

# **Tomorrow's outcomes**

6 messages

## Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 27, 2015 at 7:14 PM

To: Miguel Roman-Roman <mromanro117@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

Greetings!

For tomorrow, since we have decided on our products, I am suggesting that we decide our **process models**. You all may want to take a look at the Distributed XP Process Model. Be warned! I have only read the abstract.

Since this course is about setting expectations and meeting them, as we go forward, we must **estimate the time to complete** the current stage of the process model and the **tangible outcomes**. As for the outcomes, they could be unit tests passed and/or documents produced.

We also need to set a time for our next meeting.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Best Regards, Josh Gillham

#### Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 27, 2015 at 11:37 PM

To: Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com>

Cc: Miguel Roman-Roman <mromanro117@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

I read the entirety of the DXP Process Model. I like it particularly because it addresses the shortcomings of a group that can't constantly meet face to face, but still adheres to a Agile process model. We should discuss its implementation tomorrow.

I'm in agreement regarding estimating how much time it'll take us to get stuff done, and the fit criterion / tangible outcomes associated with it.

As far as I'm aware, our next meeting is set for this Saturday at 2:00PM.

So on Wednesday (tomorrow), we want to cover:

- · DXP Process Model / Other Models
- Time estimates
- Tangible outcomes

Is this correct?
[Quoted text hidden]

## To: Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>

I am glad you liked the DXP Process Model. Sounds like we are on track with what to cover. Ill add an event to the calendar if its not already added.

-Josh

[Quoted text hidden]

### Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 28, 2015 at 9:41 AM

To: Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>

Cc: Miguel Roman-Roman <mromanro117@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

The DXP Process Model might be good to practice, but, maybe not. If you all think of a process model that you would like to practice then be sure to bring up in our meeting today. See you in class!

-Josh

On Tue, Jan 27, 2015 at 11:37 PM, Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com> wrote: [Ouoted text hidden]

## Miguel Roman-Roman <mromanro117@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 28, 2015 at 12:08 PM

To: Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com>, Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

Hey guys,

I won't be able to make it to class today do to my extraction. I read the DXP Process model and I like it but I am okay with whatever you guys decide on. Please let me know what occurs in today's meeting and any decisions and next steps.

Sorry for not being able to be there

—Miguel

From: Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com> Date: Wednesday, January 28, 2015 at 9:41 AM

To: Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>

**Cc:** Miguel Roman-Roman <mromanro117@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Tomorrow's outcomes

[Quoted text hidden]

### Josh Gillham <usajoshgillham@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 28, 2015 at 12:22 PM

To: Miguel Roman-Roman < mromanro117@gmail.com>

Cc: Di Tran <thedi.ness@gmail.com>, Thomas Macari <tmacari09@gmail.com>, Nathan Witt <nawitt8@gmail.com>, Wei Huang <wei.huang2012@gmail.com>

I have not uploaded the notes to RedMine, yet, but, Miguel, I will send the link once I do.

-Josh

[Quoted text hidden]