

LING 570: Hw5
Author: Dhvani Serai (dserai)
Date: 3rd November 2022

As usual, the example files are stored under **570/hw5/examples/**.

Q1 (25 points): Are the following true or false?

- If true, please provide a proof:
 - You can assume that regular languages and FSAs are equivalent, so are regular relations and FSTs.
 - For instance, if you want to prove a relation R is regular, you just need to show that you can build an FST that transduces x into y for every pair (x, y) in R .
- If false, provide a counterexample:
 - for instance, if (a) is false, you need to find a regular relation R and shows the corresponding L is not regular.

(a) Let R be a relation. Let $L = \{x \mid \text{there exists } y, \text{ such that } (x, y) \in R\}$. If R is a regular relation, then L is a regular language.

Ans: True

Proof: We know that since R is a regular relation there can be a FST A which can be constructed for Relation R where x is an input and y is an output. (Which is also regular)

$A = \langle \Phi, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \delta, q_0, \gamma \rangle$

Similarly we can create an FSA B from FST A by removing the output symbols from A .

$B = \langle \Phi, \Sigma_1, \delta, q_0, F \rangle$

Where the set of states will be the same for FSA and FST

- Set of input symbols also remain the same
- Transition function also remains the same
- Just removing the output symbols which transduce every x into y and removing the output transition function
- Final state of the FSA will be the same as the Final state of FST

Every set of strings which were getting accepted in Relation R will also be accepted by the FSA (because of reasons mentioned above) so the FSA will also be regular

Since FSA B is regular its equivalent language L will also be a regular language.

Hence, proved

(b) Let L_1 and L_2 be two languages. Let R be the cross product of L_1 and L_2 . That is, $R = \{(x, y) \mid x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\}$. If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, then R is a regular relation.

Ans: True

Proof: if L_1 and L_2 are regular languages then let A and B be their corresponding FSAs where

$A = \langle \Phi_1, \Sigma_1, \delta_1, q_{10}, F_1 \rangle$ and $B = \langle \Phi_2, \Sigma_2, \delta_2, q_{20}, F_2 \rangle$ (A, B are regular since FSA and their corresponding Language are equivalent)

Then according to the definition of Relation R the FST will be

$Q = \langle \Phi_1, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \delta, q_0, \gamma \rangle$ where

- Φ_1 : is the set of states corresponding to Language L_1 i.e. FSA A
- $\delta : \Phi_1 \times \Sigma_1$ (transition function) (which is also equivalent to δ_1)
- $\gamma : \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ (output function)

Since the transition function for FSA A (L_1) and FST Q is the same because the set of states are the same just there is an output symbol corresponding to the input.

So, every string in Language L_1 will be accepted by FST Q because of the transition function; the output will depend on the output function γ which is dependent on the regular Language (L_2)

But since L_1 is a regular language and every string in L_1 will be accepted by FST Q we can say that the Relation R corresponding to FST Q is also a regular relation

Hence proved

Q2 Q3 and Q4 notes:

- Initially for `expand_fst` I had taken an approach similar to the one in `hw4` but here I have also added the input symbols in the output because of the output format which was required in `q3` and `q4`
- In `expand_fst` I have also added additional symbols like `#` and `*` to distinguish between the part of speech tags in the `morph_rules` and the `input_symbols`
- Later for `q4` formatting I have used two additional python scripts `split_str.py` and `format_op.py` `split_str` is to split the input word (for eg: "cuts") into "c" "u" "t" "s" to make it in a Carmel format
- `format_op.py` takes the output from `expand_fst.py` and removes the additional symbols like `#` and `*` and turns the output into the desired format.
- Both of these additional python scripts are being called from `morph_acceptor.sh`