Life Cycle Plan (LCP)

PicShare

Team 02

Team Members
Sultan Alsarra
Adil cem Albayrak
Julapat Julnual
Charles Reitz
Mohammad Almunea
Aref Shafaeibejestan
Andrea Brown
Travis Weaver
Dennis Evans

Version History

Date	Author	Version	Changes made	Rationale
10/18/15	Sultan Alsarra	1.0	Added Introduction and diagrams	Initial draft for the LCP package.
10/25/15	Sultan Alsarra	1.1	Added estimates scales and updated diagrams	New updates needed
11/27/15	Sultan Alsarra	1.2	Updated Dates to phases, roles and added iteration plan	Updates for DC
12/5/15	Sultan Alsarra	1.3	Updated Iteration Plan	Numbers were out of order
2/9/16	Sultan Alsarra	1.4	Update for RDCR package	RDCR document
2/19/16	Sultan Alsarra	1.5	Update Dates and CCD plan	Revision before submission
4/14/16	Sultan Alsarra	1.6	Added transition plan	Added transition for As built draft

Table of Contents

Life Cyc	cle Plan (LCP)	i
• Ver	rsion History	ii
	f Contents	
	f Tables	
	f Figures	
1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of the LCP	1
		_
1.2	Status of the LCP	1
1.0		
1.3	Assumptions	1
2.	Milestones and Products	1
2.	vinestones and 110ddets	
2.1	Overall Strategy	2
2.1	o verali da leegj	
2.2	Project Deliverables	3
	·	
3.	Responsibilities	6
3.1	Project-specific stakeholder's responsibilities	6
3.2	Skills	8
4		10
4.	Approach	10
4.1	Monitoring and Control	1.0
4.1	Monitoring and Control	10
4.2	Methods, Tools and Facilities	10
7.2	motifods, 10015 dild 1 dollitios	
5.	Resources	11
6. Iter	ration Plan	
6.1 P	Plan	14
6.1.1	Capabilities to be implemented	14
	Capabilities to be tested	
	•	
6.1.3	Capabilities not to be tested	16
6.1.4	CCD Preparation Plan	16
7.	Transition Strategy	17
. •		
7.1	Transition Objectives	17
	•	
7.2	2 Transition Process Strategy	17

8.	Preparing for Transition	17
	Hardware Preparation	
8.2	Software Preparation	18
8.3	Site Preparation	18
9.	Stakeholder Roles, Responsibilities and Schedule	18

Table of Tables

Table 1: Artifacts Deliverables in Exploration Phase	2
Table 2: Artifact deliverable in Valuation Phase	
Table 3: Artifact deliverable in Foundations Phase	
Table 2: Artifact deliverable in Re-baselined Foundations Phase	
Table 3: Artifact deliverable in Development Phase	
Table 6: Stakeholder's Responsibilities in each phase	
Table 6: Member Skills	
Table 7: Methods and Tools	
Table 8: Modules SLOC	
Table 9: COCOMOII Scale Driver	
Table 10: COCOMOII Cost Driver	
Table 11: Construction iteration capabilities to be implemented	
Table 12: Construction iteration capabilities to be tested.	

Table of Figures

No table of figures entries found.

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the LCP

The purpose of a development project's LCP is to:

- Serve as a basis for monitoring and controlling the project's progress
- Help make the best use of people and resources throughout the system's life cycle
- Provide evidence to other key stakeholders that the developers have thought through the major life cycle issues in advance

1.2 Status of the LCP

The status of the LCP is currently at the Foundation Commitment Package version number 1.1 where got feedback from the owner, and updated the document accordingly from the draft version.

1.3 Assumptions

- The duration of the project is 24 weeks. We have 12 weeks in the fall of 2015 and 12 weeks in the spring of 2016
- All team members are planning to continue with the project till it's completion in spring 2015

2. Milestones and Products

2.1 Overall Strategy

The PicShare app is following Architected Agile process because theory says this the best way to develop the software, compared to other methods such as RUP. The reasons behind this is that the AA process builds on the strengths of current process models: early verification and validation concepts in the V-model, concurrency concepts in the Concurrent Engineering model, lighter-weight concepts in the Agile and Lean models, risk-driven concepts in the spiral model, the phases and anchor points in the RUP and recent extensions to address SoS acquisition. In comparison to the software-intensive RUP, the AA also addresses hardware and human factors integration.

Exploration phase

Duration: 9/02/15-9/20/15

Concept: Identify operational concept, system and software requirements and

architecture, and life-cycle plan.

Deliverables: Client Interaction Report

Milestone: N/A

Strategy: One Incremental Commitment Cycle, Risk assessment analysis, Win-Win

Negotiation Sessions.

Valuation phase

Duration: 9/21/15-10/26/15

Concept: Identify Objectives, Constraints and Priorities, Develop operation concept, Explore alternatives, Provide project feasibility evidence, Prototyping, Assess and plans to mitigate risks, Plan and manage project, Perform win-win negotiation, Define quality and configuration policy.

Deliverables: Draft Foundations Commitment Package

Milestone: Foundations Commitment Review

Strategy: One Incremental Commitment Cycle, Risk assessment analysis, Win-Win

Negotiation Sessions, Planning Poker.

Foundations phase

Duration: 10/27/15-12/07/15

Concept: Assess Project Status, Plan and Manage Project, Manage Project Quality,

Prototyping, Develop Software Architecture.

Deliverables: Foundations Commitment Package, Draft Development Commitment

Package, Development Commitment Package.

Milestone: Re-baselined Development Commitment Review

Strategy: One Incremental Commitment Cycle, Risk assessment analysis.

Re-baselined Foundations phase

Duration: 1/15/16 to 2/22/16

Concept: Rebased line Project Status, Prepare for Development Phase, Plan for Testing,

Plan and Manage Project.

Deliverables: Valuation Commitment Package **Milestone:** Development Commitment Review

Strategy: One Incremental Commitment Cycle, Risk assessment analysis.

Development phase

Duration: 1/26/16 to 4/15/16

Concept: Construction Iteration 1 and 2, System Transition

Deliverables: As Built Package

Milestone: Design Code Review, Core Capability Drivethrough, Transition Readiness

Review, Project Showcase

Strategy: Two development cycles, Risk assessment analysis.

2.2 Project Deliverables

2.2.1 Exploration Phase

Table 1: Artifacts Deliverables in Exploration Phase

Artifact	Due date	Format	Medium
Jira	Every Monday	website	Jira
Progress Report	Biweekly	.xls	Soft copy
Project Plan	Biweekly	.mpp	Soft copy
Client Interaction Report	09/28/2015	.doc, .pdf	Soft copy
Win Conditions Report (AA)	09/28/2014	.doc, .pdf	Soft copy

2.2.2 Valuation Phase

Table 2: Artifact deliverable in Valuation Phase

Artifact	Due date	Format	Medium
Jira	Every Monday	website	Jira
Progress Report	Biweekly	.xls	Soft copy
Project Plan	Biweekly	.mpp	Soft copy
Team Prototype Presentation Slides	10/02/2015	.pdf	Soft copy
Foundations Commitment Presentation	10/19/2015	.ppt,.pdf	Soft copy
Foundations Commitment Package	10/26/2015	.doc, .pdf	Soft copy

2.2.3 Foundations Phase

Table 3: Artifact deliverable in Foundations Phase

Artifact	Due date	Format	Medium
Jira	Every Monday	website	Jira
Progress Report	Biweekly	.xls	Soft copy
Project Plan	Biweekly	.mpp	Soft copy
Progress on Prototype Presentation Slides	11/06/2015	.pdf	Soft copy
Development Commitment Presentation Slides	11/30/2015	.ppt,.pdf	Soft copy
Development Commitment Package	12/07/2015	.doc, .pdf	Soft copy

2.2.4 Re-baselined Foundations Phase

Table 2: Artifact deliverable in Re-baselined Foundations Phase

Artifact	Due date	Format	Medium
Jira	Every	website	Jira
	Monday		
Progress Report	Biweekly	.xls	Soft copy
Project Plan	Biweekly	.mpp	Soft copy
Re-Baselined Development Commitment	2/12/2016	.ppt,.pdf	Soft copy
Presentation			
Re-Baselined Development Commitment	2/19/2016	.pdf	Soft copy
Package			

2.2.5 Development Phase

Table 3: Artifact deliverable in Development Phase

Artifact	Due date	Format	Medium
Jira	Every	website	Jira
	Monday		
Progress Report	Biweekly	.xls	Soft copy
Project Plan	Biweekly	.mpp	Soft copy
	3/04/2016	.ppt,	Soft copy
Design Code Review		.pdf	
Core Capability Drivethrough Presentation	3/25/2016	.ppt,	Soft copy
		.pdf	
As Built Package	4/13/2016	.ipa, .pdf	Software,
			Softcopy

Transition Readiness Review Presentation	4/15/2016	.ppt, .pdf	Soft copy
Project Showcase	4/22/2016	.ppt,pdf	Softcopy

3. Responsibilities

3.1 Project-specific stakeholder's responsibilities

The owner of the project is Rigo Garcia and we have nine project team members.

Table 6: Stakeholder's Responsibilities in each phase

	Primary / Secondary Responsibility					
Team Member /	Exploration	Valuation	Foundations	Development-	Development	
Role	1			Construction	- System	
11010				Iteration 1 & 2	Transition	
	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	
Sultan Alsarra	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	
Sultan Alsarra	Create and	Create and	Create and	Create and follow	Create and	
During Manager	follow up action	follow up action	follow up action	up action items.	follow up action	
Project Manager,	items.	items.	items.	Record project	items.	
Life-cycle planner	Record project	Record project	Record project	progress.	Record project	
	progress.	progress.	progress.	Secondary	progress.	
	Secondary	Secondary	Secondary	Responsibility	Secondary	
	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Assess	Responsibility	
	Detail Project	Identify	Provide Process	development	Assess	
	Plan.	milestones ad	Feasibility	iteration.	development	
	Identify	products.	Evidence.	Develop Transition	iteration.	
	responsibilities	Estimate project	Develop Iteration	Plan.	Develop Support	
	and skills.	effort and	Plan.		Plan.	
		schedule.				
	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	
Andrea Brown	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	
	Gather risks.	Provide evidence	Assess	Build software	Build software	
Feasibility Analyst,	Assess and plan	of feasibility of	Feasibility			
1 casionity 7 maryst,	to mitigate risks.	architecture.	Evidence.			
		Analyze	Provide			
		Business Case.	conclusion and			
			recommendation			
	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	
Aref	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	
Shafaeibejestan	Evaluate system	Define high-level	Define platform-	Assess system	Assess system	
v		architecture.	dependent	architecture.	architecture.	
System Architect,		Document	architecture.	Build software.	Build software.	
~ , ~		architecture	Document			
		feasibility.	architecture.			
	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	
Adil Cem Albayrak	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	
	Gather win-	Document most	Document	Update	Build software.	
Requirements	conditions from	significant	detailed	requirements.		
Engineer,	stakeholders.	requirements.	requirements.	Build software.	Secondary	
Engineer,	Capture win-	Prioritize the	Secondary	Secondary	~ conduity	

UML Modeler	conditions in win-win session. Secondary Responsibility Perform feasibility evidence for the requirements	requirements. Secondary Responsibility Develop the respective UML diagrams	Responsibility Familiarize with the NDI/NCS and COTS	Responsibility Check whether requirements or being developed as per the negotiations	Responsibility Perform Feasibility evidence
Julapat Julnual Prototyper, Developer	Primary Responsibility Assess Prototype and Components, Develop Prototype	Primary Responsibility Develop prototype. Get prototype feedback.	Primary Responsibility Tailor components.	Primary Responsibility Build software.	Primary Responsibility Build software.
Charles Reitz Prototyper, Developer	Primary Responsibility Assess Prototype and Components, Develop Prototype	Primary Responsibility Develop prototype. Get prototype feedback.	Primary Responsibility Tailor components.	Primary Responsibility Build software.	Primary Responsibility Build software.
Mohammad Almunea Operational Concept Engineer,	Primary Responsibility Identify shared vision.	Primary Responsibility Develop new operational concept.	Primary Responsibility Assess operational concept.	Primary Responsibility Identify organizational and operational transformation. Build software.	Primary Responsibility Verified whether the developed project is satisfied operational concepts. Build software.
Travis Weaver IIV&V, Quality Focal Point	Primary Responsibility Verify and validate work products. Secondary Responsibility remind team to use Jira	Primary Responsibility Verify and validate work products. Construct traceability matrix. Secondary Responsibility remind team to use Jira	Primary Responsibility Verify and validate work products. Assess quality management strategy. Identify configuration management strategy. Secondary Responsibility remind team to use Jira	Primary Responsibility Verify and validate work products. Secondary Responsibility remind team to use Jira Test software bugs.	Primary Responsibility Verify and validate work products. Secondary Responsibility remind team to use Jira
Dennis Evans IIV&V, Tester	Primary Responsibility Not Part of team yet	Primary Responsibility Not Part of team yet	Primary Responsibility Not Part of team yet	Primary Responsibility Configure software test environment and perform software testing. Secondary Responsibility Test software bugs.	Primary Responsibility Perform software testing and ensure product meets the definition of done.

Rigo Garcia	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility
Owner	- Convey project ideas to USC team	- Win-win Negotiation	- Verify Work Products Using Issue Tracking System	- Verify Work Products Using Issue Tracking System	- Verify Work Products Using Issue Tracking System

3.2 Skills

Table 6: Member Skills

Team members	Role	Skills
Sultan Alsarra	Project Manager/Life Cycle Planner,	Current skills: HTML, CSS, , Java, MySQL, Management, Presentation Skill, Cost Estimation, Resources Management, MS Project, Quality Assurance Required skills: Server Side
Andrea Brown	Feasibility Analyst,	current Skills: HTML,CSS MySQL, Java, Presentation Skill Required Skills: Server Side Scripting, App development
Charles Reitz	Prototyper/Developer	Current Skills: HTML, CSS, JavsScript, Java, Android Java, App Development, MySQL, Php, Presentation Skills Required skills: Server Side Scripting,
Mohammad Almunea	Operational Concept Manager,	Current Skills: Java, MySQL, Databases, SQL,, Required Skills: HTML,CSS, App Development, Server Side Scripting

Travis Weaver	IIV & V Quality Focal Point	Current Skills: Software Configuration Management (Version Control Systems, Bug Tracking Systems, Build Automation) Required Skills: App development, PHP, HTML, CSS, Server Side Scripting
Adil Cem Albayrak	Requirements Engineer,	Current skills: C++, Java, HTML, CSS, JAVASCRIPT, MySQL,.NET development Required skills: App Development, Server Side Scripting, Presentation Skills
Aref Shafaeibejestan	Software Architecture,	Current skills: Java, Python, PHP, Javascript, MySQL, CSS, PHP, Server Side Scripting Required skills: Presentation Skill, App Development.
Jul Julnual	Prototyper/ Developer	Current skills: HTML, CSS, JavsScript, Java, Android Java, App Development, MySQL, Php. Required skills: Server Side Scripting, Presentation Skills.
Dennis Evans	IIV & V Testing	Current skills: C, C++, Java, Android Java, UI elements in photoshop, Visual Paradigm, Presentation Skills Required skills: Server Side Scripting, Software Testing

4. Approach

4.1 Monitoring and Control

The project is monitored with a bi-weekly progress report and project plan. The progress report includes the top project risks, number of SLOC, COTS software and defects/concerns. Also the project team meets every Monday, Wednesday and Friday after class for team updates

4.1.1 Closed Loop Feedback Control

Using google drive to review work of project team and giving input. Also having peer review meeting every couple of weeks to discuss certain issues and give feedback to each other on work.

4.1.2 Reviews

- Team meetings; we have a group meeting every Monday, Wednesday and Friday after class to discuss projects updates and what we should do and what are some issues we need to mitigate
- Win-win negotiation; the negotiation helps us and the owner to be on the same page and share the same understanding.
- Feedback from instructors: the comments from instructors are a great help.

4.2 Methods, Tools and Facilities

Table 7: Methods and Tools

Tools	Usage	Provider
Github	A repository version control system to store our code.	Github
Google drive	Contain all documents created by our team so we can review and modify	Google
Visual paradigm	A tool to create the UML diagrams used in the project	Visual paradigm
Microsoft office	MS office was used to create many of our documents and project plan	Microsoft
WhatsApp (Group/Chat)	A group on WhatsApp for communications and setting up meetings	WhatsApp
Skype	Video Chat with den students	Microsoft
Jira	A tool to create, track and identify effort used in each task	USC
COINCOMO	A tool for resource estimation	USC
Winbook	A tool to identify win conditions of all stakeholders and prioritize them	USC

5. Resources

Identify the following information in order to estimate the software cost:

- Estimated CSCI577a Effort: 9 team members at 12 hrs/week for 12 weeks
- Estimated CSCI577b Effort: 9 team members at 18 hrs/week for 12 weeks
- Total estimated effort for development: 18 hrs/wk x 9 members x 12 weeks = 1944 total hours
- Project duration: 24 weeks

Table 8: Modules SLOC

No	Module	Description	SLOC (estimation)	REVL (estimation)
1	Picture Module	The system will provide the user the ability to share pics to locations or event. Also allows to add picture to hashtag and write a caption for it. This has most features of the system which explains the large number of SLOC	2,468	10%
2	Browse Module	This module provides the user the ability to browse pictures in events or locations and sort them. Has some location and distance algorithms but less features than picture module	1,943	10%
3	Profile Module	The system that allow users to register with their email and password and login	630	10%

Table 9: COCOMOII Scale Driver

Scale Driver	Value	Rationale
		Most of the team is not familiar with mobile app
Precedentedness	Low	development. Only two of the nine members have
(PREC)		developed mobile apps before.
		Owner is flexible and open to input and suggestions from
Development	High	team members and wishes general conformity with his
Flexibility		requirements.
(FLEX)		
Risk		Risk Elimination is feasible for project and most can be

Resolutions	High	mitigated by buying information and prototyping such as
(RESL)		the Facebook API.
Team Cohesion		Team chemistry is very good with seamless interactions
(TEAM)	Very	and communications between members. Members also are
	High	highly cooperative and have a good understanding of the
		project.
Process		Team has an okay understanding of CMM Maturity but
Maturity	NOM	has no expertise.
(PMAT)		

Table 10: COCOMOII Cost Driver

Cost Driver	Value	Rationale
RELY	Nominal	This model reliability is not critical. It's not a risk to
		human life if it crashes.
DATA	HI	We have some Large data requirements on product
		development. We will have to test different types of
		images with different specs. Also test limits of image
		uploading and event image cap limit. Therefore the effort
		required to generate the test data that will be used to
CDI V	N · 1	exercise the program will be hi
CPLX	Nominal	There is some complexity when it comes to distance
		calculations but it's only nominal and won't cause any
RUSE	LO	serious issues to figure out.
RUSE	LO	The modules for system will be developed specifically for this project, and each module is different, so reusability
		will be low in project.
DOCU	Nominal	There will be documentation developed for each phase of
DOCU	Nonniai	development, but nothing too much to handle, so it's
		nominal
TIME	Nominal	The system is expected to have a normal executions time,
THILE	1 (Ollima)	according to size of code, so it's nominal
STOR	Nominal	It will take a normal amount of storage for a mobile app,
		nothing too high, but will be normal around 10mb we
		estimate
PVOL	LO	The platform volatility for this project is low and we
		expect major change every 12 mo.; minor change every 1
		mo
ACAP	HI	The team collaborations and communications is very good
		and we have no issues in gathering requirements and high
	_	level design of the system.
PCAP	HI	We have very well rounded members who are capable of
DG014	** ***	accomplishing the tasks required from them.
PCON	Very HI	There is no personnel turnover. Everyone is in the same

		field and will continue on project for full duration/
APEX	Nominal	The team had developed many software systems before
		but only two members have experience in mobile app
		development, so it's nominal.
PLEX	Nominal	We have a mix of members familiar and unfamiliar with
		the platforms
LTEX	Nominal	No all members of the team are experts in mobile app
		development languages.
TOOL	Nominal	Basic life-cycle and progress tools will be used.
SITE	Nominal	The owner and the DEN students are proactive and
		usually available in person for meetings
SCED	Nominal	The schedule is fixed for 24 weeks.

x	Name	Size	Labor Rate (\$/Month)	EAF	Language	NOM Effort DEV	EST Effort DEV	PROD	COST	INST COST	Staff	Risk
	Picture Module	2,468	0.0	0.57	Non-specified	8.07	4.61	53	0.00	0.00	0.6	0.0
	Browse Module	1,943	0.0	0.58	Non-specified	6.36	3.66	53	0.00	0.00	0.5	0.0
	Profile Module	630	0.0	0.33	Non-specified	2.06	0.67	93	0.00	0.00	0.1	0.0

			Estimation				
otal Lines Of Cod	le: 5,041 H	lours/PM: 152.0					
Estimated	Effort	Schedule	PROD	COST	INST	Staff	Risk
Optimistic	7.16	6.77	704.28	0.00	0.00	1.1	
Most Likely	8.95	7.26	563.42	0.00	0.00	1.2	0.0
Pessimistic	11.18	7.78	450.74	0.00	0.00	1.4	

Estimation:

Number of SLOC: 5041

Effort needed (Pessimistic): 11.18 person-month

Each Member Works: 18hrs/week for 12 weeks of development

Total Time Spent by Members:

A: $18 \text{ hrs/wk} \times 9 \text{ members} \times 4 \text{ weeks} = 648 \text{ hrs/month}$

B: 18 hrs/wk x 9 members x 12 weeks = 1944 total hours

Number of time Needed:

A: $(11.18 \text{ person-month}) \times 152 \text{ hrs/person-month}) \div 648 = 2.6 \text{ months}$

B: 11.18 person-month x 152 hrs/person-month = $\frac{1699 \text{ total hours}}{1699 \text{ total hours}}$

Is it possible: Yes, for one application, since it will take us 2.6 months or 12 weeks to finish (1944 hrs> 1699 hrs) and that's in the worst case scenario.

6. Iteration Plan

This section outlines the overall plan for each development iteration. It describes the capabilities to be implemented, tested and those that will not be tested.

6.1 Plan

The construction iteration of the Development phase will be divided into two cycles; first cycle where the core capabilities of the system are developed and second cycle for completing the full functionality of the system. The first cycle is done to make sure the most important features are correct and ready for the Core Capability Drivethrough. The second cycle will build on the core capability to implement other desired features. System Transition will take place after construction iteration 2 is done and will focus on training the stakeholders on how to use and manage the system.

6.1.1 Capabilities to be implemented

Table 11: Construction iteration capabilities to be implemented

ID	Capability	Description	Priority	Iteration	
1	OC-1	Use Hashtag: User can post pictures with a hashtag that	can post pictures with a hashtag that Must Have 1		
		indicates a public event			
2	OC-2	Login with Facebook: User can login using Facebook	Must Have 1		
3	OC-3	Use Location: User can post pictures to the nearby	Must Have 1		
		location			
4	OC-4	Search Events: User can search events by their name	Must Have 1		
		(hashtag).			
5	OC-5	Browse Event's Pictures: User can browse pictures	Must Have 1		
		associated with an event and sort them by like or date.			
6	OC-6	Administrator: Admin can control and supervise user's	nin can control and supervise user's Must Have 1		
		content.			
7	OC-7	Delete Picture: Users can delete pictures that they posted.	Should Have 1		
		Users can also delete pictures of their private events.			
8	OC-8	Create/Delete Private Event: User can create/delete a	Should Have 1		
		private event that only people with password has access			
		to it.			
9	OC-9	Like/unlike Picture: Users are able to like/unlike a picture	Could Have	2	
10	OC-10	Report Picture: Users are able to report inappropriate	Could Have	2	
		pictures.			
11	OC-11	Choose Add Picture Type: When user create a private	Could Have 2		
		event they can choose if users can upload pictures, or			
		only post pictures captured live.			
12	OC-12	Take Picture or Choose from Gallery: Users can decide	Could Have	1	

		whether to take a photo or choose it from gallery when		
		adding a picture.		
13	OC-13	Save picture to Device: User can save the picture to	Could Have	2
		his/her own device.		

6.1.2 Capabilities to be tested

Table 12: Construction iteration capabilities to be tested

ID	Capability	Description	Priority	Iteration	
1	OC-1	Use Hashtag: User can post pictures with a hashtag that Must Have 1		1	
		indicates a public event			
2	OC-2	Login with Facebook: User can login using Facebook Must Have 1		1	
3	OC-3	Use Location: User can post pictures to the nearby Must Have		1	
		location			
4	OC-4	Search Events: User can search events by their name	Must Have	1	
		(hashtag).			
5	OC-5	Browse Event's Pictures: User can browse pictures	Must Have	1	
		associated with an event and sort them by like or date.			
6	OC-6	Administrator: Admin can control and supervise user's	Must Have	1	
		content.			
7	OC-7	Delete Picture: Users can delete pictures that they	Should Have	1	
		posted. Users can also delete pictures of their private			
		events.			
8	OC-8	Create/Delete Private Event: User can create/delete a	Should Have	1	
		private event that only people with password has access			
		to it.			
9	OC-9	Like/unlike Picture: Users are able to like/unlike a	e Picture: Users are able to like/unlike a Could Have 2		
		picture			
10	OC-10	Report Picture: Users are able to report inappropriate	Could Have	2	
		pictures.			
11	OC-11	Choose Add Picture Type: When user create a private	Could Have	2	
		event they can choose if users can upload pictures, or			
		only post pictures captured live.			
12	OC-12	Take Picture or Choose from Gallery: Users can decide	Could Have	1	
		whether to take a photo or choose it from gallery when			
		adding a picture.			
13	OC-13	Save picture to Device: User can save the picture to	Could Have	2	
		his/her own device.			

6.1.3 Capabilities not to be tested

All capabilities will be developed and tested.

6.1.4 CCD Preparation Plan

The development team will prepare the app to be tested by the stakeholders on March 25th, 2016. The stakeholders will be asked to perform certain tasks in the app, such as post a picture to an event or search for a specific event, based on what is defined in our test plan. The database will be pre-populated with images so that the search functionality can be properly tested and the pictures can be browsed. The results, stakeholder experience and suggested improvements will be documented and followed-up by the team.

The following stakeholders will be involved in the CCD:

- Owner
- Targeted Customer/Demographic
- Development team

The following are measures the development team needs to carry out to ensure a smooth CCD.

- Double-check that every capability has been implemented.
- Test app through the spring recess to identify and mitigate technical risk.
- Do a dry run well before CCD and test the app same way as stakeholders will
- Ensure the server is up and running by pinging the server.
- Make sure the mobile devices have access to internet, and the we have a backup device just in case

7. Transition Strategy

This document describes the plan for the transition of the Share app.

7.1 Transition Objectives

The system being transitioned is completely new, and the app will be run on AWS server which will belong to owner. The development team will be responsible for the deployment and configuration so that the system is up and running when handed over to the clients. The development team will provide user and support manuals. The overall goal is to give the clients a running system and necessary documentation so that it can be operated and maintained successfully by them. The development team will also provide the complete source code for the system. The transitioned capability will cover all functionality agreed upon by the owner and developers.

7.2 Transition Process Strategy

The transition of the system we'll involve some steps which are:

- Preparation for transition
- Test and evaluate app in environment using Beta Testing.
- Move app to owner run server on AWS & configure
- Deliver source code and documentation.
- Verify App for submission on Apple store.
- Submit app on apple store

8. Preparing for Transition

Before the transition can take place, the owner must create an AWS account that supports the system and a domain name which can be used for the admin page. When this is done, the development team can perform the required configuration on the AWS server and deploy and run the system.

8.1 Hardware Preparation

We need to prepare this hardware:

- iPhone or iPod Touch.
- Computer running Mac

8.2 Software Preparation

We need to prepare this software for owner:

- PostgreSQL
- Apache
- Laravel Eloquent
- Slim3
- Amazon web services access
- Xcode 7.0 and later

8.3 Site Preparation

The transition site is considered to be the AWS that will host the system.

9. Stakeholder Roles, Responsibilities and Schedule

Date	Role	Responsibility
05/1/16	Owner	Finish gaining approval for app to be on apple store
04/25/16	Development Team	Deploy the app on owner's AWS and provide support during the transition
04/25/16	Development Team, Owner	Implement, as much as possible, owner feedback from beta testing, and agree on support plan for afterwards
04/26/16	Team	Have all support documents and manuals ready
04/27/16	Team	Deliver the system (source code and documents are included)