workplace challenge is overshadowed by offering employees financial rewards such as bonuses, making it a key reason for attracting top talent at Goldman Sachs.

For the OD intervention of Goldman Sachs, the Burke-Litwin Model of Organizational Performance and Change will be used to describe and assess the company. Despite its inherent complexity, this model allows us to consider a diversity of elements that can influence the efficacy of our proposed recommendations. Change is an intricate process, a notion recognized by the Burke-Litwin Model. The model identifies 12 distinct elements that interact with each other. The model encompasses: External environment, mission and strategy, leadership, culture, structure, management practices, systems, climate, task requirements and individual skills/abilities, individual needs and values, motivation, and Individual and organizational performance.

External Environment

The Burke-Litwin Model identifies the external environment as one of the components. It is defined as any external circumstance or condition that affects how well an organization performs. The external environment encompasses economic circumstances, market patterns, regulatory changes, technological progress, and sociocultural factors. In the case of Goldman Sachs, financial services are going through a difficult time right now. Many organizations hired for the surge in activity in 2021, and the result was a volatile stock market that made trading challenging. There have also been a few Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) and mergers/acquisitions, which are key sources of revenue for investment banking. As a result, Goldman Sachs is staffed for prosperous times but has no income to match. It is difficult to decide whether to fire employees or to keep them on in the hopes of better times because it is still being determined when or if the economy will improve.

On the other hand, technology replaced investment banking and financial services as the companies people are most eager to work for. As reported by Entrepreneur (2022), the sector has seen around 6% growth on average, with some segments even going as high as 20% growth in a year. The top tech companies are perceived to provide a similar compensation package as Goldman Sachs but offer a better culture. Even if Goldman Sachs still attracts top talents, there is more willingness to move to another industry. On the sociocultural factors, the emergence of Gen Z as a workforce will provide a challenge for Goldman Sachs. The Johns Hopkins University (2023), Gen Z employees want greater flexibility at work, with 34% of employees blaming workload as a contributing factor for stress and anxiety. According to the data collected, if Goldman Sachs continues with strict working hours and increasing workload, it can be inferred they will become a less attractive option over time. The recommendation for Goldman Sachs

urges us to understand and adapt to the external environment. Even though the economic circumstances and market patterns can change drastically, it is necessary for them to change their stressful work culture and demanding work hours to appeal to the upcoming workforce.

Mission and Strategy

The next element is the mission and strategy. This encompasses what employees believe is the central purpose of the organization and how the organization intends to achieve that purpose over an extended period. Clarity in the mission and a well-defined strategy provide direction, guide decision-making, and align the efforts of employees toward common goals. Goldman Sachs defines their mission as “to be the world’s most exceptional financial institution, united by our shared values of partnership, client service, integrity and excellence” (Goldman Sachs, 2023). The work towards this goal using four core values client service, partnership, excellence, and integrity.

On the company website, Goldman Sachs outlines client service as leading with a service-oriented mindset that involves comprehending the objectives of the clients and going above and beyond to win their trust and surpass their expectations. Partnership implies putting an emphasis on cooperation and trusting in the power of the group, developing a culture that encourages membership and teamwork in pursuit of both professional and personal development. For excellence, it is about striving for nothing less than excellence. Aiming for extraordinary performance and superior outcomes for clients, shareholders, and communities. Lastly, integrity is about maintaining the highest ethical standards of accountability, seeking transparency and attentiveness from people as the business gains knowledge from experiences and makes decisions that inspire pride in the organization.

Structure

Goldman Sachs consists of a strict and rigid hierarchical organizational structure to effectively manage its global operations. The hierarchical structure consists of over 130 top executives overseeing the four business units, ensuring clear lines of authority, accountability, and reporting throughout the organization. This structure helps in defining roles and responsibilities and provides employees with a variety of career pathways, including opportunities in revenue-generating roles as well as critical support functions. The organizational structure at Goldman Sachs is categorized into two major groups: Producing and Federation. Both the Producing and Federation groups are interdependent within the organizational structure and are essential for achieving organizational goals and maintaining regulatory compliance.

Production

This group focuses on revenue generation, with key units such as Global Banking & Markets, Investment Banking, Asset Management, and Consumer & Wealth Management. Professionals within this division are engaged in personal financial advisory and asset management for institutional investors, contributing significantly to the overall success of Goldman Sachs.

Global Banking & Markets: This unit plays a pivotal role in revenue generation, encompassing investment banking and trading. Investment banking, a substantial part of Goldman Sachs' operations, involves financial advisory services like mergers, restructuring, and underwriting for public offerings and debt instruments.

Asset Management: Accounting for a significant portion of the company's revenues, this unit provides investment advisory and financial planning services. The acquisition of NN

Investment Partners in 2021 reflects Goldman Sachs' commitment to expanding its international operations in asset management.

Consumer & Wealth Management: This unit includes management and incentive fees, deposit-taking activities, private banking, unsecured loans, and credit cards. It oversees entities such as Goldman Sachs Private Wealth, Ayco, and Goldman Sachs Personal Financial Management, demonstrating a comprehensive approach to consumer and health-related services.

Federation

This group serves as a crucial support system, overseeing essential control functions aimed at regulatory compliance and risk mitigation. This encompasses key areas such as legal, compliance, and risk management. Within the Federation group, there is a focus on control functions associated with revenue, including compliance, risk, and legal aspects. Additionally, it encompasses broader corporate functions such as Financial Planning and Analysis (FPA), Human Resources (HR), Payroll, Engineering, and more.

Organizational Culture

The organizational culture at Goldman Sachs is frequently characterized as demanding and stressful. Junior-level employees begin their careers with long work hours, high salaries, and generous bonuses. While the financial benefits are substantial, the organizational culture has been described as toxic by the employees, which creates a high turnover environment, enabling top talent to quit the organization and opt for a healthy work-life balance. Because of intense work hours, many employees end up leaving to work for different banks. This shows that we need to address the challenges faced by the junior analysts.

Challenging Workload

First-year analysts are typically immersed in a demanding workload, putting in around 95 hours per week and averaging only five hours of sleep every night. This toxic work culture affects the mental well-being of employees, which then manifests as problems in their physical health. Employees often describe being sleep-deprived and burnt out due to heavy workplace demands, such as late-night projects and presentations, contributing to a perceived lack of work-life balance. Regardless of financial incentives, some employees may prioritize a healthier and more sustainable work culture, resulting in attrition and difficulties in retaining talent.

Transitioning from university to corporate culture can pose certain difficulties when you leave the adaptable and independent atmosphere of academic life to the more structured and hierarchical environment of the corporate world. This transition can be a cultural shock, as the dynamics, expectations, and social interactions vastly differ. These newly graduated employees are suddenly expected to work late into the night on projects and presentations for their seniors. Despite being paid generously, they're putting in 80 to 110 hours per week, most of the time doing work that is less important for their senior colleagues.

Employee well-being vs commitment to the organization

A group of junior analysts expressed their concerns about working conditions through a survey to their respective managers in February 2021. Acknowledging these concerns, the CEO pledged to reinforce the "Saturday rule," providing employees with time off from 9 p.m. on Fridays until Sunday morning. However, he also stated the significance of going the extra mile for clients as it will only increase their performance, even if their limits may appear to be reached. This dual messaging suggests that even though employees may take the time off, they should put their clients' needs first which may, in the end, affect their bonuses. There seems to be

an imbalance between recognizing employee well-being and emphasizing commitment to professional responsibilities.

The Attraction-Selection-Attrition Framework

The attraction, selection, and attrition framework explains the recruitment and turnover process at Goldman Sachs, and why the organization struggles to retain analysts for a prolonged period despite its capacity to attract thousands of talented individuals every year.

1. Attraction

Goldman Sachs attracts over 250,000 applications from graduates, with a strong focus on recruiting from reputable institutions. They attract promising talent, frequently from Ivy League universities to join the organization.

2. Selection

The selection process is characterized by rigorous assessments and interviews for the hiring of analysts. The duration required to recruit new analysts takes up to 1.5 years. The company's selection process is highly selective and focuses on specific recruitments, such as graduates from Ivy League universities.

3. Attrition

A substantial number of analysts leave after working for one or two years due to the intense work culture. The '360 degree' annual appraisal process categorizes employees based on performance and terminates anyone in the bottom 10%, leading to a competitive environment. This results in an increase in workload for existing employees due to employees leaving the organization which may result in further attrition of the current employees.

Why Should Goldman Sachs have a culture reset?

The significant voluntary turnover resulting from concerns about employee well-being presents a serious risk to the ability to attract talented candidates in the future. After COVID-19, the modern workforce has become more conscious of the need for a healthy work-life balance. A high turnover environment can lead to significant costs associated with the recruitment of new employees in terms of both time and money. Costs related to onboarding new employees, providing training, and facilitating their integration into the organization may not be as efficient as retaining current employees and investing in their training and performance. It also takes time for a new employee to reach the same level of productivity as the departing employee. New employees will have to gain the same level of knowledge and expertise that the departing employees gained during their time at the company. Furthermore, there are costs associated with exit processes, paperwork, and administrative tasks related to departing employees. Losing employees due to cultural concerns raises concerns about the organization's future, especially with new generations entering the workforce. While bringing in new talent can introduce fresh perspectives and skills, a high turnover may indicate deeper issues that need to be addressed for long-term success.

Leadership

Leadership is defined as the executive behavior that encourages others to take necessary action and offers guidance. Effective leadership provides a clear vision, inspires motivation, establishes goals, and shapes the culture and direction of the organization. Strong leadership is essential for aligning the organization's efforts toward its goals and fostering innovation and change. For Goldman Sachs, the Presidential Managing Directors (PMDs), commonly referred to as Partners, hold a distinguished position within the organization, boasting equity ownership and

serving as esteemed senior leaders. As pivotal figures, PMDs operate under the oversight of the executive office (EO), comprising the highest-ranking partners within the firm. The EO, representing a pinnacle of leadership, serves as a crucial liaison between PMDs and the esteemed Board. This governing body, composed of eminent leaders from the Financial Services sector and potentially other industries, holds paramount authority and shapes strategic decisions, steering the organization's trajectory.

Management Practices

Apprenticeship Culture

Goldman Sachs' mentorship model reflects a critical component in I-O focused on workforce development and organizational learning. According to Kram (1985), mentorship in organizations serves dual functions: career development and psychosocial support. This approach aids in the transfer of tacit knowledge, which is often challenging to communicate but essential for the nuanced understanding of job roles and organizational culture. Goldman Sachs' implementation of this model can be seen as a strategic approach to foster skill development, enhance job satisfaction, and retain key talent within the organization.

Control Functions & Training

Risk management and compliance are vital to maintaining an ethical and stable organizational environment. Kaplan and Mikes (2012) argue for a comprehensive risk management framework that combines rigorous assessment with proactive strategies. Goldman Sachs' strict adherence to financial regulations and its robust risk assessment measures are in alignment with this view, indicating a strong organizational culture of responsibility and ethical conduct. Training and continuous learning are also central to I-O, as they are crucial for maintaining workforce competence and organizational agility. Noe (2017) emphasizes the role of

continuous learning in keeping up with industry changes and technological advancements.

Goldman Sachs' investment in employee training and development, particularly in constantly evolving fields like finance and technology, demonstrates a commitment to maintaining a highly skilled and adaptable workforce.

Systems

Goldman Sachs strives to be the world's leading financial institution by advancing sustainable economic growth and financial opportunity. Certain codes of business conduct and ethics are mandatory to adhere to by the organization.

The People

Goldman Sachs emphasizes the importance of diversity in fostering innovation, assembling and leveraging top talent, and meeting client needs. They are committed to creating a globally diverse workforce and welcoming individuals from various backgrounds, cultures, and experiences. They value diverse perspectives and foster an inclusive environment of collaboration for collective success. The organization has strict policies that prohibit discrimination, harassment, bias, or prejudice based on any characteristic, both on and off-premises. Clear and professional communication is emphasized, focusing on using firm-approved communication systems for business interactions. Managers are expected to uphold the organization's culture, ensuring team development, setting expectations, providing feedback, and supporting inclusivity and equitable opportunities. They are expected to embody the organization's core values and principles in their interactions with clients, team members, and the firm.

The Organization

The organization maintains a firm stance against bribery and corruption, emphasizing a commitment to a business based on merit and performance excellence. Specific activities like interactions with government officials require pre-approval to alleviate bribery and corruption risks. In adherence to anti-money laundering laws, employees must comply with "know your customer" requirements and report any suspicious activity related to money laundering, terrorism financing, or other illegal activities. The commitment to integrity extends to personal trading, where pre-clearance and approval by Compliance are mandatory for brokerage accounts. Trading based on information obtained in the course of work, especially concerning material nonpublic information, is strictly prohibited and may be a violation of applicable law.

The Clients

The organization takes pride in its client's choice to conduct business with the firm and prioritizes their interests as well as the protection of their privacy. To enhance relationships and better cater to clients' needs, Goldman Sachs encourages employees to strengthen relationships with clients and third parties outside the office. By adhering to numerous laws and regulations, the firm is committed to avoiding conflicts of interest, between the firm and the clients. Any activity, investment, or relationship that creates a potential conflict of interest should be avoided and discussed with supervisors, Compliance, Legal, or other relevant groups within the organization.

Work Unit Climate

Goldman Sachs continues to hold its prestigious position as the world's largest investment banking and equity trading operation. This status not only brings significant prestige but also enables the firm to attract some of the most qualified and skilled professionals in the financial

sector. The company's enduring reputation and success in these key areas underscore its influence and leadership in the industry. Despite this, recent predictive indicators suggest that Goldman Sachs may face unprecedented challenges shortly, marking a potentially pivotal moment in its history.

Reputation & History

As a workplace, Goldman Sachs is still considered a top-tier employer within the financial sector. Its employees are generally viewed as high-level talent, possessing expertise and specialization in various aspects of finance. This perception is further reinforced by Goldman Sachs' long-standing culture of apprenticeship and mentorship. The firm places a strong emphasis on the professional development of its staff, with senior employees playing a critical role in mentoring junior colleagues. The performance of these senior staff members is not just measured by their achievements but also by how effectively they uphold and propagate the firm's mentorship ethos, particularly in guiding and nurturing high-potential junior employees.

However, this strong focus on mentorship and development can sometimes be a double-edged sword for Goldman Sachs. While it fosters a rich learning environment, it also requires significant investment in terms of time and resources from senior employees. Despite these efforts, there's a noticeable trend of shorter tenures among mentored staff, indicating challenges in long-term talent retention. This issue extends to the upper echelons of the firm as well. In-depth reporting by respected publications like The New York Times, The Wall Street Journal, and The New Yorker has brought attention to Goldman Sachs' struggle to retain its most senior and key executives. Internal political struggles, especially around the current CEO and his inner circle, have been cited as significant factors leading to the departure of several long-time partners in recent years. (Sheridan, 1992)

Talent Acquisition

The changing dynamics at the junior level further complicate the picture. The perception of Goldman Sachs as a long-term career destination has shifted, particularly among younger employees. These newer generations are increasingly resistant to the traditionally long work hours associated with the firm; a sentiment intensified by the reduced compensation in the post-2008 regulated financial landscape. Consequently, many junior employees view their tenure at Goldman Sachs as a temporary phase, often leaving after two to three years for roles that offer better work-life balance, higher pay, or a combination of both. This evolving trend reflects a broader shift in employment preferences and expectations, especially among young professionals in the financial sector, posing additional challenges for Goldman Sachs in terms of attracting and retaining talent. (Breaugh, 2021)

Task Requirement and Individual Skills/Abilities

Goldman Sachs employs a diverse range of professionals to support and grow its operations, including expertise in Audit (spanning Finance and Technology), Business Finance, Budgeting and Forecasting, Compliance, Data Analytics, Business Intelligence, Investment Banking, Financial Modeling, Software Engineering, Talent Management, etc. While the core responsibilities in these roles are comparable to those in other investment banks, even though the dynamic and competitive nature of the industry decided the high workload, Goldman Sachs employees typically experience even longer working hours than their counterparts at other firms. As reported by Business Insider, junior bankers at Goldman Sachs average 98 working hours per week, which is 18 hours more than their peers at other institutions. The entrenched culture of long working hours at Goldman Sachs is often perceived as a rite of passage by senior bankers, who themselves endured similar conditions early in their careers. As a result, long hours have

become an implicit expectation on employees, posing a significant challenge to efforts aimed at reducing work hours and improving work-life balance.

Goldman Sachs, renowned for its status as a premier investment bank, is committed to attracting and retaining exceptional talent, emphasizing strategic hiring and a rigorous selection process. According to the 2022 People Strategy Report by Goldman Sachs, entry-level positions, including analysts and associates, constitute over 70% of the bank's annual recruitment. The standard hiring process often begins with internships that transition into full-time roles, particularly for university students. A report by efinancialcareers highlighted that, on average, each job opening at Goldman Sachs attracts approximately 300 applicants. This intense competition enables Goldman Sachs to select top-tier talent.

However, as the recruitment process is notably lengthy, this protracted approach has rendered the talent pipeline vulnerable to economic fluctuations, as witnessed in 2021 when Goldman Sachs struggled to onboard new talent promptly. This inability to rapidly scale up the workforce during economic downturns exacerbates the workload on existing employees, leading to increased burnout and potentially higher turnover rates. In 2023, Goldman Sachs announced plans to "recalibrate" its workforce, indicating a strategy of not fully replacing staff who leave voluntarily as part of managing natural staff turnover. Consequently, some positions vacated after layoffs may remain unfilled, further impacting the workload distribution among remaining employees. As the situation continued at Goldman Sachs, it could foster a perception within the organization that those who leave due to the demanding work environment lack resilience or are not valuable enough. This situation not only perpetuates the status quo but also potentially discourages meaningful reforms aimed at improving work-life balance and employee well-being.

As a result, the organization risks normalizing an intense work culture, potentially overlooking the long-term impacts on employee health, satisfaction, and overall retention.

Individual Needs and Values

There are several reasons attracting people to work at Goldman Sachs:

Prestige and Challenge: With its long history and strong reputation in the financial industry, Goldman Sachs has been a highly desirable employer – the name itself has been an attractor to candidates. As a top-tier investment bank, Goldman Sachs is offering a prominent career path in investment banking or financial services. The environment ensures its employees are always learning and being challenged, pushing employees to perform their best.

High Caliber Teams: The opportunity to work with a legendary client base and receive mentoring from senior leaders with impressive credentials makes it an attractive option for career advancement. This aspect is particularly appealing for those seeking to make a significant impact from early in their careers.

Leadership Opportunities: Goldman Sachs offers ample opportunities for early-career employees to lead projects, a key attraction for those seeking rapid leadership experience. The firm's collaborative culture, emphasizing consensus and teamwork, sets it apart as an employer.

However, work at Goldman Sachs will also face challenges:

Traditional Corporate Culture: Goldman Sachs maintains an "old school" corporate culture, with an unspoken expectation of socializing with the team and superiors after work. It's described as an elitist culture, which the company embraces as part of its identity.

Technological Challenges: Despite adopting technology for remote working and communication, Goldman Sachs has faced criticism over its internal technology standards. As

reported in 2020, there were concerns about the adequacy of Goldman's internal tooling, suggesting potential gaps in meeting modern technological expectations in the workplace.

These factors collectively influence the overall employee experience at the firm. The dual nature of Goldman Sachs has led some individuals to view their tenure at the firm as a stepping stone rather than a long-term career destination. High turnover is a direct consequence of the company's stringent talent selection process and the implicit understanding among employees that long-term tenure may not be feasible or desirable. Rather than being viewed as detrimental, it's often perceived as an opportunity to continuously refresh the talent pool with new graduates, who are seen as more cost-effective hires. This perspective also aligns with the firm's culture of hiring top talent while simultaneously filtering out those who may not fully align with its rigorous and demanding work culture.

Motivation/Reward

The benefits package offered by Goldman Sachs, while competitive with other investment banks, does exhibit a noticeable difference when compared to the benefits typically provided by top technology companies. While Goldman Sachs and similar investment banks offer substantial benefits in their own right, they tend to be more traditional and financially oriented, whereas top tech companies often push the envelope in offering more diverse and lifestyle-oriented benefits that are more attractive to the Gen Z generation.

As the situation showed at Goldman Sachs, several factors emerge as significant negative influencers of employee experience and motivation. Key among these are the high workload, a challenging work-life balance, and the use of outdated technology. Collectively, these elements would dampen employee motivation and engagement. Goldman Sachs has publicly committed to strategies aimed at fostering more conducive work conditions in order to enhance employees'

work experience. It has implemented various policies to address work-life balance issues, including competitive vacation policies, flexible working options, family care support, wellness programs, etc. While these initiatives are feasible, they do not fully address the underlying cause of the firm's work-life balance challenges. There's a noticeable gap between these initiatives and an effective result. A significant factor in this disconnect is the ingrained acceptance of the status quo by senior-level employees, who often view the intense work culture as a necessary ingredient for success. This mindset creates resistance to change, leading to a situation where despite the introduction of new strategies, the core issues remain largely unaddressed. The failure to align the firm's proclaimed efforts with tangible improvements in work conditions not only undermines employee trust but also impedes the attraction and retention of talent necessary to support Goldman Sachs's evolution and adaptation in a changing business landscape.

Individual and Organizational Performance

Goldman Sachs is undoubtedly a titan in the financial industry and has long been at the forefront of its two primary revenue-generating divisions – investment banking and equity trading. In investment banking, the firm has been particularly prominent in Mergers and acquisitions (M&As) and Initial Public Offerings (IPOs), areas where its expertise and influence have been widely recognized. Additionally, in the trading sector, Goldman Sachs has made a significant mark, particularly through the generation of substantial fees in equities trading. This dual dominance in both high-profile investment banking activities and the competitive trading arena has historically positioned Goldman Sachs as a leader in the financial services industry.

State of Industry

However, the landscape of the industry has evolved, especially in the wake of the 2008 global financial crisis. This pivotal event reshaped the banking sector, bringing about more

stringent regulations and altering competitive dynamics. The once sizable gap between Goldman Sachs and other top-tier banks, including the likes of JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley, and Citigroup, has perceptibly diminished. While Goldman Sachs maintains its stature, the playing field is more level now, with competitors closing in on areas that were once Goldman's strongholds.

In the realm of client service, Goldman Sachs continues to uphold a reputation for excellence. The firm's dedication to client satisfaction, problem-solving, and tailored financial solutions remains a cornerstone of its business ethos. However, unlike the clear metrics available for evaluating success in M&As, IPOs, and equities trading, the quality of client service is inherently subjective and challenging to quantify. This aspect, though crucial, adds a layer of complexity to assessing Goldman Sachs' standing in comparison to its competitors. (Johnstone, Saridakis, & Wilkinson, 2019)

Organizational Performance

Goldman Sachs as an entity faces the volatility inherent in its reliance on economic-sensitive revenue streams. Unlike diversified giants such as Citi and JP Morgan Chase, which have substantial revenue coming from more stable and less cyclical banking operations like asset management, private lending, and consumer banking, Goldman's performance can swing dramatically with the economic tides. Goldman has tried in recent years to invest and compete in these more stable avenues of revenue, but thus far has not been able to gain traction in the same way their competitors have. This reliance on the broader health of the economy, particularly in areas like investment banking and trading, makes the highs much higher than its contemporaries, but the lows significantly lower, making the firm's overall financial performance potentially more volatile compared to its peers with more diversified revenue sources.

Individual Performance

The internal culture at Goldman Sachs has always been one of high intensity and immense pressure. Historically, this was part of the firm's appeal to ambitious professionals drawn by the promise of substantial rewards and the prestige of being associated with a top-tier investment bank. Employees were often willing to endure long hours and a high-stress environment, knowing that they were compensated generously and were part of an elite group. However, the post-2008 era brought about significant changes in the financial sector's regulatory landscape, leading to a cap on the once sky-high compensation packages. This shift has had a noticeable impact on staff satisfaction and retention.

Moreover, the rise of the technology sector and the emergence of smaller, more nimble financial firms have further altered the recruitment landscape. Companies like Jane Street, Citadel, and Millennium offer alternatives to the traditional banking career path, attracting talent with their less regulated environments and potentially more innovative and flexible work cultures. These firms, often more agile and less burdened by the heavy regulations that constrain larger banks, have started to siphon off top talent from Goldman Sachs and other bulge bracket banks.

Recommendations

Culture

Implementing a cultural shift at Goldman Sachs to diversify into areas like asset management and consumer banking can be effectively guided using Kotter's 8-Step Change Model. Initially, a sense of urgency must be established by communicating the need for diversification, highlighting industry trends and the successes of competitors such as JP Morgan Chase. This sets the stage for the formation of a powerful coalition comprising influential leaders

within Goldman Sachs, including representatives from both traditional high-intensity areas and potential leaders in the new verticals.

To empower employees for broad-based action, the firm needs to address concerns about the cultural shift, especially fears of diluting the existing high-intensity culture. Providing training and resources to employees in the new verticals is crucial for empowerment. The implementation plan for improving work-life balance and employee well-being at Goldman Sachs begins with creating a sense of urgency, where the firm highlights the critical need for change through data on employee turnover, burnout rates, and feedback on work-life balance.

Following this, a guiding coalition is formed, consisting of influential leaders, HR representatives, and employee advocates, all committed to enhancing work-life balance. This team then develops a clear vision and strategy, articulating the benefits of improved work-life balance such as increased employee satisfaction, productivity, and longer tenure. The strategy particularly focuses on implementing mandated time off during weekends and limiting the weekly working hours. Next, increasing the recruitment of junior analysts can effectively distribute workloads among current employees, as it enables a more balanced and manageable workload. Furthermore, rather than only employing fresh graduates, recruiting applicants with previous work experience for junior-level positions can help employees maintain their efficiency and productivity as they might adapt quickly to the demands of the job.

Introducing flexible work arrangements and remote work options will help cater to individual needs and enhance a healthier work-life balance. It shows that the company acknowledges and values employees' well-being. This can involve creating flexible working hours, where analysts can have control over their usual start and finish times. Hybrid work options can help reduce time spent on lengthy commutes and taking breaks in between work.

The change vision is communicated across Goldman Sachs through various channels, emphasizing the alignment of these changes with the firm's values and goals, and the positive impact they will have on all employees. To anchor these new approaches in the firm's culture, these work-life balance practices are integrated into Goldman Sachs' core values and operations. Leaders are encouraged to model these behaviors and recognize teams that exemplify a healthy work-life balance. This step ensures that these changes become a permanent and valued part of the firm's culture, leading to a more sustainable and productive work environment.

There are certain obstacles that we may encounter during the implementation of such culture change methods. We may encounter resistance to change from managers and top executives. It can be a difficult and lengthy process to develop a leadership change model for managers who are set in their ways. Implementing remote work and improving work-life balance practices may affect relationships with clients as the organization takes pride in putting the client's needs first over anything else. Such a fast-paced and intense working environment may be deeply ingrained into the organization, which makes it challenging to create changes for employee development.

Mentorship at a more junior level

From a psychological perspective, enhancing Goldman Sachs' management practices could involve earlier development of managerial capacities in employees' careers. This strategy aligns with the concept of accelerated leadership development discussed by McCauley, Moxley, and Van Velsor (1998). By nurturing leadership skills early on, Goldman Sachs could ensure a more evenly distributed mentorship load, fostering deeper, more impactful mentor-mentee relationships. Additionally, it would allow high-potential, mid-level employees to have a greater impact on the organization, potentially accelerating their career progression. This approach could

enhance organizational effectiveness by optimizing talent utilization and fostering a culture of leadership and continuous professional development.

The implementation of early leadership development at Goldman Sachs begins with the creation of urgency. By showcasing research and data, including insights from McCauley, Moxley, and Van Velsor, the firm highlights the necessity and benefits of nurturing leadership skills early in an employee's career. This step is crucial for building a compelling argument for the change. Following this, Goldman Sachs forms a guiding coalition of senior leaders, HR professionals, and influential employees. This team is tasked with driving the change process forward. The coalition then develops a vision and strategy focused on early integration of leadership development into career paths. This strategy aims to balance mentorship responsibilities, enrich mentor-mentee relationships, and expedite the career growth of high-potential, mid-level employees. The vision is communicated throughout the firm, aligning this new approach with Goldman Sachs' broader goals and values and highlighting the anticipated benefits for individual and organizational growth. Empowering broad-based action involves identifying and dismantling barriers to early leadership development, such as rigid training schedules or entrenched perceptions about the timing of managerial training. This step may involve overhauling existing training programs to be more inclusive of early-career employees. The plan includes generating short-term wins by implementing this strategy in a select department or among a group of employees. These early successes are measured and celebrated. A key metric for measuring the success of this implementation is the employee retention rate. By monitoring changes in retention rates, particularly among those who have participated in the early leadership development program, Goldman Sachs can quantitatively assess the impact of the initiative on employee engagement and career satisfaction. Using the

success of the initial implementation, informed by the retention rates and other qualitative feedback, the program is then expanded across more departments. The lessons learned from these early stages are applied to refine the approach further. Finally, to anchor the new practices in the firm's culture, the concept of early leadership development is woven into the core values and operational practices of Goldman Sachs. Leaders are encouraged to recognize and support the growth of early career employees in leadership roles, solidifying this approach as a fundamental aspect of the firm's culture.

Leadership change and training can inspire staff by creating healthy power dynamics and less hierarchical structures. Starting with a comprehensive assessment of leadership behaviors through surveys and observations, we will analyze the areas that require improvement, such as top-down communication, dealing with low-performing employees, and conflict resolution. The implementation of the transformational leadership model acts as a valuable strategy that prioritizes inspiring, motivating, and enhancing positive relationships with employees. Through these steps and by closely monitoring employee retention rates, Goldman Sachs can effectively implement a strategy that not only enhances its management practices but also optimizes talent utilization and strengthens its organizational culture through continuous professional development.

To measure the effectiveness of leadership change, we will incorporate 360-degree feedback, which enables employees to provide feedback to leaders and shows how their managerial attitudes affect the overall culture of the organization and the performance of the employees. Through this feedback, we can access the areas for improvement and create a leadership training and development process. Conducting regular assessments of organizational culture can help assess the changes resulting from leadership initiatives. Other tools used to

measure the change effect are employee engagement and job satisfaction surveys. And lastly, analyzing performance metrics can identify improvements in productivity, teamwork, and overall organizational performance.

Performance Management

The core issue at Goldman Sachs is the high workload, which is a byproduct of both industry demands and intense internal competition among employees. A key factor exacerbating this situation is the firm's performance management system, which has been a subject of controversy among the workforce. This system, known for identifying and potentially eliminating the bottom 5% to 10% of employees, has led to allegations of biased reviews and increased tension. The pressure to avoid being in the lower percentile compels employees to engage in excessive work and socializing with managers, thus undermining efforts to promote a healthy work-life balance. While the performance review system effectively tracks individual abilities, its stringent nature and the severe consequences of underperformance do not foster a relaxed work environment. Management and organizational behavior experts argue that performance reviews, particularly when used as a tool for workforce reduction, can negatively impact team dynamics and employee morale. These reviews often create a competitive rather than collaborative atmosphere, further straining the work environment and making it difficult to realize the intended benefits of work-life balance policies. Therefore, while Goldman Sachs has implemented measures to support work-life balance, the effectiveness of these policies is limited by the prevailing high-pressure work culture and competitive performance review system.

Rather than placing underperforming employees at immediate risk of layoffs, providing them with additional support and training could alleviate some of the workplace tension. This approach does not imply lowering the bar for talent quality at Goldman Sachs; instead, it

suggests creating a work environment that is supportive yet maintains a reasonable level of competitiveness. Excessive stress, driven by intense competition and a lack of opportunities to relax, can be counterproductive. By fostering a more relaxed atmosphere, employees can leverage their full potential, contributing positively to the organization's success.

Initiating this change could begin with a conversation about the importance of relaxation and its compatibility with organizational success, targeted at key senior personnel. These top figures can then act as advocates for this new approach, cascading the message throughout the organization. This strategy not only involves changing policies but also requires cultural transformation, which can be facilitated through training sessions and ongoing monitoring to ensure the new approach is effectively implemented and sustained. By shifting the focus from a high-stress, competitive environment to one that balances excellence with employee well-being, Goldman Sachs can potentially enhance its productivity and employee satisfaction, reinforcing its position as a leading financial institution.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the future of Goldman Sachs lies in the organization's ability to transform and evolve according to the workforce's interests, which involves adopting smart strategies for growth and employee well-being. Our suggestions include early mentorship, branching into new financial areas, leadership change, and fostering a better work-life balance. These changes aim to make Goldman Sachs more welcoming by creating an environment where both clients and employees thrive. With these changes, Goldman Sachs sets itself on a course for long-term growth and success in the financial world.

Reference:

Aman Kidwai. (2022). *Goldman Sachs is bringing back its infamous performance reviews—Experts say it's a poor management strategy*. Fortune.
<https://fortune.com/2022/08/03/goldman-sachs-bringing-back-infamous-performance-reviews-experts-say-poor-management-strategy/>

Bellafante, G. (2022). Why Wall Street Is Suddenly Bullish on Work-Life Balance. *The New York Times*.
<https://www.nytimes.com/2022/02/04/nyregion/wall-street-toxic-work-place-culture.html>

Blodget, H. (2023). *How Gen Z Can Navigate the College to Corporate Hours Shift*. Entrepreneur.
<https://www.entrepreneur.com/business-news/how-gen-z-can-navigate-the-college-to-corporate-hours-shift/466056>

Breaugh, J. A. (2021). Talent Acquisition: A Critical First Step for Effective Talent Management. *The Routledge Companion to Talent Management*, 445-456.

Butcher, S. (2016). *36% of Students Joining Goldman Sachs Leave in 2 Years*. EFinancialCareers.
<https://www.efinancialcareers.co.uk/news/2016/06/how-long-do-students-stay-at-goldman-sachs>

Goldman Sachs / Careers—Benefits, Wellness & Compensation. (n.d.). Goldman Sachs.
 Retrieved December 8, 2023, from
<https://www.goldmansachs.com/careers/benefits-wellness-compensation.html>

Goldman Sachs's Organizational Structure [Interactive Chart]. (n.d.). Organimi.

<https://www.organimi.com/organizational-structures/goldman-sachs/>

Here's what Goldman, J.P. Morgan, BAML and Morgan Stanley pay in the U.S. (2022).

EFinancialCareers.

<https://www.efinancialcareers.com/news/finance/goldman-jpmorgan-merrill-morgan-stanley-pay-salary-in-us>

Johnstone, S., Saridakis, G., & Wilkinson, A. (2019). The global financial crisis, work and employment: Ten years on. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 40(3), 455-468.

Karaian, J., & Andrew, S. (2021). *'I'm in a dark place': Complaints at Goldman Sachs set off a workplace debate*. The New York Times.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/03/19/business/goldman-sachs-analysts-workplace-complaint.html>

Kaplan, R. S., & Mikes, A. (2012). Managing risks: A new framework. *Harvard Business Review*, 90(6), 48-60.

Kostazrnic. (2022). *The Future of Investment Banking and Its Relation to Gen Z*. Medium.

https://medium.com/@kostazrnic19_24233/the-future-of-investment-banking-and-its-relation-to-gen-z-983b46836be9

Kram, K. E. (1985). *Mentoring at work: Developmental relationships in organizational life*. Scott, Foresman and Company.

Makortoff, K. (2021). *Group of junior bankers at Goldman Sachs claim "inhumane" work conditions*. The Guardian.

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2021/mar/18/group-of-junior-bankers-at-goldman-sachs-claim-inhumane-work-conditions>

McCauley, C. D., Moxley, R. S., & Van Velsor, E. (1998). *The Center for Creative Leadership handbook of leadership development*. Jossey-Bass.

Navigating the Investment Banking Talent Shortage. (n.d.). Financial Edge.

<https://www.fe.training/free-resources/investment-banking/navigating-the-investment-banking-talent-shortage/>

Nguyen, B., & Emmalyse Brownstein. (2022). *Goldman Sachs Analysts Still Working 100 Hour Weeks: Survey*. Business Insider.

<https://www.businessinsider.com/goldman-sachs-junior-analysts-working-100-hours-week-survey-2022-12>

NIYA DRAGOVA. (2020). *What it's like to work at Goldman Sachs*. Candor.

<https://candor.co/articles/tech-careers/what-it-s-like-to-work-at-goldman-sachs>

Noe, R. A. (2017). *Employee training and development (7th ed.)*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Our Shared Responsibility to Our Clients, Colleagues and Communities Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. (n.d.).

<https://www.goldmansachs.com/investor-relations/corporate-governance/corporate-governance-documents/code-of-business-conduct-and-ethics.pdf>

People, Partnership & Impact. (2022). *Goldman Sachs*.

Sarah Butcher. (2017). *We asked Goldman Sachs why everyone wanted to work there*.

eFinancialCareers.

<https://www.efinancialcareers.com/news/2017/04/so-why-does-everyone-want-to-work-for-goldman-sachs>

Sarah Butcher. (2023). *Goldman Sachs received one million job applications last year.*

eFinancialCareers.

<https://www.efinancialcareers.com/news/2023/10/goldman-sachs-applications>

Sheridan, J. E. (1992). Organizational Culture and Employee Retention. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 35(5), 1036–1056. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256539>

Zeno Toulon. (2023). *The euphemism explaining Goldman Sachs' hiring plans for 2023.*

eFinancialCareers.

<https://www.efinancialcareers.com/news/2023/02/goldman-sachs-hiring-plans-2023>