data_size	Insertion Sort	Merge Sort	QuickSort	
10,000	304		4	Testing Insertion Sort vs. Merge Sort vs. Testing 3 Sorts (Zoomed)
20,000	1059	17	5	 Insertion Sort Merge Sort QuickSort Insertion Sort Merge Sort QuickSort
40,000	4147	46	8	150000
80,000	19833	56	21	
160,000	148393	137	65	φ 100000 φ 100
These empiral tests reveal Insertion Sort's average case time complexity of O(n^2), as well as Merge Sort and Quicksort's average case time complexities of O(nlogn), which perform dramatically faster. Additionally, it seems				Su 100000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 100000 Su 10000 Su 1000 Su 1000 Su 1000 Su 10000 Su 10000 Su 10000
same asympt	totic class time	and QuickSort complexity, Qui ving it shorter ru		0 25,000 50,000 75,000 100,000 125,000 150,000 data_size 0 25,000 50,000 75,000 100,000 125,000 150,000
modified quic	cksort, data size	1 000 000		
cutoff_value		,,500		Modified QuickSort (Data Size: 1,000,000)
2				500
4				
8	349			400
16	355			
32	367			= 1 300 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =
64	335			€ 300 eight 200
128	351			
results indeed	64 gave the be d show that cut optimal for run	st performance, offs that are too time	, and the other small or too	100 25 50 75 100 125 cutoff_value
cutoff value: 6	64 (from previo	us problem)		
	QuickSort	Modified Quick	Sort	QuickSort vs. Modified QuickSort (Cuttoff: 64)
100,000	48	41		 QuickSort Modified QuickSort
200,000	70	53		200
300,000	78	102		
400,000	113	109		150
500,000	143	144		SE I
600,000	180	182		
Quicksort havalternating or	ve very similar of timality in sma	QuickSort and M runtimes, with s ller data sizes a in larger datase	light ind	50 0 100,000 200,000 300,000 400,000 500,000
				data_size