Life Cycle Plan (LCP)

REFERsy.com

Team No.10

Sreenarayan Ashokkumar - Project Manager, Feasibility Analyst
Guanhui Chen - Software Architect
Dongyoung Jung - Life Cycle Planner
Chunming Lu - Prototyper
Suchit Mathur - IIV&V, Quality Focal Point
Donglin Pu - Prototyper
Sharanya Radhamohan - Requirements Engineer
Fangjie Zhu - Operational Concept Engineer

Version History

| Date | Author | Version | Changes made | Rationale |
|----------|--------|---------|-------------------------------|--|
| 08/29/12 | DY | 1.0 | • N/A | Introduction Complete Milestone & Product Complete Responsibilities – skills complete Resources partially complete |
| 10/13/14 | DY | 2.0 | • Section 1~5 Complete | Introduction Modified Milestone & Product Modified Responsibilities – Skills Modified Approach Complete Resources Complete |
| 10/19/14 | DY | 2.1 | Responsibility Chart Modified | • Prototype Development Responsibility Assignment |
| 12/01/14 | DY | 3.0 | • Section 6.1 Complete | • Capabilities tested, not tested & implemented |
| 12/08/14 | DY | 3.1 | • Section 6.1 Revised | Table 12 revised Table 13 deleted |

Table of Contents

| Life Cycle Plan (LCP) | i |
|---|----|
| Version History | |
| Table of Contents | |
| Table of Tables | |
| Table of Figures | v |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Purpose of the LCP | 1 |
| 1.2 Status of the LCP | 1 |
| 1.3 Assumptions | 1 |
| 2. Milestones and Products | 3 |
| 2.1 Overall Strategy | 3 |
| 2.2 Project Deliverables | 5 |
| 3. Responsibilities | 7 |
| 3.1 Project-specific stakeholder's responsibilities | 7 |
| 3.2 Skills | 9 |
| 4. Approach | 11 |
| 4.1 Monitoring and Control | 11 |
| 4.2 Methods, Tools and Facilities | 11 |
| 5. Resources | |
| 6. Iteration Plan | |
| 6.1 Plan | 17 |
| 6.1.1 Capabilities to be implemented | |
| 6.1.2 Capabilities to be tested | 19 |
| 6.1.3 Capabilities not to be tested | 19 |

Table of Tables

| Table 1: Artifacts Deliverables in Exploration Phase | . 5 |
|--|-----|
| Table 2: Artifacts deliverable in Valuation Phase | |
| Table 3: Artifacts deliverable in Foundations Phase | |
| Table 4: Artifacts deliverable in Development Phase | |
| Table 5: Stakeholder's Responsibilities in each phase | |
| Table 6: Member Skills | |
| Table 7: Tools, Usage, and Provider | |
| Table 8: COCOMOII Scale Driver | |
| Table 9: COCOMOII Cost Driver – APIs Controller Module | |
| Table 10: COCOMOII Cost Driver – Result Processing Module | |
| Table 11: COCOMOII Cost Drivers – User Authentication Module | |
| | |
| Table 11: COCOMOII Cost Drivers – User Authentication Module | |

Table of Figures

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the LCP

Team10 Life Cycle Plan (LCP) is to answer the followings.

- What strategy is used for each phase?
- What artifact is planned to complete within each phase?
- What are responsibilities that each member is in charge of?
- What is each member capable of?
- How is our team project controlled or monitored internally and externally?
- What methods or tools are used for making the overall process effectively controlled?
- How much of efforts are estimated for the project?
- Depending on the above information, what is the appropriate plan for a successful project?

1.2 Status of the LCP

- Sections 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 are complete.
- Ready to be submitted for Development Commitment Package

1.3 Assumptions

- The duration of the project is 24 weeks, which are 12 weeks in Fall 2014 and 12 weeks in Spring 2015.
- All members except for Sharanya Radhamohan plan to continue to CSCI577b. Sharanya Radhamohan did not make the decision to continue to CSCI577b yet.
- All members have their own roles. However, depending on team situations, some members could be assigned more tasks that belong to other role's responsibilities.
- Plans on this LCP can possibly be changed due to several reasons including the followings.
 - New requirements are added.
 - A team member decides to discontinue CSCI577 unexpectedly.
 - Unexpected critical bugs emerge and should be discussed immediately.

- A member who was initially assigned with tasks is responsible for them by some means even if the member becomes unable to continue the assignment for certain reasons.

- A member acquires a new skill.

2. Milestones and Products

2.1 Overall Strategy

REFERsy.com project follows Architected Agile process because of the following reasons.

- The team develops the final product with business goals and requirements.
- Too much detailed architecture specification s is avoided for the project.
- This project takes advantage of APIs that are provided by social-network or job-searching websites. However, this is a small part of this project. The main job of the project is to provide available job referrals within users' social networks extracted using the APIs. Therefore, REFERsy.com is not appropriate to follow a NDI-Intensive process.
- Professor Supannika recommended adopting Architected Agile process if the team is not able to ensure which process to use.

Exploration Phase

Duration: $9/10/2014 \sim 9/29/2014$

Concept: Concurrently identifies and clarifies system capability needs, constraints, and

candidate solution options

Deliverables : Valuation Commitment Package **Milestone :** Valuation Commitment Review

Strategy: Plan and Manage Project

Valuation Phase

Duration: $9/30/2014 \sim 10/14/2014$

Concept: Analyzes alternative solutions and identifies preferred alternative

Deliverables : Foundations Commitment Package **Milestone :** Foundations Commitment Review

Strategy: Develop Operational Concept, Explore Alternatives, Provide Project

Feasibility Evidence, Assess and Plans to Mitigate Risks, NCS evaluation, Plan and Manage Project, Win-Win Negotiation, Define Quality Policy,

Develop Software Architecture

Foundation Phase

Duration: $10/15/2014 \sim 11/30/2014$

Concept: Develops management and technical foundations

Deliverables : Development Commitment Package

Milestone: Development Commitment Review

Strategy: Assess Project Status, Plan and Manage Project, Manage Project Quality,

Update Software Architecture

<u>Foundation Phase – Rebaseline</u>

Duration: $1/12/2015 \sim 1/18/2015$

Concept: Review 577a

Deliverables: Rebaselined Development Commitment Package

Milestone: N/A

Strategy: Review on Decisions, Documents, Test Plan, Transition Plan,

Development Plan

Development Phase – Construction Iteration

Duration : 1/19/2015 ~ 3/25/2015 **Concept :** Product Development

Deliverables: N/A

Milestone: Core Capability Drive-through

Strategy: Iteration 1 - APIs

Iteration 2 – API Integration & Result Generator

Iteration 3 – Minor Features

Development Phase – Transition Iteration

Duration : $3/26/2015 \sim 4/12/2015$ **Concept :** Product Transition

Deliverables: N/A

Milestone: Project Transition Readiness ARB Reviews

Strategy: Product Transition and Client Training

Operation Phase

Duration: $4/13/2015 \sim 4/27/2015$

Concept: Project Release and Operation

Deliverables: N/A

Milestone: Operational Commitment Review for Initial Operational Capability

Strategy: Release Product, Support Client, Keep Necessary Update

2.2 Project Deliverables

2.2.1 Exploration Phase

Table 1: Artifacts Deliverables in Exploration Phase

| Artifact | Due date | Format | Medium |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Bugzilla | Every Monday | website | Bugzilla |
| Progress Report | Biweekly | .xls | Soft copy |
| Project Plan | Biweekly | .mpp | Soft copy |
| Client Interaction Report | 09/19/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Program Model | 09/21/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Result Chart | 09/21/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Business Workflow | 09/21/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Valuation Commitment Package | 09/29/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |

2.2.2 Valuation Phase

Table 2: Artifacts deliverable in Valuation Phase

| Artifact | Due date | Format | Medium |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Bugzilla | Every Monday | website | Bugzilla |
| Progress Report | Biweekly | .xls | Soft copy |
| Project Plan | Biweekly | .mpp | Soft copy |
| Team Prototype Presentation Slides | 10/03/2014 | .pdf | Soft copy |
| Draft Foundation Commitment Package | 10/13/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Foundations Commitment Package | 10/20/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |

2.2.3 Foundations Phase

Table 3: Artifacts deliverable in Foundations Phase

| Artifact | Due date | Format | Medium |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Bugzilla | Every Monday | website | Bugzilla |
| Progress Report | Biweekly | .xls | Soft copy |
| Project Plan | Biweekly | .mpp | Soft copy |
| Draft Development Commitment Package | 12/01/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |
| Development Commitment Package | 12/08/2014 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |

2.2.4 Development Phase

Table 4: Artifacts deliverable in Development Phase

| Artifact | Due date | Format | Medium |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Bugzilla | Every Monday | website | Bugzilla |
| Progress Report | Biweekly | .xls | Soft copy |
| Project Plan | Biweekly | .mpp | Soft copy |
| Operation Commitment Package | 4/27/2015 | .doc, .pdf | Soft copy |

3. Responsibilities

3.1 Project-specific stakeholder's responsibilities

There is no project-specific stakeholder involved in the project. Stakeholders in the project are one client (Rigo Garcia) and eight developers (USC team). Each developer not only does the project development, but also has been assigned with some tasks (Report write-ups, Meeting arrangement with the client, Meeting room reservation, so on) mainly depending on their roles in 577a. In 577b, each member plays a role of among Implementer, Tester, and Trainer. However, the role indicates that the member of that role is responsible for the role. However, primarily, all members will do both implementing and testing.

Table 5: Stakeholder's Responsibilities in each phase

| T M | | Primary A | Secondary Res | ponsibility | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Team Member | | | ů . | Development- | Development- |
| Role | Exploration | Valuation | Foundations | Construction | Transition |
| Roie | • | | | Iteration | Iteration |
| Name: Dongyoung Jung Role: Life Cycle Planner Tester | Primary Responsibility - Detail Project Plan - Record Project Progress | Primary Responsibility - Detail Project Plan - Record Project Progress Secondary Responsibility | Primary Responsibility - Detail Project Plan - Record Project Progress | Primary Responsibility - Project Plan - Testing Secondary Responsibility - Implementing | Primary Responsibility - System Transition to Client |
| | | Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | | | |
| Name: Chen Guanhui | Primary Responsibility - Explore | Primary Responsibility - Assess and | Primary Responsibility - Skeleton | Primary Responsibility - Implementing | Primary Responsibility - System |
| Role: Software Architect Implementer | Alternatives | evaluate NCS components | version prototype development - Explore Alternatives | Secondary Responsibility - Testing | Transition to Client |
| Name: Chunming Lu | Primary Responsibility - Explore | Primary Responsibility - Assess and | Primary Responsibility - Development | Primary Responsibility - Implementing | Primary Responsibility - System |
| Role: Prototyper Implementer | Alternatives | Plans to Mitigate Risks Responsibility - Create and follow up action items | Environment Construction - Skeleton version prototype development | - System / Code Management Secondary Responsibility - Testing | Transition to Client |
| Name: Donglin Pu | Primary Responsibility | Primary Responsibility | Primary Responsibility | Primary Responsibility | Primary Responsibility |

| Role: Prototyper Implementer | - Assess and Plans to Mitigate Risks - Create and follow up action items | - Provide project Feasibility Evidence Secondary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives Primary | - Development Environment Construction - Skeleton version prototype development Primary | - Implementing Secondary Responsibility - Testing Primary | - Client Training Primary |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Sreenarayan Ashokkumar Role: Project Manager / Feasibility Analyst Implementer/ Trainer | Responsibility - Identify Responsibilities and Skills - Explore Alternatives | Responsibility - Identify Configuration Management Strategy Secondary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | Responsibility - Verify and Validate Work Products Using Issue Tracking System Secondary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | Responsibility - Implementing - Communication with Client Secondary Responsibility - Testing | Responsibility - Client Training |
| Name: Fangjie Zhu Role: Operational Concept Manager Implementer | Primary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | Primary Responsibility - Develop Operational Concept Secondary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | Primary Responsibility - Development Environment Construction Secondary Responsibility - Software Architecture Update | Primary Responsibility - Implementing - System / Code Management Secondary Responsibility - Testing | Primary Responsibility - System Transition to Client |
| Name: Sharanya Radhamohan Role: Requirements Engineer Tester | Primary Responsibility - Assess and Plans to Mitigate Risks | Primary Responsibility - Capture progress of win- win negotiation - Software Architecture Construction | Primary Responsibility - Specify Architecture Styles, Patterns and Frameworks | Primary Responsibility - User Interface - Testing Secondary Responsibility - Implementing | Primary Responsibility - System Transition to Client |
| Name: Suchit Mathur Role: IIV & V / Quality Focal Point Tester | Primary Responsibility - Explore Alternatives | Primary Responsibility - Construct Traceability Matrix - Identify Quality Management Strategy | Primary Responsibility - Update Traceability Matrix - Identify Quality Management Strategy | Primary Responsibility - Testing Secondary Responsibility - Implementing | Primary Responsibility - System Transition to Client |
| Name: Rigo Garcia Role: Client | Primary Responsibility - Convey project ideas to USC team | Primary Responsibility - Win-win Negotiation | Primary Responsibility - Verify Work Products Using Issue Tracking System | Primary Responsibility - User Signup Disclaimer | Primary Responsibility - Receiving Training |

3.2 Skills

Table 6: Member Skills

| Team members | Role | Skills |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Dongyoung Jung | Life Cycle Planner Tester | Current skills: HTML, CSS, Node.js, Java, Android Java, C, C++, MySQL, OracleDB, Presentation Skill Required skills: php, Cost Estimation Skill |
| Sreenarayan Ashokkumar | Project Manager Feasibility Analyst Implementer Trainer | Current Skills: HTML, PHP, Java, Scripting, Databases, Web Services, Presentation Skill Required Skills: Ruby, Advance Python Framework |
| Donglin Pu | Prototyper Implementer Trainer | Current Skills: HTML, CSS, JavsScript, Node js, Java, MySQL, Python. Required skills: php |
| Fangjie Zhu | Operational Concept Manager Implementer | Current Skills: Java, C, C++, C#, MySQL, Oracle, PHP, Javascript, Python Required Skills: CSS |
| Suchit Mathur | IIV & V Quality Focal Point Tester | Current Skills: Perl, VB, Bat/Shell, C, SQL, Ant, Software Configuration Management (Version Control Systems, Bug Tracking Systems, Build Automation) Required Skills: PHP, HTML, CSS |
| Sharanya Radhamohan | Requirements Engineer | Current skills : C, C++, Java, |

| | Tester | HTML, CSS, JAVASCRIPT, MySQL,.NET development |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Required skills: PHP |
| Chen Guanhui | Software Architecture Implementer | Current skills: C/C++, Java, Python, PHP, Javascript, MySQL, CSS, Presentation Skill Required skills: php framework |
| Chunming Lu | Prototyper Implementer | Current skills: C/C++, C#, Java, Python, Javascript, SQL, CSS, OracleDB Required skills: CSS |

4. Approach

4.1 Monitoring and Control

4.1.1 Closed Loop Feedback Control

• Since every project week starts from every Wednesday, REFERsy.com team has a team meeting every Tuesday on campus. After every important commitment (mainly presentations), we makes feedbacks on them so that we do not repeat the same mistakes again.

4.1.2 Reviews

- REFERsy.com team uses Github excessively with code reviews. Each member does
 not push changes to the main branch, but creates another branch to let other members
 to look at the changes. Each member makes reviews through the branch. Once all
 members validate the changes, the branch is merged to the main one.
- REFERsy.com team takes advantage of Google Doc so that after each member finishes document write-ups, all team members make reviews so that the documents are able to be a better one.
- Since TA Napul commented that the client is also one core member of the team, not a member who just stares at the development team. Since our client has computer science project experience, the developing team considers our client as another developer and has no doubt that his computer science project abilities would make more valuable reviews. Furthermore, this would be a big help for a more successful project.

4.2 Methods, Tools and Facilities

Table 7: Tools, Usage, and Provider

| Tools | Usage | Provider |
|------------------|---|----------|
| Bugzilla | Issue Tracking | USC |
| Github | Code Control / Issue Tracking (Code-related issues) | Github |
| Balsamiq Mockups | UI prototype | Balsamiq |

| Visual Paradigm | Drawing Diagrams | Visual Paradigm |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| WeChat | Team Communication | Tencent |
| Google Hangout | Team Communication (Only for remote purpose) | Google |
| Google Doc | Share documents | Google |
| Microsoft Project | Project Plan Construction | Microsoft |
| Microsoft Excel | Progress Report Construction | Microsoft |
| COINCOMO | Resource Estimation | USC |
| WinBook Win-Win Negotiation | | USC |

5. Resources

Table 8: COCOMOII Scale Driver

| Scale Driver | Value | Rationale |
|--------------|---------|--|
| PREC | HIGH | The team is familiar with this type of online application. |
| | | There is no occasional conformity needed. Client has his |
| FLEX | VERY | own business flow in his blueprint, but he is considerably |
| TLEA | HIGH | open to the team's suggestions on technical or systematical |
| | | issues. |
| | | Facebook, Indeed.com, Google+, and LinkedIn provide |
| | | APIs to extract user's information. Even though there are |
| RESL | HIGH | some constraints with Facebook API, they are solvable by |
| KESE | | asking user's friends for permission to provide career |
| | | information. All other critical risk items, schedule, budget |
| | | and internal milestones are identified. |
| | | The team consistently keeps communication with client. |
| TEAM | VERY | The client has a programming experience, so this enables |
| ILAWI | HIGH | the client and the team to have effective communication. |
| | | The team has 3~6 years of programming experience. |
| | | Planning and tracking of the project is stable. All members |
| PMAT | NOMINAL | have done the similar type of REFERsy.com project. A |
| | NOMINAL | realistic plan is able to be built based on those successful |
| | | project experience. |

Table 9: COCOMOII Cost Driver - APIs Controller Module

| Cost Driver | Value | Rationale |
|--------------------|--------------|---|
| RELY | NOMINAL | It does not create financial loss or risk to human life. If APIs controller does not work properly, REFERsy.com is not able to provide available job referral information to users. However, it is easily recoverable. |
| DATA | VERY HIGH | SLOC for APIs controller module is estimated 2000 (Total 4 APIs). However, the data to be assembled from this module is very large because the amount of information that the social websites and Indeed.com provides has a very large size. (sometimes it is tremendously large depending on job keyword). |
| DOCU | LOW | Manuals for each API are already being presented by social websites and Indeed.com. How this module communicates with other modules within REFERsy.com needs to be documented, but it does not bring a significant amount of documenting. |
| CPLX | NOMINAL | Standard math and statically routines. Some inter-module control. Simple set of widget set. |

| RUSE | LOW | This is only used in REFERsy.com website. No reuse across several modules or other applications |
|------|---------------|--|
| TIME | EXTRA HIGH | The time spent displaying the result to user only takes 1~2 seconds assuming the network condition to be good. However, the information that each social website and Indeed.com has is excessively large. Therefore, it takes a long period of time. Also, it uses most of each job searching execution time. |
| STOR | NOMINAL | The result made out from this module is just displayed. It is not stored. |
| PVOL | HIGH | Whenever the social websites or Indeed.com changes their API policy, REFERsy.com website should adopt the change and revise the project accordingly. Even if there is no change needed, the project needs to be checked with their policies. |
| ACAP | HIGH | All team members are equipped with good analyzing skills (experience +3 years) |
| PCAP | VERY HIGH | Good programming skills. Eager to cooperate and communicate among members (experience +3 years) |
| PCON | HIGH | We have 8 team members. Only 1 member is not decided to leave or keep going with the team. Other 7 members continue to CSCI577b course. |
| APEX | HIGH | The average experience of the team members for this online webbased application is about 3 year. |
| LTEX | VERY HIGH | The development team plans to develop this module with PHP, HTML, JavaScript and SQL language to query information from other module. Not all members are able to use those languages, but each member is capable of using most of the required languages. All member are proficient in tools that are required for the project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) |
| PLEX | LOW | The team uses server provided by Amazon Web Service provider. Only a few members are familiar to this platform. Most of members need to familiarize themselves to this platform. |
| TOOL | VERY HIGH | All member are proficient in tools that are required for the project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) |
| SITE | VERY HIGH | In CSCI577a, 7 of eight team members are on-campus students and only one member is off-campus student. In CSCI577b, one student is not decided to continue this project. However, 6 of the remaining students are on-campus students and still one student is off-line. Additionally, we use wideband electronic communication and occasional video conference (Especially for the off-campus student) |
| SCED | NOMINAL | The schedule is fixed for 12 weeks in Fall semester and 12 weeks in Spring semester. |

Table 10: COCOMOII Cost Driver - Result Processing Module

| Cost Driver | Value | Rationale | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| RELY | NOMINAL | It does not create financial loss or risk to human life. If this module fails to work properly, the whole system cannot provide valuable information since the system is not capable of result arrangements so that the user can not receive the expected result. | | |
| DATA | HIGH | The data passed from API Controller Module is large and the processing module has 1500 of SLOC. | | |
| DOCU | NOMINAL | How APIs work is already documented in each social website and Indeed.com. However, the module's way of processing the data needs to be documented in detail. | | |
| CPLX | NOMINAL | Standard math and statically routines. Some inter-module control. | | |
| RUSE | LOW | This is only used in REFERsy.com website. No reuse across several modules or other applications | | |
| TIME | VERY HIGH | This module uses the most of overall processing time since the size of data is large and the result arrangement takes quite a long time. | | |
| STOR | NOMINAL | User can store job keyword as favorite and the job keyword and the job location in search history. They are text-based. | | |
| PVOL LOW | | Since this module processes the result from other modules, as long as the data passed from those is same, it does not need frequent changes. | | |
| ACAP | HIGH | All team members are equipped with good analyzing skills (experience +3 years) | | |
| PCAP | VERY HIGH | Good programming skills. Eager to cooperate and communicate among members (experience +3 years) | | |
| PCON | HIGH | We have 8 team members. Only 1 member is not decided to leave or keep going with the team. Other 7 members continue to CSCI577b course. | | |
| APEX | HIGH | The average experience of the team members for this online webbased application is about 3 year. | | |
| LTEX | VERY HIGH | The development team plans to develop this module with PHP, HTML, JavaScript and SQL language to query information from other module. Not all members are able to use those languages, but each member is capable of using most of the required languages. All member are proficient in tools that are required for the project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) | | |
| PLEX | LOW | The team uses server provided by Amazon Web Service provider. Only a few members are familiar to this platform. Most of members need to familiarize themselves to this platform. | | |
| TOOL | VERY HIGH | All member are proficient in tools that are required for the project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) | | |
| VERY In CSCI577a, 7 of eight team members are on-campus students. | | In CSCI577a, 7 of eight team members are on-campus students and only one member is off-campus student. In CSCI577b, one | | |

| | | student is not decided to continue this project. However, 6 of the |
|-------------------------|---------|--|
| | | remaining students are on-campus students and still one student |
| | | is off-line. Additionally, we use wideband electronic |
| | | communication and occasional video conference (Especially for |
| the off-campus student) | | the off-campus student) |
| SCED | NOMINAL | The schedule is fixed for 12 weeks in Fall semester and 12 weeks |
| SCED | NOMINAL | in Spring semester. |

Table 11: COCOMOII Cost Drivers – User Authentication Module

| Cost Driver | Value | Rationale |
|-------------|---|--|
| RELY | NOMINAL It does not create financial loss or risk to human life. If this module fails to work properly, the whole system cannot provaluable information since the system does not know the us information. However, it is easily recoverable. | |
| DATA | LOW | This only gives authentication to user only if the given information (ID, PW) are matched to the ones in DB. |
| DOCU | NOMINAL | How this module communicates with other modules needs to be documented. |
| CPLX | NOMINAL | Standard math and statically routines. Some inter-module control. Simple set of widget set. |
| RUSE | LOW | This is only used in REFERsy.com website. No reuse across several modules or other applications |
| TIME | NOMINAL | This module runs in a short period of time because it only matches ID and PW. |
| STOR | NOMINAL | It does not put data into DB. It just matches ID and PW with DB. |
| PVOL | LOW | Log-in system is not changed frequently. |
| ACAP | HIGH | All team members are equipped with good analyzing skills (experience +3 years) |
| PCAP | VERY HIGH | Good programming skills. Eager to cooperate and communicate among members (experience +3 years) |
| PCON | HIGH | We have 8 team members. Only 1 member is not decided to leave or keep going with the team. Other 7 members continue to CSCI577b course. |
| APEX | HIGH | The average experience of the team members for this online webbased application is about 3 year. |
| LTEX | VERY HIGH | The development team plans to develop this module with PHP, HTML, JavaScript and SQL language to query information from other module. Not all members are able to use those languages, but each member is capable of using most of the required languages. All member are proficient in tools that are required for the project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) |
| PLEX LOW | | The team uses server provided by Amazon Web Service provider. Only a few members are familiar to this platform. Most of members need to familiarize themselves to this platform. |

| TOOL | VERY | All member are proficient in tools that are required for the | | |
|------|--------------|--|--|--|
| TOOL | HIGH | project (Code Editor, Debug Tool, Visual Paradigm) | | |
| SITE | VERY HIGH | In CSCI577a, 7 of eight team members are on-campus students and only one member is off-campus student. In CSCI577b, one student is not decided to continue this project. However, 6 of the remaining students are on-campus students and still one student is off-line. Additionally, we use wideband electronic communication and occasional video conference (Especially for the off-campus student) | | |
| SCED | NOMINAL | The schedule is fixed for 12 weeks in Fall semester and 12 weeks in Spring semester. | | |

Figure 1: COCOMO Estimation Result

| × | Name APIs controller mo Result Processin M | Size 2,000 1,500 | Labor Rate (\$/Month) 0.0 | EAF 0.65 0.38 | Language High Level High Level | NOM Effort DEV 6.15 | EST Effort DEV 4.01 | PROD 498.77 851.18 | 0.00 0.00 | INST COST 0.00 | Staff 0.6 0.3 | Risk 2.4 0.0 |
|------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | User athentication | 800 | 0.0 | 0.23 | High Level | 2.46 Estimation | 0.58 | 1390.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Line | Total Lines Of Code: 4,300 Hours PM: 152.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Estimated | Effort | | Schedule | PROD | | COST | IN | ST | Staff | | Risk |
| | Optimistic 5.08 6.02 846.81 0.00 0.00 0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Most Likely | 6.35 | | 6.44 | 677.45 | | 0.00 | 0.0 | 00 | 1.0 | | 2.4 |
| | Pessimistic | 7.93 | | 6.89 | 541.96 | | 0.00 | 0.0 | 00 | 1.2 | | |

The above estimation showed a pessimistic result that has 7.93 person-month with 152 hours/PM. The total time is 7.93 person-month \times 152 hrs/person-month = 1,205.36 hours.

Assuming each member spends 16 hours/week and development period has 10 weeks, total available time spent by all members is 16 hrs/wks \times 10 wks \times 8 = 1,280 hrs.

Therefore, since 1,280 hrs > 1,205.36 hrs, this project can be finished on time.

6. Iteration Plan

6.1 Plan

USC developing team works on four APIs which act as a connector to extract desired information from social network websites: Facebook, Indeed.com, Google+, and LinkedIn. The second iteration starts from building a module that generates valuable results for REFERsy.com users by matching the result from Indeed.com and the results from Facebook, Google+, and LinkedIn. Then, the USC developing team goes towards user management system so that users can take advantage of what REFERsy.com provides more efficiently.

6.1.1 Capabilities to be implemented

In OCD, all capabilities are categorized as MMFs. Even if our team has only two MMFs, in this section, we describe capabilities not depending on MMFs, but we classify each capability with more rows so that the table shows which iteration the team spends for the capability. Also, since professor Boehm advised that the following capabilities could be initiated at the same time, some capabilities can have same iteration.

Note: Security can be necessary for any implementation. However, we do not have it in MMFs. Therefore, its priority is 'Mid Priority.'

Table 12: Construction iteration capabilities to be implemented

| ID | Capability | Description | Priority | Iteration |
|----|---------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | Job Searching | A logged in user can search for jobs even if no social network has been added to that user's account A logged in user can search for jobs and have their social network contacts listed with each job A logged in user can search for jobs and have their social network contacts listed with each job. | Must Have | 1,2 |
| 2 | Connecting to Social Network | A registered user is able to sign into their social network accounts. The system can retrieve a contact's information from their respective social network. | Must Have | 1 |
| 3 | Saving Job Search | A registered user can save job search criteria for repeated searches to the user's profile. The system successfully prevents a registered user from saving job search criteria which has already been saved to the user's profile. A registered user can save particular job listings for later retrieval. | Must Have | 3 |

| | | - A registered user can subscribe to notification emails and choose the frequency of emails. | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| 4 | Messaging Referrals | - A registered user can send a message to a social media contact asking for a referral in relation to a job listing. | Must Have | 4 |
| 5 | Creating a User Account | - A registered user can send a message to a social media contact asking for a referral in relation to a job listing. | Must Have | 3 |
| 6 | Managing a User Account | - A registered user is able to view and modify their profile. | Must Have | 4 |
| 7 | User Login | A registered user is able to log in to REFERsy.com REFERsy.com system correctly denies access when attempting to login with invalid credentials. | Must Have | 3 |
| 8 | User Logout | - A registered user can successfully log out from REFERsy.com | Must Have | 3 |
| 9 | Security | The system is able to prevent SQL injection hacking. The system is able to prevent cross-site scripting. The system is designed to encrypt all sensitive data. | Mid Priority | 3 |

6.1.2 Capabilities to be tested

All capabilities from the above table are to be tested.

6.1.3 Capabilities not to be tested

All capabilities from the above table are to be tested.